



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ***$$

I, J, P need confirmation.

In the quark model, Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- are an isodoublet (usb , dsb) state; the lowest Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- ought to have $J^P = 1/2^+$. None of I, J , or P have actually been measured.

Ξ_b^0 MASS

Ξ_b^0 MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5791.7 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE			
5791.12 ± 0.60 ± 0.51	¹ AAIJ	24V LHCb	pp at 13 TeV
5794.3 ± 2.4 ± 0.7	AAIJ	14H LHCb	pp at 7 TeV
5791.80 ± 0.39 ± 0.31	² AAIJ	14Z LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV
5788.7 ± 4.3 ± 1.4	³ AALTONEN	14B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
5787.8 ± 5.0 ± 1.3	⁴ AALTONEN	11X CDF	Repl. by AALTONEN 14B
¹ Uses $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ D_s^-$ decays.			
² Uses $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ decays. The measurement comes from the mass difference of Ξ_b^0 and Λ_b^0 .			
³ Uses $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$ decays.			
⁴ Measured in $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$ with $25.3^{+5.6}_{-5.4}$ candidates.			

$m_{\Xi_b^0} - m_{\Lambda_b^0}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
172.3 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE			
171.78 ± 0.60 ± 0.33	¹ AAIJ	24V LHCb	pp at 13 TeV
174.8 ± 2.4 ± 0.5	AAIJ	14H LHCb	pp at 7 TeV
172.44 ± 0.39 ± 0.17	² AAIJ	14Z LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV
¹ Uses $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ D_s^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-$ decays.			
² Uses $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ decays.			

Ξ_b^0 MEAN LIFE

“OUR EVALUATION” is an average using rescaled values of the data listed below. The average and rescaling were performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV) and are described at <https://hflav.web.cern.ch/>. The averaging/rescaling procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements and asymmetric lifetime errors.

Ξ_b^0 MEAN LIFE

VALUE (10^{-12} s)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.477 ± 0.032 OUR EVALUATION	(Produced by HFLAV)		
1.477 ± 0.026 ± 0.019	¹ AAIJ	14Z LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV
¹ Uses $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ decays. The measurement comes from the value of relative lifetime of Ξ_b^0 to Λ_b^0 .			

τ_{mix} ($1/2\pi$) times the $\Xi_b^0 - \Xi_b^0$ oscillation period

VALUE (s)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$>13 \times 10^{-12}$	¹ AAIJ	17BH LHCb	pp at 7, 8 TeV
¹ Uses Ξ_b^{*-} and $\Xi_b^{\prime-}$ decays to $\Xi_b^0 \pi^-$, where $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$, $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$.			

 Ξ_b^0 DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad p D^0 K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)$	$(1.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$	
$\Gamma_2 \quad p \bar{K}^0 \pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B^0)$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90%
$\Gamma_3 \quad p K^0 K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B^0)$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90%
$\Gamma_4 \quad \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90%
$\Gamma_5 \quad \Lambda K^- \pi^+ \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$< 8 \times 10^{-7}$	90%
$\Gamma_6 \quad \Lambda K^+ K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$< 3 \times 10^{-7}$	90%
$\Gamma_7 \quad J/\psi \Lambda$	seen	
$\Gamma_8 \quad J/\psi \Xi^0$	seen	
$\Gamma_9 \quad \Xi_c^+ D_s^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$(1.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{10} \quad \Lambda_c^+ K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)$	$(6 \pm 4) \times 10^{-7}$	
$\Gamma_{11} \quad p K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$(1.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$	
$\Gamma_{12} \quad p K^- K^- \pi^+ \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$(1.73 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-6}$	
$\Gamma_{13} \quad p K^- K^+ K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0)$	$(1.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-7}$	

 Ξ_b^0 BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(pD^0K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ		
VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4$	¹ AAIJ	14H LHCb	pp at 7 TeV

