# Quark and Lepton Compositeness, Searches for

The latest unpublished results are described in the "Quark and Lepton Compositeness" review.

See the related review(s):

Searches for Quark and Lepton Compositeness

#### CONTENTS:

- Scale Limits for Contact Interactions:  $\Lambda(eeee)$ Scale Limits for Contact Interactions:  $\Lambda(ee\mu\mu)$ Scale Limits for Contact Interactions:  $\Lambda(ee\tau\tau)$ Scale Limits for Contact Interactions:  $\Lambda(\ell \ell \ell \ell)$ Scale Limits for Contact Interactions:  $\Lambda(eeqq)$ Scale Limits for Contact Interactions:  $\Lambda(\mu \mu q q)$ Scale Limits for Contact Interactions:  $\Lambda(\ell \nu \ell \nu)$ Scale Limits for Contact Interactions:  $\Lambda(e \nu q q)$ Scale Limits for Contact Interactions:  $\Lambda(q q q q)$ Scale Limits for Contact Interactions:  $\Lambda(\nu \nu q q)$ Mass Limits for Excited  $e(e^*)$ - Limits for Excited  $e(e^*)$  from Pair Production
  - Limits for Excited  $e(e^*)$  from Single Production
  - Limits for Excited e (e<sup>\*</sup>) from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
  - Indirect Limits for Excited  $e(e^*)$

Mass Limits for Excited  $\mu$  ( $\mu^*$ )

- Limits for Excited  $\mu$  ( $\mu^*$ ) from Pair Production
- Limits for Excited  $\mu$  ( $\mu^*$ ) from Single Production
- Indirect Limits for Excited  $\mu$  ( $\mu^*$ )

Mass Limits for Excited  $\tau$  ( $\tau^*$ )

- Limits for Excited  $\tau$  ( $\tau^*$ ) from Pair Production
- Limits for Excited  $\tau$  ( $\tau^*$ ) from Single Production

Mass Limits for Excited Neutrino ( $\nu^*$ )

- Limits for Excited  $\nu$  ( $\nu^*$ ) from Pair Production
- Limits for Excited  $\nu$  ( $\nu^*$ ) from Single Production Mass Limits for Excited  $q(q^*)$ 
  - Limits for Excited  $q(q^*)$  from Pair Production

- Limits for Excited  $q(q^*)$  from Single Production

Mass Limits for Color Sextet Quarks  $(q_6)$ 

Mass Limits for Color Octet Charged Leptons ( $\ell_8$ )

- Mass Limits for Color Octet Neutrinos ( $\nu_8$ )
- Mass Limits for  $W_8$  (Color Octet W Boson)

## SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(eee)$

Limits are for  $\Lambda_{II}^{\pm}$  only. For other cases, see each reference.  $\Lambda_{LL}^+$  (TeV)  $\Lambda_{II}^-$  (TeV) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT <sup>1</sup> BOURILKOV 01 RVUE  $E_{cm}$ = 192–208 GeV >10.3 95 >8.3 Created: 5/30/2025 07:50

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 1

 $\bullet$   $\bullet$   $\bullet$  We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.  $\bullet$   $\bullet$ 

>4.5	>7.0	95	<sup>2</sup> SCHAEL	07A	ALEP	$E_{\rm cm} = 189 - 209 \; {\rm GeV}$			
>5.3	>6.8	95	ABDALLAH	<b>06</b> C	DLPH	$E_{\rm cm}^{\rm cm} = 130-207 {\rm GeV}$			
>4.7	>6.1	95	<sup>3</sup> ABBIENDI	<b>0</b> 4G	OPAL	$E_{\rm cm} = 130 - 207 {\rm GeV}$			
>4.3	>4.9	95	ACCIARRI	<b>00</b> P	L3	$E_{\rm cm} = 130 - 189 {\rm GeV}$			
<sup>1</sup> A combined analysis of the data from ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, and OPAL.									
$^2$ SCHAEL 07A limits are from $R_c$ , $Q_{FB}^{depl}$ , and hadronic cross section measurements.									
<sup>3</sup> ABBIENDI 04G limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 130-207$ GeV.									

# SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(ee\mu\mu)$ Limits are for $\Lambda_{LL}^{\pm}$ only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda^+_{LL}$ (TeV)	$\Lambda^{LL}$ (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
>6.6	>9.5	95	<sup>1</sup> SCHAEL	07A	ALEP	$E_{\rm cm} = 189 - 209 {\rm GeV}$		
> 8.5	>3.8	95	ACCIARRI			$E_{\rm cm}^{\rm cm} = 130 - 189 {\rm GeV}$		
• • • We	e do not use	e the follo	wing data for ave	erages	, fits, lin	nits, etc. • • •		
>7.3	>7.6	95	ABDALLAH	<b>06</b> C	DLPH	$E_{\rm cm} = 130-207 { m GeV}$		
>8.1	>7.3	95	<sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI	<b>0</b> 4G	OPAL	$E_{\rm cm}^{\rm cm} = 130-207 {\rm GeV}$		
>8.1 >7.3 95 <sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI 04G OPAL $E_{cm}^{em}$ = 130–207 GeV <sup>1</sup> SCHAEL 07A limits are from $R_c$ , $Q_{FB}^{depl}$ , and hadronic cross section measurements. <sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI 04G limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu$ cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 130$ –207 GeV.								

# SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(ee\tau\tau)$

Limits are for  $\Lambda^{\pm}_{LL}$  only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda^+_{LL}$ (TeV)	$\Lambda^{LL}$ (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
>7.9	>5.8	95	<sup>1</sup> SCHAEL	07A	ALEP	$E_{\rm cm} = 189 - 209 {\rm GeV}$	
>7.9	>4.6	95	ABDALLAH	<b>06</b> C	DLPH	$E_{\rm cm} = 130 - 207 {\rm GeV}$	
>4.9	>7.2	95	<sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI	<b>0</b> 4G	OPAL	$E_{\rm cm}^{\rm cm} = 130-207 {\rm GeV}$	
• • • We	do not use	e the fol	lowing data for ave	rages	, fits, lim	nits, etc. • • •	
>5.4	>4.7	95	ACCIARRI	<b>00</b> P	L3	$E_{\rm cm} = 130 - 189 \; {\rm GeV}$	
<sup>1</sup> SCHAEL 07A limits are from $R_c$ , $Q_{FB}^{depl}$ , and hadronic cross section measurements. <sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI 04G limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau$ cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 130$ –207 GeV.							

# SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\ell\ell\ell\ell)$

Lepton universality assumed. Limits are for  $\Lambda^{\pm}_{LL}$  only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda^+_{LL}$ (TeV)	$\Lambda^{-}_{LL}(\text{TeV})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>7.9	> 10.3	95	<sup>1</sup> SCHAEL	07A	ALEP	$E_{\rm cm} = 189 - 209 {\rm GeV}$
>9.1	>8.2	95	ABDALLAH	<b>06</b> C	DLPH	$E_{\rm cm}^{\rm om} = 130-207 {\rm GeV}$
• • • We	e do not use	e the follo	owing data for ave	erages	, fits, lin	nits, etc. • • •
>7.7	>9.5	95	<sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI <sup>3</sup> BABICH	04G 03	OPAL RVUE	$E_{\rm cm} = 130-207 {\rm GeV}$
>9.0	>5.2	95	ACCIARRI	<b>00</b> P	L3	$E_{\rm cm} = 130 - 189 {\rm GeV}$
https://	pdg.lbl.gc	V	Page 2		(	Created: 5/30/2025 07:50

 $^1\,{\rm SCHAEL}$  07A limits are from  ${\it R}_c,~{\it Q}_{FB}^{depl}$  , and hadronic cross section measurements.

<sup>2</sup>ABBIENDI 04G limits are from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$  cross section at  $\sqrt{s} = 130-207 \text{ GeV}$ . <sup>3</sup>BABICH 03 obtain a bound  $-0.175 \text{ TeV}^{-2} < 1/\Lambda_{LL}^2 < 0.095 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$  (95%CL) in a model independent analysis allowing all of  $\Lambda_{LL}$ ,  $\Lambda_{LR}$ ,  $\Lambda_{RL}$ ,  $\Lambda_{RR}$  to coexist.

# SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(eeqq)$

Limits are for  $\Lambda_{LL}^{\pm}$  only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda^+_{LL}$ (TeV)	$\Lambda^{LL}({ m TeV})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>24	>37	95	<sup>1</sup> AABOUD 1	7AT	ATLS	(eeqq)
> 8.4	>10.2	95	<sup>2</sup> ABDALLAH 0	9	DLPH	(eebb)
> 9.4	>5.6	95	<sup>3</sup> SCHAEL 0	7A	ALEP	(eecc)
> 9.4	>4.9	95	<sup>2</sup> SCHAEL 0	7A	ALEP	(eebb)
>23.3	>12.5	95	<sup>4</sup> CHEUNG 0	<b>1</b> B	RVUE	(eeuu)
>11.1	>26.4	95	<sup>4</sup> CHEUNG 0	<b>1</b> B	RVUE	(eedd)
• • • We	do not use	the fo	llowing data for avera	ages	, fits, lin	nits, etc. • • •
> 7.1	>7.1	95		1AU	ATLS	(eebs)
>23.5	>26.1	95	<sup>6</sup> AAD 2	1Q	ATLS	(eeqq)
>19.5	>24.0	95	<sup>7</sup> SIRUNYAN 2	1N	CMS	(eeqq)
>23.5	>26.1	95	<sup>8</sup> AAD 2	0AP	ATLS	(eeqq)
> 4.5	>12.8	95	<sup>9</sup> ABRAMOWICZ1	9	ZEUS	(eeqq)
>16.8	>23.9	95		9AC	CMS	(eeqq)
>15.5	>19.5	95			ATLS	(eeqq)
>13.5	>18.3	95	<sup>12</sup> KHACHATRY1	5AE	CMS	(eeqq)
>16.4	>20.7	95		4BE	ATLS	(eeqq)
> 9.5	>12.1	95		3E	ATLS	(eeqq)
>10.1	>9.4	95		2AB	ATLS	(eeqq)
> 4.2	>4.0	95		1C	H1	(eeqq)
> 3.8	>3.8	95		1	DLPH	(eetc)
>12.9	>7.2	95		7A	ALEP	(eeqq)
> 3.7	>5.9	95	<sup>19</sup> ABULENCIA 0	6L	CDF	(eeqq)

<sup>1</sup>AABOUD 17AT limits are from pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. The quoted limit uses a uniform positive prior in  $1/\Lambda^2$ .

<sup>2</sup>ABDALLAH 09 and SCHAEL 07A limits are from  $R_b$ ,  $A_{FB}^b$ .

<sup>3</sup>SCHAEL 07A limits are from  $R_c$ ,  $Q_{FB}^{depl}$ , and hadronic cross section measurements.

<sup>4</sup> CHEUNG 01B is an update of BARGER 98E.

<sup>5</sup> AAD 21AU search for new phenomena in final states with  $e^+e^-$  and one or no *b*-tagged jets in *pp* collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. The quoted limits assume  $g_*^2 = 4 \pi$ .

 $^{6}$  AAD 21Q limits are from  $p\,p$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=$  13 TeV. A frequentist statistical framework is used to remove the prior dependence.

<sup>7</sup>SIRUNYAN 21N limits are from  $e^+e^-$  mass distribution in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV. <sup>8</sup> AAD 20AP limits are from  $e^+e^-$  mass distribution in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. <sup>9</sup> ABRAMOWICZ 19 limits are from Q<sup>2</sup> spectrum measurements of  $e^{\pm}p \rightarrow e^{\pm}X$ .

<sup>10</sup>SIRUNYAN 19AC limits are from  $e^+e^-$  mass distribution in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV.

 $^{11}$ AABOUD 16U limits are from pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=$  13 TeV. The quoted limit uses a uniform positive prior in  $1/\Lambda^2$ .

- <sup>12</sup>KHACHATRYAN 15AE limit is from  $e^+e^-$  mass distribution in pp collisions at  $E_{cm} =$ 8 TeV.
- <sup>13</sup>AAD 14BE limits are from pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. The quoted limit uses a uniform positive prior in  $1/\Lambda^2$ .
- <sup>14</sup>AAD 13E limis are from  $e^+e^-$  mass distribution in pp collisions at  $E_{\rm cm}=$  7 TeV.
- <sup>15</sup> AAD 12AB limis are from  $e^+e^-$  mass distribution in pp collisions at  $E_{\rm cm}=$  7 TeV.
- <sup>16</sup> AARON 11C limits are from  $Q^2$  spectrum measurements of  $e^{\pm}p \rightarrow e^{\pm X}$ .
- <sup>17</sup> ABDALLAH 11 limit is from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\overline{c}$  cross section.  $\Lambda_{LL} = \Lambda_{LR} = \Lambda_{RL} = \Lambda_{RR}$ is assumed.
- <sup>18</sup>SCHAEL 07A limit assumes quark flavor universality of the contact interactions.

<sup>19</sup>ABULENCIA 06L limits are from  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV.

# SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\mu\mu qq)$

$\Lambda^+_{LL}$ (TeV)	$\Lambda^{-}_{LL}$ (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
>23.3	>40.0	95	$1 \overline{\text{SIRUNYAN}} 21 \overline{\text{N}} \overline{\text{CMS}} \overline{(\mu \mu q q)}$
• • • We	e do not use	e the foll	owing data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$
> 8.5	>8.5	95	<sup>2</sup> AAD 21AU ATLS $(\mu \mu bs)$
>22.3	>32.7	95	<sup>3</sup> AAD 21Q ATLS $(\mu \mu q q)$
>22.3	>32.7	95	<sup>4</sup> AAD 20AP ATLS $(\mu \mu q q)$
>20.4	>30.4	95	<sup>5</sup> SIRUNYAN 19AC CMS $(\mu \mu q q)$
>20	>30	95	<sup>6</sup> AABOUD 17AT ATLS $(\mu \mu q q)$
>15.8	>21.8	95	<sup>7</sup> AABOUD 16U ATLS $(\mu \mu q q)$
>12.0	>15.2	95	<sup>8</sup> KHACHATRY15AE CMS $(\mu \mu q q)$
>12.5	>16.7	95	<sup>9</sup> AAD 14BE ATLS $(\mu \mu q q)$
> 9.6	>12.9	95	<sup>10</sup> AAD 13E ATLS $(\mu \mu q q)$ (isosinglet)
> 9.5	>13.1	95	<sup>11</sup> CHATRCHYAN 13K CMS $(\mu \mu q q)$ (isosinglet)
> 8.0	>7.0	95	<sup>12</sup> AAD 12AB ATLS $(\mu \mu q q)$ (isosinglet)

<sup>1</sup>SIRUNYAN 21N limits are from  $\mu^+\mu^-$  mass distribution in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV.

 $^2$ AAD 21AU search for new phenomena in final states with  $\mu^+\mu^-$  and one or no b-tagged jets in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. The quoted limits assume  $g_{\mu}^2 = 4 \pi$ .

- $^3$  AAD 21Q limits are from p p collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV. A frequentist statistical framework is used to remove the prior dependence.
- <sup>4</sup>AAD 20AP limits are from  $\mu^+\mu^-$  mass distribution in *pp* collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=$  13 TeV.
- <sup>5</sup>SIRUNYAN 19AC limits are from  $\mu^+\mu^-$  mass distribution in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=$  13 TeV.

<sup>6</sup>AABOUD 17AT limits are from pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. The quoted limit uses a uniform positive prior in  $1/\Lambda^2$ .

<sup>7</sup>AABOUD 16U limits are from pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. The quoted limit uses a uniform positive prior in  $1/\Lambda^2$ .

 $^8$  KHACHATRYAN 15AE limit is from  $\mu^+\mu^-$  mass distribution in *pp* collisions at  $E_{\rm cm}=$ 

<sup>8</sup> TeV. <sup>9</sup> AAD 14BE limits are from pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. The quoted limit uses a uniform positive prior in  $1/\Lambda^2$ .

 $^{10}$  AAD 13E limis are from  $\mu^+\mu^-$  mass distribution in pp collisions at  $E_{\rm cm}$  = 7 TeV.

<sup>11</sup>CHATRCHYAN 13K limis are from  $\mu^+\mu^-$  mass distribution in *pp* collisions at  $E_{cm} =$ 7 TeV.

<sup>12</sup>AAD 12AB limis are from  $\mu^+\mu^-$  mass distribution in *pp* collisions at  $E_{\rm cm} =$  7 TeV.

#### SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\ell \nu \ell \nu)$

•••••••			· · · · ·	· /	
VALUE (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>3.10	90	<sup>1</sup> JODIDIO	86	SPEC	$\Lambda_{LR}^{\pm}( u_{\mu} u_{e}\mu e)$
• • • We do not use	the followin	ig data for average	es, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
>3.8		<sup>2</sup> DIAZCRUZ	94	RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^+(\tau\nu_{\tau}e\nu_{e})$
>8.1		<sup>2</sup> DIAZCRUZ	94	RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\tau \nu_{\tau} e \nu_{e})$
>4.1		<sup>3</sup> DIAZCRUZ	94	RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^{+}(\tau\nu_{\tau}\mu\nu_{\mu})$
>6.5		<sup>3</sup> DIAZCRUZ	94	RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\tau \nu_{\tau} \mu \nu_{\mu})$
1		1			

<sup>1</sup> JODIDIO 86 limit is from  $\mu^+ \to \overline{\nu}_{\mu} e^+ \nu_e$ . Chirality invariant interactions  $L = (g^2/\Lambda^2)$  $[\eta_{LL} (\overline{\nu}_{\mu L} \gamma^{\alpha} \mu_L) (\overline{e}_L \gamma_{\alpha} \nu_{e L}) + \eta_{LR} (\overline{\nu}_{\mu L} \gamma^{\alpha} \nu_{e L} (\overline{e}_R \gamma_{\alpha} \mu_R)]$  with  $g^2/4\pi = 1$  and  $(\eta_{LL}, \eta_{LR}) = (0, \pm 1)$  are taken. No limits are given for  $\Lambda_{LL}^{\pm}$  with  $(\eta_{LL}, \eta_{LR}) = (\pm 1, 0)$ . For more general constraints with right-handed neutrinos and chirality nonconserving contact interactions, see their text.

- <sup>2</sup> DIAZCRUZ 94 limits are from  $\Gamma(\tau \rightarrow e\nu\nu)$  and assume flavor-dependent contact interactions with  $\Lambda(\tau\nu_{\tau}e\nu_{e}) \ll \Lambda(\mu\nu_{\mu}e\nu_{e})$ .
- <sup>3</sup>DIAZCRUZ 94 limits are from  $\Gamma(\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \nu)$  and assume flavor-dependent contact interactions with  $\Lambda(\tau \nu_{\tau} \mu \nu_{\mu}) \ll \Lambda(\mu \nu_{\mu} e \nu_{e})$ .

#### SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(e\nu qq)$

VALUE (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	-	TECN	_
>2.81	95	<sup>1</sup> AFFOLDER	01	CDF	-
1					

<sup>1</sup> AFFOLDER 001 bound is for a scalar interaction  $\overline{q}_R q_L \overline{\nu} e_L$ .

#### SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(qqqq)$

			( 1 1 1 1 7			
$\Lambda^+_{LL}$ (TeV)	$\Lambda^{-}_{LL}$ (TeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
>13.1 none 17.4-29.5	>21.8	95	<sup>1</sup> AABOUD	17AK ATLS	<i>pp</i> dijet angl.	
• • • We do not use t	he following	g data fo	or averages, fits, limi	ts, etc. • • •		
>12.8 >11.5 >12.0	>17.5 >14.7 >17.5	95 95 95	<sup>2</sup> AABOUD <sup>3</sup> SIRUNYAN <sup>4</sup> SIRUNYAN <sup>5</sup> AAD <sup>6</sup> AAD <sup>7</sup> AAD	18AV ATLS 18DD CMS 17F CMS 16S ATLS 15AR ATLS 15BY ATLS	$pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ $pp \text{ dijet angl.}$ $pp \text{ dijet angl.}$ $pp \text{ dijet angl.}$ $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$	
> 8.1 > 9.0	>12.0 >11.7	95 95	<sup>8</sup> AAD <sup>9</sup> KHACHATRY.		<i>pp</i> dijet angl. <i>pp</i> dijet angl.	
> 5		95	<sup>10</sup> FABBRICHESI	14 RVUE	qqtt	

<sup>1</sup>AABOUD 17AK limit is from dijet angular distribution in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. *u*, *d*, and *s* quarks are assumed to be composite.

<sup>2</sup>AABOUD 18AV obtain limit on  $t_R$  compositeness  $2\pi/\Lambda_{RR}^2 < 1.6 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$  at 95% CL from  $t\overline{t}t\overline{t}$  production in the pp collisions at  $E_{\rm cm} = 13$  TeV.

<sup>3</sup> SIRUNYAN 18DD limit is from dijet angular distribution in *pp* collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. <sup>4</sup> SIRUNYAN 17F limit is from dijet angular cross sections in *pp* collisions at  $E_{cm} = 13$ TeV. All quarks are assumed to be composite.

- <sup>5</sup> AAD 16S limit is from dijet angular selections in pp collisions at  $E_{cm} = 13$  TeV. u, d, and s quarks are assumed to be composite.
- <sup>6</sup>AAD 15AR obtain limit on the  $t_R$  compositeness  $2\pi/\Lambda_{RR}^2 < 6.6 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$  at 95% CL from the  $t\overline{t}t\overline{t}$  production in the pp collisions at  $E_{cm} = 8$  TeV.
- <sup>7</sup>AAD 15BY obtain limit on the  $t_R$  compositeness  $2\pi/\Lambda_{RR}^2 < 15.1 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$  at 95% CL from the  $t\overline{t}t\overline{t}$  production in the pp collisions at  $E_{\rm cm} = 8$  TeV.
- <sup>8</sup>AAD 15L limit is from dijet angular distribution in pp collisions at  $E_{cm} = 8$  TeV. u, d, and s quarks are assumed to be composite.
- <sup>9</sup>KHACHATRYAN 15J limit is from dijet angular distribution in pp collisions at  $E_{cm} = 8$  TeV. u, d, s, c, and b quarks are assumed to be composite.
- <sup>10</sup> FABBRICHESI 14 obtain bounds on chromoelectric and chromomagnetic form factors of the top-quark using  $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  and  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  cross sections. The quoted limit on the  $q\bar{q}t\bar{t}$  contact interaction is derived from their bound on the chromoelectric form factor.

#### SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\nu \nu q q)$

Limits are for  $\Lambda_{II}^{\pm}$  only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda^+_{LL}$ (TeV)	$\Lambda^{-}_{LL}(\text{TeV})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	 TECN	COMMENT
>2.23 <b>&gt;5.0</b>			<sup>1</sup> AAD <sup>2</sup> MCFARLAND		

<sup>1</sup>AAD 24AC limit is from the measurement of the  $t\bar{t}$  production cross section with large missing  $\not\!\!E_T$  in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV.

 $^{2}$  MCFARLAND 98 assumed a flavor universal interaction. Neutrinos were mostly of muon type.

#### MASS LIMITS for Excited $e(e^*)$

Most  $e^+e^-$  experiments assume one-photon or Z exchange. The limits from some  $e^+e^-$  experiments which depend on  $\lambda$  have assumed transition couplings which are chirality violating ( $\eta_L = \eta_R$ ). However they can be interpreted as limits for chirality-conserving interactions after multiplying the coupling value  $\lambda$  by  $\sqrt{2}$ ; see Note.

Excited leptons have the same quantum numbers as other ortholeptons. See also the searches for ortholeptons in the "Searches for Heavy Leptons" section.

#### Limits for Excited $e(e^*)$ from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^{*+}e^{*-}$  and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of  $e^*$ . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the  $e^*$  coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. Possible t channel contribution from transition magnetic coupling is neglected. All limits assume a dominant  $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$  decay except the limits from  $\Gamma(Z)$ .

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review D45 S1 (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>103.2	95	<sup>1</sup> ABBIENDI	<b>0</b> 2G	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrowe^*e^*$ Homodoublet type
• • • We de	o not use <sup>.</sup>	the following data	for a	verages,	fits, limits, etc. $\bullet$ $\bullet$
>102.8	95	<sup>2</sup> ACHARD	<b>03</b> B	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow ~e^*e^*$ Homodoublet type

<sup>1</sup>From  $e^+e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 183-209$  GeV. f = f' is assumed.

<sup>2</sup> From  $e^+e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$  GeV. f = f' is assumed. ACHARD 03B also obtain limit for f = -f':  $m_{a^*} > 96.6$  GeV.

