



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^-)$$

$D^\pm$ MASS

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0 , $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0} , $D_s^{*\pm}$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_2^*(2460)^0$, and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1869.66 ± 0.05 OUR FIT				
1869.5 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE				
1869.53 ± 0.49 ± 0.20	110 ± 15	ANASHIN	10A	KEDR e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
1870.0 ± 0.5 ± 1.0	317	BARLAG	90C	ACCM π^- Cu 230 GeV
1869.4 ± 0.6	¹	TRILLING	81	RVUE e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1875 ± 10	9	ADAMOVICH	87	EMUL Photoproduction
1860 ± 16	6	ADAMOVICH	84	EMUL Photoproduction
1863 ± 4		DERRICK	84	HRS e^+e^- 29 GeV
1868.4 ± 0.5	¹	SCHINDLER	81	MRK2 e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
1874 ± 5		GOLDHABER	77	MRK1 D^0 , D^+ recoil spectra
1868.3 ± 0.9	¹	PERUZZI	77	LGW e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
1874 ± 11		PICCOLO	77	MRK1 e^+e^- 4.03, 4.41 GeV
1876 ± 15	50	PERUZZI	76	MRK1 $K^\mp \pi^\pm \pi^\pm$

¹PERUZZI 77 and SCHINDLER 81 errors do not include the 0.13% uncertainty in the absolute SPEAR energy calibration. TRILLING 81 uses the high precision $J/\psi(1S)$ and $\psi(2S)$ measurements of ZHOLENTZ 80 to determine this uncertainty and combines the PERUZZI 77 and SCHINDLER 81 results to obtain the value quoted.

$D^\pm$ MEAN LIFE

Measurements with an error $> 100 \times 10^{-15}$ s have been omitted from the Listings.

VALUE (10^{-15} s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1033 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE				
1030.4 ± 4.7 ± 3.1	171k	¹ ABUDINEN	21A	BEL2 e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
1039.4 ± 4.3 ± 7.0	110k	LINK	02F	FOCS γ nucleus, ≈ 180 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1033.6 ± 22.1 ^{+9.9} _{-12.7}	3.7k	BONVICINI	99	CLEO $e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
1048 ± 15 ± 11	9k	FRABETTI	94D	E687 $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1075 ± 40 ± 18	2.4k	FRABETTI	91	E687 γ Be, $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1030 ± 80 ± 60	200	ALVAREZ	90	NA14 γ , $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1050 ⁺⁷⁷ ₋₇₂	317	² BARLAG	90C	ACCM π^- Cu 230 GeV
1050 ± 80 ± 70	363	ALBRECHT	88i	ARG e^+e^- 10 GeV
1090 ± 30 ± 25	2.9k	RAAB	88	E691 Photoproduction

¹ABUDINEN 21A determines the lifetime ratio $\tau(D^+)/\tau(D^0) = 2.510 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.007$.

²BARLAG 90C estimates the systematic error to be negligible.

D^+ DECAY MODES

Most decay modes (other than the semileptonic modes) that involve a neutral K meson are now given as K_S^0 modes, not as $\bar{K}^0$ modes. Nearly always it is a K_S^0 that is measured, and interference between Cabibbo-allowed and doubly Cabibbo-suppressed modes can invalidate the assumption that $2\Gamma(K_S^0) = \Gamma(\bar{K}^0)$.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Inclusive modes		
Γ_1 e^+ semileptonic	$(16.07 \pm 0.30) \%$	
Γ_2 μ^+ anything	$(17.6 \pm 3.2) \%$	
Γ_3 K^- anything	$(25.7 \pm 1.4) \%$	
Γ_4 K_S^0 anything	$(33.1 \pm 0.4) \%$	
Γ_5 K^+ anything	$(5.9 \pm 0.8) \%$	
Γ_6 $K^*(892)^-$ anything	$(6 \pm 5) \%$	
Γ_7 $\bar{K}^*(892)^0$ anything	$(23 \pm 5) \%$	
Γ_8 $K^*(892)^0$ anything	< 6.6	$\%$ CL=90%
Γ_9 η anything	$(6.3 \pm 0.7) \%$	
Γ_{10} η' anything	$(1.04 \pm 0.18) \%$	
Γ_{11} ϕ anything	$(1.12 \pm 0.04) \%$	
Γ_{12} $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ anything	$(15.25 \pm 0.20) \%$	
Leptonic and semileptonic modes		
Γ_{13} $e^+\nu_e$	< 8.8	$\times 10^{-6}$ CL=90%
Γ_{14} $\gamma e^+\nu_e$	< 3.0	$\times 10^{-5}$ CL=90%
Γ_{15} $\mu^+\nu_\mu$	$(3.74 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{16} $\tau^+\nu_\tau$	$(1.20 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{17} $\bar{K}^0 e^+\nu_e$	$(8.81 \pm 0.07) \%$	
Γ_{18} $\bar{K}^0 \mu^+\nu_\mu$	$(8.68 \pm 0.10) \%$	
Γ_{19} $K_S^0 \pi^0 e^+\nu_e$	$(8.81 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{20} $(K_S^0 \pi^0)_{S-wave} e^+\nu_e$	$(5.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{21} $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+\nu_e, \bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0$	$(8.27 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{22} $K^-\pi^+ e^+\nu_e$	$(4.02 \pm 0.18) \%$	$S=3.2$
Γ_{23} $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+\nu_e, \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$	$(3.77 \pm 0.17) \%$	
Γ_{24} $(K^-\pi^+)_{[0.8-1.0]\text{GeV}} e^+\nu_e$	$(3.39 \pm 0.09) \%$	
Γ_{25} $(K^-\pi^+)_{S-wave} e^+\nu_e$	$(2.28 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{26} $\bar{K}^*(1410)^0 e^+\nu_e, \bar{K}^*(1410)^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$	< 6	$\times 10^{-3}$ CL=90%
Γ_{27} $\bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 e^+\nu_e, \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$	< 5	$\times 10^{-4}$ CL=90%
Γ_{28} $K^-\pi^+ e^+\nu_e$ nonresonant	< 7	$\times 10^{-3}$ CL=90%

Γ_{29}	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e$	$(5.40 \pm 0.10) \%$	S=1.1
Γ_{30}	$K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	$(3.62 \pm 0.33) \%$	
Γ_{31}	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu,$ $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$	$(3.52 \pm 0.10) \%$	
Γ_{32}	$K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ nonresonant	$(1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{33}	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	$(5.27 \pm 0.15) \%$	S=1.1
Γ_{34}	$K^- \pi^+ \pi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{35}	$\bar{K}_1(1270)^0 e^+ \nu_e, \bar{K}_1^0 \rightarrow$ $K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	$(1.06 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{36}	$\bar{K}_1(1270)^0 e^+ \nu_e, \bar{K}_1^0 \rightarrow$ $K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(1.5 \pm_{-0.4}^{0.5}) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{37}	$\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	$< 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{38}	$\bar{K}^*(1680)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{39}	$\pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$	$(3.72 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.0
Γ_{40}	$\pi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	$(3.50 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{41}	$\eta e^+ \nu_e$	$(1.11 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{42}	$\eta \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	$(1.04 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{43}	$\pi^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$	$(2.45 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{44}	$f_0(500)^0 e^+ \nu_e, f_0(500)^0 \rightarrow$ $\pi^+ \pi^-$	$(6.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{45}	$\rho^0 e^+ \nu_e$	$(1.87 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{46}	$\pi^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$		
Γ_{47}	$f_0(500)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu, f_0^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(7.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{48}	$\rho^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	$(1.64 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{49}	$\omega e^+ \nu_e$	$(1.69 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{50}	$\omega \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	$(1.77 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{51}	$\eta'(958) e^+ \nu_e$	$(2.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{52}	$a(980)^0 e^+ \nu_e, a(980)^0 \rightarrow \eta \pi^0$	$(1.7 \pm_{-0.7}^{0.8}) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{53}	$K_S^0 K_S^0 e^+ \nu_e$	$< 1.54 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{54}	$K_S^0 \eta e^+ \nu_e$	$< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{55}	$\eta \eta e^+ \nu_e$	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{56}	$K^+ K^- e^+ \nu_e$	$< 2.10 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{57}	$b_1(1235)^0 e^+ \nu_e, b_1^0 \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$	$< 1.75 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{58}	$\phi e^+ \nu_e$	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{59}	$D^0 e^+ \nu_e$	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

Hadronic modes with a $\bar{K}$ or $\bar{K}K\bar{K}$

Γ_{60}	$K_S^0 \pi^+$	$(1.561 \pm 0.031) \%$	S=1.7
Γ_{61}	$K_L^0 \pi^+$	$(1.46 \pm 0.05) \%$	
Γ_{62}	$K^- 2\pi^+$	[a] $(9.38 \pm 0.16) \%$	S=1.6
Γ_{63}	$(K^- \pi^+)_{S\text{-wave}} \pi^+$	$(7.52 \pm 0.17) \%$	

Γ_{64}	$\bar{K}_0^*(700)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}_0^* \rightarrow$	
Γ_{65}	$\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \pi^+,$ $\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$	[b] (1.25 $\pm$ 0.06) %
Γ_{66}	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+,$ $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$	(1.04 $\pm$ 0.12) %
Γ_{67}	$\bar{K}^*(1410)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow$	not seen
Γ_{68}	$\bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 \pi^+,$ $\bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$	[b] (2.3 $\pm$ 0.7) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{69}	$\bar{K}^*(1680)^0 \pi^+,$ $\bar{K}^*(1680)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$	[b] (2.2 $\pm$ 1.1) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{70}	$K^-(2\pi^+)_{I=2}$	(1.45 $\pm$ 0.26) %
Γ_{71}	$K^- 2\pi^+$ nonresonant	
Γ_{72}	$K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$	[a] (7.36 $\pm$ 0.20) %
Γ_{73}	$K_S^0 \rho^+$	(6.14 $\pm$ 0.60 $\pm$ 0.35) %
Γ_{74}	$K_S^0 \rho(1450)^+, \rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$	(1.5 $\pm$ 1.2 $\pm$ 1.4) $\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{75}	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+,$ $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0$	(2.64 $\pm$ 0.32) $\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{76}	$\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}_0^{*0} \rightarrow$ $K_S^0 \pi^0$	(2.7 $\pm$ 0.9) $\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{77}	$\bar{K}_0^*(1680)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}_0^{*0} \rightarrow$ $K_S^0 \pi^0$	(10 $\pm$ 7 $\pm$ 10) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{78}	$\bar{K}^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0$	(6 $\pm$ 5 $\pm$ 4) $\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{79}	$K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$ nonresonant	(3 $\pm$ 4) $\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{80}	$K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$ nonresonant and $\bar{K}^0 \pi^+$	(1.37 $\pm$ 0.21 $\pm$ 0.40) %
Γ_{81}	$(K_S^0 \pi^0)_{S\text{-wave}} \pi^+$	(1.27 $\pm$ 0.27 $\pm$ 0.33) %
Γ_{82}	$K_S^0 \pi^+ \omega$	(7.1 $\pm$ 0.5) $\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{83}	$K_S^0 \pi^+ \eta$	(1.27 $\pm$ 0.05) %
Γ_{84}	$K_S^0 a_0(980)^+, a_0^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \eta$	(1.33 $\pm$ 0.06) %
Γ_{85}	$\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}_0^* \rightarrow K_S^0 \eta$	(1.38 $\pm$ 0.26) $\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{86}	$K_S^0 \pi^+ \eta'(958)$	(1.90 $\pm$ 0.21) $\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{87}	$K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^0$	[c] (6.25 $\pm$ 0.18) %
Γ_{88}	$K_S^0 2\pi^+ \pi^-$	[c] (3.10 $\pm$ 0.09) %
Γ_{89}	$K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0$	(2.89 $\pm$ 0.09) %
Γ_{90}	$K_S^0 a_1(1260)^+, a_1^+ \rightarrow$ $\rho(770)^+ \pi^0$	(8.7 $\pm$ 1.6) $\times 10^{-3}$

Γ_{91}	$K_S^0 a_1(1260)^+, a_1^+ \rightarrow$	$(1.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{92}	$f_0(500)\pi^+, f_0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ $\bar{K}_1(1400)^0\pi^+, \bar{K}_1^0 \rightarrow$ $\bar{K}^*(892)^0\pi^0, \bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow$ $K_S^0\pi^0$	$(2.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{93}	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0\rho^+, \bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^0$	$(9.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{94}	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0\pi^+\pi^0$ non-resonant, $\bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^0$	$(2.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{95}	$K_S^0\rho^+\pi^0$ non-resonant	$(4.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{96}	$K^-2\pi^+\eta$	$(1.35 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{97}	$K_S^0\pi^+\pi^0\eta$	$(1.22 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{98}	$K^-3\pi^+\pi^-$	[a] $(5.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1
Γ_{99}	$\bar{K}^*(892)^02\pi^+\pi^-,$ $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$	$(1.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{100}	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0\rho^0\pi^+,$ $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$	$(2.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{101}	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 a_1(1260)^+$	[d] $(9.3 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{102}	$\bar{K}^*(892)^02\pi^+\pi^-$ no- ρ , $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$		
Γ_{103}	$K^-\rho^02\pi^+$	$(1.72 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{104}	$K^-3\pi^+\pi^-$ nonresonant	$(4.0 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{105}	$K_S^02\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(1.53 \pm 0.08) \%$	
Γ_{106}	$K_S^0\pi^+3\pi^0$	$(5.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{107}	$K^-2\pi^+2\pi^0$	$(4.95 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{108}	$K^+2K_S^0$	$(2.54 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{109}	$K^+K^-K_S^0\pi^+$	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	

Pionic modes

Γ_{110}	$\pi^+\pi^0$	$(1.247 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{111}	$2\pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.27 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{112}	$\rho^0\pi^+$	$(8.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{113}	$\pi^+(\pi^+\pi^-)_{S\text{-wave}}$	$(2.01 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{114}	$\sigma\pi^+, \sigma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.38 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{115}	$f_0(980)\pi^+, f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.57 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{116}	$f_0(1370)\pi^+, f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	$(8 \pm 4) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{117}	$\omega\pi^+, \omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-6}$	
Γ_{118}	$f_2(1270)\pi^+, f_2 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.58 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{119}	$\rho(1450)^0\pi^+, \rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{120}	$\rho(1700)^0\pi^+, \rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{121}	$f_0(1500)\pi^+, f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{122}	$f_0(1710)\pi^+, f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	$< 5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=95%
Γ_{123}	$f_0(1790)\pi^+, f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	$< 7 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=95%
Γ_{124}	$(\pi^+\pi^+)_{S\text{-wave}}\pi^-$	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%
Γ_{125}	$2\pi^+\pi^-$ nonresonant	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%

Γ_{126}	$\pi^+ 2\pi^0$	$(4.61 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{127}	$2\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(1.165 \pm 0.030) \%$	
Γ_{128}	$\pi^+ 3\pi^0$	$(4.17 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{129}	$\pi^+ 4\pi^0$	$(1.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{130}	$2\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$	$(1.07 \pm 0.05) \%$	
Γ_{131}	$3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	$(1.66 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.1
Γ_{132}	$2\pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$	$(3.42 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{133}	$3\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$	$(2.34 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{134}	$\eta \pi^+$	$(3.77 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{135}	$\eta \pi^+ \pi^0$	$(2.05 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.2
Γ_{136}	$\rho(770)^+ \eta, \rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$	$(1.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{137}	$a_0(980)^+ \pi^0, a_0^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \eta$	$(9.0 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{138}	$a_0(980)^0 \pi^+, a_0^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta$	$(3.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{139}	$a_2(1700)^+ \pi^0, a_2^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \eta$	$(9 \pm 5) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{140}	$a_0(1450)^+ \pi^0, a_0^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \eta$	$(1.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{141}	$\eta 2\pi^+ \pi^-$	$(3.41 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{142}	$\eta \pi^+ 2\pi^0$	$(3.20 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{143}	$\eta \pi^+ 3\pi^0$	$(2.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{144}	$\eta 2\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(3.88 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{145}	$\eta \eta \pi^+$	$(2.96 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{146}	$\omega \pi^+$	$(2.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{147}	$\omega \pi^+ \pi^0$	$(3.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{148}	$\eta'(958) \pi^+$	$(4.97 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{149}	$\eta'(958) \pi^+ \pi^0$	$(1.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	

