

$\eta'(958)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$ $\eta'(958)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
957.78 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE				
957.793 ± 0.054 ± 0.036	3.9k	LIBBY	08	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
957.9 ± 0.2 ± 0.6	4800	WURZINGER	96	SPEC $1.68\text{ }pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta'$
957.46 ± 0.33		DUANE	74	MMS $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\text{MM}$
958.2 ± 0.5	1414	DANBURG	73	HBC $2.2\text{ }K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta'$
958 ± 1	400	JACOBS	73	HBC $2.9\text{ }K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta'$
956.1 ± 1.1	3415	¹ BASILE	71	CNTR $1.6\text{ }\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
957.5 ± 0.2		BAI	04J	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
959 ± 1	630	² BELADIDZE	92C	VES $36\text{ }\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^-\eta'\eta\text{Be}$
958 ± 1	340	² ARMSTRONG	91B	OMEG $300\text{ }pp \rightarrow pp\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
958.2 ± 0.4	622	² AUGUSTIN	90	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
957.8 ± 0.2	2420	² AUGUSTIN	90	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
956.3 ± 1.0	143	² GIDAL	87	MRK2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
957.4 ± 1.4	535	³ BASILE	71	CNTR $1.6\text{ }\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta'$
957 ± 1		RITTENBERG	69	HBC $1.7\text{--}2.7\text{ }K^- p$

¹ Using all η' decays.² Systematic uncertainty not estimated.³ Using η' decays into neutrals. Not independent of the other listed BASILE 71 η' mass measurement. $\eta'(958)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.188±0.006 OUR FIT					
0.230±0.021 OUR AVERAGE					
0.226 ± 0.017 ± 0.014	2300	CZERWINSKI	10	MMS	$pp \rightarrow pp\eta'$
0.40 ± 0.22	4800	WURZINGER	96	SPEC	$1.68\text{ }pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta'$
0.28 ± 0.10	1000	BINNIE	79	MMS	$0\text{ }\pi^- p \rightarrow n\text{MM}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.20 ± 0.04		BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

 $\eta'(958)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \pi^+\pi^-\eta$	(42.5 ± 0.5) %	
$\Gamma_2 \rho^0\gamma$ (including non-resonant $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$)	(29.48 ± 0.35) %	
$\Gamma_3 \rho^0\gamma$		
$\Gamma_4 \pi^0\pi^0\eta$	(22.4 ± 0.5) %	

Γ_5	$\omega\gamma$	(2.52 \pm 0.07) %		
Γ_6	$\omega e^+ e^-$	(2.0 \pm 0.4) $\times 10^{-4}$		
Γ_7	$\gamma\gamma$	(2.307 \pm 0.033) %		
Γ_8	$3\pi^0$	(2.50 \pm 0.17) $\times 10^{-3}$		
Γ_9	$\mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$	(1.13 \pm 0.28) $\times 10^{-4}$		
Γ_{10}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	(2.13 \pm 0.13) $\times 10^{-5}$		
Γ_{11}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	(3.61 \pm 0.17) $\times 10^{-3}$		
Γ_{12}	$(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ S-wave	(3.8 \pm 0.5) $\times 10^{-3}$		
Γ_{13}	$\pi^\mp \rho^\pm$	(7.4 \pm 2.3) $\times 10^{-4}$		
Γ_{14}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	(8.51 \pm 0.33) $\times 10^{-5}$		
Γ_{15}	$\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$	(2.11 \pm 0.15) $\times 10^{-4}$		
Γ_{16}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ neutrals	< 1 %	95%	
Γ_{17}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)\pi^0$	< 1.8 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%	
Γ_{18}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)2\pi^0$	< 1 %	95%	
Γ_{19}	$3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	< 3.1 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%	
Γ_{20}	$K^\pm \pi^\mp$	< 4 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%	
Γ_{21}	$\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$	(2.43 \pm 0.06) $\times 10^{-3}$		
Γ_{22}	$\pi^+ e^- \nu_e + \text{c.c.}$	< 2.1 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%	
Γ_{23}	$\gamma e^+ e^-$	(4.80 \pm 0.15) $\times 10^{-4}$		
Γ_{24}	$\pi^0 \gamma\gamma$	(3.20 \pm 0.24) $\times 10^{-3}$		
Γ_{25}	$\pi^0 \gamma\gamma$ (non resonant)	(6.2 \pm 0.9) $\times 10^{-4}$		
Γ_{26}	$\eta \gamma\gamma$	< 1.33 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%	
Γ_{27}	$4\pi^0$	< 1.2 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%	
Γ_{28}	$e^+ e^-$	< 5.6 $\times 10^{-9}$	90%	
Γ_{29}	$e^+ e^- e^+ e^-$	(4.5 \pm 1.1) $\times 10^{-6}$		
Γ_{30}	invisible	< 2.1 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%	
Γ_{31}	γ Dark Photon	5.0×10^{-7} to 3.50×10^{-6}	90%	

**Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P),
Lepton family number (LF) violating modes**

Γ_{32}	$\pi^+ \pi^-$	P, CP	< 1.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{33}	$\pi^0 \pi^0$	P, CP	< 4	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{34}	$\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	C	[a] < 1.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{35}	$\pi^0 \rho^0$	C	< 4	%	90%
Γ_{36}	$\eta e^+ e^-$	C	[a] < 2.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{37}	3γ	C	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{38}	$\mu^+ \mu^- \pi^0$	C	[a] < 6.0	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{39}	$\mu^+ \mu^- \eta$	C	[a] < 1.5	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{40}	$e\mu$	LF	< 4.7	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{41}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \text{ALP} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$		< 1.9	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%

[a] C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, a partial width, 2 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 21 branching ratios uses 53 measurements and one constraint to determine 9 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 69.5$ for 45 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	-24							
x_4	-77 -42							
x_5	-7 -6 -2							
x_7	-11	-7	9	-1				
x_8	-17	-9	19	-1	2			
x_{11}	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0		
x_{21}	-5	19	-9	-1	-2	-2	0	
Γ	11	-9	-2	1	-40	0	0	-2
	x_1	x_2	x_4	x_5	x_7	x_8	x_{11}	x_{21}

	Mode	Rate (MeV)
Γ_1	$\pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	0.0799 ± 0.0029
Γ_2	$\rho^0 \gamma$ (including non-resonant $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$)	0.0554 ± 0.0019
Γ_4	$\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$	0.0421 ± 0.0017
Γ_5	$\omega \gamma$	0.00474 ± 0.00020
Γ_7	$\gamma \gamma$	0.00434 ± 0.00013
Γ_8	$3\pi^0$	$(4.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{11}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(6.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{21}	$\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$	$(4.57 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}$

$\eta'(958)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$		Γ_7
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID
4.34 ± 0.14 OUR FIT		TECN
4.28 ± 0.19 OUR AVERAGE		COMMENT
4.17 $\pm 0.10 \pm 0.27$	2000	¹ ACCIARRI 98Q L3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
4.53 $\pm 0.29 \pm 0.51$	266	KARCH 92 CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
3.61 $\pm 0.13 \pm 0.48$		² BEHREND 91 CELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta'(958)$
4.6 $\pm 1.1 \pm 0.6$	23	BARU 90 MD1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
4.57 $\pm 0.25 \pm 0.44$		BUTLER 90 MRK2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta'(958)$
5.08 $\pm 0.24 \pm 0.71$	547	³ ROE 90 ASP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2\gamma$

$3.8 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.6$	34	AIHARA	88C	TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$4.9 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$	136	⁴ WILLIAMS	88	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$4.7 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$	143	⁵ GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
4.0 ± 0.9		⁶ BARTEL	85E	JADE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2\gamma$

¹ No non-resonant $\pi^+ \pi^-$ contribution found.² Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \rho(770)\gamma) = (30.2 \pm 1.3)\%$.³ Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$.⁴ Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$.⁵ Superseded by BUTLER 90.⁶ Systematic error not evaluated. **$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$** **Γ_{28}**

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	1,2 ACHASOV	15	SND $0.958 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<2.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	² ACHASOV	15	SND $0.958 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
$<2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	² AKHMETSHIN	15	CMD3 $0.958 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

¹ Combining data of ACHASOV 15 and AKHMETSHIN 15.² Using η and η' branching fractions from PDG 14. **$\eta'(958) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$**

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into $\gamma\gamma$ and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel(i) in the $\gamma\gamma$ annihilation.

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7 \Gamma_2 / \Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.28 ± 0.04 OUR FIT				
1.26 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
1.09 ± 0.04 ± 0.13		BEHREND	91	CELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho(770)^0 \gamma$
1.35 ± 0.09 ± 0.21		AIHARA	87	TPC $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
1.13 ± 0.04 ± 0.13	867	ALBRECHT	87B	ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
1.53 ± 0.09 ± 0.21		ALTHOFF	84E	TASS $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
1.14 ± 0.08 ± 0.11	243	BERGER	84B	PLUT $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
1.73 ± 0.34 ± 0.35	95	JENNI	83	MRK2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
1.49 ± 0.13 ± 0.027	213	BARTEL	82B	JADE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.85 ± 0.31 ± 0.24	43	BEHREND	82C	CELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7 \Gamma_4 / \Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.97 ± 0.04 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
0.92 ± 0.06 ± 0.11	¹ KARCH	92	CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.95 ± 0.05 ± 0.08	² KARCH	90	CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
1.00 ± 0.08 ± 0.10	^{2,3} ANTREASYAN	87	CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$

¹ Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.21 \pm 0.34)\%$. Supersedes ANTREASYAN 87

and KARCH 90.

² Superseded by KARCH 92.

³ Using $BR(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (38.9 \pm 0.5)\%$.

