$\omega(782)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$\omega(782)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
782.66±0.13 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.0.			See the ideogram below.
777.9 ±2.2 $^{+4.3}_{-2.2}$	900k	ANDREEV	20	H1	$e p \to e \pi^+ \pi^- p$
783.20±0.13±0.16	18680	AKHMETSHIN	05	CMD2	0.60–1.38 $e^+ e^- \to \pi^0 \gamma$
782.68±0.09±0.04	11200	[1] AKHMETSHIN	04	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
782.79±0.08±0.09	1.2M	[2] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+ e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
781.96±0.17±0.80	11k	[3] AMSLER	94C	CBAR	0.0 $\overline{p} p \to \omega \eta \pi^0$
782.08±0.36±0.82	3463	[4] AMSLER	94C	CBAR	0.0 $\overline{p} p \to \omega \eta \pi^0$
781.96±0.13±0.17	15k	AMSLER	93B	CBAR	0.0 $\overline{p} p \to \omega \pi^0 \pi^0$
782.4 ±0.2	270k	WEIDENAUER	93	ASTE	$\overline{p} p \to 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$
782.4 ±0.5	7000	[5] KEYNE	76	CNTR	$\pi^- p \to \omega n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
782.43±0.03	34M	[6] IGNATOV	24	CMD3	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^-$
782.58±0.03±0.01		[7] HOID	20	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^0 \gamma$
781.68±0.09±0.03		[8] COLANGELO	19	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^-$
782.63±0.03±0.01		[9] HOFERICHT...	19	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
781.91±0.24		[10] LEES	12G	BABR	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
782.7 ±0.1 ±1.5	19500	[11] WURZINGER	95	SPEC	1.33 $p d \to {}^3\text{He}\,\omega$
781.78±0.10		[11] BARKOV	87	CMD	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
782.2 ±0.4	1488	[12] KURDADZE	83B	OLYA	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
783.3 ±0.4	433	CORDIER	80	DM1	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
782.5 ±0.8	33260	ROOS	80	RVUE	0.0–3.6 $\overline{p} p$
782.6 ±0.8	3000	BENKHEIRI	79	OMEG	9–12 $\pi^\pm p$
781.8 ±0.6	1430	COOPER	78B	HBC	0.7–0.8 $\overline{p} p \to 5\pi$
782.7 ±0.9	535	VANAPEL...	78	HBC	7.2 $\overline{p} p \to \overline{p} p \omega$
783.5 ±0.8	2100	GESSAROLI	77	HBC	11 $\pi^- p \to \omega n$
782.5 ±0.8	418	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
783.4 ±1.0	248	BIZZARRI	71	HBC	0.0 $p \overline{p} \to K^+ K^- \omega$
781.0 ±0.6	510	BIZZARRI	71	HBC	0.0 $p \overline{p} \to K_1 K_1 \omega$
783.7 ±1.0	3583	[13] COYNE	71	HBC	3.7 $\pi^+ p \to p \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
784.1 ±1.2	750	ABRAMOVI...	70	HBC	3.9 $\pi^- p$
783.2 ±1.6		[14] BIGGS	70B	CNTR	<4.1 $\gamma \text{C} \to \pi^+ \pi^- \text{C}$
782.4 ±0.5	2400	BIZZARRI	69	HBC	0.0 $\overline{p} p$

[1] Update of AKHMETSHIN 00C.
[2] From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.
[3] From the $\eta \to \gamma \gamma$ decay.
[4] From the $\eta \to 3\pi^0$ decay.
[5] Observed by threshold-crossing technique. Mass resolution = 4.8 MeV FWHM.

[6] From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range $0.32 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$ GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho-\omega$ interference leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. Systematic errors not estimated.

[7] The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization. Inclusion of vacuum polarization gives 782.736 ± 0.024 MeV.

[8] The ω mass was extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization, the ω width fixed at 8.49 ± 0.08 MeV. The value does not include vacuum polarization which would shift the mass to $781.81 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.03$ MeV. The mixing parameter is assumed real valued.

[9] The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization.

[10] From the $\rho-\omega$ interference in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum using the Breit-Wigner for the ω and leaving its mass and width as free parameters of the fit.

[11] Systematic uncertainties underestimated.

[12] Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

[13] From best-resolution sample of COYNE 71.

[14] From ω-ρ interference in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum assuming ω width 12.6 MeV.



ω(782) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
8.68±0.13 OUR AVERAGE					
8.68±0.23±0.10	11200	[1] AKHMETSHIN	04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.68±0.04±0.15	1.2M	[2] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

8.57±0.06	34M	[3] IGNATOV	24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
8.65±0.06±0.01		[4] HOID	20	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$
8.71±0.04±0.04		[5] HOFERICHT...	19	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.13±0.45		[6] LEES	12G	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
8.2 ±0.3	19500	[7] WURZINGER	95	SPEC	1.33 $pd \to {}^3\text{He}\omega$
8.4 ±0.1		[8] AULCHENKO	87	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.30±0.40		[7] BARKOV	87	CMD	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
9.8 ±0.9	1488	[9] KURDADZE	83B	OLYA	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
9.0 ±0.8	433	[7] CORDIER	80	DM1	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
12 ±2	1430	COOPER	78B	HBC	0.7–0.8 $\overline{p}p \to 5\pi$
9.4 ±2.5	2100	GESSAROLI	77	HBC	11 $\pi^-p \to \omega n$
10.22±0.43	20000	[10] KEYNE	76	CNTR	$\pi^-p \to \omega n$
13.3 ±2	418	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 K^-p
9.1 ±0.8	451	[7] BENAKSAS	72B	OSPK	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
10.5 ±1.5		BORENSTEIN	72	HBC	2.18 K^-p
7.70±0.9 ±1.15	940	BROWN	72	MMS	2.5 $\pi^-p \to n$MM
10.3 ±1.4	510	BIZZARRI	71	HBC	0.0 $p\overline{p} \to K_1K_1\omega$
12.8 ±3.0	248	BIZZARRI	71	HBC	0.0 $p\overline{p} \to K^+K^-\omega$
9.5 ±1.0	3583	COYNE	71	HBC	3.7 $\pi^+p \to p\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

[1] Update of AKHMETSHIN 00C.
[2] From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.
[3] From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range 0.32 < $\sqrt{s}$ < 1.2 GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho-\omega$ interference leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit. Systematic errors not estimated.
[4] The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization. Inclusion of vacuum polarization gives 8.63 ± 0.05 MeV.
[5] The values were extracted from a dispersively improved Breit-Wigner parameterization and do not include vacuum polarization.
[6] From the $\rho-\omega$ interference in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum using the Breit-Wigner for the ω and leaving its mass and width as free parameters of the fit.
[7] Systematic uncertainties underestimated.
[8] Relativistic Breit-Wigner includes radiative corrections. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.
[9] Systematic uncertainties not estimated.
[10] Observed by threshold-crossing technique. Mass resolution = 4.8 MeV FWHM.

