

$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$

$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{1}{2}^-)$  Status: \*\*\*

The  $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  mode is largely, and perhaps entirely,  $\Sigma_c \pi$ , which is just at threshold; since the  $\Sigma_c$  has  $J^P = 1/2^+$ , the  $J^P$  here is almost certainly  $1/2^-$ . This result is in accord with the theoretical expectation that this is the charm counterpart of the strange  $\Lambda(1405)$ .

$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$  MASS

The mass is obtained from the  $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ - \Lambda_c^+$  mass-difference measurements below.

| VALUE (MeV)          | DOCUMENT ID |
|----------------------|-------------|
| 2592.25±0.28 OUR FIT |             |

$\Lambda_c(2595)^+ - \Lambda_c^+$  MASS DIFFERENCE

| VALUE (MeV)                                                                   | EVTS     | DOCUMENT ID           | TECN    | COMMENT                                       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 305.79±0.24 OUR FIT                                                           |          |                       |         |                                               |
| 305.79±0.14±0.20                                                              | 3.5k     | AALTONEN              | 11H CDF | $p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV                        |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • |          |                       |         |                                               |
| 305.6 ±0.3                                                                    |          | <sup>1</sup> BLECHMAN | 03      | Threshold shift                               |
| 309.7 ±0.9 ±0.4                                                               | 19       | ALBRECHT              | 97 ARG  | $e^+e^- \approx 10$ GeV                       |
| 309.2 ±0.7 ±0.3                                                               | 14 ± 4.5 | FRABETTI              | 96 E687 | $\gamma$ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV |
| 307.5 ±0.4 ±1.0                                                               | 112 ± 17 | EDWARDS               | 95 CLE2 | $e^+e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV                     |

<sup>1</sup> BLECHMAN 03 finds that a more sophisticated treatment than a simple Breit-Wigner for the proximity of the threshold of the dominant decay,  $\Sigma_c(2455)\pi$ , lowers the  $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ - \Lambda_c^+$  mass difference by 2 or 3 MeV. The analysis of AALTONEN 11H bears this out.

$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$  WIDTH

| VALUE (MeV)                                                                   | EVTS     | DOCUMENT ID           | TECN    | COMMENT                   |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|---------|---------------------------|
| 2.59±0.30±0.47                                                                | 3.5k     | <sup>2</sup> AALTONEN | 11H CDF | $p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV    |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • |          |                       |         |                           |
| 2.9 <sup>+2.9</sup> <sub>-2.1</sub> <sup>+1.8</sup> <sub>-1.4</sub>           | 19       | ALBRECHT              | 97 ARG  | $e^+e^- \approx 10$ GeV   |
| 3.9 <sup>+1.4</sup> <sub>-1.2</sub> <sup>+2.0</sup> <sub>-1.0</sub>           | 112 ± 17 | EDWARDS               | 95 CLE2 | $e^+e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV |

<sup>2</sup> AALTONEN 11H treats the three charged modes  $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_c(2455)^{++}\pi^-$ ,  $\Sigma_c(2455)^+\pi^0$ ,  $\Sigma_c(2455)^0\pi^+$  separately in terms of a common coupling constant  $h_2$  and obtains  $h_2^2 = 0.36 \pm 0.08$ . From this the width is determined.

**$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$  DECAY MODES**

$\Lambda_c^+ \pi \pi$  and its submode  $\Sigma_c(2455) \pi$  — the latter just barely — are the only strong decays allowed to an excited  $\Lambda_c^+$  having this mass; and the submode seems to dominate.

|            | Mode                             | Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ ) |
|------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| $\Gamma_1$ | $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$        | [a] —                          |
| $\Gamma_2$ | $\Sigma_c(2455)^{++} \pi^-$      | $24 \pm 7 \%$                  |
| $\Gamma_3$ | $\Sigma_c(2455)^0 \pi^+$         | $24 \pm 7 \%$                  |
| $\Gamma_4$ | $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ 3-body | $18 \pm 10 \%$                 |
| $\Gamma_5$ | $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^0$              | [b] not seen                   |
| $\Gamma_6$ | $\Lambda_c^+ \gamma$             | not seen                       |

[a] See AALTONEN 11H, Fig. 8, for the calculated ratio of  $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$  and  $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  partial widths as a function of the  $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ - \Lambda_c^+$  mass difference. At our value of the mass difference, the ratio is about 4.

