$\Upsilon(3S)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$\Upsilon(3S)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10355.1±0.5	[1] SHAMOV	23	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
10355.2±0.5	[2,3] ARTAMONOV	00	MD1	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
10355.3±0.5	[4,5] BARU	86B	MD1	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

[1] Reanalysis of MD1 data using the electron mass from COHEN 87, the radiative corrections from KURAEV 85 and interference effects.
[2] Reanalysis of BARU 86B using new electron mass (COHEN 87).
[3] Superseded by SHAMOV 23.
[4] Reanalysis of ARTAMONOV 84.
[5] Superseded by ARTAMONOV 00.

$m_{\Upsilon(3S)} - m_{\Upsilon(2S)}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
331.82±0.08 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.			
331.77±0.07±0.10	1.8M	[6] AAIJ	24AC	LHCB	$\Upsilon \to \mu^+\mu^-$
331.88±0.03±0.04	1.5k	[6] AAIJ	24AC	LHCB	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$
331.50±0.02±0.13		LEES	11C	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- X$

[6] Observed in prompt pp production.



$\Upsilon(3S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID
20.32±1.85 OUR EVALUATION	See the Note on "Width Determinations of the Υ States"

$\Upsilon(3S)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode		Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1	$\Upsilon(2S)$anything		(10.6 ± 0.8) %	
Γ_2	$\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$		(2.82 ± 0.18) %	S=1.6
Γ_3	$\Upsilon(2S)\pi^0\pi^0$		(1.85 ± 0.14) %	
Γ_4	$\Upsilon(2S)\gamma\gamma$		(5.0 ± 0.7) %	
Γ_5	$\Upsilon(2S)\pi^0$		$< 5.1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_6	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$		(4.37 ± 0.08) %	
Γ_7	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0$		(2.20 ± 0.13) %	
Γ_8	$\Upsilon(1S)\eta$		$< 1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_9	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$		$< 7 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{10}	$h_b(1P)\pi^0$		$< 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{11}	$h_b(1P)\pi^0 \to \gamma\eta_b(1S)\pi^0$		$(4.3 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{12}	$h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$		$< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{13}	$\tau^+\tau^-$		(2.29 ± 0.30) %	
Γ_{14}	$\mu^+\mu^-$		(2.18 ± 0.21) %	S=2.1
Γ_{15}	e^+e^-		(2.18 ± 0.20) %	
Γ_{16}	hadrons		(93 ± 12) %	
Γ_{17}	ggg		(35.7 ± 2.6) %	
Γ_{18}	γgg		$(9.7 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{19}	$\overline{^2H}$ anything		$(2.33\pm 0.33) \times 10^{-5}$	
	Radiative decays			
Γ_{20}	$\gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)$		(13.1 ± 1.6) %	S=3.4
Γ_{21}	$\gamma\chi_{b1}(2P)$		(12.6 ± 1.2) %	S=2.4
Γ_{22}	$\gamma\chi_{b0}(2P)$		(5.9 ± 0.6) %	S=1.4
Γ_{23}	$\gamma\chi_{b2}(1P)$		$(10.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.7
Γ_{24}	$\gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)$		$(9 \pm 5) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.8
Γ_{25}	$\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)$		$(2.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{26}	$\gamma\eta_b(2S)$		$< 6.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{27}	$\gamma\eta_b(1S)$		$(5.1 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{28}	$\gamma A^0 \to \gamma$hadrons		$< 8 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{29}	$\gamma X \to \gamma + \geq 4$ prongs		[a] $< 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%
Γ_{30}	$\gamma A^0 \to \gamma\mu^+\mu^-$		$< 5.5 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{31}	$\gamma A^0 \to \gamma\tau^+\tau^-$		[b] $< 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
	Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes			
Γ_{32}	$e^\pm\tau^\mp$	LF	$< 4.2 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%

Γ_{33}	$e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$	LF	$<$ 3.6	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{34}	$\mu^{\pm}\tau^{\mp}$	LF	$<$ 3.1	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%

[a] 1.5 GeV $< m_X <$ 5.0 GeV

[b] For $m_{\tau^+\tau^-}$ in the ranges 4.03–9.52 and 9.61–10.10 GeV.

$\Upsilon(3S)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_{16}\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.414±0.007 OUR AVERAGE				
0.413±0.004±0.006	ROSNER	06	CLEO	10.4 $e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.45 ±0.03 ±0.03	[7] GILES	84B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

[7] Radiative corrections reevaluated by BUCHMUELLER 88 following KURAEV 85.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_6\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
18.46±0.27±0.77	6.4k	[8] AUBERT	08BP	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$

[8] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+e^-$) = (2.38 ± 0.11)% and B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (2.48 ± 0.05)%.