Hadronic modes with a $K\bar{K}$ pair

Γ_{150}	$K_S^0 K^+$	$(3.04 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$	S=2.2
Γ_{151}	$K_L^0 K^+$	$(3.21 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{152}	$K_S^0 K^+ \pi^0$	$(5.07 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{153}	$K^*(892)^+ K_S^0, K^{*+} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$	$(2.89 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{154}	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+, \bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0$	$(5.2 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{155}	$K^*(892)^+ K_S^0$		
Γ_{156}	$K_L^0 K^+ \pi^0$	$(5.24 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{157}	$K^+ K^- \pi^+$	[a] $(9.68 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{158}	$K^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0, \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$	$(2.49 \pm_{-0.13}^{+0.08}) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{159}	$K^+ \bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0, \bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$	$(1.82 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{160}	$K^+ \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0, \bar{K}_2^* \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$	$(1.6 \pm_{-0.8}^{+1.2}) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{161}	$K^+ \bar{K}_0^*(700), \bar{K}_0^* \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$	$(6.8 \pm_{-2.1}^{+3.5}) \times 10^{-4}$	

Γ_{162}	$a_0(1450)^0 \pi^+, a_0^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$	$(4.5 \pm 7.0 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{163}	$\phi(1680) \pi^+, \phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$	$(4.9 \pm 4.0 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-5}$
Γ_{164}	$\phi \pi^+, \phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$	$(2.69 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{165}	$\phi \pi^+$	$(5.70 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{166}	$K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	$(6.62 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{167}	$K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+$	$(2.97 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{168}	$K_S^0 K^*(892)^+, K^{*+} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+$	$(2.90 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{169}	$K_S^0(K_S^0 \pi^+) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+$	$(1.31 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{170}	$K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$	$(1.34 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{171}	$K_S^0 K^+ \eta$	$(1.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{172}	$K^+ K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(1.89 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{173}	$K_S^0 K^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$	$(5.8 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{174}	$K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+$	$(2.27 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{175}	$K^+ K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^-$	$(2.3 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$

A few poorly measured branching fractions:

Γ_{176}	$\phi \pi^+ \pi^0$	$(2.3 \pm 1.0) \%$	
Γ_{177}	$\phi \rho^+$	$< 1.5 \%$	CL=90%
Γ_{178}	$K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ non- ϕ	$(1.5 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.6) \%$	

Radiative modes

Γ_{179}	$\rho(770)^+ \gamma$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{180}	$K^*(892)^+ \gamma$	< 1.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%

Doubly Cabibbo-suppressed modes

Γ_{181}	$K^+ \pi^0$	$(2.08 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.4
Γ_{182}	$K^+ \eta$	$(1.25 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-4}$	S=1.1
Γ_{183}	$K^+ \eta'(958)$	$(1.85 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{184}	$K^+ 2\pi^0$	$(2.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{185}	$K^*(892)^+ \pi^0$	$(3.4 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{186}	$K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(4.91 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{187}	$K^+ \rho^0$	$(1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{188}	$K^+ \eta \pi^0$	$(2.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{189}	$K^*(892)^+ \eta$	$(4.4 \pm 1.8 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{190}	$K^*(892)^0 \pi^+, K^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$	$(2.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{191}	$K^+ f_0(980), f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(4.4 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{192}	$K_2^*(1430)^0 \pi^+, K_2^*(1430)^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$	$(3.9 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-5}$	

Γ_{193}	$K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ nonresonant	not seen	
Γ_{194}	$K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(1.21 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{195}	$K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ nonresonant	$(1.10 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{196}	$K^+ \omega$	$(5.7 \pm_{-2.1}^{+2.5}) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{197}	$2K^+ K^-$	$(6.14 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{198}	$\phi(1020)^0 K^+$	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{199}	$K^+ \phi(1020), \phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$	$(4.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$	
Γ_{200}	$K^+ (K^+ K^-) S\text{-wave}$	$(5.77 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-5}$	

**$\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current (C1) modes, or Lepton Family number (LF) ,
or Lepton number (L), or Baryon number (B) violating modes**

Γ_{201}	$\pi^+ e^+ e^-$	C1	< 1.1	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{202}	$\pi^+ \pi^0 e^+ e^-$		< 1.4	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{203}	$\pi^+ \phi, \phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-$	[e]	$(1.7 \pm_{-0.9}^{+1.4}) \times 10^{-6}$		
Γ_{204}	$\pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	C1	< 6.7	$\times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%
Γ_{205}	$\pi^+ \phi, \phi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	[e]	$(1.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-6}$		
Γ_{206}	$\rho^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	C1	< 5.6	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{207}	$K^+ e^+ e^-$	[f]	< 8.5	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{208}	$K^+ \pi^0 e^+ e^-$		< 1.5	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{209}	$K_S^0 \pi^+ e^+ e^-$		< 2.6	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{210}	$K_S^0 K^+ e^+ e^-$		< 1.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{211}	$K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	[f]	< 5.4	$\times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%
Γ_{212}	$\pi^+ e^+ \mu^-$	LF	< 2.1	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{213}	$\pi^+ e^- \mu^+$	LF	< 2.2	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{214}	$K^+ e^+ \mu^-$	LF	< 7.5	$\times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%
Γ_{215}	$K^+ e^- \mu^+$	LF	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{216}	$\pi^- 2e^+$	L	< 5.3	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{217}	$\pi^- 2\mu^+$	L	< 1.4	$\times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%
Γ_{218}	$\pi^- e^+ \mu^+$	L	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{219}	$\rho^- 2\mu^+$	L	< 5.6	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{220}	$K^- 2e^+$	L	< 9	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{221}	$K_S^0 \pi^- 2e^+$	L	< 3.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{222}	$K^- \pi^0 2e^+$	L	< 8.5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{223}	$K^- 2\mu^+$	L	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{224}	$K^- e^+ \mu^+$	L	< 1.9	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{225}	$K^*(892)^- 2\mu^+$	L	< 8.5	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{226}	Λe^+	L,B	< 1.1	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{227}	$\bar{\Lambda} e^+$	L,B	< 6.5	$\times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{228}	$\Sigma^0 e^+$	L,B	< 1.7	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{229}	$\bar{\Sigma}^0 e^+$	L,B	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{230}	$\bar{n} e^+$		< 1.43	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{231}	$n e^+$		< 2.91	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%

- [a] The branching fraction for this mode may differ from the sum of the submodes that contribute to it, due to interference effects. See the relevant papers.
- [b] These subfractions of the $K^- 2\pi^+$ mode are uncertain: see the Particle Listings.
- [c] See the listings under " $D \rightarrow K \pi \pi \pi$ partial wave analyses" and our 2008 Review (Physics Letters **B667** 1 (2008)) for measurements of submodes of this mode.
- [d] The unseen decay modes of the resonances are included.
- [e] This is *not* a test for the $\Delta C=1$ weak neutral current, but leads to the $\pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ final state.
- [f] This mode is not a useful test for a $\Delta C=1$ weak neutral current because both quarks must change flavor in this decay.

FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 35 branching ratios uses 46 measurements to determine 18 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 65.0$ for 28 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

x_{22}	0									
x_{29}	0	0								
x_{33}	0	1	0							
x_{43}	0	0	0	0						
x_{44}	0	0	0	0	34					
x_{45}	0	0	0	0	73	25				
x_{60}	0	5	0	1	0	0	0			
x_{62}	0	28	0	3	0	0	0	19		
x_{98}	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	4	19	
x_{110}	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	4	22	4
x_{131}	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	3	17	75
x_{134}	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	14	3
x_{148}	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	4	19	4
x_{150}	0	9	0	1	0	0	0	29	31	6
x_{181}	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1
x_{182}	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
x_{183}	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	1
	x_{18}	x_{22}	x_{29}	x_{33}	x_{43}	x_{44}	x_{45}	x_{60}	x_{62}	x_{98}

x ₁₃₁	4						
x ₁₃₄	3	2					
x ₁₄₈	4	3	3				
x ₁₅₀	7	5	4	6			
x ₁₈₁	1	1	1	1	1		
x ₁₈₂	0	0	14	0	1	0	
x ₁₈₃	1	1	1	32	2	0	0
	x ₁₁₀	x ₁₃₁	x ₁₃₄	x ₁₄₈	x ₁₅₀	x ₁₈₁	x ₁₈₂

D⁺ BRANCHING RATIOS

Some now-obsolete measurements have been omitted from these Listings.

c-quark decays

Γ(c → e⁺ anything)/Γ(c → anything)

For the Summary Table, we only use the average of e⁺ and μ⁺ measurements from Z⁰ → c $\bar{c}$ decays; see the second data block below.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.103±0.009 ^{+0.009} _{-0.008}	378	¹ ABBIENDI	99K OPAL	Z ⁰ → c $\bar{c}$

¹ ABBIENDI 99K uses the excess of right-sign over wrong-sign leptons opposite reconstructed D^{*}(2010)⁺ → D⁰π⁺ decays in Z⁰ → c $\bar{c}$.

Γ(c → μ⁺ anything)/Γ(c → anything)

For the Summary Table, we only use the average of e⁺ and μ⁺ measurements from Z⁰ → c $\bar{c}$ decays; see the next data block.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.082±0.005	OUR AVERAGE			
0.073±0.008±0.002	73	KAYIS-TOPAK.05	CHRS	ν _μ emulsion
0.095±0.007 ^{+0.014} _{-0.013}	2829	ASTIER	00D NOMD	ν _μ Fe → μ ⁻ μ ⁺ X
0.090±0.007 ^{+0.007} _{-0.006}	476	¹ ABBIENDI	99K OPAL	Z ⁰ → c $\bar{c}$
0.086±0.017 ^{+0.008} _{-0.007}	69	² ALBRECHT	92F ARG	e ⁺ e ⁻ ≈ 10 GeV
0.078±0.009±0.012		ONG	88 MRK2	e ⁺ e ⁻ 29 GeV
0.078±0.015±0.02		BARTEL	87 JADE	e ⁺ e ⁻ 34.6 GeV
0.082±0.012 ^{+0.02} _{-0.01}		ALTHOFF	84G TASS	e ⁺ e ⁻ 34.5 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.093±0.009±0.009	88	KAYIS-TOPAK.02	CHRS	See KAYIS-TOPAKSU 05
0.089±0.018±0.025		BARTEL	85J JADE	See BARTEL 87

¹ ABBIENDI 99K uses the excess of right-sign over wrong-sign leptons opposite reconstructed D^{*}(2010)⁺ → D⁰π⁺ decays in Z⁰ → c $\bar{c}$.

² ALBRECHT 92F uses the excess of right-sign over wrong-sign leptons in a sample of events tagged by fully reconstructed D^{*}(2010)⁺ → D⁰π⁺ decays.

$\Gamma(c \rightarrow \ell^+ \text{ anything})/\Gamma(c \rightarrow \text{ anything})$ This is an average (not a sum) of e^+ and μ^+ measurements.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.096 ± 0.004 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0958 ± 0.0042 ± 0.0028	1828	¹ ABREU	000 DLPH	$Z^0 \rightarrow c\bar{c}$
0.095 ± 0.006 $^{+0.007}_{-0.006}$	854	² ABBIENDI	99K OPAL	$Z^0 \rightarrow c\bar{c}$

¹ ABREU 000 uses leptons opposite fully reconstructed $D^*(2010)^+$, D^+ , or D^0 mesons.² ABBIENDI 99K uses the excess of right-sign over wrong-sign leptons opposite reconstructed $D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$ decays in $Z^0 \rightarrow c\bar{c}$. $\Gamma(c \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+ \text{ anything})/\Gamma(c \rightarrow \text{ anything})$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.255 ± 0.015 ± 0.008	2371	¹ ABREU	000 DLPH	$Z^0 \rightarrow c\bar{c}$

¹ ABREU 000 uses slow pions opposite fully reconstructed $D^*(2010)^+$, D^+ , or D^0 mesons as a signal of $D^*(2010)^-$ production.**Inclusive modes** $\Gamma(e^+ \text{ semileptonic})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ The sum of our $\bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$, $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e$, $\pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$, $\eta e^+ \nu_e$, $\rho^0 e^+ \nu_e$, and $\omega e^+ \nu_e$ branching fractions is $15.3 \pm 0.3\%$.

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
16.07 ± 0.30 OUR AVERAGE				
16.13 ± 0.10 ± 0.29	26.2 ± 0.2k	¹ ASNER	10 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at 3774 MeV
15.2 ± 0.9 ± 0.8	521 ± 32	ABLIKIM	07G BES2	$e^+ e^- \approx \psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
16.13 ± 0.20 ± 0.33	8798 ± 105	² ADAM	06A CLEO	See ASNER 10
17.0 ± 1.9 ± 0.7	158	BALTRUSAIT..85B	MRK3	$e^+ e^-$ 3.77 GeV

¹ Using the D^+ and D^0 lifetimes, ASNER 10 finds that the ratio of the D^+ and D^0 semileptonic widths is $0.985 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.024$.² Using the D^+ and D^0 lifetimes, ADAM 06A finds that the ratio of the D^+ and D^0 inclusive e^+ widths is $0.985 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.015$, consistent with the isospin-invariance prediction of 1. $\Gamma(\mu^+ \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
17.6 ± 2.7 ± 1.8	100 ± 12	¹ ABLIKIM	08L BES2	$e^+ e^- \approx \psi(3772)$

¹ ABLIKIM 08L finds the ratio of $D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ X$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ X$ branching fractions to be $2.59 \pm 0.70 \pm 0.25$, in accord with the ratio of D^+ and D^0 lifetimes, 2.54 ± 0.02 . $\Gamma(K^- \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
25.7 ± 1.4 OUR AVERAGE				
24.7 ± 1.3 ± 1.2	631 ± 33	ABLIKIM	07G BES2	$e^+ e^- \approx \psi(3770)$
27.8 $^{+3.6}_{-3.1}$		BARLAG	92C ACCM	π^- Cu 230 GeV
27.1 ± 2.3 ± 2.4		COFFMAN	91 MRK3	$e^+ e^-$ 3.77 GeV

$\Gamma(K_S^0 \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
33.11$\pm$0.13$\pm$0.36	95k	ABLIKIM	23AO BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
30.25 $\pm$ 2.75 $\pm$ 1.65	244	¹ ABLIKIM	06U BES2	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV
30.6 $\pm$ 3.25 $\pm$ 2.15		² COFFMAN	91 MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

¹ ABLIKIM 06U reports $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^0 X \text{ or } \bar{K}^0 X) = (60.5 \pm 5.5 \pm 3.3) \times 10^{-2}$ which we take as twice the branching fraction for $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 X$.

² COFFMAN 91 reports $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^0 X \text{ or } \bar{K}^0 X) = (61.2 \pm 6.5 \pm 4.3) \times 10^{-2}$ which we take as twice the branching fraction for $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 X$.

$\Gamma(K^+ \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.9$\pm$0.8 OUR AVERAGE				
6.1 $\pm$ 0.9 $\pm$ 0.4	189 $\pm$ 27	ABLIKIM	07G BES2	$e^+e^- \approx \psi(3770)$
5.5 $\pm$ 1.3 $\pm$ 0.9		COFFMAN	91 MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^- \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.7$\pm$5.2$\pm$0.7	7.2 $\pm$ 6.5	ABLIKIM	06U BES2	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
23.2$\pm$4.5$\pm$3.0	189 $\pm$ 36	ABLIKIM	05P BES	$e^+e^- \approx 3773$ MeV

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (%)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6.6	90	ABLIKIM	05P BES	$e^+e^- \approx 3773$ MeV

$\Gamma(\eta \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

This ratio includes η particles from η' decays.

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.3$\pm$0.5$\pm$0.5	1972 $\pm$ 142	HUANG	06B CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$\Gamma(\eta' \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.04$\pm$0.16$\pm$0.09	82 $\pm$ 13	HUANG	06B CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$\Gamma(\phi \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.12 $\pm$0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
1.135 $\pm$ 0.034 $\pm$ 0.031	2.7k	ABLIKIM	19AY BES3	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV
1.03 $\pm$ 0.10 $\pm$ 0.07	248 $\pm$ 21	HUANG	06B CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
15.25$\pm$0.09$\pm$0.18	124k	ABLIKIM	23AI BES3	2.93 fb ⁻¹ , e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

Leptonic and semileptonic modes

$\Gamma(e^+\nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	EISENSTEIN 08	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<2.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ARTUSO 05A	CLEO	See EISENSTEIN 08

$\Gamma(\gamma e^+\nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM 17M	BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

¹ This ABLIKIM 17M limit is for photons with energies greater than 10 MeV.

$\Gamma(\mu^+\nu_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

See the note on “Decay Constants of Charged Pseudoscalar Mesons” in the D_s^+ Listings.