ω(782) DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(89.2 ±0.7) %	
Γ_2	$\pi^0\gamma$	(8.33±0.25) %	S=2.1
Γ_3	$\pi^+\pi^-$	(1.53±0.12) %	S=1.2
Γ_4	neutrals (excluding $\pi^0\gamma$)	(7^{+7}_{-5}) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1

Γ_5	$\eta\gamma$			$(4.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1
Γ_6	$\pi^0 e^+ e^-$			$(7.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_7	$\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$			$(1.34 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.5
Γ_8	$\eta e^+ e^-$				
Γ_9	$e^+ e^-$			$(7.41 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$	S=1.8
Γ_{10}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$		$<$	2×10^{-4}	CL=90%
Γ_{11}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$		$<$	3.6×10^{-3}	CL=95%
Γ_{12}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$		$<$	1×10^{-3}	CL=90%
Γ_{13}	$\pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$			$(6.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{14}	$\eta \pi^0 \gamma$		$<$	3.3×10^{-5}	CL=90%
Γ_{15}	$\mu^+ \mu^-$			$(7.4 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{16}	3γ		$<$	1.9×10^{-4}	CL=95%

Charge conjugation (*C*) violating modes

Γ_{17}	$\eta \pi^0$	*C*	$<$	2.1×10^{-4}	CL=90%
Γ_{18}	$2\pi^0$	*C*	$<$	2.2×10^{-4}	CL=90%
Γ_{19}	$3\pi^0$	*C*	$<$	2.3×10^{-4}	CL=90%
Γ_{20}	invisible		$<$	7×10^{-5}	CL=90%

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 15 branching ratios uses 49 measurements and one constraint to determine 10 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 48.9$ for 40 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\left\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \right\rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7	x_9	x_{13}
x_2	24								
x_3	−18	−4							
x_4	−93	−55	1						
x_5	7	16	−1	−12					
x_6	−1	0	0	0	0				
x_7	0	0	0	0	0	0			
x_9	−28	−59	5	44	−28	0	0		
x_{13}	1	4	0	−2	1	0	0	−2	
x_{15}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

$\omega(782)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ Γ_2

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
880 ± 50	7815	[1] ACHASOV	13	SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$788\pm12\pm27$	36500	[2] ACHASOV	03	SND	0.60–0.97 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$
764 ± 51	10625	DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$

[1] Systematic uncertainty not estimated.
[2] Using $\Gamma_\omega = 8.44 \pm 0.09$ MeV and B($\omega \to \pi^0\gamma$) from ACHASOV 03.

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)$ Γ_5

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
6.1 ± 2.5	[1] DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \to \eta\gamma$

[1] Using $\Gamma_\omega = 8.4 \pm 0.1$ MeV and B($\omega \to \eta\gamma$) from DOLINSKY 89.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_9

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.60 ±0.02 OUR EVALUATION					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.591 ± 0.015	11200	[1,2] AKHMETSHIN	04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$0.653\pm0.003\pm0.021$	1.2M	[3] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.600 ± 0.031	10625	DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$

[1] Using B($\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$) = 0.891 ± 0.007 and $\Gamma_{total} = 8.44 \pm 0.09$ MeV.
[2] Update of AKHMETSHIN 00C.
[3] Using ACHASOV 03, ACHASOV 03D and B($\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-$) = (1.70 ± 0.28)%.

$\omega(782)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_1\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$569.8\pm3.1\pm8.2$	[1] LEES	21B	BABR	10.5 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$

[1] From the cross section for $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ with contributions from $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$.

$\omega(782)$ $\Gamma(e^+e^-)\Gamma(i)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.61±0.16 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0.					
6.45±0.15 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.					
$6.82\pm0.04\pm0.23$	123k	[1] IGNATOV	24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$6.24\pm0.11\pm0.08$	11.2k	[2] AKHMETSHIN	04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$6.74\pm0.04\pm0.24$	1.2M	[3,4] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
6.37 ± 0.35		[3] DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

6.20±0.13		[5] BENAYOUN	10	RVUE	0.4–1.05 e^+e^-
6.70±0.06±0.27		[6] AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
6.45±0.24		[7] BARKOV	87	CMD	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
5.79±0.42	1488	[8] KURDADZE	83B	OLYA	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
5.89±0.54	433	[7] CORDIER	80	DM1	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
7.54±0.84	451	[7] BENAKSAS	72B	OSPK	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

[1] From a fit of the cross section using a VMD model which includes the interference of the ω and ϕ and non-resonant background, with some parameters, including ϕ mass and width, fixed at their PDG 22 values.
[2] Update of AKHMETSHIN 00C.
[3] Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak.
[4] From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.
[5] A simultaneous fit of $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^0\gamma$, $\eta\gamma$ data.
[6] Superseeded by LEES 21B.
[7] Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak. Systematic uncertainties underestimated.
[8] Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.