$\Upsilon(3S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ — Γ_{15}

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID
0.443±0.008 OUR EVALUATION	

$\Upsilon(3S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.106 ±0.008 OUR AVERAGE					
0.1023±0.0105	4625	[9,10,11] BUTLER	94B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^- X$
0.111 ±0.012	4891	[10,11,12] BROCK	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- X$, $\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$

[9] Using B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\gamma\gamma$) = (0.038 ± 0.007)%, and B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0$) = (1/2)B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$).

[10] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (2.48 ± 0.06)%. With the assumption of $e\mu$ universality.

[11] Using B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$) = (18.5 ± 0.8)%.

[12] Using B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (1.31 ± 0.21)%, B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\gamma\gamma$)×2B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (0.188 ± 0.035)%, and B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0$)×2B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (0.436 ± 0.056)%. With the assumption of $e\mu$ universality.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.82±0.18 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.				
3.00±0.02±0.14	543k	LEES	11C	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- X$
2.40±0.10±0.26	800	[13] AUBERT	08BP	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$

3.12 ± 0.49	980	[14,15] BUTLER	94B	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$
2.13 ± 0.38	974	[16] BROCK	91	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$4.82 \pm 0.65 \pm 0.53$	138	[16] WU	93	CUSB	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$
3.1 ± 2.0	5	MAGERAS	82	CUSB	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$

[13] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-$) = (2.38 ± 0.11)%, B($\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$) = (2.48 ± 0.05)%, and $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(3S)) = 0.443 \pm 0.008$ keV.
[14] From the exclusive mode.
[15] Using B($\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\gamma\gamma$) = (0.038 ± 0.007)%, and B($\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0$) = (1/2)B($\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$).
[16] Using B($\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$) = (1.31 ± 0.21)%, B($\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\gamma\gamma$)×2B($\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$) = (0.188 ± 0.035)%, and B($\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0$)×2B($\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$) = (0.436 ± 0.056)%. With the assumption of $e\mu$ universality.



$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\qquad\qquad$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1.85±0.14 OUR AVERAGE					
$1.82 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.12$	4391	[17] BHARI	09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$
2.16 ± 0.39		[18,19] BUTLER	94B	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$
$1.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.2$	10	[20] HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$

[17] Authors assume B($\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-$) + B($\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$) = 4.06%.
[18] B($\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$) = (1.31 ± 0.21)% and assuming $e\mu$ universality.
[19] From the exclusive mode.
[20] B($\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$) = (1.44 ± 0.10)% and assuming $e\mu$ universality. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0502±0.0069	[21] BUTLER	94B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^- 2\gamma$

[21] From the exclusive mode.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.51	90	[22] HE	08A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$

[22] Authors assume B($\Upsilon(2S) \to e^+e^-$) + B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = 4.06%.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_6/Γ

Abbreviation MM in the *COMMENT* field below stands for missing mass.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.37±0.08 OUR AVERAGE					
4.32±0.07±0.13	90k	[23] LEES	11L	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
4.46±0.01±0.13	190k	[24] BHARI	09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$ MM
4.17±0.06±0.19	6.4k	[25] AUBERT	08BP	BABR	10.58 $e^+e^- \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
4.52±0.35	11830	[26] BUTLER	94B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-X$, $\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
4.46±0.34±0.50	451	[26] WU	93	CUSB	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
4.46±0.30	11221	[26] BROCK	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-X$, $\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.9 ±1.0	22	GREEN	82	CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
3.9 ±1.3	26	MAGERAS	82	CUSB	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$

[23] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+e^-$) = (2.38 ± 0.11)% and B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (2.48 ± 0.05)%.

[24] A weighted average of the inclusive and exclusive results.

[25] Using B($\Upsilon(2S) \to e^+e^-$) = (1.91 ± 0.16)%, B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (1.93 ± 0.17)%, and $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(3S))$ = 0.443 ± 0.008 keV.

[26] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (2.48 ± 0.06)%. With the assumption of $e\mu$ universality.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_2/Γ_6

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.577±0.026±0.060	800	[27] AUBERT	08BP	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$

[27] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+e^-$) = (2.38 ± 0.11)%, B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (2.48 ± 0.05)%, B($\Upsilon(2S) \to e^+e^-$) = (1.91 ± 0.16)%, and B($\Upsilon(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (1.93 ± 0.17)%. Not independent of other values reported by AUBERT 08BP.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.20±0.13 OUR AVERAGE					
2.24±0.09±0.11	6584	[28] BHARI	09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
1.99±0.34	56	[29] BUTLER	94B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
2.2 ±0.4 ±0.3	33	[30] HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$

[28] Authors assume B($\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+e^-$) + B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = 4.96%.