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.74 ± 0.17 OUR AVERAGE				
$3.71 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.06$	409 ± 21	¹ ABLIKIM 14F	BES3	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
$3.82 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.09$	150 ± 12	² EISENSTEIN 08	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$12.2^{+11.1}_{-5.3} \pm 1.0$	3	³ ABLIKIM 05D	BES	$e^+e^- \approx 3.773$ GeV
$4.40 \pm 0.66^{+0.09}_{-0.12}$	47 ± 7	⁴ ARTUSO 05A	CLEO	See EISENSTEIN 08
$3.5 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.6$	7	⁵ BONVICINI 04A	CLEO	Incl. in ARTUSO 05A
$8^{+16}_{-5}^{+5}_{-2}$	1	⁶ BAI 98B	BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 14F obtain $|V_{cd}| \cdot f_{D^+} = (45.75 \pm 1.20 \pm 0.39)$ MeV, and using $|V_{cd}| = 0.22520 \pm 0.00065$ gets $f_{D^+} = (203.2 \pm 5.3 \pm 1.8)$ MeV.

² EISENSTEIN 08, using the D^+ lifetime and assuming $|V_{cd}| = |V_{us}|$, gets $f_{D^+} = (205.8 \pm 8.5 \pm 2.5)$ MeV from this measurement.

³ ABLIKIM 05D finds a background-subtracted 2.67 ± 1.74 $D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ events, and from this obtains $f_{D^+} = 371^{+129}_{-119} \pm 25$ MeV.

⁴ ARTUSO 05A obtains $f_{D^+} = 222.6 \pm 16.7^{+2.8}_{-3.4}$ MeV from this measurement.

⁵ BONVICINI 04A finds eight events with an estimated background of one, and from the branching fraction obtains $f_{D^+} = 202 \pm 41 \pm 17$ MeV.

⁶ BAI 98B obtains $f_{D^+} = (300^{+180+80}_{-150-40})$ MeV from this measurement.

$\Gamma(\tau^+\nu_\tau)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.20 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.12$		137	¹ ABLIKIM 19BG	BES3	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.2	90		EISENSTEIN 08	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
<2.1	90		RUBIN 06A	CLEO	See EISENSTEIN 08

¹ ABLIKIM 19BG observe this mode with a significance of 5.1σ .

$\Gamma(\bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.81 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE				
8.864 ± 0.039 ± 0.082	58k	ABLIKIM	24CN BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV
8.68 ± 0.14 ± 0.16	1172	ABLIKIM	21BA BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV
8.59 ± 0.14 ± 0.21	5013	ABLIKIM	16V BES3	Using $\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0$
8.83 ± 0.10 ± 0.20	8.5k	¹ BESSON	09 CLEO	from $D^+ \rightarrow K_S e^+ \nu_e$
8.95 ± 1.59 ± 0.67	34	² ABLIKIM	05A BES	from $D^+ \rightarrow K_S e^+ \nu_e$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
8.60 ± 0.06 ± 0.15	26k	³ ABLIKIM	17S BES3	Using $\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
8.962 ± 0.054 ± 0.206	40k	^{3,4} ABLIKIM	15AF BES3	from $D^+ \rightarrow K_L e^+ \nu_e$
8.53 ± 0.13 ± 0.23		⁵ DOBBS	08 CLEO	See BESSON 09
8.71 ± 0.38 ± 0.37	545	HUANG	05B CLEO	See DOBBS 08

¹ See the form-factor parameters near the end of this D^+ Listing.

² The ABLIKIM 05A result together with the $D^0 \rightarrow K^- e^+ \nu_e$ branching fraction of ABLIKIM 04C and Particle Data Group lifetimes gives $\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^- e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e) = 1.08 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.07$; isospin invariance predicts the ratio is 1.0.

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 24CN.

⁴ ABLIKIM 15AF report $\Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow K_L e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (4.481 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.103)\%$. See also the form-factor parameters near the end of this D^+ Listing.

⁵ DOBBS 08 establishes $|\frac{V_{cd}}{V_{cs}} \cdot \frac{f_+^{\pi}(0)}{f_+^K(0)}| = 0.188 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.002$ from the D^+ and D^0 decays to $\bar{K} e^+ \nu_e$ and $\pi e^+ \nu_e$. It also finds $\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^- e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e) = 1.06 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03$; isospin invariance predicts the ratio is 1.0.

 $\Gamma(\bar{K}^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.68 ± 0.10 OUR FIT				
8.665 ± 0.046 ± 0.084	47k	ABLIKIM	24CN BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
8.72 ± 0.07 ± 0.18	21k	¹ ABLIKIM	16G BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV
10.3 ± 2.3 ± 0.8	29 ± 6	ABLIKIM	07 BES2	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

¹ Superseded by ABLIKIM 24CN.

 $\Gamma(\bar{K}^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{18}/Γ_{62}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.925 ± 0.018 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.			
1.019 ± 0.076 ± 0.065	555 ± 39	LINK	04E FOCS	γ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

 $\Gamma(K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.02 ± 0.18 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 3.2.			
3.77 ± 0.03 ± 0.08	18.3k	ABLIKIM	16F BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3.50 ± 0.75 ± 0.27	29	ABLIKIM	06O BES2	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV
3.5 $^{+1.2}_{-0.7}$ ± 0.4	14	BAI	91 MRK3	$e^+ e^- \approx 3.77$ GeV

$$\Gamma(K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{22}/\Gamma_{62}$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.428 ± 0.018 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 3.7.			
0.4380 ± 0.0036 ± 0.0042	70k ± 363	DEL-AMO-SA...11I	BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.6$ GeV

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e, \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) / \Gamma(K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e) \quad \Gamma_{23}/\Gamma_{22}$$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
93.94 ± 0.27 OUR AVERAGE			
93.93 ± 0.22 ± 0.18	ABLIKIM 16F	BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
94.11 ± 0.74 ± 0.75	DEL-AMO-SA...11I	BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.6$ GeV

$$\Gamma((K^- \pi^+)_{[0.8-1.0]\text{GeV}} e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{24}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.39 ± 0.03 ± 0.08	16.2k	ABLIKIM 16F	BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

$$\Gamma((K^- \pi^+)_{S\text{-wave}} e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{25}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.28 ± 0.08 ± 0.08	ABLIKIM 16F	BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

$$\Gamma((K^- \pi^+)_{S\text{-wave}} e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma(K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e) \quad \Gamma_{25}/\Gamma_{22}$$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.89 ± 0.17 OUR AVERAGE			
6.05 ± 0.22 ± 0.18	ABLIKIM 16F	BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
5.79 ± 0.16 ± 0.15	DEL-AMO-SA...11I	BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.6$ GeV

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(1410)^0 e^+ \nu_e, \bar{K}^*(1410)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 6 × 10⁻³	90	DEL-AMO-SA...11I	BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.6$ GeV

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 e^+ \nu_e, \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{27}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 5 × 10⁻⁴	90	DEL-AMO-SA...11I	BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.6$ GeV

$$\Gamma(K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e \text{ nonresonant}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{28}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.007	90	ANJOS 89B	E691	Photoproduction

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{19}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.81 ± 0.17 ± 0.16	3.8k	ABLIKIM 24CS	BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773$ GeV

$$\Gamma((K_S^0 \pi^0)_{S\text{-wave}} e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e) \quad \Gamma_{20}/\Gamma_{19}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.13 ± 0.27 ± 0.30	3.8k	¹ ABLIKIM 24CS	BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773$ GeV

¹ Amplitude analysis with two components. ABLIKIM 24CS reports a branching fraction $B(D^+ \rightarrow (K_S^0 \pi^0)_{S\text{-wave}} e^+ \nu_e) = (5.41 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.37) \times 10^{-4}$.

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e, \bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0) / \Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e) \quad \Gamma_{21}/\Gamma_{19}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
93.88$\pm$0.27$\pm$0.29	3.8k	¹ ABLIKIM	24CS BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773$ GeV

¹ Amplitude analysis with two components. ABLIKIM 24CS reports a branching fraction $B(D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e) = (4.97 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-2}$.

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{29}/\Gamma$$

Unseen decay modes of $\bar{K}^*(892)^0$ are included. See the end of the D^+ Listings for measurements of $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ form-factor ratios.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.40$\pm$0.10 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			

5.40 $\pm$ 0.10 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

5.31 $\pm$ 0.05 $\pm$ 0.12	16.2k	ABLIKIM	16F BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
5.52 $\pm$ 0.07 $\pm$ 0.13	≈ 5 k	BRIERE	10 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.06 $\pm$ 1.21 $\pm$ 0.40	28 $\pm$ 7	ABLIKIM	06O BES2	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV
5.56 $\pm$ 0.27 $\pm$ 0.23	422 $\pm$ 21	¹ HUANG	05B CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

¹ HUANG 05B finds $\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^{*-} e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} e^+ \nu_e) = 0.98 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.04$; isospin invariance predicts the ratio is 1.0.

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{29}/\Gamma_{62}$$

Unseen decay modes of the $\bar{K}^*(892)^0$ are included. See the end of the D^+ Listings for measurements of $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ form-factor ratios.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

0.74 $\pm$ 0.04 $\pm$ 0.05		BRANDENB...	02 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
0.62 $\pm$ 0.15 $\pm$ 0.09	35	ADAMOVICH	91 OMEG	π^- 340 GeV
0.55 $\pm$ 0.08 $\pm$ 0.10	880	ALBRECHT	91 ARG	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.4$ GeV
0.49 $\pm$ 0.04 $\pm$ 0.05		ANJOS	89B E691	Photoproduction

$$\Gamma(K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma(\bar{K}^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) \quad \Gamma_{30}/\Gamma_{18}$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.417$\pm$0.030$\pm$0.023	555 $\pm$ 39	LINK	04E FOCS	γ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$$\Gamma(K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu \text{ nonresonant}) / \Gamma(K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu) \quad \Gamma_{32}/\Gamma_{30}$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0530$\pm$0.0074$^{+0.0099}_{-0.0096}$	14k	LINK	05I FOCS	γ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$$

Unseen decay modes of the $\bar{K}^*(892)^0$ are included. See the end of the D^+ Listings for measurements of $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ form-factor ratios.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.27$\pm$0.15 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			

5.27 $\pm$ 0.07 $\pm$ 0.14 ≈ 5 k BRIERE 10 CLEO $e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma(\bar{K}^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) \quad \Gamma_{33} / \Gamma_{18}$$

Unseen decay modes of the $\bar{K}^*(892)^0$ are included. See the end of the D^+ Listings for measurements of $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ form-factor ratios.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.607 ± 0.019 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
0.594 ± 0.043 ± 0.033	555 ± 39	LINK	04E FOCS	γ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{33} / \Gamma_{62}$$

Unseen decay modes of the $\bar{K}^*(892)^0$ are included. See the end of the D^+ Listings for measurements of $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ form-factor ratios.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.562 ± 0.018 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
0.57 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
0.72 ± 0.10 ± 0.05		BRANDENB...	02 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
0.56 ± 0.04 ± 0.06	875	FRABETTI	93E E687	γ Be $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 200$ GeV
0.46 ± 0.07 ± 0.08	224	KODAMA	92C E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.602 ± 0.010 ± 0.021	12k	¹ LINK	02J FOCS	γ nucleus, ≈ 180 GeV

¹ This LINK 02J result includes the effects of an interference of a small S -wave $K^- \pi^+$ amplitude with the dominant $\bar{K}^{*0}$ amplitude. (The interference effect is reported in LINK 02E.) This result is redundant with results of LINK 04E elsewhere in these Listings.

$$\Gamma(K^- \pi^+ \pi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma(K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu) \quad \Gamma_{34} / \Gamma_{30}$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.042	90	FRABETTI	93E E687	γ Be $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 200$ GeV

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}_1(1270)^0 e^+ \nu_e, \bar{K}_1^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{35} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.06 ± 0.12^{+0.08}_{-0.10}	120	¹ ABLIKIM	19BH BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

¹ ABLIKIM 19BH quotes $B(D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}_1(1270)^0 e^+ \nu_e) = (2.30 \pm 0.26^{+0.18}_{-0.21} \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3}$, where the last uncertainty is due to $B(\bar{K}_1(1270)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0) = 0.467 \pm 0.050$.

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}_1(1270)^0 e^+ \nu_e, \bar{K}_1^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{36} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.47^{+0.45}_{-0.40} ± 0.14	20	¹ ABLIKIM	24CR BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773$ GeV

¹ ABLIKIM 24CR reconstructs $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$ and assumes that all come from $\bar{K}_1^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays.

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma(K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu) \quad \Gamma_{37} / \Gamma_{30}$$

Unseen decay modes of the $\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0$ are included.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.0064	90	LINK	05I FOCS	γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(1680)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma(K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$ Γ_{38}/Γ_{30}
Unseen decay modes of the $\bar{K}^*(1680)^0$ are included.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.04	90	LINK	05I FOCS	γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{39}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.372 ± 0.017 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.0.			
0.363 ± 0.008 ± 0.005	3.4k	ABLIKIM	17S BES3	Using $\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$
0.405 ± 0.016 ± 0.009	838	¹ BESSON	09 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.373 ± 0.022 ± 0.013		² DOBBS	08 CLEO	See BESSON 09
0.44 ± 0.06 ± 0.03	63 ± 9	HUANG	05B CLEO	See DOBBS 08

¹ See the form-factor parameters near the end of this D^+ Listing.

² DOBBS 08 establishes $|\frac{V_{cd}}{V_{cs}} \cdot \frac{f_+^\pi(0)}{f_+^K(0)}| = 0.188 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.002$ from the D^+ and D^0 decays to $\bar{K} e^+ \nu_e$ and $\pi e^+ \nu_e$. It finds $\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e) = 2.03 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.08$; isospin invariance predicts the ratio is 2.0.

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{40}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.350 ± 0.011 ± 0.010	1.3k	ABLIKIM	18AE BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

 $\Gamma(\eta e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{41}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
11.1 ± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE				
10.74 ± 0.81 ± 0.51	373	ABLIKIM	18R BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV
11.4 ± 0.9 ± 0.4		YELTON	11 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
13.3 ± 2.0 ± 0.6	46	MITCHELL	09B CLEO	See YELTON 11

 $\Gamma(\eta \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{42}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.41 ± 1.12 ± 0.05	234	¹ ABLIKIM	20T BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

¹ ABLIKIM 20T reports $(10.4 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow \eta \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)]$ assuming $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\pi^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{43}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.45 ± 0.08 OUR FIT				
2.449 ± 0.074 ± 0.073	1.7k	ABLIKIM	19C BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

 $\Gamma(f_0(500)^0 e^+ \nu_e, f_0(500)^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma(\pi^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e)$ Γ_{44}/Γ_{43}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
25.4 ± 1.7 OUR FIT				
25.7 ± 1.6 ± 1.1	1.5k	ABLIKIM	19C BES3	$\pi^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$ events

$$\Gamma(f_0(500)^0 e^+ \nu_e, f_0(500)^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{44} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.2±0.4 OUR FIT				
6.0±0.6±0.5	0.4k	ABLIKIM	24CK BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{45} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.87±0.06 OUR FIT				
1.88±0.10 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
1.84±0.07±0.06	1237	ABLIKIM	24CKBES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV
2.17±0.12 ^{+0.12} _{-0.22}	447 ± 25	¹ DOBBS	13 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.1 ± 0.4 ± 0.1	27 ± 6	² HUANG	05B CLEO	See DOBBS 13
¹ DOBBS 13 finds $\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \rho^- e^+ \nu_e) / 2 \Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 e^+ \nu_e) = 1.03 \pm 0.09^{+0.08}_{-0.02}$; isospin invariance predicts the ratio is 1.0.				
² HUANG 05B finds $\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \rho^- e^+ \nu_e) / 2 \Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 e^+ \nu_e) = 1.2^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \pm 0.1$; isospin invariance predicts the ratio is 1.0.				

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma(\pi^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e) \quad \Gamma_{45} / \Gamma_{43}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
76.2±1.9 OUR FIT				
76.0±1.7±1.1	1.5k	ABLIKIM	19C BES3	$\pi^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$ events

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e) \quad \Gamma_{45} / \Gamma_{29}$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0346±0.0013 OUR FIT				
0.045 ± 0.014 ± 0.009	49	¹ AITALA	97 E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV

¹ AITALA 97 explicitly subtracts $D^+ \rightarrow \eta' e^+ \nu_e$ and other backgrounds to get this result.

$$\Gamma(f_0(500)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu, f_0^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{47} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.2±1.3±0.8	0.2k	ABLIKIM	24CK BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{48} / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.64±0.13±0.10	0.5k	ABLIKIM	24CK BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) \quad \Gamma_{48} / \Gamma_{33}$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.045±0.007 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
0.041±0.006±0.004	320 ± 44	LINK	06B FOCS	γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
0.051±0.015±0.009	54	¹ AITALA	97 E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
0.079±0.019±0.013	39	² FRABETTI	97 E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV

¹ AITALA 97 explicitly subtracts $D^+ \rightarrow \eta' \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ and other backgrounds to get this result.

² Because the reconstruction efficiency for photons is low, this FRABETTI 97 result also includes any $D^+ \rightarrow \eta' \mu^+ \nu_\mu \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ events in the numerator.