$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ (units 10^{-5})

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_2/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.17 ±0.16 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.0.					
6.34 ±0.10 OUR AVERAGE					
6.336±0.056±0.089		[1] ACHASOV	16A	SND	0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$

6.47 ±0.14 ±0.39	18k		AKHMETSHIN	05	CMD2	0.60-1.38 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$
6.34 ±0.21 ±0.21	10k	[2]	DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
6.80 ±0.13		[3]	BENAYOUN	10	RVUE	0.4–1.05 e^+e^-
6.50 ±0.11 ±0.20	36k	[4]	ACHASOV	03	SND	0.60–0.97 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$

[1] From the VMD model with the interfering $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, and an additional resonance describing the total contribution of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\omega(1420)$ states. Supersedes ACHASOV 03.
[2] Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak.
[3] A simultaneous fit of $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^0\gamma$, $\eta\gamma$ data.
[4] Using $\sigma(\phi \to \pi^0\gamma)$ from ACHASOV 00 and m_ω = 782.57 MeV in the model with the energy-independent phase of ρ-ω interferenceequal to $(-10.2 \pm 7.0)^\circ$.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_3/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	*EVTS*		*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1.225±0.030 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.					
1.204±0.013±0.023	34M	[1]	IGNATOV	24	CMD3	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
1.318±0.051±0.021		[2]	ACHASOV	21	SND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
1.225±0.058±0.041	800k	[3]	ACHASOV	06	SND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1.166±0.036		[4]	BENAYOUN	13	RVUE	0.4–1.05 e^+e^-
1.05 ±0.08		[5]	DAVIER	13	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$



[1] From a fit of the pion form factor in the energy range 0.32 < $\sqrt{s}$ < 1.2 GeV using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization with the complex phase of the $\rho - \omega$ interference with ω and ϕ masses and widths constrained by the values and their errors from PDG 22, and leaving $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

[2] From a fit of the cross section in the energy range $0.525 < \sqrt{s} < 0.883$ GeV parameterized by the sum of the Breit-Wigner amplitudes for the $\rho(770)$, ω and $\rho(1450)$ resonances. The measured phase of the $\rho(770)$—ω interference is $(110.7 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.0)^\circ$.
[3] Supersedes ACHASOV 05A.
[4] A simultaneous fit to $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^0\gamma$, $\eta\gamma$, $K\overline{K}$, and $\tau^- \to \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$ data. Supersedes BENAYOUN 10.
[5] From $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$ data of LEES 12G.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-8})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.32±0.28 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
3.18±0.28 OUR AVERAGE					
$3.10 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.11$	33k	[1] ACHASOV	07B	SND	0.6–1.38 $e^+e^- \to \eta\gamma$
$3.17^{+1.85}_{-1.31} \pm 0.21$	17.4k	[2] AKHMETSHIN	05	CMD2	0.60-1.38 $e^+e^- \to \eta\gamma$
$3.41 \pm 0.52 \pm 0.21$	23k	[3,4] AKHMETSHIN	01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \to \eta\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.50 ± 0.10		[5] BENAYOUN	10	RVUE	0.4–1.05 e^+e^-

[1] From a combined fit of $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \eta\gamma)$ with $\eta \to 3\pi^0$ and $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, and fixing B($\eta \to 3\pi^0$) / B($\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$) = 1.44 ± 0.04. Recalculated by us from the cross section at the peak. Supersedes ACHASOV 00D and ACHASOV 06A.
[2] From the $\eta \to 2\gamma$ decay and using B($\eta \to \gamma\gamma$)= 39.43 ± 0.26%.
[3] From the $\eta \to 3\pi^0$ decay and using B($\eta \to 3\pi^0$)= $(32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$.
[4] The combined fit from 600 to 1380 MeV taking into account $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, and $\rho(1450)$ (mass and width fixed at 1450 MeV and 310 MeV respectively).
[5] A simultaneous fit of $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^0\gamma$, $\eta\gamma$ data.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-9})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.3±1.8±2.2	4.5M	[1] ANASTASI	17	KLOE	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$

[1] From a fit of the real part of the vacuum polarization by a sum of the leptonic and hadronic contributions, where the hadronic contribution is parametrized as a sum of Breit-Wigner resonances $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$ and using a GOUNARIS 68 parametrization for the $\rho(770)$, and a non-resonant term.

$\omega(782)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

NIECKNIG 12 describes final-state interactions between the three pions in a dispersive framework using data on the $\pi\pi$ P-wave scattering phase shift.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.9024 ± 0.0019		[1] AMBROSINO	08G	KLOE	1.0–1.03 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$, $2\pi^0\gamma$
$0.8965 \pm 0.0016 \pm 0.0048$	1.2M	[2,3] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$0.880 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.032$	11200	[3,4] AKHMETSHIN	00C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.8942 ± 0.0062		[3] DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

[1] Not independent of $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ / $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ from AMBROSINO 08G.
[2] Using ACHASOV 03, ACHASOV 03D and B($\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-$) = (1.70 ± 0.28)%.
[3] Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma^2_{total}$.
[4] Using $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$=0.60 ± 0.02 keV.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
8.88 ± 0.18		[1] ACHASOV	16A	SND	0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$
8.09 ± 0.14		[2] AMBROSINO	08G	KLOE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$, $2\pi^0\gamma$
$9.06\pm0.20\pm0.57$	18k	[3,4] AKHMETSHIN	05	CMD2	0.60-1.38 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$
$9.34\pm0.15\pm0.31$	36k	[4] ACHASOV	03	SND	0.60–0.97 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$
$8.65\pm0.16\pm0.42$	1.2M	[5,6] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.39 ± 0.24	9k	[7] BENAYOUN	96	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$
8.88 ± 0.62	10k	[4] DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$

[1] Using B($\omega \to e^+e^-$) from PDG 15. Supersedes ACHASOV 03.
[2] Not independent of $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ / $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ from AMBROSINO 08G.
[3] Using B($\omega \to e^+e^-$)= $(7.14 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-5}$.
[4] Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma^2_{total}$.
[5] Using ACHASOV 03, ACHASOV 03D and B($\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-$) = (1.70 ± 0.28)%.
[6] Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma^2_{total}$.
[7] Reanalysis of DRUZHININ 84, DOLINSKY 89, DOLINSKY 91 taking into account the triangle anomaly contributions.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
9.33±0.28 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.3.				
9.05±0.27 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8.				
8.97 ± 0.16	AMBROSINO	08G	KLOE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$, $2\pi^0\gamma$
$9.94\pm0.36\pm0.38$	[1] AULCHENKO	00A	SND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$, $2\pi^0\gamma$
8.4 ± 1.3	KEYNE	76	CNTR	$\pi^- p \to \omega n$
10.9 ± 2.5	BENAKSAS	72C	OSPK	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$
8.1 ± 2.0	BALDIN	71	HLBC	2.9 $\pi^+ p$
13 ± 4	JACQUET	69B	HLBC	2.05 $\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p\omega$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$9.7\pm0.2\pm0.5$	[2,3] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
9.9 ± 0.7	[2] DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$