#### Limits for Excited $e(e^*)$ from Single Production

These limits are from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e$ ,  $W \rightarrow e^*\nu$ , or  $ep \rightarrow e^*X$  and depend on transition magnetic coupling between e and  $e^*$ . All limits assume  $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$  decay except as noted. Limits from LEP, UA2, and H1 are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling,  $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$ . In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the  $\lambda - m_{e^*}$  plane. See the original papers.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>5600	95	<sup>1</sup> SIRUNYAN	20AJ CMS	$pp  ightarrow ee^*X$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits, limits, e	etc. • • •
>4800	95	<sup>2</sup> AABOUD		
>3900	95	<sup>3</sup> SIRUNYAN		
>2450	95	<sup>4</sup> KHACHATRY.		
>3000	95	-		$p p  ightarrow e^{(*)} e^* X$
>2200	95			$p p  ightarrow ~ e  e^* X$
>1900	95	<sup>7</sup> CHATRCHYAN		
>1870	95	<sup>8</sup> AAD	12AZ ATLS	$pp ightarrowe^{\left( st ight) }e^{st} X$

<sup>1</sup> SIRUNYAN 20AJ search for  $e^*$  production in 2e2j final states in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. The quoted limit assumes  $\Lambda = m_{e^*}$ , f = f' = 1. The contact interaction is included. See their Fig.11 for exclusion limits in  $m_{e^*} - \Lambda$  plane.

<sup>2</sup>AABOUD 19AZ search for single  $e^*$  production in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. The limit quoted above is from  $e^* \to e q \overline{q}$  and  $e^* \to \nu W$  decays assuming f = f' = 1 and  $m_{e^*} = \Lambda$ . The contact interaction is included in  $e^*$  production and decay amplitudes. See their Fig.6 for exclusion limits in  $m_{e^*} - \Lambda$  plane.

<sup>3</sup>SIRUNYAN 19Z search for  $e^*$  production in  $\ell\ell\gamma$  final states in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} =$  13 TeV. The quoted limit assumes  $\Lambda = m_{e^*}$ , f = f' = 1. The contact interaction is included in the  $e^*$  production and decay amplitudes.

- <sup>4</sup> KHACHATRYAN 16AQ search for single  $e^*$  production in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. The limit above is from the  $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$  search channel assuming f = f' = 1,  $m_{e^*} = \Lambda$ . See their Table 7 for limits in other search channels or with different assumptions.
- <sup>5</sup> AAD 15AP search for  $e^*$  production in evens with three or more charged leptons in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. The quoted limit assumes  $\Lambda = m_{e^*}$ , f = f' = 1. The contact interaction is included in the  $e^*$  production and decay amplitudes.
- <sup>6</sup> AAD 13BB search for single  $e^*$  production in pp collisions with  $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$  decay. f = f' = 1, and  $e^*$  production via contact interaction with  $\Lambda = m_{\rho^*}$  are assumed.

<sup>7</sup> CHATRCHYAN 13AE search for single  $e^*$  production in pp collisions with  $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$  decay. f = f' = 1, and  $e^*$  production via contact interaction with  $\Lambda = m_{e^*}$  are assumed.

<sup>8</sup> AAD 12AZ search for  $e^*$  production via four-fermion contact interaction in pp collisions with  $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$  decay. The quoted limit assumes  $\Lambda = m_{e^*}$ . See their Fig. 8 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

## Limits for Excited e ( $e^*$ ) from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

These limits are derived from indirect effects due to  $e^*$  exchange in the t channel and depend on transition magnetic coupling between e and  $e^*$ . All limits are for  $\lambda_{\gamma} = 1$ . All limits except ABE 89J and ACHARD 02D are for nonchiral coupling with  $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$ . We choose the chiral coupling limit as the best limit and list it in the Summary Table.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review D45 S1 (1992)).

•			( )		( ))
VALUE (GeV)	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>356	95	<sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH	04N	DLPH	$\sqrt{s}$ = 161–208 GeV
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
>310	95	ACHARD	<b>0</b> 2D	L3	$\sqrt{s}$ = 192–209 GeV
1					

<sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH 04N also obtain a limit on the excited electron mass with  $ee^*$  chiral coupling,  $m_{a^*} > 295$  GeV at 95% CL.

#### Indirect Limits for Excited $e(e^*)$

These limits make use of loop effects involving  $e^*$  and are therefore subject to theoretical uncertainty.

VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following	owing data for aver	ages,	fits, lim	ts, etc. ● ● ●
	<sup>1</sup> DORENBOS	89	CHRM	$\overline{ u}_{\mu}  { m e}  o  \overline{ u}_{\mu}  { m e},   u_{\mu}  { m e}  o   u_{\mu}  { m e}$
	<sup>2</sup> GRIFOLS	86	THEO	$\nu_{\mu} e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} e$
	<sup>3</sup> RENARD	82	THEO	g-2 of electron
<sup>1</sup> DORENBOSCH 89 obtair	the limit $\lambda^2 \Lambda^2$	$/m^2$	< 26	$(95\% \text{ CL})$ where $\Lambda$ , is the

<sup>1</sup> DORENBOSCH 89 obtain the limit  $\lambda_{\gamma}^2 \Lambda_{cut}^2 / m_{e^*}^2 < 2.6 (95\% \text{ CL})$ , where  $\Lambda_{cut}$  is the cutoff scale, based on the one-loop calculation by GRIFOLS 86. If one assumes that  $\Lambda_{cut} = 1 \text{ TeV}$  and  $\lambda_{\gamma} = 1$ , one obtains  $m_{e^*} > 620 \text{ GeV}$ . However, one generally expects  $\lambda_{\gamma} \approx m_{e^*} / \Lambda_{cut}$  in composite models.

<sup>2</sup> GRIFOLS 86 uses  $\nu_{\mu}e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e$  and  $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}e \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{\mu}e$  data from CHARM Collaboration to derive mass limits which depend on the scale of compositeness.

<sup>3</sup>RENARD 82 derived from g-2 data limits on mass and couplings of  $e^*$  and  $\mu^*$ . See figures 2 and 3 of the paper.

#### MASS LIMITS for Excited $\mu$ ( $\mu^*$ )

#### Limits for Excited $\mu$ ( $\mu^*$ ) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^{*+}\mu^{*-}$  and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of  $\mu^*$ . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the  $\mu^*$  coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. All limits assume a dominant  $\mu^* \rightarrow \mu\gamma$  decay except the limits from  $\Gamma(Z)$ .

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review D45 S1 (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
>103.2	95	<sup>1</sup> ABBIENDI	<b>0</b> 2G	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow\mu^*\mu^*$ Homodoublet type		
• • • We de	o not use t	the following data	for a	verages,	fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$		
>102.8	95	<sup>2</sup> ACHARD	<b>03</b> B	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow\mu^*\mu^*$ Homodoublet type		
$^{1}$ From $e^{+}$	$+e^-$ collis	ions at $\sqrt{s}=183$	-209	GeV. <i>f</i> =	= f' is assumed.		
<sup>2</sup> From $e^+e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed. ACHARD 03B also							
obtain li	mit for f =	$= -f': m_{\mu^*} > 96$	.6 Ge	V.			
		<i>P</i> *					

https://pdg.lbl.gov Page 8

#### Limits for Excited $\mu$ ( $\mu^*$ ) from Single Production

These limits are from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu$  and depend on transition magnetic coupling between  $\mu$  and  $\mu^*$ . All limits assume  $\mu^* \rightarrow \mu\gamma$  decay. Limits from LEP are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling,  $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$ . In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the  $\lambda - m_{\mu^*}$  plane. See the original papers.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review D45 S1 (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>5700	95	<sup>1</sup> SIRUNYAN	20AJ CMS	$pp  ightarrow \mu \mu^* X$
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits, limits, e	etc. • • •
>3800	95	<sup>2</sup> SIRUNYAN	19z CMS	$pp  ightarrow \mu \mu^* X$
>2800	95			$p p  ightarrow \mu \mu^* X$
>2470	95	<sup>4</sup> KHACHATRY		
>3000	95	<sup>5</sup> AAD	15ap ATLS	$p p  ightarrow \ \mu^{(*)} \mu^* X$
>2200	95	<sup>6</sup> AAD	13bb ATLS	$p p  ightarrow \mu \mu^* X$
>1900	95	<sup>7</sup> CHATRCHYAN		
>1750	95	<sup>8</sup> AAD	12AZ ATLS	$pp ightarrow\ \mu^{(st)}\mu^{st}X$

<sup>1</sup> SIRUNYAN 20AJ search for  $\mu^*$  production in  $2\mu 2j$  final states in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. The quoted limit assumes  $\Lambda = m_{\mu^*}$ , f = f' = 1. The contact interaction is included. See their Fig.11 for exclusion limits in  $m_{\mu^*} - \Lambda$  plane.

<sup>2</sup>SIRUNYAN 19Z search for  $\mu^*$  production in  $\ell\ell\gamma$  final states in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} =$  13 TeV. The quoted limit assumes  $\Lambda = m_{\mu^*}$ , f = f' = 1. The contact interaction is

included in the  $\mu^*$  production and decay amplitudes.