$\Gamma(\omega e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{49}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.69 ± 0.11 OUR AVERAGE				
$1.63 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.08$	491 ± 32	ABLIKIM	15w BES3	292 fb^{-1} , 3773 MeV
$1.82 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.07$	129 ± 13	DOBBS	13 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1.6^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \pm 0.1$	$7.6^{+3.3}_{-2.7}$	HUANG	05B CLEO	See DOBBS 13

 $\Gamma(\omega e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(\pi^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e)$ Γ_{49}/Γ_{43}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.28 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.15$	1.5k	ABLIKIM	19C BES3	$\pi^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$ events

 $\Gamma(\omega \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{50}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$17.7 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.1$	194	¹ ABLIKIM	20H BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

¹ ABLIKIM 20H reports $(17.7 \pm 1.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow \omega \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\text{B}(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)]$ assuming $\text{B}(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $\text{B}(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\eta'(958) e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{51}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.0 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
$1.91 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.13$		32	ABLIKIM	18R BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV
$2.16 \pm 0.53 \pm 0.07$			YELTON	11 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 3.5		90	MITCHELL	09B CLEO	See YELTON 11

 $\Gamma(a(980)^0 e^+ \nu_e, a(980)^0 \rightarrow \eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{52}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.66^{+0.81}_{-0.66} \pm 0.11$	10^{+5}_{-4}	¹ ABLIKIM	18F BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

¹ Signal observed at 2.9σ C.L.

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{53}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.54 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AZ BES3	7.9 fb^{-1} , $e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV
¹ Includes $D^+ \rightarrow a_0(980)^0 e^+ \nu_e$, $a_0(980)^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$ resonant contribution.				

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 \eta e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{54}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	24CM BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$

 $\Gamma(\eta \eta e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{55}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	24CM BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{56}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.10 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AZ BES3	$7.9 \text{ fb}^{-1}, e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV
¹ Includes $D^+ \rightarrow a_0(980)^0 e^+ \nu_e$, $a_0(980)^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ resonant contribution.				

 $\Gamma(b_1(1235)^0 e^+ \nu_e, b_1^0 \rightarrow \omega \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{57}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.75 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	20AF BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

 $\Gamma(\phi e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{58}/Γ
Unseen decay modes of the ϕ are included.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	15W BES3	292 fb^{-1} , 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<0.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	YELTON	11 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
$<1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	MITCHELL	09B CLEO	See YELTON 11
<0.0201	90	ABLIKIM	06P BES2	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV
<0.0209	90	BAI	91 MRK3	$e^+ e^- \approx 3.77 \text{ GeV}$

 $\Gamma(D^0 e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{59}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	17AD BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

———— Hadronic modes with a $\bar{K}$ or $\bar{K} K \bar{K}$ ————

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{60}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.561 ± 0.031 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.7.			
$1.591 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.030$	94k	ABLIKIM	18W BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1.526 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.038$		¹ DOBBS	07 CLEO	See MENDEZ 10
$1.55 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06$	2.2k	¹ HE	05 CLEO	See DOBBS 07
$1.6 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.1$	161	ADLER	88C MRK3	$e^+ e^-$ 3.77 GeV

¹ DOBBS 07 and HE 05 use single- and double-tagged events in an overall fit. DOBBS 07 supersedes HE 05.

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{60}/Γ_{62}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.167 ± 0.004 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 2.4.			
0.162 ± 0.009 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 4.5.			
$0.171 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002$		BONVICINI	14 CLEO	All CLEO-c runs
$0.1530 \pm 0.0023 \pm 0.0016$	10.6k	LINK	02B FOCS	γ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180 \text{ GeV}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.1682 \pm 0.0012 \pm 0.0037$	30k	MENDEZ	10 CLEO	See BONVICINI 14
$0.174 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.011$	473	¹ BISHAI	97 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$0.137 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.016$	264	ANJOS	90C E691	Photoproduction

¹ See BISHAI 97 for an isospin analysis of $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K} \pi$ amplitudes.

$\Gamma(K_L^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{61}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.460 ± 0.040 ± 0.035	2023 ± 54	¹ HE	08	CLEO e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

¹ The difference of CLEO $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+$ and $K_L^0 \pi^+$ branching fractions over the sum (DOBBS 07 and HE 08) is $+0.022 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.018$.

 $\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{62}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.38 ± 0.16 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.6.			

9.224 ± 0.059 ± 0.157 BONVICINI 14 CLEO All CLEO-c runs

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

9.14 ± 0.10 ± 0.17		¹ DOBBS	07	CLEO See BONVICINI 14
9.5 ± 0.2 ± 0.3	15.1k	¹ HE	05	CLEO See DOBBS 07
9.3 ± 0.6 ± 0.8	1502	² BALEST	94	CLEO $e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
6.4 ^{+1.5} _{-1.4}		³ BARLAG	92c	ACCM π^- Cu 230 GeV
9.1 ± 1.3 ± 0.4	1164	ADLER	88c	MRK3 e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
9.1 ± 1.9	239	⁴ SCHINDLER	81	MRK2 e^+e^- 3.771 GeV

¹ DOBBS 07 and HE 05 use single- and double-tagged events in an overall fit. DOBBS 07 supersedes HE 05.

² BALEST 94 measures the ratio of $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ branching fractions to be $2.35 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.16$ and uses their absolute measurement of the $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ fraction (AKERIB 93).

³ BARLAG 92c computes the branching fraction by topological normalization.

⁴ SCHINDLER 81 (MARK-2) measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)) \times$ branching fraction to be 0.38 ± 0.05 nb. We use the MARK-3 (ADLER 88c) value of $\sigma = 4.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$ nb.

See the related review(s):

[Multibody Charm Analyses](#)

 $\Gamma((K^- \pi^+)_{S\text{-wave}} \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{63}/Γ_{62}

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis. The $K^- \pi^+$ S -wave includes a broad scalar κ ($\bar{K}_0^*(700)$), the $\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0$, and non-resonant background.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.801 ± 0.012 OUR AVERAGE			

0.8024 ± 0.0138 ± 0.0043	¹ LINK	09	FOCS MIPWA fit, 53k evts
0.838 ± 0.038	² BONVICINI	08A	CLEO QMIPWA fit, 141k evts
0.786 ± 0.014 ± 0.018	AITALA	06	E791 Dalitz fit, 15.1k events

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.8323 ± 0.0150 ± 0.0008	³ LINK	07B	FOCS See LINK 09
--------------------------	-------------------	-----	------------------

¹ This LINK 09 model-independent partial-wave analysis of the $K^- \pi^+$ S -wave slices the $K^- \pi^+$ mass range into 39 bins.

² The BONVICINI 08A QMIPWA (quasi-model-independent partial-wave analysis) of the $K^- \pi^+$ S -wave amplitude slices the $K^- \pi^+$ mass range into 26 bins but keeps the Breit-Wigner $\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0$.

³ This LINK 07B fit uses a K matrix. The $K^- \pi^+$ S -wave fit fraction given above breaks down into $(207.3 \pm 25.5 \pm 12.4)\%$ isospin-1/2 and $(40.5 \pm 9.6 \pm 3.2)\%$ isospin-3/2 — with large interference between the two. The isospin-1/2 component includes the κ (or $\bar{K}_0^*(700)^0$) and $\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0$.

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}_0^*(700)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}_0^* \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{64}/\Gamma_{62}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.478 \pm 0.121 \pm 0.053$	AITALA	02 E791	See AITALA 06

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{65}/\Gamma_{62}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.1330 ± 0.0062	BONVICINI	08A CLEO	QMIPWA fit, 141k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.125 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.005$	AITALA	02 E791	See AITALA 06
$0.284 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.059$	FRABETTI	94G E687	Dalitz fit, 8800 evts
$0.248 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.017$	ANJOS	93 E691	γ Be 90–260 GeV

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{66}/\Gamma_{62}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.111 ± 0.012 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 3.7.		
$0.1236 \pm 0.0034 \pm 0.0034$	LINK	09 FOCS	MIPWA fit, 53k evts
0.0988 ± 0.0046	BONVICINI	08A CLEO	QMIPWA fit, 141k evts
$0.119 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.020$	AITALA	06 E791	Dalitz fit, 15.1k events
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.1361 \pm 0.0041 \pm 0.0030$	¹ LINK	07B FOCS	See LINK 09
$0.123 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.009$	AITALA	02 E791	See AITALA 06
$0.137 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.009$	FRABETTI	94G E687	Dalitz fit, 8800 evts
$0.170 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.034$	ANJOS	93 E691	γ Be 90–260 GeV
$0.14 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04$	ALVAREZ	91B NA14	Photoproduction
$0.13 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.07$	ADLER	87 MRK3	$e^+ e^-$ 3.77 GeV


¹ The statistical error on this LINK 07B value is corrected in LINK 09.
$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(1410)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{67}/\Gamma_{62}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	LINK	09 FOCS	MIPWA fit, 53k evts
not seen	BONVICINI	08A CLEO	QMIPWA fit, 141k evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$4.8 \pm 2.1 \pm 1.7$	LINK	07B FOCS	See LINK 09

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{68}/\Gamma_{62}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.24 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.		
$0.58 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.06$	LINK	09 FOCS	MIPWA fit, 53k evts
0.204 ± 0.040	BONVICINI	08A CLEO	QMIPWA fit, 141k evts
$0.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1$	AITALA	06 E791	Dalitz fit, 15.1k events
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.39 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.05$	LINK	07B FOCS	See LINK 09
$0.5 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.2$	AITALA	02 E791	See AITALA 06



$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(1680)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}^*(1680)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) / \Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{69}/Γ_{62}
This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.23 ± 0.12 OUR AVERAGE			
$1.75 \pm 0.62 \pm 0.54$	LINK	09	FOCS MIPWA fit, 53k evts
0.196 ± 0.118	BONVICINI	08A	CLEO QMIPWA fit, 141k evts
$1.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.2$	AITALA	06	E791 Dalitz fit, 15.1k events
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$1.90 \pm 0.63 \pm 0.43$	LINK	07B	FOCS See LINK 09
$2.5 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.3$	AITALA	02	E791 See AITALA 06
$4.7 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.7$	FRABETTI	94G	E687 Dalitz fit, 8800 evts
$3.0 \pm 0.4 \pm 1.3$	ANJOS	93	E691 γ Be 90–260 GeV

$\Gamma(K^- (2\pi^+)_{I=2}) / \Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{70}/Γ_{62}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.155 ± 0.028	BONVICINI	08A	CLEO QMIPWA fit, 141k evts

$\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+ \text{ nonresonant}) / \Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{71}/Γ_{62}

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis. Later analyses find little need for this decay mode.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.130 \pm 0.058 \pm 0.044$	AITALA	02	E791 See AITALA 06
$0.998 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.072$	FRABETTI	94G	E687 Dalitz fit, 8800 evts
$0.838 \pm 0.088 \pm 0.275$	ANJOS	93	E691 γ Be 90–260 GeV
$0.79 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.15$	ADLER	87	MRK3 $e^+ e^-$ 3.77 GeV

$\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{72}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

6.99 ± 0.09 ± 0.25		¹ DOBBS	07	CLEO See BONVICINI 14
7.2 ± 0.2 ± 0.4	5.1k	¹ HE	05	CLEO See DOBBS 07
5.1 ± 1.3 ± 0.8	159	ADLER	88c	MRK3 e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

¹ DOBBS 07 and HE 05 use single- and double-tagged events in an overall fit. DOBBS 07 supersedes HE 05.

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{72}/Γ_{62}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

0.785 ± 0.007 ± 0.016	BONVICINI	14	CLEO All CLEO-c runs
------------------------------	-----------	----	----------------------

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 \rho^+)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)$ Γ_{73}/Γ_{72}

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-------------	------	---------

83.4 ± 2.2^{+7.1}_{-3.6}	¹ ABLIKIM	14E	BES3 e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
---	----------------------	-----	-------------------------------

¹ Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analysis of 142k $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$ events.

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 \rho(1450)^+, \rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)$ Γ_{74}/Γ_{72}

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-----------	-------------	------	---------

2.1 ± 0.3^{+1.6}_{-1.9}	ABLIKIM	14E	BES3 e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
--	---------	-----	-------------------------------

 $\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)$ Γ_{75}/Γ_{72}

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-------------	------	---------

3.58 ± 0.17^{+0.39}_{-0.38}	¹ ABLIKIM	14E	BES3 e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
--	----------------------	-----	-------------------------------

¹ Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analysis of 142k $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$ events.

 $\Gamma(\bar{K}_0^{*0}(1430)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}_0^{*0} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)$ Γ_{76}/Γ_{72}

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-----------	-------------	------	---------

3.7 ± 0.6 ± 1.1	ABLIKIM	14E	BES3 e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
------------------------	---------	-----	-------------------------------

 $\Gamma(\bar{K}_0^{*0}(1680)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}_0^{*0} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)$ Γ_{77}/Γ_{72}

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-----------	-------------	------	---------

1.3 ± 0.2^{+0.9}_{-1.3}	ABLIKIM	14E	BES3 e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
--	---------	-----	-------------------------------

 $\Gamma(\bar{\kappa}^0 \pi^+, \bar{\kappa}^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)$ Γ_{78}/Γ_{72}

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-----------	-------------	------	---------

7.7 ± 1.2^{+6.5}_{-4.8}	ABLIKIM	14E	BES3 e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
--	---------	-----	-------------------------------

$\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0 \text{ nonresonant})/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)$ Γ_{79}/Γ_{72}

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.6 \pm 0.7^{+5.4}_{-5.1}$	¹ ABLIKIM	14E BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

¹ Fit fraction from Dalitz plot analysis of 142k $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$ events. $\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0 \text{ nonresonant and } \bar{K}^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)$ Γ_{80}/Γ_{72}

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$18.6 \pm 1.7^{+2.3}_{-4.6}$	ABLIKIM	14E BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

 $\Gamma((K_S^0 \pi^0)_{S\text{-wave}} \pi^+)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)$ Γ_{81}/Γ_{72} The numerator here is the coherent sum of the $\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \pi^+$, $\bar{K}^0 \pi^+$, and nonresonant contributions.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$17.3 \pm 1.4^{+3.4}_{-4.3}$	ABLIKIM	14E BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{82}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.707 \pm 0.041 \pm 0.029$	523	¹ ABLIKIM	22U BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

¹ ABLIKIM 22U determines the ratio $B(D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \omega)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \omega) = 0.21 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01$, in significant tension with statistical isospin model expectation of 0.9. $\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{83}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$12.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3$	1.1k	ABLIKIM	24AS BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$13.09 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.31$	1.3k	ABLIKIM	20V BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV
---------------------------	------	---------	----------	-----------------------

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 a_0(980)^+, a_0^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \eta)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \eta)$ Γ_{84}/Γ_{83}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$105.00 \pm 0.94 \pm 1.04 \pm 0.07$	1.1k	¹ ABLIKIM	24AS BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

¹ Amplitude analysis with two components. The second and third uncertainties are model and experimental systematic uncertainties, respectively. $\Gamma(\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}_0^* \rightarrow K_S^0 \eta)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \eta)$ Γ_{85}/Γ_{83}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$10.83 \pm 1.50 \pm 1.27 \pm 0.08$	1.1k	¹ ABLIKIM	24AS BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

¹ Amplitude analysis with two components. The second and third uncertainties are model and experimental systematic uncertainties, respectively. $\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{86}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.90 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.13$	267	ABLIKIM	18AC BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{87}/Γ

See the listings under " $D \rightarrow K\pi\pi\pi$ partial wave analyses" and our 2008 Review (Physics Letters **B667** 1 (2008)) for measurements of submodes of this mode.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$5.98 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.16$		¹ DOBBS	07	CLEO See BONVICINI 14
$6.0 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2$	4.8k	¹ HE	05	CLEO See DOBBS 07
$5.8 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.2$	142	COFFMAN	92B	MRK3 e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
$6.3 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.2$	175	BALTRUSAIT..86E	MRK3	See COFFMAN 92B

¹ DOBBS 07 and HE 05 use single- and double-tagged events in an overall fit. DOBBS 07 supersedes HE 05.

 $\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{87}/Γ_{62}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.666 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.014$	BONVICINI 14	CLEO	All CLEO-c runs

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 2\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{88}/Γ

See the listings under " $D \rightarrow K\pi\pi\pi$ partial wave analyses" and our 2008 Review (Physics Letters **B667** 1 (2008)) for measurements of submodes of this mode.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$3.122 \pm 0.046 \pm 0.096$		¹ DOBBS	07	CLEO See BONVICINI 14
$3.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.2$	3.2k	¹ HE	05	CLEO See DOBBS 07
$2.1 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.9$		² BARLAG	92C	ACCM π^- Cu 230 GeV
$3.3 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2$	168	ADLER	88C	MRK3 e^+e^- 3.77 GeV

¹ DOBBS 07 and HE 05 use single- and double-tagged events in an overall fit. DOBBS 07 supersedes HE 05.