[1] From $\sigma_0^{\omega\pi^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma}(m_\phi)/\sigma_0^{\omega\pi^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0}(m_\phi)$ with a phase-space correction factor of 1/1.023.
[2] Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma^2_{total}$.
[3] Using ACHASOV 03. Based on 1.2M events.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_3/Γ

See also $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.53±0.12 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.					
1.49±0.13 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.					
$1.46\pm0.12\pm0.02$	900k	[1] AKHMETSHIN	07		$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
$1.30\pm0.24\pm0.05$	11.2k	[2] AKHMETSHIN	04	CMD2	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
$2.38^{+1.77}_{-0.90}\pm0.18$	5.4k	[3] ACHASOV	02E	SND	1.1–1.38 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

2.3 ±0.5		BARKOV	85	OLYA	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
1.6 $^{+0.9}_{-0.7}$		QUENZER	78	DM1	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
3.6 ±1.9		BENAKSAS	72	OSPK	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.29±0.22±0.03	970k	[4,5] ABLIKIM	18C	BES3	$\eta'(958) \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1.28±0.22±0.03	970k	[6,7] ABLIKIM	18C	BES3	$\eta'(958) \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1.52±0.08		[8] HANHART	18	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
1.75±0.11	4.5M	[9] ACHASOV	05A	SND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
2.01±0.29		[10] BENAYOUN	03	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
1.9 ±0.3		[11] GARDNER	99	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
2.3 ±0.4		[12] BENAYOUN	98	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$
1.0 ±0.11		[13] WICKLUND	78	ASPK	3,4,6 $\pi^\pm N$
1.22±0.30		ALVENSLEB...	71C	CNTR	Photoproduction
1.3 $^{+1.2}_{-0.9}$		MOFFEIT	71	HBC	2.8,4.7 γp
0.80$^{+0.28}_{-0.20}$		[14] BIGGS	70B	CNTR	4.2γC $\to \pi^+\pi^-$C



$\Gamma\left(\pi^+\pi^-\right)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ (units 10^{-2})

[1] A combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 07, AULCHENKO 06, and AULCHENKO 05.
[2] Update of AKHMETSHIN 02.
[3] From the $m_{\pi^+\pi^-}$ spectrum taking into account the interference of the $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi$ amplitudes.
[4] From a fit to $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$ (parametrized with the Gounaris-Sakurai approach), $\omega(782)$, and box anomaly components.
[5] ABLIKIM 18C reports $[\Gamma(\omega(782) \to \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta'(958) \to \omega\gamma)] = (3.25 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta'(958) \to \omega\gamma) = (2.52 \pm$

0.07) $\times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[6] From a fit to $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$ (parametrized with the Gounaris-Sakurai approach), $\omega(782)$, and $\rho(1450)$ components.

[7] ABLIKIM 18C reports $[\Gamma(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \omega\gamma)] = (3.22 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \omega\gamma) = (2.52 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[8] Dispersive analysis. Value extracted from average of data from AUBERT 09AS, AKHMETSHIN 07, ACHASOV 06, AMBROSINO 11A, BABUSCI 13D, ABLIKIM 16B normalised by PDG 16 evaluation for $\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-)$.

[9] Using $\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-)$ from the 2004 Edition of this Review (PDG 04).

[10] Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 02 in the hidden local symmetry model.

[11] Using the data of BARKOV 85.

[12] Using the data of BARKOV 85 in the hidden local symmetry model.

[13] From a model-dependent analysis assuming complete coherence.

[14] Re-evaluated under $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ by BEHREND 71 using more accurate $\omega \rightarrow \rho$ photoproduction cross-section ratio.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — Γ_3/Γ_1

See also $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$.

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.0172±0.0014 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
0.026 ±0.005 OUR AVERAGE				
0.021 $^{+0.028}_{-0.009}$	[1,2] RATCLIFF	72	ASPK	15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi$
0.028 ±0.006	[1] BEHREND	71	ASPK	Photoproduction
0.022 $^{+0.009}_{-0.01}$	[3] ROOS	70	RVUE	

[1] The fitted width of these data is 160 MeV in agreement with present average, thus the ω contribution is overestimated. Assuming ρ width 145 MeV.

[2] Significant interference effect observed. NB of $\omega \rightarrow 3\pi$ comes from an extrapolation.

[3] ROOS 70 combines ABRAMOVICH 70 and BIZZARRI 70.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ — Γ_3/Γ_2

VALUE	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.20±0.04	1.98M	[1] ALOISIO	03	KLOE	1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

[1] Using the data of ALOISIO 02D.

$\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma_{total}$ — $(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)/\Gamma$

VALUE	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.091±0.006 OUR FIT					
0.081±0.011 OUR AVERAGE					
0.075±0.025		BIZZARRI	71	HBC	0.0 $p\overline{p}$
0.079±0.019		DEINET	69B	OSPK	1.5 $\pi^- p$
0.084±0.015		BOLLINI	68C	CNTR	2.1 $\pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.073±0.018	42	BASILE	72B	CNTR	1.67 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.102±0.008 OUR FIT					
$0.103^{+0.011}_{-0.010}$ OUR AVERAGE					
0.15 ±0.04	46	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
0.10 ±0.03	19	BARASH	67B	HBC	0.0 $\overline{p}p$
0.134±0.026	850	DIGIUGNO	66B	CNTR	1.4 $\pi^- p$
0.097±0.016	348	FLATTE	66	HBC	1.4 – 1.7 $K^- p \to \Lambda$MM
$0.06\ ^{+0.05}_{-0.02}$		JAMES	66	HBC	2.1 $\pi^+ p$
0.08 ±0.03	35	KRAEMER	64	DBC	1.2 $\pi^+ d$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.11 ±0.02	20	BUSCHBECK	63	HBC	1.5 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutrals})$ — $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.78±0.07		[1] DAKIN	72	OSPK	1.4 $\pi^- p \to n$MM
>0.81	90	DEINET	69B	OSPK	

[1] Error statistical only. Authors obtain good fit also assuming $\pi^0\gamma$ as the only neutral decay.