<sup>3</sup>AAD 16BM search for  $\mu^*$  production in  $\mu\mu jj$  events in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. Both the production and decay are assumed to occur via a contact interaction with  $\Lambda = m_{\mu^*}$ .

<sup>4</sup> KHACHATRYAN 16AQ search for single  $\mu^*$  production in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. The limit above is from the  $\mu^* \rightarrow \mu\gamma$  search channel assuming f = f' = 1,  $m_{\mu^*} = \Lambda$ . See their Table 7 for limits in other search channels or with different assumptions.

<sup>5</sup> AAD 15AP search for  $\mu^*$  production in evens with three or more charged leptons in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. The quoted limit assumes  $\Lambda = m_{\mu^*}$ , f = f' = 1. The contact

interaction is included in the  $\mu^*$  production and decay amplitudes.

<sup>6</sup> AAD 13BB search for single  $\mu^*$  production in pp collisions with  $\mu^* \to \mu\gamma$  decay. f = f' = 1, and  $\mu^*$  production via contact interaction with  $\Lambda = m_{\mu^*}$  are assumed.

<sup>7</sup> CHATRCHYAN 13AE search for single  $\mu^*$  production in pp collisions with  $\mu^* \rightarrow \mu\gamma$  decay. f = f' = 1, and  $\mu^*$  production via contact interaction with  $\Lambda = m_{\mu^*}$  are assumed.

<sup>8</sup> AAD 12AZ search for  $\mu^*$  production via four-fermion contact interaction in pp collisions with  $\mu^* \rightarrow \mu\gamma$  decay. The quoted limit assumes  $\Lambda = m_{\mu^*}$ . See their Fig. 8 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

#### Indirect Limits for Excited $\mu$ ( $\mu^*$ )

These limits make use of loop effects involving  $\mu^*$  and are therefore subject to theoretical uncertainty.

VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the follow	ing data for average	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •	
	<sup>1</sup> RENARD	82	THEO	g-2 of muon	

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Created: 5/30/2025 07:50

<sup>1</sup> RENARD 82 derived from g-2 data limits on mass and couplings of  $e^*$  and  $\mu^*$ . See figures 2 and 3 of the paper.

#### MASS LIMITS for Excited $\tau$ ( $\tau^*$ )

#### Limits for Excited $\tau$ ( $\tau^*$ ) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^{*+}\tau^{*-}$  and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of  $\tau^*$ . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the  $\tau^*$  coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. All limits assume a dominant  $\tau^* \rightarrow \tau \gamma$  decay except the limits from  $\Gamma(Z)$ .

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review D45 S1 (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
>103.2	95	<sup>1</sup> ABBIENDI	<b>0</b> 2G	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow au^* au^*$ Homodoublet type		
• • • We do	o not use	the following data	for a	verages,	fits, limits, etc. • • •		
>102.8	95	<sup>2</sup> ACHARD	<b>03</b> B	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow au^* au^*$ Homodoublet type		
<sup>1</sup> From $e^+e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 183$ –209 GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed.							
<sup>2</sup> From $e^+e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 189$ –209 GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed. ACHARD 03B also							
		-1					

obtain limit for f = -f':  $m_{\tau^*} > 96.6$  GeV.

#### Limits for Excited $\tau$ ( $\tau^*$ ) from Single Production

These limits are from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau$  and depend on transition magnetic coupling between  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$ . All limits assume  $\tau^* \rightarrow \tau\gamma$  decay. Limits from LEP are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling,  $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$ . In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the  $\lambda - m_{\tau^*}$  plane. See the original papers.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>4600	95	<sup>1</sup> AAD	23bj /	ATLS	$pp  ightarrow  au  au^*$
• • • We do not use t	he followi	ng data for average	es, fits, l	imits, e	etc. • • •
>2500	95	<sup>2</sup> AAD	15ap /	ATLS	$pp  ightarrow \tau^{(*)}  au^* X$
> 180	95	<sup>3</sup> ACHARD	<b>03</b> B	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$
> 185	95	<sup>4</sup> ABBIENDI	02G	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$

<sup>1</sup>AAD 23BJ search for  $\tau^*$  produced in association with  $\tau$  and decaying into  $\tau q \overline{q}$  via a contact interaction with  $g_{\text{contact}}^2 = (4\pi)^2$ . The limit quoted above assumes  $\Lambda = m_{\tau^*}$ .

<sup>2</sup> AAD 15AP search for  $\tau^*$  production in events with three or more charged leptons in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. The quoted limit assumes  $\Lambda = m_{\tau^*}$ , f = f' = 1. The contact interaction is included in the  $\tau^*$  production and decay amplitudes.

<sup>3</sup> ACHARD 03B result is from  $e^+e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$  GeV.  $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\tau^*}$  is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane. <sup>4</sup> ABBIENDI 02G result is from  $e^+e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 183-209$  GeV.  $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\tau^*}$ 

<sup>4</sup> ABBIENDI 02G result is from  $e^+e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 183-209$  GeV.  $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\tau^*}$  is assumed for  $\tau^*$  coupling. See their Fig. 4c for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

#### MASS LIMITS for Excited Neutrino ( $\nu^*$ )

#### Limits for Excited $\nu$ ( $\nu^*$ ) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$  and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of  $\nu^*$ . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. The  $\nu^*$  coupling is assumed to be of sequential type unless otherwise noted. All limits assume a dominant  $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$  decay except the limits from  $\Gamma(Z)$ .

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>1600	95	<sup>1</sup> AAD	15ap ATLS	$pp  ightarrow  u^*  u^* X$
• • • We d	o not use	the following data	for averages,	fits, limits, etc. $\bullet$ $\bullet$
		<sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI	04N OPAL	
> 102.6	95	<sup>3</sup> ACHARD	03B L3	$e^+e^-  ightarrow \  u^* u^*$ Homodoublet type
1				

<sup>1</sup> AAD 15AP search for  $\nu^*$  pair production in evens with three or more charged leptons in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. The quoted limit assumes  $\Lambda = m_{\nu^*}$ , f = f' = 1. The contact interaction is included in the  $\nu^*$  production and decay amplitudes.

<sup>2</sup> From  $e^+e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 192-209$  GeV, ABBIENDI 04N obtain limit on  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*) B^2(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)$ . See their Fig.2. The limit ranges from 20 to 45 fb for  $m_{\nu^*} > 45$  GeV.

<sup>3</sup> From  $e^+e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$  GeV. f = -f' is assumed. ACHARD 03B also obtain limit for f = f':  $m_{\nu_e^*} > 101.7$  GeV,  $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 101.8$  GeV, and  $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 92.9$  GeV.

See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

#### Limits for Excited $\nu$ ( $\nu^*$ ) from Single Production

These limits are from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$ ,  $Z \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$ , or  $ep \rightarrow \nu^* X$  and depend on transition magnetic coupling between  $\nu/e$  and  $\nu^*$ . Assumptions about  $\nu^*$  decay mode are given in footnotes.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 213	95	<sup>1</sup> AARON	08	H1	$e p  ightarrow  u^* X$
• • • We do	o not use	the following data	for a	verages,	fits, limits, etc. $\bullet$ $\bullet$
>6000	95	<sup>2</sup> TUMASYAN	23AL	CMS	$pp \rightarrow \ell \nu^* \rightarrow \ell \ell q q, \ell = e$
> 190	95	<sup>3</sup> ACHARD	<b>03</b> B	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$
none 50–150	95	<sup>4</sup> ADLOFF	-		$e p  ightarrow  u^* X$
> 158	95	<sup>5</sup> CHEKANOV	<b>0</b> 2D	ZEUS	$e p  ightarrow  u^* X$

<sup>1</sup> AARON 08 search for single  $\nu^*$  production in ep collisions with the decays  $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$ ,  $\nu Z$ , eW. The quoted limit assumes  $f = -f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ . See their Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 for the exclusion plots in the mass-coupling plane.

<sup>2</sup> TUMASYAN 23AL search for Majorana excited neutrino  $\nu^*$  produced and decaying via gauge and contact interactions. The limit quoted above is for  $\ell = e$  with  $\Lambda = M_{\nu^*}$ . The limit becomes  $M_{\mu^*} > 6.1$  TeV for  $\ell = \mu$ .

<sup>3</sup>ACHARD 03B result is from  $e^+e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$  GeV. The quoted limit is for  $\nu_e^*$ .  $f = -f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$  is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

<sup>4</sup> ADLOFF 02 search for single  $\nu^*$  production in ep collisions with the decays  $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$ ,  $\nu Z$ , eW. The quoted limit assumes  $f = -f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ . See their Fig. 1 for the exclusion plots in the mass-coupling plane.