[b] A test that the isospin is indeed 0, so that the particle is indeed a  $\Lambda_c^+$ .

 **$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$  BRANCHING RATIOS**

**$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**   **$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$**

| VALUE                                                                         | CL% | DOCUMENT ID | TECN      | COMMENT                        |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • |     |             |           |                                |
| <0.85                                                                         | 90  | ABLIKIM     | 24BC BES3 | $e^+ e^-$ at 4.918 , 4.950 GeV |

**$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^{++} \pi^-)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$**   **$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$**

| VALUE                                       | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT                         |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------|------|---------------------------------|
| <b>0.36<math>\pm</math>0.10 OUR AVERAGE</b> |             |      |                                 |
| 0.37 $\pm$ 0.12 $\pm$ 0.13                  | ALBRECHT    | 97   | ARG $e^+ e^- \approx 10$ GeV    |
| 0.36 $\pm$ 0.09 $\pm$ 0.09                  | EDWARDS     | 95   | CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV |

**$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$**   **$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$**

| VALUE                                       | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT                         |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------|------|---------------------------------|
| <b>0.37<math>\pm</math>0.10 OUR AVERAGE</b> |             |      |                                 |
| 0.29 $\pm$ 0.10 $\pm$ 0.11                  | ALBRECHT    | 97   | ARG $e^+ e^- \approx 10$ GeV    |
| 0.42 $\pm$ 0.09 $\pm$ 0.09                  | EDWARDS     | 95   | CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV |

**$[\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^{++} \pi^-) + \Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^0 \pi^+)]/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$**   **$(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3)/\Gamma_1$**

| VALUE                                                                         | CL% | DOCUMENT ID           | TECN | COMMENT                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • |     |                       |      |                                                         |
| 0.66 $^{+0.13}_{-0.16}$ $\pm$ 0.07                                            |     | ALBRECHT              | 97   | ARG $e^+ e^- \approx 10$ GeV                            |
| >0.51                                                                         | 90  | <sup>3</sup> FRABETTI | 96   | E687 $\gamma$ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV |

<sup>3</sup> The results of FRABETTI 96 are consistent with this ratio being 100%.

| $\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$                                             |     |             |         |                            | $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_1$ |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|---------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^0$ decay is forbidden by isospin conservation if this state is in fact a $\Lambda_c$ . |     |             |         |                            |                     |
| VALUE                                                                                                   | CL% | DOCUMENT ID | TECN    | COMMENT                    |                     |
| <3.53                                                                                                   | 90  | EDWARDS     | 95 CLE2 | $e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV |                     |
| $\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$                                            |     |             |         |                            | $\Gamma_6/\Gamma_1$ |
| VALUE                                                                                                   | CL% | DOCUMENT ID | TECN    | COMMENT                    |                     |
| <0.98                                                                                                   | 90  | EDWARDS     | 95 CLE2 | $e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV |                     |

$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$  REFERENCES

|          |      |                |                             |                     |
|----------|------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| ABLIKIM  | 24BC | PR D109 112007 | M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>    | (BESIII Collab.)    |
| AALTONEN | 11H  | PR D84 012003  | T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>   | (CDF Collab.)       |
| BLECHMAN | 03   | PR D67 074033  | A.E. Blechman <i>et al.</i> | (JHU, FLOR)         |
| ALBRECHT | 97   | PL B402 207    | H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>   | (ARGUS Collab.)     |
| FRABETTI | 96   | PL B365 461    | P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i> | (FNAL E687 Collab.) |
| EDWARDS  | 95   | PRL 74 3331    | K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>  | (CLEO Collab.)      |