$\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma(\text{charged particles})$ — $(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_3)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.100±0.008 OUR FIT				
0.124±0.021	FELDMAN	67C	OSPK	1.2 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.5 ±0.4 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
6.3 ±1.3 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.					
6.6 ±1.7		[1] ABELE	97E	CBAR	0.0 $\overline{p}p \to 5\gamma$
8.3 ±2.1		ALDE	93	GAM2	38$\pi^- p \to \omega n$
$3.0\ ^{+2.5}_{-1.8}$		[2] ANDREWS	77	CNTR	6.7–10 γCu
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.2 ±0.4 ±0.1	33k	[3] ACHASOV	07B	SND	0.6–1.38 $e^+e^- \to \eta\gamma$
$4.44^{+2.59}_{-1.83}\pm0.28$	17.4k	[4,5] AKHMETSHIN	05	CMD2	0.60-1.38 $e^+e^- \to \eta\gamma$
5.10±0.72±0.34	23k	[6] AKHMETSHIN	01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \to \eta\gamma$
0.7 to 5.5		[7] CASE	00	CBAR	0.0 $p\overline{p} \to \eta\eta\gamma$
$6.56^{+2.41}_{-2.55}$	3525	[2,8] BENAYOUN	96	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \eta\gamma$
7.3 ±2.9		[2,4] DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \to \eta\gamma$

[1] No flat $\eta\eta\gamma$ background assumed.
[2] Solution corresponding to constructive ω-ρ interference.
[3] ACHASOV 07B reports $[\Gamma(\omega(782) \to \eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\omega(782) \to e^+e^-)] = (3.10 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-8}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \to e^+e^-) = (7.41 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second

error is the systematic error from using our best value. Supersedes ACHASOV 00D and ACHASOV 06A.

[4] Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma^2_{\text{total}}$.

[5] Using $B(\omega \to e^+e^-) = (7.14 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-5}$ and $B(\eta \to \gamma\gamma) = 39.43 \pm 0.26\%$.

[6] Using $B(\omega \to e^+e^-) = (7.07 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$ and using $B(\eta \to 3\pi^0) = (32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$. Solution corresponding to constructive ω-ρ interference. The combined fit from 600 to 1380 MeV taking into account $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, and $\rho(1450)$ (mass and width fixed at 1450 MeV and 310 MeV respectively). Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma^2_{\text{total}}$.

[7] Depending on the degree of coherence with the flat $\eta\eta\gamma$ background and using $B(\omega \to \pi^0\gamma) = (8.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$.

[8] Reanalysis of DRUZHININ 84, DOLINSKY 89, DOLINSKY 91 taking into account the triangle anomaly contributions.

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ — Γ_5/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.0098 ± 0.0024	[1] ALDE	93	GAM2	$38\pi^- p \to \omega n$
0.0082 ± 0.0033	[2] DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \to \eta\gamma$
0.010 ± 0.045	APEL	72B	OSPK	4–8 $\pi^- p \to n3\gamma$

[1] Model independent determination.

[2] Solution corresponding to constructive ω-ρ interference.

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.7 ±0.6 OUR FIT					
7.7 ±0.6 OUR AVERAGE					
$7.61 \pm 0.53 \pm 0.64$		ACHASOV	08	SND	0.36–0.97 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0 e^+e^-$
$8.19 \pm 0.71 \pm 0.62$		AKHMETSHIN	05A	CMD2	0.72-0.84 e^+e^-
5.9 ± 1.9	43	DOLINSKY	88	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0 e^+e^-$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.34±0.18 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.5.					
1.34±0.19 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.					
$1.41 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.15$		ARNALDI	16	NA60	400 GeV (p-A) collisions
$1.72 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.14$	3k	ARNALDI	09	NA60	158A In−In collisions
0.96 ± 0.23		DZHELYADIN	81B	CNTR	25–33 $\pi^- p \to \omega n$



$\Gamma(\eta e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<1.1	AKHMETSHIN	05A	CMD2	0.72-0.84 $e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.741±0.019 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.8.				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.700 ± 0.016	11200	[1,2] AKHMETSHIN	04	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.752\pm0.004\pm0.024$	1.2M	[2,3] ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
0.714 ± 0.036		[2] DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.72\ \pm0.03$		[2] BARKOV	87	CMD	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.64\ \pm0.04$	1488	[2] KURDADZE	83B	OLYA	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
0.675 ± 0.069	433	[2] CORDIER	80	DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.83\ \pm0.10$	451	[2] BENAKSAS	72B	OSPK	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.77\ \pm0.06$		[4] AUGUSTIN	69D	OSPK	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$0.65\ \pm0.13$	33	[5] ASTVACAT...	68	OSPK	Assume SU(3)+mixing

[1] Using $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = 0.891 \pm 0.007$. Update of AKHMETSHIN 00C.

[2] Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+ e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma^2_{total}$.

[3] Using ACHASOV 03, ACHASOV 03D and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (1.70 \pm 0.28)\%$.

[4] Rescaled by us to correspond to ω width 8.4 MeV. Systematic errors underestimated.

[5] Not resolved from ρ decay. Error statistical only.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 2	90	ACHASOV	09A	SND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<200	90	KURDADZE	86	OLYA	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.0036	95	WEIDENAUER	90	ASTE	$p\overline{p} \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.004	95	BITYUKOV	88B	SPEC	$32\ \pi^- p \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma X$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — Γ_{11}/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.066	90	KALBFLEISCH	75	HBC	$2.18\ K^- p \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
<0.05	90	FLATTE	66	HBC	$1.2 – 1.7\ K^- p \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1 × 10^{-3}	90	KURDADZE	88	OLYA	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.7±1.1 OUR FIT					
6.5±1.2 OUR AVERAGE					
$6.4^{+2.4}_{-2.0}\pm0.8$	190	[1] AKHMETSHIN	04B	CMD2	0.6–0.97 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$6.6^{+1.4}_{-1.3}\pm0.6$	295	ACHASOV	02F	SND	0.36–0.97 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$11.8^{+2.1}_{-1.9}\pm1.4$	190	[2] AKHMETSHIN	04B	CMD2	0.6–0.97 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$7.8\pm2.7\pm2.0$	63	[1,3] ACHASOV	00G	SND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$12.7\pm2.3\pm2.5$	63	[2,3] ACHASOV	00G	SND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