$\eta'(958) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1 \Gamma_{28}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (10^{-3} eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.0	90	¹ AKHMETSHIN 15	CMD3	$0.958 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

¹ AKHMETSHIN 15 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) \times \Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 4.1 \times 10^{-4}$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\eta'(958)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
42.5 ± 0.5 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		

41.24±0.08±1.24 312k ABLIKIM 19T BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

42.4 ± 1.1 ± 0.4 1.2k ¹ PEDLAR 09 CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

¹ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta(\text{charged decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad 0.2805 \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.1191±0.0015 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.123 ± 0.014 107 RITTENBERG 69 HBC 1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

0.10 ± 0.04 10 LONDON 66 HBC 2.24 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$

0.07 ± 0.04 7 BADIER 65B HBC 3 $K^- p$

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta(\text{neutral decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad 0.7195 \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.306±0.004 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.314±0.026 281 RITTENBERG 69 HBC 1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
29.5 ± 0.4 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		

29.90±0.03±0.55 913k ABLIKIM 19T BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

28.7 ± 0.7 ± 0.4 0.2k ¹ PEDLAR 09 CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

32.9 ± 3.3 298 RITTENBERG 69 HBC 1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

20 ± 10 20 LONDON 66 HBC 2.24 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

34 ± 9 35 BADIER 65B HBC 3 $K^- p$

¹ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
33.34 \pm 0.06 \pm 1.60	970k	¹ ABLIKIM	18C BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
34.43 \pm 0.52 \pm 1.97	970k	² ABLIKIM	18C BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
¹ From a fit to $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, and box anomaly components.				
² From a fit to $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, and $\rho(1450)$ components.				

 $\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.694 \pm 0.014 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
0.683 \pm 0.020 OUR AVERAGE			

 $\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{neutral decay}))$ $\Gamma_2/0.714\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.972 \pm 0.019 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
0.97 \pm 0.09 OUR AVERAGE				
0.70 \pm 0.22		AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$
1.07 \pm 0.17		BELADIDZE	92C VES	$36 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^-\eta' \eta \text{Be}$
0.92 \pm 0.14	473	DANBURG	73 HBC	$2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
1.11 \pm 0.18	192	JACOBS	73 HBC	$2.9 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
22.4 \pm 0.6 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
21.36 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.92	52k	ABLIKIM	19T BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
23.5 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.4	3.2k	¹ PEDLAR	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09. $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta(3\pi^0\text{decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $0.321\Gamma_4/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.0718 \pm 0.0018 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

0.11 \pm 0.06 4 BENINGER 70 DBC $2.2 \pi^+ d$ $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.527 \pm 0.019 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
0.555 \pm 0.043 \pm 0.013	PEDLAR	09 CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi\pi\eta)$ $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_4)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.455 \pm 0.008 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
0.43 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02	BARBERIS	98C OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \eta' p_s$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.31 \pm 0.15	DAVIS	68 HBC	$5.5 K^- p$	

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-2})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.52 ± 0.07 OUR FIT				
2.50 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.489 ± 0.018 ± 0.074	23k	ABLIKIM	19T BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
2.55 ± 0.03 ± 0.16	33.2k	¹ ABLIKIM	15AD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.34 ± 0.30 ± 0.04	70	² PEDLAR	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.

² Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

 $\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_5/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.0593 ± 0.0018 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
0.055 ± 0.007 ± 0.001		PEDLAR	09 CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.068 ± 0.013	68	ZANFINO	77 ASPK	$8.4\pi^- p$

 $\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_5/Γ_4

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.113 ± 0.004 OUR FIT			
0.147 ± 0.016	ALDE	87B GAM2	$38\pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\omega e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\omega\gamma)$ Γ_6/Γ_5

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
7.71 ± 1.34 ± 0.54	¹ ABLIKIM	15AD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
¹ Obtained from other ABLIKIM 15AD measurements with common systematics taken into account.			

 $\Gamma(\omega e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.97 ± 0.34 ± 0.17	66	¹ ABLIKIM	15AD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.				

 $\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/[\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta) + \Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta) + \Gamma(\omega\gamma)]$ $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.438 ± 0.008 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.25 ± 0.14	DAUBER	64 HBC	$1.95 K^- p$

 $[\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta(\text{charged decay})) + \Gamma(\omega(\text{charged decay})\gamma)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(0.286\Gamma_4+0.89\Gamma_5)/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.0864 ± 0.0017 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.045 ± 0.029	42	RITTENBERG	69 HBC	$1.7\text{--}2.7 K^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $(0.714\Gamma_1 + 0.286\Gamma_4 + 0.89\Gamma_5)/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.3896 ± 0.0027 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.4	± 0.1	39	LONDON	66 HBC 2.24 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \text{ neutrals}$
0.35	± 0.06	33	BADIER	65B HBC 3 $K^- p$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.307 ± 0.035 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
2.31 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8.				
2.331 ± 0.012 ± 0.035	71k	ABLIKIM	19T BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
1.99 $^{+0.31}_{-0.27}$ ± 0.07	114	¹ WICHT	08 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \gamma\gamma$
2.00 ± 0.18		² STANTON	80 SPEC	$8.45 \pi^- p \rightarrow n \pi^+ \pi^- 2\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.25 ± 0.16 ± 0.03	0.3k	³ PEDLAR	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
1.8 ± 0.2	6000	⁴ APEL	79 NICE	$15-40 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\gamma$
2.5 ± 0.7		DUANE	74 MMS	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n \text{MM}$
1.71 ± 0.33	68	DALPIAZ	72 CNTR	$1.6 \pi^- p \rightarrow n X^0$
2.0 $^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$	31	HARVEY	71 OSPK	$3.65 \pi^- p \rightarrow n X^0$

¹ WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta' K^+)] = (1.40^{+0.16}_{-0.15} \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta' K^+) = (7.04 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-5}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Includes APEL 79 result.

³ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

⁴ Data is included in STANTON 80 evaluation.

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$
 Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0543 ± 0.0012 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.	
0.053 ± 0.004 ± 0.001	PEDLAR	09 CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))$
 Γ_7/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0782 ± 0.0016 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.	
0.080 ± 0.008	ABLIKIM	06E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta)$
 Γ_7/Γ_4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.1031 ± 0.0028 OUR FIT			
0.105 ± 0.010 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.9.	
0.091 ± 0.009	AMSLER	93 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p$
0.112 ± 0.002 ± 0.006	ALDE	87B GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta (\text{neutral decay}))$
 $\Gamma_7/0.714\Gamma_4$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.144 ± 0.004 OUR FIT				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.188 ± 0.058	16	APEL	72 OSPK	$3.8 \pi^- p \rightarrow n X^0$

$\Gamma(\text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(0.714\Gamma_4 + 0.09\Gamma_5 + \Gamma_7)/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.185 ± 0.004 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
0.185 \pm 0.022	535	BASILE 71	CNTR	$1.6 \pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$
0.189 \pm 0.026	123	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7\text{--}2.7 K^- p$

 $\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.50 ± 0.17 OUR FIT				
3.57 ± 0.26 OUR AVERAGE				
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
3.522 \pm 0.082 \pm 0.254	2015	ABLIKIM 17	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(3\pi^0)$
4.79 \pm 0.59 \pm 1.14	183	¹ ABLIKIM 15P	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$
3.56 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.34	309	² ABLIKIM 12E	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(3\pi^0)$

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature to a single value.² Superseded by ABLIKIM 17. $\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_8/Γ_4

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
112 ± 8 OUR FIT				
78 ± 10 OUR AVERAGE				
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
86 \pm 19	235	BLIK 08	GAMS	$32 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
74 \pm 15		ALDE 87B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$
75 \pm 18		BINON 84	GAM2	$30\text{--}40 \pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_9/Γ_7

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
4.9 ± 1.2	33	VIKTOROV 80	CNTR	$25,33 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\mu\gamma$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.13 ± 0.13 OUR AVERAGE					
2.15 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.02		434	¹ ABLIKIM 24AK	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
1.94 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.02		53	² ABLIKIM 21I	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
< 2.9		90	³ ABLIKIM 130	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
< 24		90	⁴ NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ ABLIKIM 24AK reports $(2.16 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 21I reports $(1.97 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.21 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Using $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (29.3 \pm 0.6)\%$ from PDG 12.

⁴ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{10}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_1

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.5	90	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$ Γ_{10}/Γ_2

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.0	90	ABLIKIM	130	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.61 ± 0.18 OUR FIT				
3.61 ± 0.18 OUR AVERAGE				

$3.591 \pm 0.054 \pm 0.174$	6067	ABLIKIM	17	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
$4.28 \pm 0.49 \pm 1.11$	78	¹ ABLIKIM	15P	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-3\pi$
$3.7 \begin{matrix} +1.1 \\ -0.9 \end{matrix} \pm 0.4$		² NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$3.83 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.39$	1014	³ ABLIKIM	12E	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
--------------------------	------	----------------------	-----	---

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature to a single value.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{11}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 17.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{11}/Γ_1

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
8.5 ± 0.4 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
8.27^{+2.49}_{-2.12} ± 0.04	20	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (21^{+6}_{-5} \pm 2) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma((\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \text{ S-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
37.63±0.77±5.00	6580	¹ ABLIKIM	17	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature.

 $\Gamma(\pi^\mp\rho^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
7.44±0.60±2.23	1231	¹ ABLIKIM	17	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^\mp\rho^\pm)$

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature.

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
8.51±0.32±0.10		1650	¹ ABLIKIM	24F	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

8.3 ± 0.9 ± 0.1	199	2,3 ABLIKIM	14M BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
< 24	90	4 NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<1000	90	RITTENBERG	69 HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

¹ ABLIKIM 24F reports $(8.56 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 14M reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ $= (4.40 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 24F.

⁴ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{14}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$

Γ_{14}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.6	90	¹ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{15}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.11±0.15±0.02	865	¹ ABLIKIM	24F BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.78 ± 0.38 ± 0.02	84	2,3 ABLIKIM	14M BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<27	90	4 NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ ABLIKIM 24F reports $(2.12 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ABLIKIM 14M reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ $= (9.38 \pm 1.79 \pm 0.89) \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 24F.

⁴ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{15}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$

Γ_{15}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6	90	¹ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 15 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	95	DANBURG	73	HBC $2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG	69	HBC $1.7-2.7 K^- p$

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.002	90	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG	69	HBC $1.7-2.7 K^- p$

¹ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{17}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09. $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{17}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 11 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.				