<sup>5</sup> CHEKANOV 02D search for single  $\nu^*$  production in ep collisions with the decays  $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$ ,  $\nu Z$ , eW.  $f = -f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$  is assumed for the  $e^*$  coupling. CHEKANOV 02D

also obtain limit for  $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ :  $m_{\nu^*} > 135$  GeV. See their Fig. 5c and Fig. 5d for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

#### MASS LIMITS for Excited $q(q^*)$

#### Limits for Excited $q(q^*)$ from Pair Production

These limits are mostly obtained from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q^* \overline{q}^*$  and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of the  $q^*$ . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. Assumptions about the  $q^*$  decay are given in the comments and footnotes.VALUE (GeV)CL%DOCUMENT IDCOMMENT

>338	95	<sup>1</sup> AALTONEN	10H	CDF	$q^* \rightarrow t W^-$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not	use the followin	ng data for average	es, fits	s, limits,	etc. • • •
none 700–1200	95	<sup>2</sup> SIRUNYAN	18V	CMS	$pp  ightarrow t^*_{3/2} \overline{t}^*_{3/2}  ightarrow$
					ttgg
		<sup>3</sup> BARATE	<b>98</b> U	ALEP	$Z  ightarrow q^* q^*$
> 45.6	95	<sup>4</sup> ADRIANI			$u \; { m or} \; d \; { m type}, \; Z  o \; q^*  q^*$
> 41.7	95	<sup>5</sup> BARDADIN	92	RVUE	u-type, $\Gamma(Z)$
> 44.7	95	<sup>5</sup> BARDADIN	92	RVUE	d-type, $\Gamma(Z)$
> 40.6	95	<sup>6</sup> DECAMP	92	ALEP	u-type, $\Gamma(Z)$
> 44.2	95	<sup>6</sup> DECAMP	92	ALEP	d-type, $\Gamma(Z)$
> 45	95	<sup>7</sup> DECAMP	92	ALEP	$u \; { m or}\; d \; { m type},  Z  o \; q^*  q^*$
> 45	95	<sup>6</sup> ABREU	91F	DLPH	u-type, $\Gamma(Z)$
> 45	95	<sup>6</sup> ABREU	91F	DLPH	d-type, $\Gamma(Z)$

<sup>1</sup>AALTONEN 10H obtain limits on the  $q^* q^*$  production cross section in  $p\overline{p}$  collisions. See their Fig. 3.

<sup>2</sup>SIRUNYAN 18V search for pair production of spin 3/2 excited top quarks.  $B(t^*_{3/2} \rightarrow tg) = 1$  is assumed.

<sup>3</sup>BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 16 for limits in mass-form factor plane.

<sup>4</sup>ADRIANI 93M limit is valid for B( $q^* \rightarrow qg$ )> 0.25 (0.17) for up (down) type.

<sup>5</sup> BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit based on  $\Delta\Gamma(Z)$ <36 MeV.

<sup>6</sup>These limits are independent of decay modes.

<sup>7</sup>Limit is for B( $q^* \rightarrow qg$ )+B( $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$ )=1.

#### Limits for Excited $q(q^*)$ from Single Production

These limits are from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q^*\overline{q}$ ,  $p\overline{p} \rightarrow q^*X$ , or  $pp \rightarrow q^*X$  and depend on transition magnetic couplings between q and  $q^*$ . Assumptions about  $q^*$  decay mode are given in the footnotes and comments.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>6700 (CL = 95	<b>%) OUR</b>	LIMIT		
none 1800–2500	95	<sup>1</sup> TUMASYAN	23AF CMS	$pp  ightarrow b^* X$ , $b^*  ightarrow bg$
none 1000–6000	95	<sup>2</sup> TUMASYAN	23BC CMS	$p p  ightarrow q^* X$ , $q^*  ightarrow q \gamma$
none 1000-2200	95	<sup>3</sup> TUMASYAN	23BC CMS	$p p  ightarrow \ b^* X$ , $b^*  ightarrow \ b \gamma$
none 2000–6700	95	<sup>4</sup> AAD	20T ATLS	$pp  ightarrow q^*X$ , $q^*  ightarrow qg$
none 1250–3200	95	<sup>4</sup> AAD	20T ATLS	$pp  ightarrow b^* X$ , $b^*  ightarrow bg$ , $b\gamma$ , $bZ$ , $tW$
none 1800–6300	95	<sup>5</sup> SIRUNYAN	20AI CMS	$pp  ightarrow q^* X, q^*  ightarrow qg$
none 1500–2600	95	<sup>6</sup> AABOUD	18AB ATLS	$p p  ightarrow b^* X$ , $b^*  ightarrow bg$
none 1500–5300	95	<sup>7</sup> AABOUD	18ba ATLS	$pp  ightarrow q^* X$ , $q^*  ightarrow q \gamma$

none 1000–5500	95	<sup>8</sup> SIRUNYAN 18AG CMS $pp \rightarrow q^*X$ , $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$
none 1000–1800	95	<sup>9</sup> SIRUNYAN 18AG CMS $p p  ightarrow b^* X$ , $b^*  ightarrow b \gamma$
none 600–6000	95	<sup>10</sup> SIRUNYAN 18BO CMS $pp \rightarrow q^*X, q^* \rightarrow qg$
none 1200–5000	95	<sup>11</sup> SIRUNYAN 18P CMS $pp \rightarrow q^*X, q^* \rightarrow qW$
none 1200–4700	95	<sup>11</sup> SIRUNYAN 18P CMS $pp \rightarrow q^* X, q^* \rightarrow qZ$
>6000	95	<sup>12</sup> AABOUD 17AK ATLS $pp \rightarrow q^* X, q^* \rightarrow qg$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not	use the f	following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
		<sup>13</sup> HAYRAPETY24G CMS $pp \rightarrow q^*X, q^* \rightarrow qY,$ $Y \rightarrow q\overline{q}$
none 700–3000	95	<sup>14</sup> TUMASYAN 220 CMS $pp \rightarrow b^* X$ , $b^* \rightarrow tW$
>2600	95	<sup>15</sup> SIRUNYAN 21AG CMS $pp \rightarrow b^* X$ , $b^* \rightarrow tW$
none 600–5400	95	$^{16}$ KHACHATRY17W CMS $pp  ightarrow q^* X, \; q^*  ightarrow qg$
none 1100-2100	95	<sup>17</sup> AABOUD 16 ATLS $pp \rightarrow b^* X$ , $b^* \rightarrow bg$
>1500	95	<sup>18</sup> AAD 16AH ATLS $pp \rightarrow b^* X, b^* \rightarrow t W$
>4400	95	<sup>19</sup> AAD 16AL ATLS $pp \rightarrow q^* X, q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$
		<sup>20</sup> AAD 16AV ATLS $pp \rightarrow q^* X, q^* \rightarrow Wb$
>5200	95	<sup>21</sup> AAD 16S ATLS $pp \rightarrow q^* X, q^* \rightarrow qg$
>1390	95	<sup>22</sup> KHACHATRY16 CMS $pp \rightarrow b^* X, b^* \rightarrow tW$
>5000	95	<sup>23</sup> KHACHATRY16K CMS $pp \rightarrow q^*X, q^* \rightarrow qg$
none 500–1600	95	<sup>24</sup> KHACHATRY16L CMS $pp \rightarrow q^*X, q^* \rightarrow qg$
>4060	95	25 AAD 15V ATLS $nn \rightarrow a^* X a^* \rightarrow ag$

none 500–1600	95			$pp \rightarrow q^{*}X, q^{*} \rightarrow qg$
>4060	95			$p p  ightarrow q^* X$ , $q^*  ightarrow q g$
>3500	95	<sup>26</sup> KHACHATRY15v	CMS	$pp  ightarrow q^*X, q^*  ightarrow qg$
>3500	95			$pp  ightarrow q^* X$ , $q^*  ightarrow q \gamma$
>3200	95	<sup>28</sup> KHACHATRY14	CMS	$pp  ightarrow q^* X$ , $q^*  ightarrow q W$
>2900	95	<sup>29</sup> KHACHATRY14	CMS	$pp  ightarrow q^* X$ , $q^*  ightarrow q Z$
none 700–3500	95	<sup>30</sup> KHACHATRY14J		$pp  ightarrow q^* X$ , $q^*  ightarrow q \gamma$
>2380	95	<sup>31</sup> CHATRCHYAN 13AJ		$pp  ightarrow q^* X$ , $q^*  ightarrow q W$
>2150	95	32 CHATRCHYAN 13AJ	CMS	$pp  ightarrow q^* X$ , $q^*  ightarrow q Z$

<sup>1</sup>TUMASYAN 23AF limit quoted above assumes  $bg \rightarrow b^*$  production. The limit becomes  $m_{b^*} > 4$  TeV if contact interaction is included in the  $b^*$  production cross section. See their Fig. 5 for limits on  $\sigma \cdot B$ .