[1] In the model assuming the $\rho \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ decay via the $\omega\pi$ and $f_0(500)\gamma$ mechanisms.
[2] In the model assuming the $\rho \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ decay via the $\omega\pi$ mechanism only.
[3] Superseded by ACHASOV 02F.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — Γ_{13}/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.00045	90	DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.08	95	JACQUET	69B	HLBC	$2.05\ \pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p\omega$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ — Γ_{13}/Γ_2

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.0±1.3 OUR FIT						
8.5±2.9		40 ± 14	ALDE	94B	GAM2	$38\pi^- p \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
< 50	90		DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
<1800	95		KEYNE	76	CNTR	$\pi^- p \to \omega n$
<1500	90		BENAKSAS	72C	OSPK	e^+e^-
<1400			BALDIN	71	HLBC	2.9 $\pi^+ p$
<1000	90		BARMIN	64	HLBC	1.3–2.8 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutrals})$ — $\Gamma_{13}/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.22±0.07		[1] DAKIN	72	OSPK	1.4 $\pi^- p \to n$MM
<0.19	90	DEINET	69B	OSPK	

[1] See $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutrals})$.

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.3	90	AKHMETSHIN	04B	CMD2	0.6–0.97 $e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.4±1.8 OUR FIT					
7.4±1.8 OUR AVERAGE					
6.6±1.4±1.7	4.5M	[1] ANASTASI	17	KLOE	$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
9.0±2.9±1.1	18	HEISTER	02C	ALEP	$Z \to \mu^+\mu^- + X$

[1] Assuming lepton universality in the decay $\omega \to \ell^+\ell^-$ and correcting for different phase space between electron and muon final states.

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — Γ_{15}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.2	90	WILSON	69	OSPK	12 π^- C $\to$ Fe
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.7	74	FLATTE	66	HBC	1.2 – 1.7 $K^- p \to \Lambda\mu^+\mu^-$
<1.2		BARBARO-...	65	HBC	2.7 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ — Γ_7/Γ_{15}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.2±0.6	30	[1] DZHELYADIN	79	CNTR	25–33 $\pi^- p$

[1] Superseded by DZHELYADIN 81B result above.

$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.9	95	[1] ABELE	97E	CBAR	0.0 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow 5\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<2	90	[1] PROKOSHKIN	95	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\gamma n$

[1] From direct 3γ decay search.

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{17}/Γ

Violates C conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.001	90	ALDE	94B	GAM2	$38\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0 n$

$[\Gamma(\eta\gamma)+\Gamma(\eta\pi^0)]/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — $(\Gamma_5+\Gamma_{17})/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.016	90	[1] FLATTE	66	HBC	1.2 – 1.7 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-$ MM
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.045	95	JACQUET	69B	HLBC	2.05 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p\omega$

[1] Restated by us using B($\eta \rightarrow$ charged modes) = 29.2%.

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ — Γ_{17}/Γ_2

Violates C conservation.

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.6	90	[1] STAROSTIN	09	CRYM	$\gamma p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0 p$

[1] STAROSTIN 09 reports $[\Gamma(\omega(782) \rightarrow \eta\pi^0)/\Gamma(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma)] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.01 \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ — Γ_{18}/Γ_2

Violates C conservation and Bose-Einstein statistics.

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.59	90	STAROSTIN	09	CRYM	$\gamma p \rightarrow 2\pi^0 p$

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{19}/Γ

Violates C conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	PROKOSHKIN	95	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 n$

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ — Γ_{19}/Γ_2

Violates C conservation.

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.72	90	STAROSTIN	09	CRYM	$\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 p$

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — Γ_{19}/Γ_1

Violates C conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.009	90	BARBERIS	01	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — Γ_{20}/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<8.1\times10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	18S	BES3	$J/\psi\to\omega\eta\to\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

PARAMETER Λ IN $\omega\to\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$ DECAY

In the pole approximation the electromagnetic transition form factor for a resonance of mass M is given by the expression:

$$|F|^2 = (1 - M^2/\Lambda^2)^{-2},$$

where for the parameter Λ vector dominance predicts $\Lambda = M_\rho \approx$ 0.770 GeV. The ARNALDI 09 measurement is in obvious conflict with this expectation. Note that for $\eta\to\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$ decay ARNALDI 09 and DZHELYADIN 80 obtain the value of Λ consistent with vector dominance.

PARAMETER Λ IN $\omega\to\pi^0\mu^+\mu^-$ DECAY

VALUE (GeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.670 ±0.006 OUR AVERAGE					
0.6707±0.0039±0.0056		[1] ARNALDI	16	NA60	400 GeV (p-A) collisions
0.668 ±0.009 ±0.003	3k	[2] ARNALDI	09	NA60	158A In−In collisions
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.65 ±0.03		DZHELYADIN	81B	CNTR	25–33 $\pi^-p\to\omega n$

[1] ARNALDI 16 reports $\Lambda^{-2}(\omega) = 2.223 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.037$ GeV^{-2} which we converted to the quoted Λ value.

[2] ARNALDI 09 reports $\Lambda^{-2}(\omega) = 2.24 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.02$ GeV^{-2} which we converted to the quoted Λ value.

PARAMETER Λ IN $\omega\to\pi^0 e^+e^-$ DECAY

VALUE (GeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.709±0.037	1.1k	[1] ADLARSON	17B	A2MM	$\gamma p\to\omega p$

[1] ADLARSON 17B reports $\Lambda^{-2}(\omega\pi^0) = 1.99 \pm 0.21$ GeV^{-2} that we converted to the quoted Λ value.

ENERGY DEPENDENCE OF $\omega\to\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ DALITZ PLOT

The following experiments fit to one or more of the coefficients α, β, γ for $|\text{matrix element}|^2 \propto P\,(1 + 2\alpha\,Z + 2\beta\,Z^{3/2}\sin(3\phi) + 2\gamma Z^2 + O(Z^{5/2}))$ where P is the P-wave phase-space factor and Z, ϕ are kinematical variables as defined in ADLARSON 17.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.133 ±0.008 OUR AVERAGE					
0.1321±0.0067±0.0046	260k	[1] ABLIKIM	18AD	BES3	$J/\psi\to\omega\eta$
0.147 ±0.036	44k	ADLARSON	17	WASA	α in $pd\to{}^3\text{He}\,\omega$, $pp\to pp\omega$

[1] Keeping a term linear in Z only. A fit with the terms proportional to Z and $Z^{3/2}$ gives $\alpha = 0.133 \pm 0.041$ and $\beta = 0.037 \pm 0.054$.