[29] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (2.48 ± 0.06)% and assuming $e\mu$ universality.

[30] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (2.57 ± 0.07)% and assuming $e\mu$ universality. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_7/Γ_6

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.501 ± 0.043	[31] BHARI	09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(3S)$

[31] Not independent of other values reported by BHARI 09.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.1	90	[32] LEES	11L	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \to (\pi^+\pi^-)(\gamma\gamma)\ell^+\ell^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.8	90	[32,33] AUBERT	08BP	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
<0.18	90	[34] HE	08A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\eta$
<2.2	90	BROCK	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\eta$

[32] Using B($\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+e^-$) = (2.38 ± 0.11)%, B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = (2.48 ± 0.05)%.
[33] Using $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(3S)) = 0.443 \pm 0.008$ keV.
[34] Authors assume B($\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+e^-$) + B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = 4.96%.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_8/Γ_6

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.23	90	[35] LEES	11L	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \to (\pi^+\pi^-)(\gamma\gamma)\ell^+\ell^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.9	90	[36] AUBERT	08BP	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-(\pi^0)\ell^+\ell^-$

[35] Not independent of other values reported by LEES 11L.
[36] Not independent of other values reported by AUBERT 08BP.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.07	90	[37] HE	08A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$

[37] Authors assume B($\Upsilon(1S) \to e^+e^-$) + B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = 4.96%.

$\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.2\times10^{-3}$	90	[38] GE	11	CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \pi^0$ anything

[38] Assuming $M(h_b(1P))$ = 9900 MeV and $\Gamma(h_b(1P))$ = 0 MeV, and allowing B($h_b(1P) \to \gamma\eta_b(1S)$) to vary from 0–100%.

$\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^0 \to \gamma\eta_b(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$4.3\pm1.1\pm0.9$	LEES	11K	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \eta_b\gamma\pi^0$

$\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 1.2	90	[39] LEES	11C	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<18		[39] BUTLER	94B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-X$
<15		[39] BROCK	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-X$

[39] For $M(h_b(1P))$ = 9900 MeV.

$\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.29±0.21±0.22	15k	[40] BESSON	07	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(3S) \to \tau^+\tau^-$

[40] BESSON 07 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \to \tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{total}] / [B(\Upsilon(3S) \to \mu^+\mu^-)] = 1.05 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.18 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ — Γ_{13}/Γ_{14}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.968±0.016 OUR AVERAGE					
0.966±0.008±0.014	2.2M	LEES	20E	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(3S)$
1.05 ±0.08 ±0.05	15k	BESSON	07	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(3S)$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0218±0.0021 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.					
0.0239±0.0007±0.0010	81k	ADAMS	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$
0.0202±0.0019±0.0033		CHEN	89B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$
0.0173±0.0015±0.0011		KAARSBERG	89	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$
0.033 ±0.013 ±0.007	1096	ANDREWS	83	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$



$\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
35.7±2.6	3M	[41] BESSON	06A	CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \to$ hadrons

[41] Calculated using BESSON 06A value of $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = (2.72 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.37)\%$ and the PDG 08 values of B($\Upsilon(2S)$ + anything) = (10.6 ± 0.8)%, B($\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S)$) = (4.40 ± 0.10)%, B($\pi^0\pi^0\Upsilon(1S)$) = (2.20 ± 0.13)%, B($\gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)$) = (13.1 ± 1.6)%, B($\gamma\chi_{b1}(2P)$) = (12.6 ± 1.2)%, B($\gamma\chi_{b0}(2P)$) = (5.9 ± 0.6)%, B($\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)$) = (0.30 ± 0.11)% ,B($\mu^+\mu^-$) = (2.18 ± 0.21)%, and $R_{hadrons}$ = 3.51. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{total}$ BESSON 06A value.

$\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.97±0.18	60k	[42] BESSON	06A	CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma$ + hadrons

[42] Calculated using BESSON 06A values of $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = (2.72 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.37)\%$ and $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{total}$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{total}$ BESSON 06A value.

$\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg)$ Γ_{18}/Γ_{17}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.72±0.06±0.49	3M	BESSON	06A	CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \to (\gamma +)$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\overline{^2H}$ anything$)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.33 \pm 0.15^{+0.31}_{-0.28}$	LEES	14G	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \overline{^2H}\, X$

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b2}(2P))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.131 ±0.016 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 3.4. See the ideogram below.					
0.1579±0.0017±0.0073	568k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
0.111 ±0.005 ±0.004	10319	[43] HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
0.135 ±0.003 ±0.017	30741	MORRISON	91	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$

[43] Supersedes NARAIN 91.