² BARLAG 92C computes the branching fraction by topological normalization.

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 2\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{88}/Γ_{62}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.331 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.006$	BONVICINI 14	CLEO	All CLEO-c runs

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{89}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$28.88 \pm 0.58 \pm 0.69$	3.7k	ABLIKIM	23BWBES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$29.04 \pm 0.62 \pm 0.87$	3.4k	¹ ABLIKIM	22Y	BES3 e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

¹ See ABLIKIM 23BW.

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 a_1(1260)^+, a_1^+ \rightarrow \rho(770)^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0)$ Γ_{90}/Γ_{89}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$30.0 \pm 3.6 \pm 4.2$	¹ ABLIKIM	23BWBES3	$D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0$

¹ Amplitude analysis of 1.4k $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0$ events.

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 a_1(1260)^+, a_1^+ \rightarrow f_0(500) \pi^+, f_0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0)$ Γ_{91}/Γ_{89}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.5 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.9$	¹ ABLIKIM	23BWBES3	$D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0$

¹ Amplitude analysis of 1.4k $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0$ events.

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}_1(1400)^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}_1^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^0, \bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0) / \Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0) \quad \Gamma_{92}/\Gamma_{89}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$8.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.4$	¹ ABLIKIM	23BWBES3	$D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0$

¹ Amplitude analysis of 1.4k $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0$ events.

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rho^+, \bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0) / \Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0) \quad \Gamma_{93}/\Gamma_{89}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$33.6 \pm 2.7 \pm 1.4$	¹ ABLIKIM	23BWBES3	$D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0$

¹ Amplitude analysis of 1.4k $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0$ events.

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^0 \text{ non-resonant}, \bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0) / \Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0) \quad \Gamma_{94}/\Gamma_{89}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$9.1 \pm 2.0 \pm 1.0$	¹ ABLIKIM	23BWBES3	$D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0$

¹ Amplitude analysis of 1.4k $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0$ events.

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 \rho^+ \pi^0 \text{ non-resonant}) / \Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0) \quad \Gamma_{95}/\Gamma_{89}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$16.5 \pm 1.6 \pm 0.3$	¹ ABLIKIM	23BWBES3	$D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0$

¹ Amplitude analysis of 1.4k $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ 2\pi^0$ events.

$$\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+ \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{96}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.35 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.04$	190	ABLIKIM	20v BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0 \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{97}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.22 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.06$	50	ABLIKIM	20v BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

$$\Gamma(K^- 3\pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{98}/\Gamma_{62}$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.061 ± 0.005 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

0.062 ± 0.008 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3.

$0.058 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.006$ 2923 LINK 03D FOCS γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$0.077 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.010$ 239 FRABETTI 97C E687 γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 200$ GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.09 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01$ 113 ANJOS 90D E691 Photoproduction

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 2\pi^+ \pi^-, \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) / \Gamma(K^- 3\pi^+ \pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{99}/\Gamma_{98}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.21 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.06$	LINK	03D FOCS	γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rho^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{100}/\Gamma_{62}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.016 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.004$	FRABETTI	97C E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 200$ GeV

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rho^0 \pi^+, \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 3\pi^+ \pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{100}/\Gamma_{98}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.40 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.06$	LINK	03D FOCS	γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 a_1(1260)^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{101}/\Gamma_{62}$$

Unseen decay modes of the $\bar{K}^*(892)^0$ and $a_1(1260)^+$ are included.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.099 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.018$	LINK	03D FOCS	γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$$\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 2\pi^+ \pi^- \text{ no-}\rho, \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{102}/\Gamma_{62}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.032 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.008$	FRABETTI	97C E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 200$ GeV

$$\Gamma(K^- \rho^0 2\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{103}/\Gamma_{62}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.034 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.005$	FRABETTI	97C E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 200$ GeV

$$\Gamma(K^- \rho^0 2\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 3\pi^+ \pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{103}/\Gamma_{98}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.30 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.01$	LINK	03D FOCS	γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$$\Gamma(K^- 3\pi^+ \pi^- \text{ nonresonant})/\Gamma(K^- 3\pi^+ \pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{104}/\Gamma_{98}$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.07 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01$		LINK	03D FOCS	γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.026	90	FRABETTI	97C E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 200$ GeV

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 2\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{105}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$15.28 \pm 0.57 \pm 0.60$	1k	ABLIKIM	22Y BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ 3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{106}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.54 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.32$	285	ABLIKIM	22Y BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+ 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{107}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.95 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.19$	756	ABLIKIM	22Y BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$\Gamma(K^+ 2K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{108}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$25.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 1.2$	3551	ABLIKIM	17A BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$

 $\Gamma(K^+ 2K_S^0)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{108}/Γ_{62}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.035 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.005$	39 ± 9	ALBRECHT	94I ARG	$e^+ e^- \approx 10$ GeV
0.085 ± 0.018	70 ± 12	AMMAR	91 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

 $\Gamma(\phi(1020)^0 K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{198}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	19BI BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- K_S^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(K_S^0 2\pi^+ \pi^-)$ Γ_{109}/Γ_{88}

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$7.7 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.9$	35 ± 7	LINK	01C FOCS	γ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

Pionic modes

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{110}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.247 ± 0.033 OUR FIT				
$1.259 \pm 0.033 \pm 0.023$	10k	ABLIKIM	18W BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{110}/Γ_{62}


VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.33 ± 0.04 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
1.31 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE				
$1.29 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05$	2649 ± 76	MENDEZ	10 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at 3774 MeV
$1.33 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.09$	1229 ± 99	AUBERT,B	06F BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$1.44 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.10$	171 ± 22	ARMS	04 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \approx 10$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1.33 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.06$	914 ± 46	RUBIN	06 CLEO	See MENDEZ 10

 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{111}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$32.7 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.5$	2.6k	ABLIKIM	22BG BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV


 $\Gamma(2\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{111}/Γ_{62}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.48 ± 0.19 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.			
$3.52 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.12$	3303 ± 95	RUBIN	06 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
$4.1 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.3$	85 ± 22	ABLIKIM	05F BES	$e^+ e^- \approx \psi(3770)$
$3.11 \pm 0.18^{+0.16}_{-0.26}$	1172	AITALA	01B E791	π^- nucleus, 500 GeV
$4.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3$	236	FRABETTI	97D E687	γ Be ≈ 200 GeV
$3.5 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.3$	83	ANJOS	89 E691	Photoproduction



$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+)/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{112}/\Gamma_{111}$
This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
25.8 ± 2.4	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.9. See the ideogram below.		
26 $\pm 0.3 \pm 1.6 \pm 0.3$	572k	¹ AAIJ	23H LHCb	Dalitz plot fit
20.0 $\pm 2.3 \pm 0.9$		BONVICINI	07 CLEO	Dalitz fit, ≈ 2240 evts
30.82 $\pm 3.14 \pm 2.30$		LINK	04 FOCS	Dalitz fit, 1527 ± 51 evts
33.6 $\pm 3.2 \pm 2.2$		AITALA	01B E791	Dalitz fit, 1172 evts



¹ The last error reflects the uncertainty on the amplitude model.

$\Gamma(\pi^+(\pi^+\pi^-)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{113}/\Gamma_{111}$
This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis. See also the next three data blocks.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
61.5 ± 0.9 OUR AVERAGE				
61.8 ± 0.5 ± 0.6 ± 0.5	572k	^{1,2} AAIJ	23H LHCB	Dalitz plot fit
56.00 ± 3.24 ± 2.14		³ LINK	04 FOCS	Dalitz fit, 1527 ± 51 evts

¹ AAIJ 23H parameterise the $\pi^+\pi^-$ S -wave using one complex number per bin in 50 bins of $\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass.

² The last error reflects the uncertainty on the amplitude model.

³ LINK 04 borrows a K-matrix parametrization from ANISOVICH 03 of the full $\pi-\pi$ S -wave isoscalar scattering amplitude to describe the $\pi^+\pi^-$ S -wave component of the $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ state. The fit fraction given above is a sum over five f_0 mesons, the $f_0(980)$, $f_0(1300)$, $f_0(1200-1600)$, $f_0(1500)$, and $f_0(1750)$. See LINK 04 for details and discussion.

$\Gamma(\sigma\pi^+, \sigma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{114}/\Gamma_{111}$
This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.422 ± 0.027 OUR AVERAGE			
0.418 ± 0.014 ± 0.025	BONVICINI 07	CLEO	Dalitz fit, ≈ 2240 evts
0.463 ± 0.090 ± 0.021	AITALA 01B	E791	Dalitz fit, 1172 evts

$\Gamma(f_0(980)\pi^+, f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{115}/\Gamma_{111}$
This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.048 ± 0.010 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
0.041 ± 0.009 ± 0.003	BONVICINI 07	CLEO	Dalitz fit, ≈ 2240 evts
0.062 ± 0.013 ± 0.004	AITALA 01B	E791	Dalitz fit, 1172 evts

$\Gamma(f_0(1370)\pi^+, f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{116}/\Gamma_{111}$
This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.024 ± 0.013 OUR AVERAGE			
0.026 ± 0.018 ± 0.006	BONVICINI 07	CLEO	Dalitz fit, ≈ 2240 evts
0.023 ± 0.015 ± 0.008	AITALA 01B	E791	Dalitz fit, 1172 evts

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+, \omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{117}/\Gamma_{111}$


VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.03 ± 0.08 ± 0.14 ± 0.02	572k	¹ AAIJ	23H LHCB	Dalitz plot fit

¹ The last error reflects the uncertainty on the amplitude model.

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi^+, f_2 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{118}/\Gamma_{111}$
This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
14.0 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.		
13.8 ± 0.2 ± 0.4 ± 0.2	572k	¹ AAIJ	23H LHCB	Dalitz plot fit
18.2 ± 2.6 ± 0.7		BONVICINI 07	CLEO	Dalitz fit, ≈ 2240 evts
11.74 ± 1.90 ± 0.29		LINK 04	FOCS	Dalitz fit, 1527 ± 51 evts
19.4 ± 2.5 ± 0.4		AITALA 01B	E791	Dalitz fit, 1172 evts

¹ The last error reflects the uncertainty on the amplitude model.



$$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi^+, f_2 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-) \text{ (units } 10^{-2})$$

$$\Gamma(\rho(1450)^0\pi^+, \rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{119}/\Gamma_{111}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.8$	572k	¹ AAIJ	23H LHCb		Dalitz plot fit
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<2.4	95	BONVICINI	07 CLEO		Dalitz fit, ≈ 2240 evts
$0.7 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.3$		AITALA	01B E791		Dalitz fit, 1172 evts

¹ The last error reflects the uncertainty on the amplitude model.

$$\Gamma(\rho(1700)^0\pi^+, \rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{120}/\Gamma_{111}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.0$	572k	¹ AAIJ	23H LHCb	Dalitz plot fit

¹ The last error reflects the uncertainty on the amplitude model.

$$\Gamma(f_0(1500)\pi^+, f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{121}/\Gamma_{111}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.034 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.008$	BONVICINI 07 CLEO		Dalitz fit, ≈ 2240 evts

$$\Gamma(f_0(1710)\pi^+, f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{122}/\Gamma_{111}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.016	95	BONVICINI 07 CLEO		Dalitz fit, ≈ 2240 evts

$$\Gamma(f_0(1790)\pi^+, f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{123}/\Gamma_{111}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.02	95	BONVICINI 07 CLEO		Dalitz fit, ≈ 2240 evts

$$\Gamma((\pi^+\pi^+)_{S\text{-wave}}\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{124}/\Gamma_{111}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.037	95	BONVICINI 07	CLEO	Dalitz fit, ≈ 2240 evts

$$\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^- \text{ nonresonant})/\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{125}/\Gamma_{111}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.035	95	BONVICINI 07	CLEO	Dalitz fit, ≈ 2240 evts

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.078 \pm 0.060 \pm 0.027$	AITALA 01B	E791	Dalitz fit, 1172 evts
-----------------------------	------------	------	-----------------------

$$\Gamma(\pi^+2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{126}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$46.1 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.9$	2k	ABLIKIM 22BG	BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(\pi^+2\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{126}/\Gamma_{62}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.0 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3$	1535 ± 89	RUBIN 06	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$$\Gamma(\pi^+3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{128}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$41.7 \pm 2.2 \pm 1.3$	570	ABLIKIM 22BG	BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{127}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$116.5 \pm 2.1 \pm 2.1$	4.6k	ABLIKIM 22BG	BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{127}/\Gamma_{62}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$12.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.6$	5701 ± 205	RUBIN 06	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

$$\Gamma(\pi^+4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{129}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$19.5 \pm 3.6 \pm 2.3$	57	ABLIKIM 22BG	BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(2\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{130}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$107.4 \pm 4.0 \pm 3.0$	1.2k	ABLIKIM 22BG	BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(3\pi^+2\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{131}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$18.2 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.0$	460	ABLIKIM 22BG	BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(3\pi^+2\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{131}/\Gamma_{62}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.77 ± 0.17 OUR FIT				
$1.73 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.17$	732 ± 77	RUBIN 06	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.3 $\pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$ 58 FRABETTI 97C E687 γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 200$ GeV

$\Gamma(3\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^- 3\pi^+ \pi^-)$ Γ_{131}/Γ_{98}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.289± 0.019 OUR FIT				
0.290$\pm 0.017 \pm 0.011$	835	LINK	03D FOCS	γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(2\pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{132}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
34.2$\pm 3.1 \pm 1.6$	186	ABLIKIM	22BG BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$\Gamma(3\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{133}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
23.4$\pm 2.2 \pm 1.5$	183	ABLIKIM	22BG BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{134}/Γ

Unseen decay modes of the η are included.

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
37.7 ± 0.9 OUR FIT				
37.90$\pm 0.70 \pm 0.68$	12k	ABLIKIM	18W BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

30.7 $\pm 2.2 \pm 1.3$ 258 ABLIKIM 16D BES3 $e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV
 34.3 $\pm 1.4 \pm 1.7$ 1033 ± 42 ARTUSO 08 CLEO See MENDEZ 10

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{134}/Γ_{62}

Unseen decay modes of the η are included.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.02± 0.11 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
3.87$\pm 0.09 \pm 0.19$	2940 ± 68	MENDEZ	10 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at 3774 MeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.81 $\pm 0.26 \pm 0.21$ 377 ± 26 RUBIN 06 CLEO See ARTUSO 08

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{135}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
20.5± 3.5 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.2.			
22.3 $\pm 1.5 \pm 1.0$	381	ABLIKIM	20G BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV
13.8 $\pm 3.1 \pm 1.6$	149 ± 34	ARTUSO	08 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

24.7 $\pm 9.3 \pm 1.6$ 42 ABLIKIM 20AA BES3 $e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(\rho(770)^+ \eta, \rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta\pi^+ \pi^0)$ $\Gamma_{136}/\Gamma_{135}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.3$\pm 3.0 \pm 2.1$	¹ ABLIKIM	24CL BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

¹ Amplitude analysis involving six modes.

$$\Gamma(a_0(980)^+\pi^0, a_0^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\eta)/\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^0) \quad \Gamma_{137}/\Gamma_{135}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$43.7 \pm 5.6 \pm 1.9$	¹ ABLIKIM	24CL BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

¹ Amplitude analysis involving six modes.

$$\Gamma(a_0(980)^0\pi^+, a_0^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\eta)/\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^0) \quad \Gamma_{138}/\Gamma_{135}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$17.0 \pm 4.4 \pm 1.7$	¹ ABLIKIM	24CL BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

¹ Amplitude analysis involving six modes.

$$\Gamma(a_2(1700)^+\pi^0, a_2^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\eta)/\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^0) \quad \Gamma_{139}/\Gamma_{135}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.2 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.7$	¹ ABLIKIM	24CL BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

¹ Amplitude analysis involving six modes.

$$\Gamma(a_0(1450)^+\pi^0, a_0^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\eta)/\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^0) \quad \Gamma_{140}/\Gamma_{135}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$7.0 \pm 2.8 \pm 0.7$	¹ ABLIKIM	24CL BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

¹ Amplitude analysis involving six modes.

$$\Gamma(\eta 2\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{141}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.41 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.10$	515	ABLIKIM	20V BES3	e^+e^- , 3773 MeV

$$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{142}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.20 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.17$	190	ABLIKIM	20V BES3	e^+e^- , 3773 MeV

$$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{143}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$28.9 \pm 4.0 \pm 2.2$	80	ABLIKIM	22BG BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(\eta 2\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{144}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$38.8 \pm 3.2 \pm 1.2$	190	ABLIKIM	22BG BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(\eta\eta\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{145}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$29.6 \pm 2.4 \pm 1.0$	179	ABLIKIM	20G BES3	e^+e^- , 3773 MeV

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{146}/\Gamma$$

Unseen decay modes of the ω are included.