$\omega(782)$ REFERENCES

IGNATOV	24	PR D109 112002	F.V. Ignatov *et al.*	(CMD-3 Collab.)
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	21	JHEP 2101 113	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(SND Collab.)
LEES	21B	PR D104 112003	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
ANDREEV	20	EPJ C80 1189	V. Andreev *et al.*	(H1 Collab.)
HOID	20	EPJ C80 988	B.-L. Hoid, M. Hoferichter, B. Kubis	(BONN, BERN)
COLANGELO	19	JHEP 1902 006	G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, P. Stoffer	(BERN+)
HOFERICHT...	19	JHEP 1908 137	M. Hoferichter, B.-L. Hoid, B. Kubis	(WASH, BONN)
ABLIKIM	18AD	PR D98 112007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18C	PRL 120 242003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18S	PR D98 032001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
HANHART	18	EPJ C78 450	C. Hanhart *et al.*	
ADLARSON	17	PL B770 418	P. Adlarson *et al.*	(WASA-at-COSY Collab.)
ADLARSON	17B	PR C95 035208	P. Adlarson *et al.*	(A2 Collab. at MAMI)
ANASTASI	17	PL B767 485	A. Anastasi *et al.*	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16B	PL B753 103	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	16A	PR D93 092001	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(SND Collab.)
ARNALDI	16	PL B757 437	R. Arnaldi *et al.*	(NA60 Collab.)
PDG	16	CP C40 100001	C. Patrignani *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)
PDG	15	RPP 2015 at pdg.lbl.gov		(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	13	PR D88 054013	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(SND Collab.)
BABUSCI	13D	PL B720 336	D. Babusci *et al.*	(CATA, CALB, BARI)
BENAYOUN	13	EPJ C73 2453	M. Benayoun, P. David, L. DelBuono	(PARIN, BERLIN+)
DAVIER	13	EPJ C73 2597	M. Davier *et al.*	
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
NIECKNIG	12	EPJ C72 2014	F. Niecknig, B. Kubis, S.P. Schneider	(BONN)
AMBROSINO	11A	PL B700 102	F. Ambrosino *et al.*	(KLOE Collab.)
BENAYOUN	10	EPJ C65 211	M. Benayoun *et al.*	
ACHASOV	09A	JETP 109 379	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 136 442.		
ARNALDI	09	PL B677 260	R. Arnaldi *et al.*	(NA60 Collab.)
AUBERT	09AS	PRL 103 231801	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
STAROSTIN	09	PR C79 065201	A. Starostin *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab. at MAMI)
ACHASOV	08	JETP 107 61	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 134 80.		
AMBROSINO	08G	PL B669 223	F. Ambrosino *et al.*	(KLOE Collab.)
ACHASOV	07B	PR D76 077101	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	07	PL B648 28	R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	06	JETP 103 380	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 130 437.		
ACHASOV	06A	PR D74 014016	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO	06	JETPL 84 413	V.M. Aulchenko *et al.*	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 84 491.		
ACHASOV	05A	JETP 101 1053	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 128 1201.		
AKHMETSHIN	05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	05A	PL B613 29	R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AULCHENKO	05	JETPL 82 743	V.M. Aulchenko *et al.*	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 82 841.		
AKHMETSHIN	04	PL B578 285	R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	04B	PL B580 119	R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AUBERT,B	04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	03	PL B559 171	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	03D	PR D68 052006	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ALOISIO	03	PL B561 55	A. Aloisio *et al.*	(KLOE Collab.)
BENAYOUN	03	EPJ C29 397	M. Benayoun *et al.*	
ACHASOV	02E	PR D66 032001	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	02F	PL B537 201	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	02	PL B527 161	R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALOISIO	02D	PL B537 21	A. Aloisio *et al.*	(KLOE Collab.)
HEISTER	02C	PL B528 19	A. Heister *et al.*	(ALEPH Collab.)
ACHASOV	01E	PR D63 072002	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
BARBERIS	01	PL B507 14	D. Barberis *et al.*	
ACHASOV	00	EPJ C12 25	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	00D	JETPL 72 282	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 72 411.		
ACHASOV	00G	JETPL 71 355	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 71 519.		