$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b1}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.126 ±0.012 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.4. See the ideogram below.				
$0.1454 \pm 0.0018 \pm 0.0073$	537k	ARTUSO 05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
$0.115 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.005$	11147	[44] HEINTZ 92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
$0.105\ ^{+0.003}_{-0.002} \pm 0.013$	25759	MORRISON 91	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$



[44] Supersedes NARAIN 91.

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b0}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.059 ±0.006 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.					
$0.0677 \pm 0.0020 \pm 0.0065$	225k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
$0.060 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.006$	4959	[45] HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
$0.049\ ^{+0.003}_{-0.004} \pm 0.006$	9903	MORRISON	91	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$

[45] Supersedes NARAIN 91.



$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10.0±1.0 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.7.						
$8.0 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.4$		126	[46,47] KORNICER	11	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
$10.5 \pm 0.3\ ^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$		9.7k	LEES	11J	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \to X\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<19	90		[48] ASNER	08A	CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma +$ hadrons
seen			[49] HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

[46] Assuming B($\Upsilon(1S) \to \ell^+\ell^-$) = (2.48 ± 0.05)%.

[47] KORNICER 11 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{b2}(1P) \to \gamma\Upsilon(1S))] = (1.435 \pm 0.162 \pm 0.169) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\chi_{b2}(1P) \to \gamma\Upsilon(1S)) = (18.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[48] ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}]$ / $[B(\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))]$ $< 27.1 \times 10^{-2}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P)) = 7.15 \times 10^{-2}$.

[49] HEINTZ 92, while unable to distinguish between different J states, measures $\sum_J B(\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma\chi_{bJ}) \times B(\chi_{bJ} \to \gamma\Upsilon(1S)) = (1.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ for J = 0,1,2 using inclusive $\Upsilon(1S)$ decays and $(1.2^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$ for J = 1,2 using $\Upsilon(1S) \to \ell^+\ell^-$.

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.9±0.5 OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 1.8.			
1.5±0.4±0.1		50	[50,51] KORNICER	11	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
$0.5\pm0.3^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$			LEES	11J	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \to X\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<1.7	90		[52] ASNER	08A	CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma +$ hadrons
seen			[53] HEINTZ	92	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

[50] Assuming $B(\Upsilon(1S) \to \ell^+\ell^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

[51] KORNICER 11 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\chi_{b1}(1P) \to \gamma\Upsilon(1S))] = (5.38 \pm 1.20 \pm 0.95) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\chi_{b1}(1P) \to \gamma\Upsilon(1S)) = (35.2 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[52] ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}]$ / $[B(\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))] < 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) = 6.9 \times 10^{-2}$.

[53] HEINTZ 92, while unable to distinguish between different J states, measures $\sum_J B(\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma\chi_{bJ}) \times B(\chi_{bJ} \to \gamma\Upsilon(1S)) = (1.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ for J = 0,1,2 using inclusive $\Upsilon(1S)$ decays and $(1.2^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$ for J = 1,2 using $\Upsilon(1S) \to \ell^+\ell^-$.

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.27±0.04 OUR AVERAGE						
0.27±0.04±0.02		2.3k	LEES	11J	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \to X\gamma$
0.30±0.04±0.10		8.7k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.8	90		[54] ASNER	08A	CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma +$ hadrons

[54] ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{total}]$ / $[B(\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))]$ $< 21.9 \times 10^{-2}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \to \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)) = 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta_b(2S))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 6.2	90	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<19	90	LEES	11J	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \to X\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta_b(1S))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.1±0.7 OUR AVERAGE						
7.1±1.8±1.3		2.3 ± 0.5k	[55] BONVICINI	10	CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma X$
4.8±0.5±0.6		19 ± 3k	[55] AUBERT	09AQ	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<8.5	90		LEES	11J	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \to X\gamma$
4.8±0.5±1.2		19 ± 3k	[55,56] AUBERT	08V	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma X$
<4.3	90		[57] ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$

[55] Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(1S)}$ = 10 MeV.

[56] Systematic error re-evaluated by AUBERT 09AQ.

[57] Superseded by BONVICINI 10.

$\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \to \gamma\,\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{28}/Γ

(0.3 GeV < m_{A^0} < 7 GeV)

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<8 × 10^{-5}	90	[58] LEES	11H	BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma$ hadrons

[58] For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0, excluding known resonances, with mass in the range 0.3–7 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of m_{A^0} range from 1×10^{-6} to 8×10^{-5}.