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.79 \pm 0.57 \pm 0.16$		79	ABLIKIM	16D BES3	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<3.4	90	RUBIN	06	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
------	----	-------	----	------	--------------------------

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{147}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.87 \pm 0.83 \pm 0.25$	233	¹ ABLIKIM	20AA BES3	e^+e^- , 3773 MeV

¹ ABLIKIM 20AA reports a statistical significance of 7.7σ for this measurement.

 $\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{148}/Γ

Unseen decay modes of the $\eta'(958)$ are included.

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
49.7 ± 1.9 OUR FIT				
$51.2 \pm 1.4 \pm 2.1$	3.1k	ABLIKIM	18W BES3	e^+e^- , 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$44.2 \pm 2.5 \pm 2.9$	352 ± 20	ARTUSO	08 CLEO	See MENDEZ 10

 $\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi^+)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+)$ Γ_{148}/Γ_{62}

Unseen decay modes of the $\eta'(958)$ are included.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.30 ± 0.21 OUR FIT				
$5.12 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.25$	1037 ± 35	MENDEZ	10 CLEO	e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

 $\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{149}/Γ

Unseen decay modes of the $\eta'(958)$ are included.

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$15.7 \pm 4.3 \pm 2.5$	33 ± 9	ARTUSO	08 CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$

————— Hadronic modes with a $K\bar{K}$ pair —————

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{150}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.04 ± 0.09 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 2.2.			
$3.183 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.060$	18k	ABLIKIM	18W BES3	e^+e^- , 3773 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$3.02 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.08$	780	ABLIKIM	19M BES3	See ABLIKIM 18W.
$3.14 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.08$	1971 ± 51	BONVICINI	08 CLEO	See MENDEZ 10

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+)$ Γ_{150}/Γ_{60}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.194 ± 0.006 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 2.8.			
0.1901 ± 0.0024 OUR AVERAGE				
$0.1899 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0022$	$101k \pm 561$	WON	09 BELL	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
$0.1892 \pm 0.0155 \pm 0.0073$	278 ± 21	ARMS	04 CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx 10$ GeV
$0.1996 \pm 0.0119 \pm 0.0096$	949	LINK	02B FOCS	γA , $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.222 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.013$	63 ± 10	ABLIKIM	05F BES	$e^+e^- \approx \psi(3770)$
$0.222 \pm 0.041 \pm 0.019$	70	BISHAI	97 CLEO	See ARMS 04
$0.25 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02$	129	FRABETTI	95 E687	γBe $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 200$ GeV
$0.271 \pm 0.065 \pm 0.039$	69	ANJOS	90C E691	γBe
$0.317 \pm 0.086 \pm 0.048$	31	BALTRUSAIT..85E	MRK3	e^+e^- 3.77 GeV
0.25 ± 0.15	6	SCHINDLER	81 MRK2	e^+e^- 3.771 GeV

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{150}/Γ_{62}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

3.24±0.09 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 2.3.**3.35±0.06±0.07** 5161 ± 86 MENDEZ 10 CLEO e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.02±0.18±0.15 949 ¹ LINK 02B FOCS γ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV¹ This LINK 02B result is redundant with a result in the previous datablock. $\Gamma(K_L^0 K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{151}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

3.21±0.11±0.11 650 ABLIKIM 19M BES3 e^+e^- at 3773 MeV $\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{152}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

5.07±0.19±0.23 470 ABLIKIM 19M BES3 e^+e^- at 3773 MeV $\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K_S^0, K^{*+} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+ \pi^0)$ $\Gamma_{153}/\Gamma_{152}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

0.571±0.026±0.042 692 ¹ ABLIKIM 21AD BES3 e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV¹ ABLIKIM 21AD value is a fit fraction from an amplitude analysis of $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ K_S^0 \pi^0$ with four components. Reconstructs the $K^*(892)^+$ from its $K^+ \pi^0$ final state. $\Gamma(\bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+, \bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+ \pi^0)$ $\Gamma_{154}/\Gamma_{152}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

0.102±0.015±0.022 692 ¹ ABLIKIM 21AD BES3 e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV¹ ABLIKIM 21AD value is a fit fraction from an amplitude analysis of $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ K_S^0 \pi^0$ with four components. Reconstructs the $\bar{K}^*(892)^0$ from its $K_S^0 \pi^0$ final state. $\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K_S^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+)$ Γ_{155}/Γ_{60} Unseen decay modes of the $K^*(892)^+$ are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.1±0.3±0.4 67 FRABETTI 95 E687 γ Be $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 200$ GeV $\Gamma(K_L^0 K^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{156}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

5.24±0.22±0.22 410 ABLIKIM 19M BES3 e^+e^- at 3773 MeV $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{157}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •


0.935±0.017±0.024 ¹ DOBBS 07 CLEO See BONVICINI 140.97 ±0.04 ±0.04 1250 ± 40 ¹ HE 05 CLEO See DOBBS 07¹ DOBBS 07 and HE 05 use single- and double-tagged events in an overall fit. DOBBS 07 supersedes HE 05.

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$

Γ_{157}/Γ_{62}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.32 $\pm$ 0.09	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.		
10.282 $\pm$ 0.002 $\pm$ 0.068	23M	AAIJ	19G LHCb	pp at 8 TeV
10.6 $\pm$ 0.2 $\pm$ 0.3		BONVICINI	14 CLEO	All CLEO-c runs
11.7 $\pm$ 1.3 $\pm$ 0.7	181 $\pm$ 20	ABLIKIM	05F BES	$e^+e^- \approx \psi(3770)$
10.7 $\pm$ 0.1 $\pm$ 0.2	43k	AUBERT	05S BABR	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
9.3 $\pm$ 1.0 $\pm$ 0.8		JUN	00 SELX	Σ^- nucleus, 600 GeV
9.76 $\pm$ 0.42 $\pm$ 0.46		FRABETTI	95B E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 200$ GeV

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
10.32 $\pm$ 0.09 (Error scaled by 1.4)



$\Gamma(K^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0, \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+)$

$\Gamma_{158}/\Gamma_{157}$

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
25.7 $\pm$ 0.5 $\pm$ 0.4	RUBIN	08 CLEO	Dalitz fit, 19,458 $\pm$ 163 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
30.1 $\pm$ 2.0 $\pm$ 2.5	FRABETTI	95B E687	Dalitz fit, 915 evts

$\Gamma(K^+ \bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0, \bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+)$

$\Gamma_{159}/\Gamma_{157}$

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
18.8 $\pm$ 1.2 $\pm$ 3.3	RUBIN	08 CLEO	Dalitz fit, 19,458 $\pm$ 163 evts
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
37.0 $\pm$ 3.5 $\pm$ 1.8	FRABETTI	95B E687	Dalitz fit, 915 evts

$$\Gamma(K^+ \bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0, \bar{K}_2^* \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{160}/\Gamma_{157}$$

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.7 \pm 0.4^{+1.2}_{-0.7}$	RUBIN	08	CLEO Dalitz fit, $19,458 \pm 163$ evts

$$\Gamma(K^+ \bar{K}_0^*(700), \bar{K}_0^* \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{161}/\Gamma_{157}$$

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$7.0 \pm 0.8^{+3.5}_{-2.0}$	RUBIN	08	CLEO Dalitz fit, $19,458 \pm 163$ evts

$$\Gamma(a_0(1450)^0 \pi^+, a_0^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{162}/\Gamma_{157}$$

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.6 \pm 0.6^{+7.2}_{-1.8}$	RUBIN	08	CLEO Dalitz fit, $19,458 \pm 163$ evts

$$\Gamma(\phi(1680) \pi^+, \phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{163}/\Gamma_{157}$$

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.51 \pm 0.11^{+0.37}_{-0.16}$	RUBIN	08	CLEO Dalitz fit, $19,458 \pm 163$ evts

$$\Gamma(\phi \pi^+, \phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{164}/\Gamma_{157}$$

This is the "fit fraction" from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$27.8 \pm 0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.5}$	RUBIN	08	CLEO Dalitz fit, $19,458 \pm 163$ evts

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$29.2 \pm 3.1 \pm 3.0$	FRABETTI	95B	E687	Dalitz fit, 915 evts
------------------------	----------	-----	------	----------------------

$$\Gamma(\phi \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{165}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.70 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.13$	18k	ABLIKIM	19BI BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{166}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$6.62 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.25$	1.3k	ABLIKIM	20AC BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^0) \quad \Gamma_{166}/\Gamma_{87}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$11.32 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.26$	50k	LI	23G BELL	$e^+ e^-$ at/near $\gamma(nS)$, $n=1, \dots, 5$

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{167}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$29.7 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.5$	1.2k	ABLIKIM	24CJ BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$27.0 \pm 0.5 \pm 1.2$	4897	ABLIKIM	17A BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770)$
------------------------	------	---------	----------	----------------------------------

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^{*+}(892)^+, K^{*+} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{168}/\Gamma_{167}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$978 \pm 10 \pm 4$	1.2k	¹ ABLIKIM	24CJ BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV
¹ ABLIKIM 24CJ reports $B(D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K^{*+}(892)^+) = (8.72 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$ from an amplitude analysis of $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+$ with two modes, assuming that $B(K^{*+}(892)^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+) = 1/3$.				

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{169}/\Gamma_{167}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.4 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.5$	1.2k	¹ ABLIKIM	24CJ BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV
¹ From an amplitude analysis of $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+$ with two modes.				

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{170}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.34 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.06$	80	ABLIKIM	20AC BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+ \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{171}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.85 \pm 0.52 \pm 0.08$	14	ABLIKIM	20V BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

$$\Gamma(K^+ K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{172}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.89 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05$	277	ABLIKIM	20AC BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(K^+ K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(K_S^0 2\pi^+ \pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{172}/\Gamma_{88}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.62 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.40$	469 ± 32	LINK	01C FOCS	γ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+ \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{173}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.8 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.4$	34	ABLIKIM	20AC BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{174}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.27 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06$	467	ABLIKIM	20AC BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^- 2\pi^+)/\Gamma(K_S^0 2\pi^+ \pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{174}/\Gamma_{88}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$7.68 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.32$	670 ± 35	LINK	01C FOCS	γ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$$\Gamma(K^+ K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(K^- 3\pi^+ \pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{175}/\Gamma_{98}$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.040 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.019$	38	LINK	03D FOCS	γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{176}/Γ
Unseen decay modes of the ϕ are included.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.023 ± 0.010	¹ BARLAG	92C	ACCM π^- Cu 230 GeV

¹ BARLAG 92C computes the branching fraction using topological normalization.
 $\Gamma(\phi\rho^+)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+)$ Γ_{177}/Γ_{62}
Unseen decay modes of the ϕ are included.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.16	90	DAOUDI	92	CLEO $e^+e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^0 \text{ non-}\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{178}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.015^{+0.007}_{-0.006}$	¹ BARLAG	92C	ACCM π^- Cu 230 GeV

¹ BARLAG 92C computes the branching fraction using topological normalization.
 $\Gamma(\rho(770)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{179}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	24CX BES3	e^+e^- at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773$ GeV

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{180}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	24CX BES3	e^+e^- at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773$ GeV

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^0 \text{ non-}\phi)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+)$ Γ_{178}/Γ_{62}

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.25	90	ANJOS	89E E691	Photoproduction

———— Doubly Cabibbo-suppressed modes ————

 $\Gamma(K^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{181}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.08 ± 0.21 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
2.35 ± 0.20 OUR AVERAGE				
$2.32 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.06$	1.8k	ABLIKIM	18W BES3	e^+e^- , 3773 MeV
$2.52 \pm 0.47 \pm 0.26$	189 ± 37	AUBERT,B	06F BABR	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$2.28 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.17$	148 ± 23	DYTMAN	06 CLEO	See MENDEZ 10

 $\Gamma(K^+\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+)$ Γ_{181}/Γ_{62}

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.21 ± 0.23 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
$1.9 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1$	343 ± 37	MENDEZ	10 CLEO	e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

 $\Gamma(K^+\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{182}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.125 ± 0.016 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
$0.151 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.014$	439	ABLIKIM	18W BES3	e^+e^- , 3773 MeV

$$\Gamma(K^+\eta)/\Gamma(\eta\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{182}/\Gamma_{134}$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.3 ± 0.4 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
3.06 ± 0.43 ± 0.14	166 ± 23	WON	11 BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$$\Gamma(K^+\eta'(958))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{183}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.185 ± 0.020 OUR FIT				
0.164 ± 0.051 ± 0.024	87	ABLIKIM	18W BES3	e^+e^- , 3773 MeV

$$\Gamma(K^+\eta'(958))/\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{183}/\Gamma_{148}$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.7 ± 0.4 OUR FIT				
3.77 ± 0.39 ± 0.10	180 ± 19	WON	11 BELL	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$$\Gamma(K^+2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{184}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.1 ± 0.4 ± 0.1	43	ABLIKIM	22BK BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{185}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.4^{+1.4}_{-1.3} ± 0.1	17	¹ ABLIKIM	22BK BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

¹ ABLIKIM 22BK report a 2.7 σ significance for the observation of this decay and assign an upper limit for this branching fraction of 5.4×10^{-4} at 90% CL. In their analysis, ABLIKIM 22BK assume negligible interference between $D^+ \rightarrow K^{*+}\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^0\pi^0$ and the non-resonant decay to the same final state.

$$\Gamma(K^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+) \quad \Gamma_{186}/\Gamma_{62}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.238 ± 0.025 OUR AVERAGE				
5.231 ± 0.009 ± 0.023	795k	AAIJ	19G LHCb	pp at 8 TeV
5.69 ± 0.18 ± 0.14	2638 ± 84	KO	09 BELL	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
6.5 ± 0.8 ± 0.4	189 ± 24	LINK	04F FOCS	γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
7.7 ± 1.7 ± 0.8	59 ± 13	AITALA	97C E791	π^- A, 500 GeV
7.2 ± 2.3 ± 1.7	21	FRABETTI	95E E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma = 220$ GeV

$$\Gamma(K^+\rho^0)/\Gamma(K^+\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{187}/\Gamma_{186}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.39 ± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE			
0.3943 ± 0.0787 ± 0.0815	LINK	04F FOCS	Dalitz fit, 189 evts
0.37 ± 0.14 ± 0.07	AITALA	97C E791	Dalitz fit, 59 evts

$$\Gamma(K^+\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{188}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.1 ± 0.5 ± 0.1	19	ABLIKIM	22BK BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{189}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.4^{+1.8}_{-1.5} \pm 0.2$	11	¹ ABLIKIM	22BK BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

¹ ABLIKIM 22BK report a 3.2σ significance for the observation of this decay mode. In their analysis, ABLIKIM 22BK assume negligible interference between $D^+ \rightarrow K^{*+}\eta \rightarrow K^+\eta\pi^0$ and the non-resonant decay to the same final state.

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\pi^+, K^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{190}/\Gamma_{186}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.47 $\pm$ 0.08 OUR AVERAGE			
$0.5220 \pm 0.0684 \pm 0.0638$	LINK	04F FOCS	Dalitz fit, 189 evts
$0.35 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.01$	AITALA	97C E791	Dalitz fit, 59 evts

$$\Gamma(K^+f_0(980), f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{191}/\Gamma_{186}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0892 $\pm$ 0.0333 $\pm$ 0.0412	LINK	04F FOCS	Dalitz fit, 189 evts

$$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^0\pi^+, K_2^*(1430)^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{192}/\Gamma_{186}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0803 $\pm$ 0.0372 $\pm$ 0.0391	LINK	04F FOCS	Dalitz fit, 189 evts

$$\Gamma(K^+\pi^+\pi^- \text{ nonresonant})/\Gamma(K^+\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_{193}/\Gamma_{186}$$

This is the “fit fraction” from the Dalitz-plot analysis.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.36 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.07$	¹ AITALA	97C E791	Dalitz fit, 59 evts

¹ LINK 04F, with three times as many events, finds no need for a nonresonant amplitude.

$$\Gamma(K^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{194}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.21 $\pm$ 0.08 $\pm$ 0.03	350	¹ ABLIKIM	20Z BES3	e^+e^- at 3773 MeV

¹ ABLIKIM 20Z subtracted the known branching fractions of $D^+ \rightarrow K^+\eta$, $D^+ \rightarrow K^+\phi$, and $D^+ \rightarrow K^+\omega$ to obtain an estimate of the non-resonant component (ignoring interference effects and possible additional resonant contributions) $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 \text{ non-resonant}) = (1.13 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-3}$.

$$\Gamma(K^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^-2\pi^+\pi^0) \quad \Gamma_{194}/\Gamma_{87}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.68 $\pm$ 0.11 $\pm$ 0.03	3.6k	LI	23G BELL	e^+e^- at/near $\Upsilon(nS)$, n=1,...,5

$$\Gamma(K^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 \text{ nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{195}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.10 $\pm$ 0.07 OUR AVERAGE				
$1.03 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06$	112	¹ ABLIKIM	21BB BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV
$1.13 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.03$	350	² ABLIKIM	20Z BES3	e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

¹ ABLIKIM 21BB result has subtracted the known branching fractions of $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \eta$, $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \phi$, and $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \omega$ resonances (ignoring interference effects). The result including these components is measured to be $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (1.11 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$, where the uncertainty is statistical only.