<sup>2</sup> TUMASYAN 23BC search for excited light flavor quark  $q^*$  in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. f = 1.0 is assumed.

<sup>3</sup>TUMASYAN 23BC search for excited *b* quark  $b^*$  in *pp* collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV.  $b^*$  production via gauge interactions and f = 1.0 are assumed. The limit becomes  $m_{b^*} > 3.8$ TeV if contact interaction is included in the  $b^*$  production cross section.

- <sup>4</sup> AAD 20T search for resonances decaying into dijets in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. Assume  $\Lambda = m_{a^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ .
- <sup>5</sup> SIRUNYAN 20AI search for resonances decaying into dijets in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Assume  $\Lambda = m_{q^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ .
- <sup>6</sup>AABOUD 18AB assume  $\Lambda = m_{b^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ . The contact interactions are not included in  $b^*$  production and decay amplitudes.
- <sup>7</sup>AABOUD 18BA search for first-generation excited quarks ( $u^*$  and  $d^*$ ) with degenerate mass, assuming  $\Lambda = m_{q^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ . The contact interactions are not included in  $q^*$  production and decay amplitudes.
- <sup>8</sup> SIRUNYAN 18AG search for first-generation excited quarks ( $u^*$  and  $d^*$ ) with degenerate mass, assuming  $\Lambda = m_{q^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ .

- <sup>9</sup>SIRUNYAN 18AG search for excited *b* quark assuming  $\Lambda = m_{a^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ .
- <sup>10</sup> SIRUNYAN 18BO assume  $\Lambda = m_{q^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ . The contact interactions are not
- included in  $q^*$  production and decay amplitudes.
- <sup>11</sup>SIRUNYAN 18P use the hadronic decay of W or Z, assuming  $\Lambda = m_{q^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ .
- <sup>12</sup> AABOUD 17AK assume  $\Lambda = m_{q^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ . The contact interactions are not included in  $q^*$  production and decay amplitudes. Only the decay of  $q^* \rightarrow g u$  and  $q^* \rightarrow g u$

gd is simulated as the benchmark signals in the analysis.

- <sup>13</sup>HAYRAPETYAN 24G search for singly produced narrow resonances decaying to jjj in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. See their Fig. 3 for limits on  $\sigma \cdot B$ .
- <sup>14</sup> TUMASYAN 220 search for  $b^*$  decaying to tW in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. The limit quoted above assumes  $\kappa_L^b = g_L = 1$ ,  $\kappa_R^b = g_R = 0$ . The limit becomes  $m_{b^*} > 3.0$  TeV (>3.2 TeV) if we assume  $\kappa_L^b = g_L = 0$ ,  $\kappa_R^b = g_R = 1$  ( $\kappa_L^b = g_L = 1$ ,  $\kappa_R^b = g_R = 1$ ). See their Fig. 3 for limits on  $\sigma \cdot B$ .
- <sup>15</sup> SIRUNYAN 21AG search for  $b^*$  decaying to tW in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. The limit quoted above assumes  $\kappa_L^b = g_L = 1$ ,  $\kappa_R^b = g_R = 0$ . The limit becomes  $m_{b^*} > 2.8$  TeV (> 3.1 TeV) if we assume  $\kappa_L^b = g_L = 0$ ,  $\kappa_R^b = g_R = 1$  ( $\kappa_L^b = g_L = \kappa_R^b = g_R = 1$ ). See their Fig. 5 for limits on  $\sigma \cdot B$ .
- <sup>16</sup> KHACHATRYAN 17W assume  $\Lambda = m_{q^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ . The contact interactions are not included in  $q^*$  production and decay amplitudes.
- <sup>17</sup>AABOUD 16 assume  $\Lambda = m_{b^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ . The contact interactions are not
- included in the  $b^*$  production and decay amplitudes.
- <sup>18</sup> AAD 16AH search for  $b^*$  decaying to tW in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV.  $f_g = f_L = f_R$ = 1 are assumed. See their Fig. 12b for limits on  $\sigma \cdot B$ .
- <sup>19</sup> AAD 16AI assume  $\Lambda = m_{a^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ .
- $^{20}$  AAD 16AV search for single production of vector-like quarks decaying to Wb in pp collisions. See their Fig. 8 for the limits on couplings and mixings.
- <sup>21</sup> AAD 16S assume  $\Lambda = m_{q^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ . The contact interactions are not included in  $q^*$  production and decay amplitudes.
- <sup>22</sup> KHACHATRYAN 16I search for  $b^*$  decaying to tW in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV.  $\kappa_L^b = g_L = 1$ ,  $\kappa_D^b = g_R = 0$  are assumed. See their Fig. 8 for limits on  $\sigma \cdot B$ .
- $= g_L = 1$ ,  $\kappa_R^b = g_R = 0$  are assumed. See their Fig. 8 for limits on  $\sigma \cdot B$ . <sup>23</sup> KHACHATRYAN 16K assume  $\Lambda = m_{q^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ . The contact interactions are

not included in  $q^*$  production and decay amplitudes.

<sup>24</sup> KHACHATRYAN 16L search for resonances decaying to dijets in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV using the data scouting technique which increases the sensitivity to the low mass resonances.

- <sup>25</sup> AAD 15V assume  $\Lambda = m_{q^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ . The contact interactions are not included
- in  $q^*$  production and decay amplitudes.
- <sup>26</sup> KHACHATRYAN 15V assume  $\Lambda = m_{q^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ . The contact interactions are not included in  $q^*$  production and decay amplitudes.
- <sup>27</sup> AAD 14A assume  $\Lambda = m_{q^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ .

<sup>28</sup> KHACHATRYAN 14 use the hadronic decay of W, assuming  $\Lambda = m_{\alpha^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ .

 $^{29}$  KHACHATRYAN 14 use the hadronic decay of Z, assuming  $\Lambda = m_{q^*}$ ,  $f_s = f = f' = 1$ .

 $^{30}$  KHACHATRYAN 14J assume  $f_s = f = f' = \Lambda \ / \ m_{a^*}$ .

<sup>31</sup> CHATRCHYAN 13AJ use the hadronic decay of W.

<sup>32</sup> CHATRCHYAN 13AJ use the hadronic decay of Z.

#### MASS LIMITS for Color Sextet Quarks $(q_6)$

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>84	95	<sup>1</sup> ABE	<b>89</b> D	CDF	$p \overline{p} \rightarrow q_6 \overline{q}_6$
-					

<sup>1</sup> ABE 89D look for pair production of unit-charged particles which leave the detector before decaying. In the above limit the color sextet quark is assumed to fragment into a unit-charged or neutral hadron with equal probability and to have long enough lifetime not to decay within the detector. A limit of 121 GeV is obtained for a color decuplet.

# MASS LIMITS for Color Octet Charged Leptons ( $\ell_8$ )

$\lambda = m_{\ell_8}/\Lambda$					
VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>86	95	<sup>1</sup> ABE	<b>89</b> D	CDF	Stable $\ell_8: \ p \overline{p} \rightarrow \ \ell_8 \overline{\ell}_8$
• • • We do not use	e the follov	ving data for avera	ges, fi	ts, limits	s, etc. ● ● ●
		<sup>2</sup> АВТ	93	H1	e <sub>8</sub> : ep → e <sub>8</sub> X

<sup>1</sup> ABE 89D look for pair production of unit-charged particles which leave the detector before decaying. In the above limit the color octet lepton is assumed to fragment into a unit-charged or neutral hadron with equal probability and to have long enough lifetime not to decay within the detector. The limit improves to 99 GeV if it always fragments into a unit-charged hadron.

<sup>2</sup>ABT 93 search for  $e_8$  production via *e*-gluon fusion in *e p* collisions with  $e_8 \rightarrow eg$ . See their Fig. 3 for exclusion plot in the  $m_{e_8}$ - $\Lambda$  plane for  $m_{e_8} = 35-220$  GeV.