$\Gamma(\gamma X \to \gamma + \geq 4\ \text{prongs})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{29}/Γ

(1.5 GeV < m_X < 5.0 GeV)

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.2	95	ROSNER	07A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \to \gamma\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<5.5	90	[59] AUBERT	09Z	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma A^0 \to \gamma\mu^+\mu^-$

[59] For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0, with mass in the range 212–9300 MeV, excluding J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of m_{A^0} range from 0.27–5.5 × 10^{-6}.

$\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \to \gamma\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.6 × 10^{-4}	90	[60] AUBERT	09P	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \gamma A^0 \to \gamma\tau^+\tau^-$

[60] For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar, A^0, with M($\tau^+\tau^-$) in the ranges 4.03–9.52 and 9.61–10.10 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of M($\tau^+\tau^-$) range from 1.5–16 × 10^{-5}.

LEPTON FAMILY NUMBER (*LF*) VIOLATING MODES

$\Gamma(e^\pm\tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<4.2	90	LEES	10B	BABR	$e^+e^- \to e^\pm\tau^\mp$

$\Gamma(e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.6 × 10^{-7}	90	LEES	22A	BABR	$e^+e^- \to e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$

$\Gamma(\mu^{\pm}\tau^{\mp})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{34}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 3.1	90	LEES	10B	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \mu^{\pm}\tau^{\mp}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<20.3	95	LOVE	08A	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \mu^{\pm}\tau^{\mp}$

$\Upsilon(3S)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	24AC	JHEP 2410 122	R. Aaij et al.	(LHCb Collab.)
SHAMOV	23	PL B839 137766	A.G. Shamov, O.L. Rezanova	(NOVO, NOVOU)
LEES	22A	PRL 128 091804	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	20E	PRL 125 241801	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	14G	PR D89 111102	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
GE	11	PR D84 032008	J.Y. Ge et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
KORNICER	11	PR D83 054003	M. Kornicer et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
LEES	11C	PR D84 011104	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11H	PRL 107 221803	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11J	PR D84 072002	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11K	PR D84 091101	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11L	PR D84 092003	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
BONVICINI	10	PR D81 031104	G. Bonvicini et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
LEES	10B	PRL 104 151802	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09AQ	PRL 103 161801	B. Aubert et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09P	PRL 103 181801	B. Aubert et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09Z	PRL 103 081803	B. Aubert et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
BHARI	09	PR D79 011103	S.R. Bhari et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
ASNER	08A	PR D78 091103	D.M. Asner et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	08BP	PR D78 112002	B. Aubert et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	08V	PRL 101 071801	B. Aubert et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
HE	08A	PRL 101 192001	Q. He et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
LOVE	08A	PRL 101 201601	W. Love et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler et al.	(PDG Collab.)
BESSON	07	PRL 98 052002	D. Besson et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
ROSNER	07A	PR D76 117102	J.L. Rosner et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
BESSON	06A	PR D74 012003	D. Besson et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
ROSNER	06	PRL 96 092003	J.L. Rosner et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
ADAMS	05	PRL 94 012001	G.S. Adams et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTUSO	05	PRL 94 032001	M. Artuso et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov et al.	
BUTLER	94B	PR D49 40	F. Butler et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
WU	93	PL B301 307	Q.W. Wu et al.	(CUSB Collab.)
HEINTZ	92	PR D46 1928	U. Heintz et al.	(CUSB II Collab.)
BROCK	91	PR D43 1448	I.C. Brock et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
HEINTZ	91	PRL 66 1563	U. Heintz et al.	(CUSB Collab.)
MORRISON	91	PRL 67 1696	R.J. Morrison et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
NARAIN	91	PRL 66 3113	M. Narain et al.	(CUSB Collab.)
CHEN	89B	PR D39 3528	W.Y. Chen et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
KAARSBERG	89	PRL 62 2077	T.M. Kaarsberg et al.	(CUSB Collab.)
BUCHMUEL...	88	HE e^+e^- Physics 412	W. Buchmueller, S. Cooper	(HANN, DESY, MIT)
Editors: A. Ali and P. Soeding, World Scientific, Singapore				
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
BARU	86B	ZPHY C32 622 (errat.)	S.E. Baru et al.	(NOVO)
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 41 733.		
ARTAMONOV	84	PL 137B 272	A.S. Artamonov et al.	(NOVO)
GILES	84B	PR D29 1285	R. Giles et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
ANDREWS	83	PRL 50 807	D.E. Andrews et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
GREEN	82	PRL 49 617	J. Green et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
MAGERAS	82	PL 118B 453	G. Mageras et al.	(COLU, CORN, LSU+)