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	95	KALBFLEISCH 64B	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2(\pi^+\pi^-)+\text{MM}$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.01	90	LONDON	66	HBC Compilation

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.1	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13U	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.16 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$.² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{19}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09. $\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{19}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.2	90	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.				

 $\Gamma(K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$ Γ_{20}/Γ_2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	16M	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.43 ± 0.06 OUR FIT					
$2.44 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.03$		22725	¹ ABLIKIM	24AK BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.11 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.14$	429	² ABLIKIM	130	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
$2.5 \begin{array}{l} +1.2 \\ -0.9 \end{array} \pm 0.5$		³ NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<6	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC	$K^- p$

¹ ABLIKIM 24AK reports $(2.45 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Using $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (29.3 \pm 0.6)\%$ from PDG 12.

³ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{21}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$

Γ_{21}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.72 ± 0.17 OUR FIT				

$5.51 \begin{array}{l} +3.00 \\ -2.29 \end{array} \pm 0.03$	8	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
---	---	-------------------	----	------	----------------------------------

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (14 \begin{array}{l} +7 \\ -5 \end{array} \pm 3) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))$

Γ_{21}/Γ_2

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.24 ± 0.21 OUR FIT				

$8.20 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.27$	2584	ABLIKIM	21J	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
--------------------------	------	---------	-----	------	----------------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$7.2 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5$	429	¹ ABLIKIM	130	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
-----------------------	-----	----------------------	-----	------	----------------------------------

¹ Superseded by ABLIKIM 21J.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ e^- \nu_e + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$

Γ_{22}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.0					

$4.80 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.05$	90	ABLIKIM	13G	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$
--------------------------	----	---------	-----	------	--------------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<9	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO	$10.6 e^+ e^-$
----	----	--------	----	------	----------------

¹ ABLIKIM 24M reports $(4.83 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\gamma e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-2})	<u>EVTS</u>
$2.13 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.07$	864

 Γ_{23}/Γ_7

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
ABLIKIM	150 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-$

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>
$3.20 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.23$	3.4k

 Γ_{24}/Γ

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
ABLIKIM	17T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta)$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>
< 37	90

 Γ_{24}/Γ_4

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
ALDE	87B GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \gamma\gamma(\text{non resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>EVTS</u>
$6.16 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.67$	655

 Γ_{25}/Γ

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
ABLIKIM	17T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>
$< 1.33 \times 10^{-4}$	90

 Γ_{26}/Γ

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
ABLIKIM	19AW BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma 2\gamma$

 $\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90

 Γ_{27}/Γ

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1 ABLIKIM	24F BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 4.94 \times 10^{-5}$ 90 ² ABLIKIM 20E BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

$< 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 DONSKOV 14 GAM4 $32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$

¹ ABLIKIM 24F reports $< 1.24 \times 10^{-5}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = 5.28 \times 10^{-3}$.

² Superseded by ABLIKIM 24F.

 $\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta)$ Γ_{27}/Γ_4

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>
< 23	90

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
ALDE	87B GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n8\gamma$

 $\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>
$< 5.6 \times 10^{-9}$	90

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1 ACHASOV	15 SND	$0.958 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 12 \times 10^{-9}$ 90 ² AKHMETSHIN 15 CMD3 $0.958 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

$< 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$ 90 VOROBIEV 88 ND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

¹ Combining data of ACHASOV 15 and AKHMETSHIN 15 and using $\Gamma(\eta') = 0.198 \pm 0.009$ MeV.

² Using $\Gamma_{\eta'(958)} = 198 \pm 9$ keV, $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) = (42.9 \pm 0.7)\%$, and $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20)\%$.

$\Gamma(e^+ e^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-6})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$4.5 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.1$	30	¹ ABLIKIM	22E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ ABLIKIM 22E reports $(4.5 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-6}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow e^+ e^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\mathcal{B}(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ assuming $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which we rescale to our best value $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-)$ Γ_{21}/Γ_{10}

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

 $113.4 \pm 0.9 \pm 3.7$

434

¹ ABLIKIM

24AK

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ ABLIKIM 24AK value is not independent from the individual branching fraction measurements.

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ ANDREEV	24D NA64	CEX $\pi^- \text{Fe} \rightarrow \eta' X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $<9.5 \times 10^{-4}$

90

² NAIK

09

CLEO

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ ANDREEV 24D result is based on 2.9×10^9 pions on active ^{26}Fe target.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{30}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$ Γ_{30}/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

 <2.1

90

¹ NAIK

09

CLEO

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \text{invisible})/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)] / [\mathcal{B}(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $\mathcal{B}(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_{30}/Γ_7

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

 $<2.4 \times 10^{-2}$

90

ABLIKIM

13

BES3

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$ $<6.69 \times 10^{-2}$

90

ABLIKIM

06Q

BES

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$ $\Gamma(\gamma\text{Dark Photon})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-6})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.5 to 3.5	90	7611	¹ ABLIKIM	24M BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ For a dark photon decaying to $e^+ e^-$ in the mass range 0 to 0.7 GeV.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 0.18	90	¹ AAIJ	17D LHCb	$D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.5	90	² ABLIKIM	11G	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
< 29	90	³ MORI	07A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
< 3.3	90	⁴ MORI	07A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
<800	95	DANBURG	73	HBC	$2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
<200	90	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	$1.7\text{--}2.7 K^- p$

¹ Using branching fractions of $D_{(s)}^+$ decays from PDG 15.