AKHMETSHIN 00C PL B476 33 R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.* (Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AULCHENKO 00A JETP 90 927 V.M. Aulchenko *et al.* (Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
Translated from ZETF 117 1067.
CASE 00 PR D61 032002 T. Case *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV 99E PL B462 365 M.N. Achasov *et al.* (Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
GARDNER 99 PR D59 076002 S. Gardner, H.B. O'Connell
BENAYOUN 98 EPJ C2 269 M. Benayoun *et al.* (IPNP, NOVO, ADLD+)
ABELE 97E PL B411 361 A. Abele *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BENAYOUN 96 ZPHY C72 221 M. Benayoun *et al.* (IPNP, NOVO)
PROKOSHKIN 95 PD 40 273 Y.D. Prokoshkin, V.D. Samoilenko (SERP)
Translated from DANS 342 610.
WURZINGER 95 PR C51 443 R. Wurzinger *et al.* (BONN, ORSAY, SACL+)
ALDE 94B PL B340 122 D.M. Alde *et al.* (SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
AMSLER 94C PL B327 425 C. Amsler *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ALDE 93 PAN 56 1229 D.M. Alde *et al.* (SERP, LAPP, LANL, BELG+)
Translated from YAF 56 137.
Also ZPHY C61 35 D.M. Alde *et al.* (SERP, LAPP, LANL, BELG+)
AMSLER 93B PL B311 362 C. Amsler *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
WEIDENAUER 93 ZPHY C59 387 P. Weidenauer *et al.* (ASTERIX Collab.)
ANTONELLI 92 ZPHY C56 15 A. Antonelli *et al.* (DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY 91 PRPL 202 99 S.I. Dolinsky *et al.* (NOVO)
WEIDENAUER 90 ZPHY C47 353 P. Weidenauer *et al.* (ASTERIX Collab.)
DOLINSKY 89 ZPHY C42 511 S.I. Dolinsky *et al.* (NOVO)
BITYUKOV 88B SJNP 47 800 S.I. Bityukov *et al.* (SERP)
Translated from YAF 47 1258.
DOLINSKY 88 SJNP 48 277 S.I. Dolinsky *et al.* (NOVO)
Translated from YAF 48 442.
KURDADZE 88 JETPL 47 512 L.M. Kurdadze *et al.* (NOVO)
Translated from ZETFP 47 432.
AULCHENKO 87 PL B186 432 V.M. Aulchenko *et al.* (NOVO)
BARKOV 87 JETPL 46 164 L.M. Barkov *et al.* (NOVO)
Translated from ZETFP 46 132.
KURDADZE 86 JETPL 43 643 L.M. Kurdadze *et al.* (NOVO)
Translated from ZETFP 43 497.
BARKOV 85 NP B256 365 L.M. Barkov *et al.* (NOVO)
DRUZHININ 84 PL 144B 136 V.P. Druzhinin *et al.* (NOVO)
KURDADZE 83B JETPL 36 274 A.M. Kurdadze *et al.* (NOVO)
Translated from ZETFP 36 221.
DZHELYADIN 81B PL 102B 296 R.I. Dzhelyadin *et al.* (SERP)
CORDIER 80 NP B172 13 A. Cordier *et al.* (LALO)
DZHELYADIN 80 PL 94B 548 R.I. Dzhelyadin *et al.* (SERP)
ROOS 80 LNC 27 321 M. Roos, A. Pellinen (HELS)
BENKHEIRI 79 NP B150 268 P. Benkheiri *et al.* (EPOL, CERN, CDEF+)
DZHELYADIN 79 PL 84B 143 R.I. Dzhelyadin *et al.* (SERP)
COOPER 78B NP B146 1 A.M. Cooper *et al.* (TATA, CERN, CDEF+)
QUENZER 78 PL 76B 512 A. Quenzer *et al.* (LALO)
VANAPEL... 78 NP B133 245 G.W. van Apeldoorn *et al.* (ZEEM)
WICKLUND 78 PR D17 1197 A.B. Wicklund *et al.* (ANL)
ANDREWS 77 PRL 38 198 D.E. Andrews *et al.* (ROCH)
GESSAROLI 77 NP B126 382 R. Gessaroli *et al.* (BGNA, FIRZ, GENO+)
KEYNE 76 PR D14 28 J. Keyne *et al.* (LOIC, SHMP)
Also PR D8 2789 D.M. Binnie *et al.* (LOIC, SHMP)
KALBFLEISCH 75 PR D11 987 G.R. Kalbfleisch, R.C. Strand, J.W. Chapman (BNL+)
AGUILAR-... 72B PR D6 29 M. Aguilar-Benitez *et al.* (BNL)
APEL 72B PL 41B 234 W.D. Apel *et al.* (KARLK, KARLE, PISA)
BASILE 72B Phil. Conf. 153 M. Basile *et al.* (CERN)
BENAKSAS 72 PL 39B 289 D. Benaksas *et al.* (ORSAY)
BENAKSAS 72B PL 42B 507 D. Benaksas *et al.* (ORSAY)
BENAKSAS 72C PL 42B 511 D. Benaksas *et al.* (ORSAY)
BORENSTEIN 72 PR D5 1559 S.R. Borenstein *et al.* (BNL, MICH)
BROWN 72 PL 42B 117 R.M. Brown *et al.* (ILL, ILLC)
DAKIN 72 PR D6 2321 J.T. Dakin *et al.* (PRIN)
RATCLIFF 72 PL 38B 345 B.N. Ratcliff *et al.* (SLAC)
ALVENSLEB... 71C PRL 27 888 H. Alvensleben *et al.* (DESY)
BALDIN 71 SJNP 13 758 A.B. Baldin *et al.* (ITEP)
Translated from YAF 13 1318.
BEHREND 71 PRL 27 61 H.J. Behrend *et al.* (ROCH, CORN, FNAL)
BIZZARRI 71 NP B27 140 R. Bizzarri *et al.* (CERN, CDEF)
COYNE 71 NP B32 333 D.G. Coyne *et al.* (LRL)
MOFFEIT 71 NP B29 349 K.C. Moffeit *et al.* (LRL, UCB, SLAC+)
ABRAMOVI... 70 NP B20 209 M. Abramovich *et al.* (CERN)
BIGGS 70B PRL 24 1201 P.J. Biggs *et al.* (DARE)
BIZZARRI 70 PRL 25 1385 R. Bizzarri *et al.* (ROMA, SYRA)

ROOS	70	DNPL/R7 173	M. Roos	(CERN)
Proc. Daresbury Study Weekend No. 1.				
AUGUSTIN	69D	PL 28B 513	J.E. Augustin *et al.*	(ORSAY)
BIZZARRI	69	NP B14 169	R. Bizzarri *et al.*	(CERN, CDEF)
DEINET	69B	PL 30B 426	W. Deinet *et al.*	(KARL, CERN)
JACQUET	69B	NC 63A 743	F. Jacquet *et al.*	(EPOL, BERG)
WILSON	69	Private Comm.	R. Wilson	(HARV)
Also		PR 178 2095	A.A. Wehmann *et al.*	(HARV, CASE, SLAC+)
ASTVACAT...	68	PL 27B 45	R.G. Astvatsaturov *et al.*	(JINR, MOSU)
BOLLINI	68C	NC 56A 531	D. Bollini *et al.*	(CERN, BGNA, STRB)
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	
BARASH	67B	PR 156 1399	N. Barash *et al.*	(COLU)
FELDMAN	67C	PR 159 1219	M. Feldman *et al.*	(PENN)
DIGIUGNO	66B	NC 44A 1272	G. Di Giugno *et al.*	(NAPL, FRAS, TRST)
FLATTE	66	PR 145 1050	S.M. Flatte *et al.*	(LRL)
JAMES	66	PR 142 896	F.E. James, H.L. Kraybill	(YALE, BNL)
BARBARO-...	65	PRL 14 279	A. Barbaro-Galtieri, R.D. Tripp	(LRL)
BARMIN	64	JETP 18 1289	V.V. Barmin *et al.*	(ITEP)
		Translated from ZETF 45 1879.		
KRAEMER	64	PR 136 B496	R.W. Kraemer *et al.*	(JHU, NWES, WOOD)
BUSCHBECK	63	Siena Conf. 1 166	B. Buschbeck *et al.*	(VIEN, CERN, ANIK)