¹ AAIJ 14H reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b \rightarrow p D^0 K^- \times B(\bar{b} \rightarrow \Xi_b))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\bar{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon})] / [B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p D^0 K^-)] = 0.44 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.06$ which we multiply by our best values $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow b\text{-baryon}) = (8.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p D^0 K^-) = (4.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

$$\Gamma(p\bar{K}^0\pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AAIJ	14Q	LHCB pp at 7 TeV

$$\Gamma(pK^0K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AAIJ	14Q	LHCB pp at 7 TeV

$$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^+\pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AAIJ	16W	LHCB pp at 7, 8 TeV

$$\Gamma(\Lambda K^- \pi^+ \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<0.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AAIJ	16W	LHCB pp at 7, 8 TeV

$$\Gamma(\Lambda K^+ K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<0.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AAIJ	16W	LHCB pp at 7, 8 TeV

$$\Gamma(J/\psi\Lambda)/\Gamma(J/\psi\Xi^0) \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma_8$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$8.2 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.9$	¹ AAIJ	20U	LHCB pp at 7, 8 and 13 TeV

¹ The Cabibbo suppressed $\Xi_b \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$ decay is observed for the first time.

$$\Gamma(\Xi_c^+ D_s^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.7 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.2$	¹ AAIJ	24V	LHCB pp at 13 TeV

¹ AAIJ 24V reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ D_s^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-)] = (15.8 \pm 1.1 \pm 7.7) \times 10^{-2}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-) = (1.10 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0))/\Gamma(pD^0K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)) \quad \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.35 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.01$	¹ AAIJ	14H	LHCB pp at 7 TeV

¹ AAIJ 14H reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \times B(\bar{b} \rightarrow \Xi_b)) / \Gamma(\Xi_b \rightarrow pD^0K^- \times B(\bar{b} \rightarrow \Xi_b))] \times [B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+)] / [B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)] = 0.57 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.21$ which we multiply or divide by our best values $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+) = (6.35 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) = (3.945 \pm 0.030) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

$$\Gamma(pK^-\pi^+\pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.9 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$	¹ AAIJ	18Q LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ AAIJ 18Q reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+\pi^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)] / [B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\pi^-)] = (6.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best values $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+) = (6.35 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\pi^-) = (4.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

$$\Gamma(pK^-K^-\pi^+ \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.73 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.14$	¹ AAIJ	18Q LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ AAIJ 18Q reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b \rightarrow pK^-K^-\pi^+ \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)] / [B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\pi^-)] = (5.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best values $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+) = (6.35 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\pi^-) = (4.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

$$\Gamma(pK^-K^+K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.18 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.01$	^{1,2} AAIJ	18Q LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ AAIJ 18Q reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b \rightarrow pK^-K^+K^- \times B(b \rightarrow \Xi_b^0)/B(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)] / [B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\pi^-)] = (0.57 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best values $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+) = (6.35 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\pi^-) = (4.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

² AAIJ 18Q sees excess with a significance of 2.3σ . Using $B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\pi^-) = (0.430 \pm 0.036) \times 10^{-2}$ and $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+) = (6.46 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-2}$ the authors set two sided limit $[0.11-0.25]$ at 90% C.L.

P AND CP VIOLATION ASYMMETRIES

$$a_P(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-K^-\pi^+)$$

Observable calculated as average of the triple products for Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^0 , which is sensitive to parity violation.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-3.04 \pm 5.19 \pm 0.36$	¹ AAIJ	18AG LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ Measured over full phase space of the decay.

$$a_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-K^-\pi^+)$$

Observable calculated as half of the difference between triple products for Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^0 , which is sensitive to CP violation.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-3.58 \pm 5.19 \pm 0.36$	¹ AAIJ	18AG LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV

¹ Measured over full phase space of the decay.

$$\Delta A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$$
$$\Delta A_{CP} \equiv A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) - A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow (\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-17 \pm 11 \pm 1$	¹ AAIJ	19AH LHCb	pp at 7 and 8 TeV

¹ Full phase space.

$$\Delta A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+ K^-)$$
$$\Delta A_{CP} \equiv A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+ K^-) - A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow (\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-)$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-6.8 \pm 8.0 \pm 0.8$	¹ AAIJ	19AH LHCb	pp at 7 and 8 TeV

¹ Full phase space.

Ξ_b^0 REFERENCES

AAIJ	24V	EPJ C84 237	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	20U	PRL 124 111802	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	19AH	EPJ C79 745	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	18AG	JHEP 1808 039	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	18Q	JHEP 1802 098	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17BH	PRL 119 181807	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	16W	JHEP 1605 081	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	14H	PR D89 032001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	14Q	JHEP 1404 087	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	14Z	PRL 113 032001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AALTONEN	14B	PR D89 072014	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	11X	PRL 107 102001	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)