² ABLIKIM 11G reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))] < 2.84 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = 5.28 \times 10^{-3}$.

³ Taking into account interference with the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ continuum.

⁴ Without interference with the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ continuum.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{33}/Γ
$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	11G	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
¹ ABLIKIM 11G reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))] < 2.84 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = 5.28 \times 10^{-3}$.					

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{33}/Γ_4
< 45	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{34}/Γ
< 1.4	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO	$10.6 e^+ e^-$
<p>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</p>					
<13	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC	$2.7 K^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{35}/Γ
< 0.04	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC	$2.7 K^- p$

$\Gamma(\eta e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{36}/Γ
< 2.4	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO	$10.6 e^+ e^-$
<p>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</p>					
<11	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC	$2.7 K^- p$

$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{37}/Γ_4
< 4.6	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n3\gamma$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{38}/Γ
< 6.0	90	DZHELYADIN	81	CNTR	$30 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{39}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-5})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.5	90	DZHELYADIN 81	CNTR	$30 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$\Gamma(e\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{40}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<4.7	90	BRIERE 00	CLEO	$10.6 e^+ e^-$
$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \text{ALP} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-)$				Γ_{41}/Γ_{21}
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<7.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM 24AK BES3	J/ψ → γη'	

¹ For a pseudoscalar ALP, with a mass in the range 0–500 MeV. The measured limit at the 90% credibility level as a function of the mass of ALP ranges from 0.1×10^{-3} to 7.8×10^{-3} .

$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta \pi \pi$ DECAY PARAMETERS

$$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 = |1 + \alpha Y|^2 + CX + DX^2$$

X and Y are Dalitz variables; α is complex and C , and D are real-valued.

Parameters C and D are not necessarily equal to c and d , respectively, in the generalized parameterization following this one. May be different for $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ decays. Because of different initial assumptions and strong correlations of the parameters we do not average the parameters in the section below.

$\text{Re}(\alpha)$ decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.034 ± 0.002 ± 0.002	351k	ABLIKIM	18	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
-0.054 ± 0.004 ± 0.001	56k	ABLIKIM	18	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
-0.033 ± 0.005 ± 0.003	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
-0.072 ± 0.012 ± 0.006	7k	² AMELIN	05A	$28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A^*$
-0.021 ± 0.018 ± 0.017	6.7k	³ BRIERE	00	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- X$
-0.058 ± 0.013 ± 0.003	5.4k	⁴ ALDE	86	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
-0.08 ± 0.03		^{4,5} KALBFLEISCH 74	RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

³ Assuming $\text{Im}(\alpha) = 0$, $C = 0$, and $D = 0$.

⁴ Assuming $C = 0$.

⁵ From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

$\text{Im}(\alpha)$ decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.000 ± 0.019 ± 0.001	351k	ABLIKIM	18	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

0.000 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.002	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
0.000 \pm 0.049 \pm 0.001	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.0 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.0	7k	² AMELIN	05A	VES	$28\pi^-A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-A^*$
-0.00 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.00	5.4k	³ ALDE	86	GAM2	$38\pi^-p \rightarrow n\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
0.0 \pm 0.3		^{3,4} KALBFLEISCH	74	RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.³ Assuming $C = 0$.⁴ From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

C decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.0027 \pm 0.0024 \pm 0.0015	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.018 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.003	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.020 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.004	7k	² AMELIN	05A	VES $28\pi^-A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-A^*$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

D decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.053 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.004	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.061 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.005	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.059 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.004	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.066 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.015	7k	² AMELIN	05A	VES $28\pi^-A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-A^*$
0.00 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.00	5.4k	³ ALDE	86	GAM2 $38\pi^-p \rightarrow n\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
0		^{3,4} KALBFLEISCH	74	RVUE $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.³ Assuming $C = 0$.⁴ From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi$ DECAY PARAMETERS

$$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 \propto 1 + a Y + b Y^2 + c X + d X^2$$

X and Y are Dalitz variables and a , b , c , and d are real-valued parameters.May be different for $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decays.

We do not average measurements in the section below because parameter values from each experiment are strongly correlated.

a decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.077 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.001		¹ ABLIKIM	23AH	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$

$-0.056 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.002$	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$-0.087 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.006$	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$-0.074 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.006$	124k	ADLARSON	18A	A2MM	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$-0.072 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.008$		² GONZALEZ-S..18A		RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$-0.047 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.003$	44k	ABLIKIM	11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$-0.066 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.003$	15k	⁴ BLIK	09	GAM4	$32.5\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$-0.127 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.008$	20k	⁵ DOROFEEV	07	VES	$27\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta'\pi^- A^*$