² ABLIKIM 20Z result has subtracted the known branching fractions of $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \eta$, $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \phi$, and $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \omega$, ignoring interference effects. The result including these components is measured to be $(1.21 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-3}$.

$\Gamma(K^+ \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{196}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.7^{+2.5}_{-2.1} \pm 0.2$	9	ABLIKIM	20Z	BES3 $e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(2K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(K^- 2\pi^+)$ Γ_{197}/Γ_{62}

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.54 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE				
$6.541 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.042$	134k	AAIJ	19G	LHCB pp at 8 TeV
$9.49 \pm 2.17 \pm 0.22$	65	¹ LINK	02I	FOCS γ nucleus, ≈ 180 GeV

¹ LINK 02I finds little evidence for ϕK^+ or $f_0(980) K^+$ submodes.

$\Gamma(K^+ \phi(1020), \phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(2K^+ K^-)$ $\Gamma_{199}/\Gamma_{197}$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.1 ± 0.9	¹ AAIJ	19H	LHCB pp at 8 TeV

¹ Fit fraction from a Dalitz plot analysis of $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^+ K^-$ decays. The last uncertainty is due to the amplitude model.

$\Gamma(K^+ (K^+ K^-)_{s\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(2K^+ K^-)$ $\Gamma_{200}/\Gamma_{197}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.94 ± 0.01	¹ AAIJ	19H	LHCB pp at 8 TeV

¹ Fit fraction from a Dalitz plot analysis of $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^+ K^-$ decays. The last uncertainty is due to the amplitude model.

Rare or forbidden modes

$\Gamma(\pi^+ e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{201}/Γ

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G	BABR $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	AAIJ	21T	LHCB $1.6 \text{ fb}^{-1} pp$
$< 5.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ RUBIN	10	CLEO $e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
$< 7.4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	HE	05A	CLEO See RUBIN 10
$< 5.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	99G	E791 $\pi^- N$ 500 GeV
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FRABETTI	97B	E687 γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
$< 6.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	96	E791 $\pi^- N$ 500 GeV
$< 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B	MRK2 $e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV
$< 2.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	HAAS	88	CLEO $e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV

¹ This RUBIN 10 limit is for the $e^+ e^-$ mass in the continuum away from the $\phi(1020)$. See the next data block.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{202}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	18P BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\phi, \phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{203}/Γ

This is *not* a test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current, but leads to the $\pi^+ e^+ e^-$ final state.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(1.7^{+1.4}_{-0.9} \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-6}$	4	¹ RUBIN	10 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$(2.7^{+3.6}_{-1.8} \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$	2	HE	05A CLEO	See RUBIN 10
--	---	----	----------	--------------

¹ This RUBIN 10 result is consistent with the known $D^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+$ and $\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ fractions.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{204}/Γ

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.7 \times 10^{-8}$	90	AAIJ	21T LHCb	$1.6 \text{ fb}^{-1} pp$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<7.3 \times 10^{-8}$	90	AAIJ	13AF LHCb	pp at 7 TeV
$<6.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
$<3.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ ABAZOV	08D D0	$p\bar{p}$, $E_{\text{cm}} = 1.96 \text{ TeV}$
$<8.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LINK	03F FOCS	γA , $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180 \text{ GeV}$
$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	99G E791	$\pi^- N$ 500 GeV
$<8.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	FRABETTI	97B E687	$\gamma \text{ Be}$, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220 \text{ GeV}$
$<1.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	96 E791	$\pi^- N$ 500 GeV
$<2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	KODAMA	95 E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV
$<5.9 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV
$<2.9 \times 10^{-3}$	90	HAAS	88 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV

¹ This ABAZOV 08D limit is for the $\mu^+ \mu^-$ mass in the continuum away from the $\phi(1020)$. See the next data block.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\phi, \phi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{205}/Γ

This is *not* a test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current, but leads to the $\pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ final state.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(1.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$	¹ ABAZOV	08D D0	$p\bar{p}$, $E_{\text{cm}} = 1.96 \text{ TeV}$

¹ This ABAZOV 08D value is consistent with the known $D^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+$ and $\phi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ fractions.

 $\Gamma(\rho^+\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{206}/Γ

A test for the $\Delta C = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	KODAMA	95 E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV

$\Gamma(K^+ e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{207}/Γ

Both quarks would have to change flavor for this decay to occur.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.5 \times 10^{-7}$	90	AAIJ	21T LHCb	$1.6 \text{ fb}^{-1} pp$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<1.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
$<3.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	RUBIN	10 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
$<6.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	HE	05A CLEO	See RUBIN 10
$<2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AITALA	99G E791	$\pi^- N$ 500 GeV
$<2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FRABETTI	97B E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
$<4.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

$\Gamma(K^+ \pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{208}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	18P BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^+ e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{209}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	18P BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+ e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{210}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	18P BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

$\Gamma(K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{211}/Γ

Both quarks would have to change flavor for this decay to occur.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.4 \times 10^{-8}$	90	AAIJ	21T LHCb	$1.6 \text{ fb}^{-1} pp$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<4.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
$<9.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LINK	03F FOCS	γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
$<4.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	99G E791	$\pi^- N$ 500 GeV
$<9.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	FRABETTI	97B E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
$<3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	KODAMA	95 E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV
$<9.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

$\Gamma(\pi^+ e^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{212}/Γ

A test of lepton-family-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	AAIJ	21T LHCb	$1.6 \text{ fb}^{-1} pp$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<2.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
$<1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FRABETTI	97B E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
$<3.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

$\Gamma(\pi^+ e^- \mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{213}/Γ

A test of lepton-family-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.2 \times 10^{-7}$	90	AAIJ	21T LHCb	$1.6 \text{ fb}^{-1} pp$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<3.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FRABETTI	97B	E687	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
$<3.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B	MRK2	e^+e^- 29 GeV

$\Gamma(K^+e^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{214}/Γ

A test of lepton-family-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90	AAIJ	21T	LHCB $1.6 \text{ fb}^{-1} pp$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FRABETTI	97B	E687	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
$<3.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B	MRK2	e^+e^- 29 GeV

$\Gamma(K^+e^-\mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{215}/Γ

A test of lepton-family-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-7}$	90	AAIJ	21T	LHCB $1.6 \text{ fb}^{-1} pp$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<2.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$<1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FRABETTI	97B	E687	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
$<3.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B	MRK2	e^+e^- 29 GeV

$\Gamma(\pi^-2e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{216}/Γ

A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.3 \times 10^{-7}$	90	AAIJ	21T	LHCB $1.6 \text{ fb}^{-1} pp$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$<1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	RUBIN	10	CLEO	e^+e^- at $\psi(3770)$
$<3.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	HE	05A	CLEO	See RUBIN 10
$<9.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	99G	E791	$\pi^- N$ 500 GeV
$<1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FRABETTI	97B	E687	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
$<4.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B	MRK2	e^+e^- 29 GeV

$\Gamma(\pi^-2\mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{217}/Γ

A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.4 \times 10^{-8}$	90	AAIJ	21T	LHCB $1.6 \text{ fb}^{-1} pp$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<2.2 \times 10^{-8}$	90	AAIJ	13AF	LHCB	pp at 7 TeV
$<2.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G	BABR	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$<4.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LINK	03F	FOCS	γ A, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
$<1.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	99G	E791	$\pi^- N$ 500 GeV
$<8.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	FRABETTI	97B	E687	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
$<2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	KODAMA	95	E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV
$<6.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B	MRK2	e^+e^- 29 GeV

$\Gamma(\pi^- e^+ \mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{218}/Γ

A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-7}$	90	AAIJ	21T	LHCB $1.6 \text{ fb}^{-1} pp$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<2.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G	BABR $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$<5.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AITALA	99G	E791 $\pi^- N$ 500 GeV
$<1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FRABETTI	97B	E687 γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
$<3.7 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B	MRK2 $e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

 $\Gamma(\rho^- 2\mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{219}/Γ

A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	KODAMA	95	E653 π^- emulsion 600 GeV

 $\Gamma(K^- 2e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{220}/Γ

A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<0.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G	BABR $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<3.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	RUBIN	10	CLEO $e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
$<4.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	HE	05A	CLEO See RUBIN 10
$<1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FRABETTI	97B	E687 γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
$<9.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B	MRK2 $e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

 $\Gamma(K_S^0 \pi^- 2e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{221}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	19AL	BES3 $e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

 $\Gamma(K^- \pi^0 2e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{222}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	19AL	BES3 $e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

 $\Gamma(K^- 2\mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{223}/Γ

A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<10 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G	BABR $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	LINK	03F	FOCS γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
$<1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FRABETTI	97B	E687 γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
$<3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	KODAMA	95	E653 π^- emulsion 600 GeV
$<4.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B	MRK2 $e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

 $\Gamma(K^- e^+ \mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{224}/Γ

A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	LEES	11G	BABR $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	FRABETTI	97B	E687 γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
$<4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	WEIR	90B	MRK2 $e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^- 2\mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{225}/Γ

A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	KODAMA	95 E653	π^- emulsion 600 GeV

 $\Gamma(\Lambda e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{226}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	20D BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

 $\Gamma(\bar{\Lambda} e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{227}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.5 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ABLIKIM	20D BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0 e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{228}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	20D BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

 $\Gamma(\bar{\Sigma}^0 e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{229}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABLIKIM	20D BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

 $\Gamma(\bar{n} e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{230}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.43 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	22BJ BES3	$2.93\text{fb}^{-1} e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

 $\Gamma(n e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{231}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.91 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	22BJ BES3	$2.93\text{fb}^{-1} e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

 $D^\pm$ CP-VIOLATING DECAY-RATE ASYMMETRIES

This is the difference between D^+ and D^- partial widths for the decay to state f , divided by the sum of the widths:

$$A_{CP}(f) = [\Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow f) - \Gamma(D^- \rightarrow \bar{f})] / [\Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow f) + \Gamma(D^- \rightarrow \bar{f})].$$

 $A_{CP}(\mu^\pm \nu)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$, $D^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$+8 \pm 8$	EISENSTEIN	08 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

 $A_{CP}(K_L^0 e^\pm \nu)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow K_L^0 e^+ \nu_e$, $D^- \rightarrow K_L^0 e^- \bar{\nu}_e$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-0.59 \pm 0.60 \pm 1.48$	ABLIKIM	15AF BES3	$e^+ e^-$ 3773 MeV

 $A_{CP}(K_S^0 \pi^\pm)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.41 ± 0.09	OUR AVERAGE			
$-1.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.2$		BONVICINI	14 CLEO	All CLEO-c runs
$-0.363 \pm 0.094 \pm 0.067$	1738k	¹ KO	12A BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(nS)$
$-0.44 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.10$	807k	DEL-AMO-SA..	11H BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$-1.6 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.9$	10.6k	² LINK	02B FOCS	γ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$-0.71 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.20$		KO	10	BELL	See KO 12A
$-1.3 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.3$	30k	MENDEZ	10	CLEO	See BONVICINI 14
$-0.6 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.3$		DOBBS	07	CLEO	See MENDEZ 10

¹ KO 12A finds that after subtracting the contribution due to $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ mixing, the CP asymmetry due to the change of charm is $(-0.024 \pm 0.094 \pm 0.067)\%$, consistent with zero.

² LINK 02B measures $N(D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+)/N(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$, the ratio of numbers of events observed, and similarly for the D^- .

$A_{CP}(K_L^0 K^\pm)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K_L^0 K^\pm$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-4.2 \pm 3.2 \pm 1.2$	650	ABLIKIM	19M	BES3 $e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

$A_{CP}(K^\mp 2\pi^\pm)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow K^- 2\pi^+$, $D^- \rightarrow K^+ 2\pi^-$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.18 ± 0.16				OUR AVERAGE

$-0.16 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.09$	2.3M	ABAZOV	14L	D0 $p\bar{p}$, $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV
$-0.3 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.4$		BONVICINI	14	CLEO All CLEO-c runs

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$-0.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.9$	231k	MENDEZ	10	CLEO See BONVICINI 14
$-0.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.9$		DOBBS	07	CLEO See MENDEZ 10

$A_{CP}(K^\mp \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^0)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^0$, $D^- \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^0$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-0.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4$	BONVICINI 14	CLEO	All CLEO-c runs

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.0 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.9$	DOBBS 07	CLEO	See BONVICINI 14
-----------------------	----------	------	------------------

$A_{CP}(K_S^0 \pi^\pm \pi^0)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$, $D^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \pi^0$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-0.1 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.2$	BONVICINI 14	CLEO	All CLEO-c runs

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.3 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.3$	DOBBS 07	CLEO	See BONVICINI 14
-----------------------	----------	------	------------------

$A_{CP}(K_S^0 \pi^\pm \eta)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm \eta$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-0.9 \pm 2.9 \pm 1.0$	1.3k	ABLIKIM	20V	BES3 $e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

$A_{CP}(K_S^0 \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$, $D^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.3$	BONVICINI 14	CLEO	All CLEO-c runs

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •


$0.1 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.6$	DOBBS 07	CLEO	See BONVICINI 14
-----------------------	----------	------	------------------

$A_{CP}(K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-0.04 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01$	350	ABLIKIM	20Z	BES3 $e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

$A_{CP}(\pi^\pm \pi^0)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^0$

VALUE (%)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.4 ± 1.3 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.		
$-1.3 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.6$	28.7k	AAIJ	21U	LHCB pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
$2.31 \pm 1.24 \pm 0.23$	108k	BABU	18	BELL At/near $\Upsilon(4S)$, $\Upsilon(5S)$
$2.9 \pm 2.9 \pm 0.3$	2.6k	MENDEZ	10	CLEO e^+e^- at 3774 MeV



$A_{CP}(\pi^\pm \eta)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \eta$

VALUE (%)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.3 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE				
$0.34 \pm 0.66 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.05$	111k	¹ AAIJ	23E	LHCB 6 fb^{-1} , pp at 13 TeV, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$
$-0.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4$	32.7k	AAIJ	21U	LHCB pp at 13 TeV
$+1.74 \pm 1.13 \pm 0.19$		WON	11	BELL $e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$-2.0 \pm 2.3 \pm 0.3$	2.9k	MENDEZ	10	CLEO e^+e^- at 3774 MeV

¹ The last uncertainty is due to the uncertainty on the CP asymmetry of the control channel, $D^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+$.

$A_{CP}(\pi^\pm \pi^0 \eta)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^0 \eta$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-5.8 \pm 6.6 \pm 1.8$	381	ABLIKIM	20G	BES3 e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$A_{CP}(\pi^\pm \eta \eta)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \eta \eta$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$8.0 \pm 8.3 \pm 1.9$	179	ABLIKIM	20G	BES3 e^+e^- at 3.773 GeV

$A_{CP}(\pi^\pm \eta'(958))$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \eta'(958)$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.41 ± 0.23 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
$0.49 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.05$	555k	¹ AAIJ	23E LHCB	6 fb^{-1} , pp at 13 TeV, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$
$-0.61 \pm 0.72 \pm 0.54$	63k	AAIJ	17AF LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
$-0.12 \pm 1.12 \pm 0.17$		WON	11 BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$-4.0 \pm 3.4 \pm 0.3$	1.0k	MENDEZ	10 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at 3774 MeV

¹ The last uncertainty is due to the uncertainty on the CP asymmetry of the control channel, $D^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+$.

 $A_{CP}(\bar{K}^0/K^0 K^\pm)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+$, $D^- \rightarrow K^0 K^-$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.11 ± 0.17 OUR AVERAGE				
$0.03 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.14$	1.0M	¹ AAIJ	14BD LHCB	pp at 7, 8 TeV
$0.08 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.14$	277k	KO	13 BELL	$e^+ e^-$ at $\Upsilon(4S)$
$0.46 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.25$	159k	LEES	13E BABR	$e^+ e^-$ at $\Upsilon(4S)$

¹ AAIJ 14BD reports its result as $A_{CP}(D^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm)$ with CP -violation effects in the $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ system subtracted. It also measures $A_{CP}(D^\pm \rightarrow \bar{K}^0/K^0 K^\pm) + A_{CP}(D_S^\pm \rightarrow \bar{K}^0/K^0 \pi^\pm) = (0.41 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.26)\%$.

