

$\Omega(2012)^-$ $I(J^P) = 0(?^-)$ Status: ***

Seen in $\Xi^0 K^-$ and $\Xi^- K_S^0$ decays with a combined significance of 8.3 standard deviations. BELLE results from JIA 19 and JIA 25 are incompatible. JIA 25 claims the older analysis was based on an inaccurate model for decays.

 $\Omega(2012)^-$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2012.5 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE				
$2012.5 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.5$	844	JIA	25	BELL In $\gamma(1S, 2S, 3S)$
$2012.4 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.6$	520	YELTON	18A	BELL In $\gamma(1S, 2S, 3S)$

 $\Omega(2012)^-$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$6.4^{+2.5}_{-2.0} \pm 1.6$	520	YELTON	18A	BELL In $\gamma(1S), \gamma(2S), \gamma(3S)$

 $\Omega(2012)^-$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \Xi K$	
$\Gamma_2 \quad \Xi^0 K^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \quad \Xi^- \bar{K}^0$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \quad (\Xi\pi) K$	
$\Gamma_5 \quad \Xi^- \pi^+ K^-$	seen

 $\Omega(2012)^-$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma((\Xi\pi)K)/\Gamma(\Xi K)$	Γ_4/Γ_1
$0.99 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.06$	$844 \quad JIA \quad 25 \quad BELL \quad \text{In } \gamma(1S, 2S, 3S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
<0.119	90 JIA 19 BELL In $\gamma(1S, 2S, 3S)$

$\Gamma(\Xi^0 K^-)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \bar{K}^0)$	Γ_2/Γ_3
1.2 ± 0.3	$YELTON \quad 18A \quad BELL \quad \text{In } \gamma(1S, 2S, 3S)$

$\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ K^-)/\Gamma(\Xi^0 K^-)$	Γ_5/Γ_2
0.078 ± 0.078	$90 \quad JIA \quad 19 \quad BELL \quad \text{In } \gamma(1S, 2S, 3S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
<0.078	90 JIA 19 BELL In $\gamma(1S, 2S, 3S)$

$\Gamma(\Xi^-\pi^+\kappa^-)/\Gamma(\Xi^-\bar{K}^0)$		Γ_5/Γ_3		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.093	90	JIA	19	BELL In $\gamma(1S, 2S, 3S)$

$\Omega(2012)^-$ REFERENCES

JIA	25	PL B860 139224	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
JIA	19	PR D100 032006	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
YELTON	18A	PRL 121 052003	J. Yelton <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)