¹ Fit IV, ignoring noncusp terms. Supersedes ABLIKIM 18.² Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.³ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.⁴ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decay.⁵ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ decay.**b decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-0.066 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.001$		¹ ABLIKIM	23AH BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$-0.049 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.006$	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$-0.073 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.005$	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$-0.063 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.005$	124k	ADLARSON	18A	A2MM $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$-0.052 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.002$		² GONZALEZ-S..18A		RVUE $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$-0.069 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.009$	44k	ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$-0.063 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.004$	15k	⁴ BLIK	09	GAM4 $32.5\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$-0.106 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.014$	20k	⁵ DOROFEEV	07	VES $27\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta'\pi^- A^*$

¹ Fit IV, ignoring noncusp terms. Supersedes ABLIKIM 18.² Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.³ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.⁴ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decay.⁵ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ decay.**c decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.0027 \pm 0.0024 \pm 0.0018$	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$0.019 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.003$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$-0.107 \pm 0.096 \pm 0.003$	15k	² BLIK	09	GAM4 $32.5\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$0.015 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.014$	20k	³ DOROFEEV	07	VES $27\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta'\pi^- A^*$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.² From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decay.³ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ decay.**d decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-0.068 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.001$		¹ ABLIKIM	23AH BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$

$-0.063 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.003$	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$-0.074 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.004$	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$-0.050 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.005$	124k	ADLARSON	18A	A2MM	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$-0.051 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.006$		² GONZALEZ-S..	18A	RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$-0.073 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.003$	44k	ABLIKIM	11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$0.018 \pm 0.078 \pm 0.006$	15k	⁴ BLIK	09	GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$-0.082 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.008$	20k	⁵ DOROFEEV	07	VES	$27 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n, \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

¹ Fit IV, ignoring noncusp terms. Supersedes ABLIKIM 18.² Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.³ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.⁴ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decay. If $c \equiv 0$ from Bose-Einstein symmetry, $d = -0.067 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.003$.⁵ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ decay.

$\eta'(958)$ β PARAMETER $|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 = (1 + 2\beta Z)$

See the “Note on η Decay Parameters” in our 1994 edition Physical Review **D50** 1173 (1994), p. 1454.

β decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.61 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
$-0.640 \pm 0.046 \pm 0.047$	1.8k	ABLIKIM	15G	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)$
-0.59 ± 0.18	235	BLIK	08	GAMS $32 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-0.1 ± 0.3		ALDE	87B	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n3\pi^0$

$\eta'(958)$ C-NONCONSERVING DECAY PARAMETER

See the note on η decay parameters in the Stable Particle Particle Listings for definition of this parameter.

DECAY ASYMMETRY PARAMETER FOR $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.03 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
-0.019 ± 0.056		AIHARA	87	TPC $2\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
-0.069 ± 0.078	295	GRIGORIAN	75	STRC $2.1 \pi^- p$
0.00 ± 0.10	103	KALBFLEISCH	75	HBC $2.18 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
0.07 ± 0.08	152	RITTENBERG	65	HBC $2.1-2.7 K^- p$

$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\ell^+\ell^-$ TRANSITION FORM FACTOR SLOPE

Related to the effective virtual meson mass Λ , via slope $\approx \Lambda^{-2}$. See e.g. LANDSBERG 85, eq. (3.8), for a detailed definition.

VALUE (GeV $^{-2}$)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.53 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
1.524 ± 0.041	7611	¹ ABLIKIM	24M	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
1.7 ± 0.4	33	¹ VIKTOROV	80	$25,33 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\mu\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.30 ± 0.19 2 ABLIKIM 24AK BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$

1.60 $\pm 0.17 \pm 0.08$ 864 1 ABLIKIM 150 BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-$

¹ In the single-pole Ansatz where slope = $1/(\Lambda^2 + \gamma^2)$ with Λ , γ being a Breit-Wigner mass, width for the effective contributing vector meson.

² From a weighted average of the $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ values. The uncertainty is obtained by combining statistical and systematic uncertainties.

TFF slope values go between $1.10 \pm 0.21 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and $1.61 \pm 0.71 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ for $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$, and between $2.37 \pm 0.49 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and $2.88 \pm 0.25 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ for $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$, according to the solution of the fitting function model.

$\eta'(958)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	24AK	JHEP 2407 135	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24F	PR D109 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24M	PR D109 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ANDREEV	24D	PRL 133 121803	Yu.M. Andreev <i>et al.</i>	(NA64 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23AH	PRL 130 081901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22E	PR D105 112010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21I	PR D103 072006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21J	PR D103 092005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20E	PR D101 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AW	PR D100 052015	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19T	PRL 122 142002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18	PR D97 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18C	PRL 120 242003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ADLARSON	18A	PR D98 012001	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i>	(A2 Collab. at MAMI)
GONZALEZ-S...	18A	EPJ C78 758	S. Gonzalez-Solis, E. Passemar	(BEIJ, IND+)
AAIJ	17D	PL B764 233	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17	PRL 118 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17T	PR D96 012005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16M	PR D93 072008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15AD	PR D92 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15G	PR D92 012014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15O	PR D92 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15P	PR D92 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	15	PR D91 092010	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	15	PL B740 273	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
PDG	15	RPP 2015 at pdg.lbl.gov		(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	14M	PRL 112 251801	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
DONSKOV	14	MPL A29 1450213	S. Donskov <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS-4 π Collab.)
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13	PR D87 012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13G	PR D87 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13O	PR D87 092011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13U	PR D88 091502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12E	PRL 108 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11	PR D83 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11G	PR D84 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
CZERWINSKI	10	PRL 105 122001	E. Czerwinski <i>et al.</i>	(COSY-11 Collab.)
BLIK	09	PAN 72 231	A.M. Blik <i>et al.</i>	(IHEP (Protvino))
		Translated from YAF 72 258.		
NAIK	09	PRL 102 061801	P. Naik <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PEDLAR	09	PR D79 111101	T.K. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BLIK	08	PAN 71 2124	A. Blik <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS-4 π Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 71 2161.		
LIBBY	08	PRL 101 182002	J. Libby <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DOROFEEV	07	PL B651 22	V. Dorofeev <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
MORI	07A	JPSJ 76 074102	T. Mori <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06E	PR D73 052008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06Q	PRL 97 202002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AMELIN	05A	PAN 68 372	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 68 401.		