#### MASS LIMITS for Color Octet Neutrinos ( $\nu_8$ )

	$\lambda \equiv m_{\ell_8}/\Lambda$						
	VALUE (GeV)	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
	>110	90	<sup>1</sup> BARGER	89	RVUE	$\nu_8: p \overline{p} \rightarrow \nu_8 \overline{\nu}_8$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages,					, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
	none 3.8–29.8	95	<sup>2</sup> KIM	90	AMY	$\nu_8: e^+e^-  ightarrow$ acoplanar jets	
	none 9–21.9	95	<sup>3</sup> BARTEL	<b>87</b> B	JADE	$\nu_8$ : $e^+e^-  ightarrow$ acoplanar jets	

<sup>1</sup>BARGER 89 used ABE 89B limit for events with large missing transverse momentum. Two-body decay  $\nu_8 \rightarrow \nu g$  is assumed.

<sup>2</sup> KIM 90 is at  $E_{\rm cm} = 50-60.8$  GeV. The same assumptions as in BARTEL 87B are used. <sup>3</sup> BARTEL 87B is at  $E_{\rm cm} = 46.3-46.78$  GeV. The limit assumes the  $\nu_8$  pair production cross section to be eight times larger than that of the corresponding heavy neutrino pair production. This assumption is not valid in general for the weak couplings, and the limit can be sensitive to its SU(2)<sub>L</sub>×U(1)<sub>Y</sub> quantum numbers.

#### MASS LIMITS for $W_8$ (Color Octet W Boson)

VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT ID	7	TECN	COMMEN	Т		
$\bullet$ $\bullet$ We do not use the follow	ing data for aver	ages, fits	s, limits	, etc. •	• •		
	<sup>1</sup> ALBAJAR	89 L	JA1	$p \overline{p} \rightarrow V$	<i>Ν</i> <sub>8</sub> Χ,	$W_8 \rightarrow$	Wg
<sup>1</sup> ALBAJAR 89 give $\sigma(W_8 \rightarrow$	• $W + jet) / \sigma(W)$	) < 0.01	.9 (90%	CL) for	<sup>m</sup> W <sub>8</sub>	> 220	GeV.

# REFERENCES FOR Searches for Quark and Lepton Compositeness

AAD	24AC	JHEP 2403 139	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
HAYRAPETY	24G	PRL 133 011801	A. Hayrapetyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS_Collab.)
		JHEP 2306 199	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
				(ATLAS COND.)
TUMASYAN	23AF	PR D108 012009	A. Tumasyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
TUMASYAN	23AL	PL B843 137803	A. Tumasyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
		JHEP 2312 189	A. Tumasyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
			5	
TUMASYAN	220	JHEP 2204 048	A. Tumasyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AAD	21AU	PRL 127 141801	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
	21Q	JHEP 2104 142	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
	•			(ATLAS COND.)
SIRUNYAN	21AG	JHEP 2112 106	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	21N	JHEP 2107 208	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS_Collab.)
AAD		JHEP 2011 005	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
	-			
AAD	20T	JHEP 2003 145	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	20AI	JHEP 2005 033	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN		JHEP 2005 052	A.M. Sirunyan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
			-	
AABOUD	19AZ	EPJ C79 803	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
ABRAMOWICZ	19	PR D99 092006	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	19 <b>4</b> C	JHEP 1904 114	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS_Collab.)
			3	
SIRUNYAN	19Z	JHEP 1904 015	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AABOUD	18AB	PR D98 032016	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AABOUD		JHEP 1807 089	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
		EPJ C78 102	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	18AG	PL B781 390	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN		JHEP 1808 130	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS_Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	1800	EPJ C78 789	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	18P	PR D97 072006	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	18V	PL B778 349	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AABOUD		PR D96 052004	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AABOUD	17AT	JHEP 1710 182	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
KHACHATRY	17\//	PL B769 520	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	`(CMS_Collab.)
	17F	JHEP 1707 013	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AABOUD	16	PL B759 229	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AABOUD	16U	PL B761 372	M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
		JHEP 1602 110	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	16AI	JHEP 1603 041	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	16AV	EPJ C76 442	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
		NJP 18 073021	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	16S	PL B754 302	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
KHACHATRY	16AQ	JHEP 1603 125	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY		JHEP 1601 166	V. Khachatryan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY		PRL 116 071801	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY	16L	PRL 117 031802	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AAD	154P	JHEP 1508 138	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
		JHEP 1508 105	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	15BY	JHEP 1510 150	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	15L	PRL 114 221802	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	15V	PR D91 052007	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
	-			
KHACHATRY	15AE	JHEP 1504 025	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY	15.J	PL B746 79	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS_Collab.)
KHACHATRY		PR D91 052009	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AAD	14A	PL B728 562	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	14BE	EPJ C74 3134	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
	14		M. Fabbrichesi, M. Pinamonti, A. To	
		PR D89 074028		
KHACHATRY	14	JHEP 1408 173	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY	14J	PL B738 274	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AAD		NJP 15 093011	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	13E	PR D87 015010	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN	13AE	PL B720 309	S. Chatrchyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN			S. Chatrchyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN		PR D87 032001	S. Chatrchyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AAD	12AB	PL B712 40	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	12A7	PR D85 072003	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AARON	11C	PL B705 52	F. D. Aaron <i>et al.</i>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				(H1 Collab.)
ABDALLAH	11	EPJ C71 1555	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
AALTONEN	10H	PRL 104 091801	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABDALLAH	09	EPJ C60 1	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
AARON	08	PL B663 382	F.D. Aaron <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)

Citation: S. Navas et al	. (Particle Data Group), Phys.	Rev. D <b>110</b> , 030	0001 (2024) and 2025 update
--------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------

SCHAEL ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABDALLAH ACHARD BABICH	07A 06C 06L 04G 04N 04N 03B 03	EPJ C49 411 EPJ C45 589 PRL 96 211801 EPJ C33 173 PL B602 167 EPJ C37 405 PL B568 23 EPJ C29 103	<ul> <li>S. Schael <i>et al.</i></li> <li>J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i></li> <li>A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i></li> <li>G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i></li> <li>G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i></li> <li>J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i></li> <li>P. Achard <i>et al.</i></li> <li>A. Babich <i>et al.</i></li> </ul>	(ALEPH Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (L3 Collab.)
ABBIENDI ACHARD ADLOFF CHEKANOV AFFOLDER BOURILKOV CHEUNG	03 02G 02D 02 02D 01I 01 01B	PL B544 57 PL B531 28 PL B525 9 PL B549 32 PRL 87 231803 PR D64 071701 PL B517 167	<ul> <li>G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i></li> <li>P. Achard <i>et al.</i></li> <li>C. Adloff <i>et al.</i></li> <li>S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i></li> <li>T. Affolder <i>et al.</i></li> <li>D. Bourilkov</li> <li>K. Cheung</li> </ul>	(OPAL Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI AFFOLDER BARATE BARGER	00P 00I 98U 98E	PL B489 81 PR D62 012004 EPJ C4 571 PR D57 391	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i> T. Affolder <i>et al.</i> R. Barate <i>et al.</i> V. Barger <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.)
MCFARLAND DIAZCRUZ ABT ADRIANI	98 94 93 93M	EPJ C1 509 PR D49 2149 NP B396 3 PRPL 236 1	K.S. McFarland <i>et al.</i> J.L. Diaz Cruz, O.A. Sampayo I. Abt <i>et al.</i> O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(CCFR/NuTeV Collab.) (CINV) (H1 Collab.) (L3 Collab.)
BARDADIN DECAMP PDG ABREU	92 92 91F	ZPHY C55 163 PRPL 216 253 PR D45 S1 NP B367 511	M. Bardadin-Otwinowska D. Decamp <i>et al.</i> K. Hikasa <i>et al.</i> P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(CLER) (ALEPH Collab.) (KEK, LBL, BOST+) (DELPHI Collab.)
KIM ABE ABE ABE ALBAJAR BARGER	90 89B 89D 89J 89 89	PL B240 243 PRL 62 1825 PRL 63 1447 ZPHY C45 175 ZPHY C44 15 PL B220 464	G.N. Kim <i>et al.</i> F. Abe <i>et al.</i> F. Abe <i>et al.</i> K. Abe <i>et al.</i> C. Albajar <i>et al.</i> V. Barger <i>et al.</i>	(AMY Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (VENUS Collab.) (UA1 Collab.) (WISC, KEK)
DORENBOS BARTEL GRIFOLS JODIDIO Also RENARD	89 87B 86 86 86	ZPHY C41 567 ZPHY C36 15 PL 168B 264 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) PL 116B 264	J. Dorenbosch <i>et al.</i> W. Bartel <i>et al.</i> J.A. Grifols, S. Peris A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i> A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i> F.M. Renard	(CHÀRM Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (BARC) (LBL, NWES, TRIU) (LBL, NWES, TRIU) (CERN)
	02	1 L 110D 204		(CERN)