AMSLER	04B	EPJ C33 23	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BRIERE	00	PRL 84 26	R. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98Q	PL B418 399	M. Acciari <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
WURZINGER	96	PL B374 283	R. Wurzinger <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, ORSAY, SACL+)
PDG	94	PR D50 1173	L. Montanet <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, LBL, BOST+)
AMSLER	93	ZPHY C58 175	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BELADIDZE	92C	SJNP 55 1535	G.M. Beladidze, S.I. Bityukov, G.V. Borisov	(SERP+)
		Translated from YAF 55 2748.		
KARCH	92	ZPHY C54 33	K. Karch <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BEHREND	91	ZPHY C49 401	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	90	PR D42 10	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BARU	90	ZPHY C48 581	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(MD-1 Collab.)
BUTLER	90	PR D42 1368	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
KARCH	90	PL B249 353	K. Karch <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ROE	90	PR D41 17	N.A. Roe <i>et al.</i>	(ASP Collab.)
AIHARA	88C	PR D38 1	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)
VOROBIEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 48 436.		
WILLIAMS	88	PR D38 1365	D.A. Williams <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
AIHARA	87	PR D35 2650	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.) JP
ALBRECHT	87B	PL B199 457	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALDE	87B	ZPHY C36 603	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BELG, SERP, LAPP)
ANTREASYAN	87	PR D36 2633	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)
ALDE	86	PL B177 115	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP)
BARTEL	85E	PL 160B 421	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
LANDSBERG	85	PRPL 128 301	L.G. Landsberg	(SERP)
ALTHOFF	84E	PL 147B 487	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
BERGER	84B	PL 142B 125	C. Berger	(PLUTO Collab.)
BINON	84	PL 140B 264	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
JENNI	83	PR D27 1031	P. Jenni <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)
BARTEL	82B	PL 113B 190	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BEHREND	82C	PL 114B 378	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
Also		PL 125B 518 (errat.)	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
DZHELYADIN	81	PL 105B 239	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
STANTON	80	PL B92 353	N.R. Stanton <i>et al.</i>	(OSU, CARL, MCGI+)
VIKTOROV	80	SJNP 32 520	V.A. Viktorov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 32 1005.		
APEL	79	PL 83B 131	W.D. Apel, K.H. Augenstein, E. Bertolucci	(KARLK+)
BINNIE	79	PL 83B 141	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC)
ZANFINO	77	PRL 38 930	C. Zanfino <i>et al.</i>	(CARL, MCGI, OHIO+)
GRIGORIAN	75	NP B91 232	A. Grigorian <i>et al.</i>	(+)
KALBFLEISCH	75	PR D11 987	G.R. Kalbfleisch, R.C. Strand, J.W. Chapman	(BNL+)
DUANE	74	PRL 32 425	A. Duane <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
KALBFLEISCH	74	PR D10 916	G.R. Kalbfleisch	(BNL)
DANBURG	73	PR D8 3744	J.S. Danburg <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, MICH) JP
JACOBS	73	PR D8 18	S.M. Jacobs <i>et al.</i>	(BRAN, UMD, SYRA+) JP
AGUILAR-...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
APEL	72	PL 40B 680	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA)
DALPIAZ	72	PL 42B 377	P.F. Dalpiaz <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
BASILE	71	NC 3A 371	M. Basile <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BGNA, STRB)
HARVEY	71	PRL 27 885	E.H. Harvey <i>et al.</i>	(MINN, MICH)
BENSINGER	70	PL 33B 505	J.R. Bensinger <i>et al.</i>	(WISC)
RITTENBERG	69	Thesis UCRL 18863	A. Rittenberg	(LRL) I
DAVIS	68	PL 27B 532	R. Davis <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, ANL)
LONDON	66	PR 143 1034	G.W. London <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA) IJP
BADIER	65B	PL 17 337	J. Badier <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, SACL, AMST)
RITTENBERG	65	PRL 15 556	A. Rittenberg, G.R. Kalbfleisch	(LRL, BNL)
DAUBER	64	PRL 13 449	P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA) JP
KALBFLEISCH	64B	PRL 13 349	G.R. Kalbfleisch, O.I. Dahl, A. Rittenberg	(LRL) JP