 $A_{CP}(K_S^0 K^\pm)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.01 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE				
$-0.004 \pm 0.061 \pm 0.045$	6M	AAIJ	19T LHCB	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
$-1.8 \pm 2.7 \pm 1.6$	780	ABLIKIM	19M BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV
$-0.25 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.14$	277k	KO	13 BELL	$e^+ e^-$ at $\Upsilon(nS)$
$0.13 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.25$	159k	LEES	13E BABR	$e^+ e^-$ at $\Upsilon(4S)$
$-0.2 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.9$	5.2k	MENDEZ	10 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at 3774 MeV
$7.1 \pm 6.1 \pm 1.2$	949	¹ LINK	02B FOCS	γ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180 \text{ GeV}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$-0.16 \pm 0.58 \pm 0.25$		KO	10 BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
$6.9 \pm 6.0 \pm 1.5$	949	² LINK	02B FOCS	γ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180 \text{ GeV}$

¹ LINK 02B measures $N(D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+)/N(D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+)$, the ratio of numbers of events observed, and similarly for the D^- .

² LINK 02B measures $N(D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+)/N(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$, the ratio of numbers of events observed, and similarly for the D^- .

 $A_{CP}(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^0)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^0$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.4 \pm 3.7 \pm 2.4$	470	ABLIKIM	19M BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

 $A_{CP}(K_L^0 K^\pm \pi^0)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K_L^0 K^\pm \pi^0$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-0.6 \pm 4.1 \pm 1.7$	410	ABLIKIM	19M BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

$A_{CP}(K^+ K^- \pi^\pm)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^\pm$

See also AAIJ 11G for a search for CP asymmetry in the $D^\pm \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^\pm$ Dalitz plots using 370k decays and four different binning schemes. No evidence for CP asymmetry was found.

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.37 ± 0.29 OUR AVERAGE				
$0.37 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.15$	224k	¹ LEES	13F	BABR e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
$-0.03 \pm 0.84 \pm 0.29$		RUBIN	08	CLEO e^+e^- at 3774 MeV
$1.4 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.8$	43k	² AUBERT	05S	BABR e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
$0.6 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.5$	14k	³ LINK	00B	FOCS
-1.4 ± 2.9		³ AITALA	97B	E791 $-0.062 < A_{CP} < +0.034$ (90% CL)
-3.1 ± 6.8		³ FRABETTI	94I	E687 $-0.14 < A_{CP} < +0.081$ (90% CL)
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-0.1 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.4$		⁴ BONVICINI	14	CLEO See RUBIN 08
$-0.1 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.8$		DOBBS	07	CLEO See BONVICINI 14 and RUBIN 08

¹ This is the integrated CP asymmetry. LEES 13F also searches for CP asymmetries in four regions of the Dalitz plots (two of which are listed below); in comparisons of binned D^+ and D^- Dalitz plots; in parametrized fits to those plots, including 2-body submodes; and in comparisons of Legendre-polynomial distributions for the $K^+ K^-$ and $K^- \pi^+$ systems.

² AUBERT 05S measures $N(D^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+)/N(D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+)$, the ratio of the numbers of events observed, and similarly for the D^- .

³ FRABETTI 94I, AITALA 98C, and LINK 00B measure $N(D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+)/N(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$, the ratio of numbers of events observed, and similarly for the D^- .

⁴ RUBIN 08 performs a dedicated analysis of this decay mode on the same dataset, with slightly better precision. We therefore take it that BONVICINI 14 does not supersede RUBIN 08's A_{CP} result.

 $A_{CP}(K^\pm K^{*0})$ in $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^{*0}$, $D^- \rightarrow K^- K^{*0}$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.3 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE				
$-0.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$	73k	¹ LEES	13F	BABR e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
$-0.4 \pm 2.0 \pm 0.6$		RUBIN	08	CLEO Fit-fraction asymmetry
$+0.9 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.7$	11k	² AUBERT	05S	BABR e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
-1.0 ± 5.0		³ AITALA	97B	E791 $-0.092 < A_{CP} < +0.072$ (90% CL)
-12 ± 13		³ FRABETTI	94I	E687 $-0.33 < A_{CP} < +0.094$ (90% CL)

¹ This LEES 13F result is for the $K^\mp \pi^\pm$ mass-squared between 0.4 and 1.0 GeV^2 , and does not actually separate out the K^* .

² AUBERT 05S measures $N(D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^{*0})/N(D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+)$, the ratio of the numbers of events observed, and similarly for the D^- .

³ FRABETTI 94I and AITALA 97B measure $N(D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/N(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$, the ratio of numbers of events observed, and similarly for the D^- .

$A_{CP|S}(K^+\bar{K}^{*0})$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^\pm$

The CP asymmetry is measured as $A_{CP|S} = [(\Delta A_{raw}^{top-left} + \Delta A_{raw}^{bottom-right}) - (\Delta A_{raw}^{top-right} + \Delta A_{raw}^{bottom-left})]/2$ where ΔA_{raw}^{pos} is the difference between the signal and control channel raw asymmetries in the *pos* Dalitz plot bin of the resonance.

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-0.26 \pm 0.56 \pm 0.18$	AAIJ	24AG LHCB	pp at 13 TeV

 $A_{CP}(\phi\pi^\pm)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow \phi\pi^\pm$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.01 $\pm$ 0.09 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.8.		
$0.003 \pm 0.040 \pm 0.029$	55M	AAIJ	19T LHCB	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
$-0.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5$	97k	¹ LEES	13F BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
$+0.51 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.05$	237k	STARIC	12 BELL	Mainly at $\Upsilon(4S)$
$-1.8 \pm 1.6 \pm^{+0.2}_{-0.4}$		RUBIN	08 CLEO	Fit-fraction asymmetry
$+0.2 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.6$	10k	² AUBERT	05S BABR	e^+e^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$
-2.8 ± 3.6		³ AITALA	97B E791	$-0.087 < A_{CP} < +0.031$ (90% CL)
$+6.6 \pm 8.6$		³ FRABETTI	94I E687	$-0.075 < A_{CP} < +0.21$ (90% CL)

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$-0.04 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.14$ 1.58M ⁴ AAIJ 13W LHCB pp at 7 TeV

¹ This LEES 13F result is for the K^+K^- mass-squared less than 1.3 GeV^2 and the $K^\mp\pi^\pm$ mass-squared above 1.0 GeV^2 , and does not actually separate out the ϕ .

² AUBERT 05S measures $N(D^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+)/N(D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+)$, the ratio of the numbers of events observed, and similarly for the D^- .

³ FRABETTI 94I and AITALA 97B measure $N(D^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+)/N(D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+)$, the ratio of numbers of events observed, and similarly for the D^- .

⁴ See AAIJ 19T.

 $A_{CP|S}(\phi\pi^+)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^\pm$

The CP asymmetry is measured as $A_{CP|S} = [(\Delta A_{raw}^{top-left} + \Delta A_{raw}^{bottom-right}) - (\Delta A_{raw}^{top-right} + \Delta A_{raw}^{bottom-left})]/2$ where ΔA_{raw}^{pos} is the difference between the signal and control channel raw asymmetries in the *pos* Dalitz plot bin of the resonance.

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.95 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.26$	AAIJ	24AG LHCB	pp at 13 TeV

 $A_{CP}(K^\pm K_0^*(1430)^0)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow K^+\bar{K}_0^*(1430)^0, D^- \rightarrow K^- K_0^*(1430)^0$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$+8 \pm 6^{+4}_{-2}$	RUBIN	08 CLEO	Fit-fraction asymmetry

 $A_{CP}(K^\pm K_2^*(1430)^0)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow K^+\bar{K}_2^*(1430)^0, D^- \rightarrow K^- K_2^*(1430)^0$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$+43 \pm 19^{+5}_{-18}$	RUBIN	08 CLEO	Fit-fraction asymmetry

$A_{CP}(K^\pm K_0^*(700))$ in $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}_0^*(700)$, $D^- \rightarrow K^- K_0^*(700)$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-12 \pm 11^{+14}_{-6}$	RUBIN	08	CLEO Fit-fraction asymmetry

 $A_{CP}(a_0(1450)^0 \pi^\pm)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow a_0(1450)^0 \pi^\pm$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-19 \pm 12^{+8}_{-11}$	RUBIN	08	CLEO Fit-fraction asymmetry

 $A_{CP}(\phi(1680) \pi^\pm)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow \phi(1680) \pi^\pm$

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-9 \pm 22 \pm 14$	RUBIN	08	CLEO Fit-fraction asymmetry

 $A_{CP}(\pi^\pm 2\pi^0)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm 2\pi^0$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$+5.6 \pm 2.7 \pm 0.5$	2k	ABLIKIM	22BG BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

 $A_{CP}(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^\pm)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^\pm$

See also AAIJ 14C for a search for CP violation in $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^\pm$ Dalitz plots using model-independent binned and unbinned methods. No evidence was found.

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.5 ± 2.0 OUR AVERAGE				
$+1.2 \pm 2.2 \pm 0.6$	2.6k	ABLIKIM	22BG BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV
-1.7 ± 4.2	¹	AITALA	97B E791	$-0.086 < A_{CP} < +0.052$ (90% CL)

¹AITALA 97B measure $N(D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+)/N(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$, the ratio of numbers of events observed, and similarly for the D^- .

 $A_{CP}(2\pi^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow 2\pi^\pm \pi^\mp \pi^0$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$+0.3 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.8$	4.6k	ABLIKIM	22BG BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

 $A_{CP}(2\pi^\pm \pi^\mp 2\pi^0)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow 2\pi^\pm \pi^\mp 2\pi^0$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-4.2 \pm 3.8 \pm 1.3$	1.2k	ABLIKIM	22BG BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

 $A_{CP}(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^\pm \eta)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^\pm \eta$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.5 \pm 5.0 \pm 1.6$	510	ABLIKIM	20V BES3	$e^+ e^-$, 3773 MeV

 $A_{CP}(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-4.2 \pm 6.4 \pm 2.2$	523 ± 32	LINK	05E FOCS	γ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

 $A_{CP}(K^\pm \pi^0)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^0$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-3 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE				
$-3.2 \pm 4.7 \pm 2.1$	2.5k	AAIJ	21U LHCB	pp at 7, 8, 13 TeV
$-3.5 \pm 10.7 \pm 0.9$	343	MENDEZ	10 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at 3774 MeV

$A_{CP}(K^\pm \eta)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \eta$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-6 \pm 10 \pm 4$	880	AAIJ	21U LHCb	pp at 13 TeV

 $D^\pm \chi^2$ TESTS OF CP-VIOLATION (CPV)

We list model-independent searches for local CP violation in phase-space distributions of multi-body decays.

Most of these searches divide phase space (Dalitz plot for 3-body decays, five-dimensional equivalent for 4-body decays) into bins, and perform a χ^2 test comparing normalised yields $N_i, \bar{N}_i$ in CP -conjugate bin pairs i : $\chi^2 = \sum_i (N_i - \alpha \bar{N}_i) / \sigma(N_i - \alpha \bar{N}_i)$. The factor $\alpha = (\sum_i N_i) / (\sum_i \bar{N}_i)$ removes the dependence on phase-space-integrated rate asymmetries. The result is used to obtain the probability (p-value) to obtain the measured χ^2 or larger under the assumption of CP conservation [AUBERT 08AO, BEDIAGA 09]. Alternative methods obtain p-values from other test variables based on unbinned analyses [WILLIAMS 11, AAIJ 14C]. Results can be combined using Fisher's method [MOSTELLER 48].

Local CPV in $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^\pm$

p-value (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
78.1	3.1M	¹ AAIJ	14C LHCb	χ^2

¹ AAIJ 14C uses binned and unbinned methods, and finds slightly better sensitivity with the former. We took the first value in the table of results for the binned method.

Local CPV in $D^\pm \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^\pm$

p-value (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
31 OUR EVALUATION				
8.1	135M	AAIJ	24AG LHCb	χ^2
72	224k	LEES	13F BABR	χ^2
12.7	370k	¹ AAIJ	11G LHCb	χ^2

¹ AAIJ 11G publishes results for several binning schemes. We picked the first value in their table of results.

Local CPV in $D^\pm \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^\pm$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
31.6	1.27M	AAIJ	23L LHCb	χ^2

CP VIOLATING ASYMMETRIES OF P-ODD (T-ODD) MOMENTS **$A_{Tviol}(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$**

$C_T \equiv \vec{p}_{K^+} \cdot (\vec{p}_{\pi^+} \times \vec{p}_{\pi^-})$ is a parity-odd correlation of the K^+ , π^+ , and π^- momenta for the D^+ . $\bar{C}_T \equiv \vec{p}_{K^-} \cdot (\vec{p}_{\pi^-} \times \vec{p}_{\pi^+})$ is the corresponding quantity for the D^- . Then

$A_T \equiv [\Gamma(C_T > 0) - \Gamma(C_T < 0)] / [\Gamma(C_T > 0) + \Gamma(C_T < 0)]$, and

$\bar{A}_T \equiv [\Gamma(-\bar{C}_T > 0) - \Gamma(-\bar{C}_T < 0)] / [\Gamma(-\bar{C}_T > 0) + \Gamma(-\bar{C}_T < 0)]$, and

$A_{Tviol} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(A_T - \bar{A}_T)$. C_T and $\bar{C}_T$ are commonly referred to as T -odd moments, because they are odd under T reversal. However, the T -conjugate process $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow D^\pm$ is not accessible, while the P -conjugate process is.

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
– 3 ± 8 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
3.4 ± 8.7 ± 3.2	19k	MOON	23	BELL 980 fb $^{-1}$ at $\sim \Upsilon(4S)$
– 12.0 ± 10.0 ± 4.6	21k	LEES	11E	BABR $e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
23 ± 62 ± 22	523	LINK	05E	FOCS $\gamma A, \bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$A_{Tviol}(K^+K^-K_S^0\pi^\pm)$ in $D^\pm \rightarrow K^+K^-K_S^0\pi^\pm$

$C_T \equiv \vec{p}_{K^+} \cdot (\vec{p}_{\pi^+} \times \vec{p}_{K^-})$ is a parity-odd correlation of the K^+ , π^+ , and K^- momenta for the D^+ . $\bar{C}_T \equiv \vec{p}_{K^-} \cdot (\vec{p}_{\pi^-} \times \vec{p}_{K^+})$ is the corresponding quantity for the D^- . Then

$A_T \equiv [\Gamma(C_T > 0) - \Gamma(C_T \leq 0)] / [\Gamma(C_T > 0) + \Gamma(C_T \leq 0)]$, and

$\bar{A}_T \equiv [\Gamma(-\bar{C}_T > 0) - \Gamma(-\bar{C}_T \leq 0)] / [\Gamma(-\bar{C}_T > 0) + \Gamma(-\bar{C}_T \leq 0)]$, and

$A_{Tviol} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(A_T - \bar{A}_T)$. C_T and $\bar{C}_T$ are commonly referred to as T -odd moments, because they are odd under T reversal. However, the T -conjugate process $K^+K^-K_S^0\pi^\pm \rightarrow D^\pm$ is not accessible, while the P -conjugate process is.

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
– 3.34 ± 2.66 ± 0.35	1.4k	MOON	23	BELL 980 fb $^{-1}$ at $\sim \Upsilon(4S)$

SEMILEPTONIC FORM FACTORS

$f_+(0)|V_{cs}|$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\ell^+\nu_\ell$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.7163 ± 0.0033 OUR AVERAGE				
0.7207 ± 0.0027 ± 0.0035	58k	ABLIKIM	24CN	BES3 $\bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 2-parameter fit
0.7124 ± 0.0035 ± 0.0032	47k	ABLIKIM	24CN	BES3 $\bar{K}^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ 2-parameter fit
0.707 ± 0.010 ± 0.009	¹	BESSON	09	CLEO $K_S^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 3-parameter fit
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.7053 ± 0.0040 ± 0.0112	²	ABLIKIM	17S	BES3 $K_S^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 2-parameter fit
0.737 ± 0.006 ± 0.009	^{2,3}	ABLIKIM	15AF	BES3 $K_L^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 3-parameter fit

¹ BESSON 09 finds $0.716 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.009$ for a 2-parameter fit.

² Superseded by ABLIKIM 24CN.

³ ABLIKIM 15AF finds $0.728 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.011$ for a 2-parameter fit.

$r_1 \equiv a_1/a_0$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\ell^+\nu_\ell$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
– 2.13 ± 0.14 OUR AVERAGE				
– 2.18 ± 0.14 ± 0.05		ABLIKIM	17S	BES3 $K_S^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 2-parameter fit
– 2.23 ± 0.42 ± 0.53	40k	¹ ABLIKIM	15AF	BES3 $K_L^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 3-parameter fit
– 1.66 ± 0.44 ± 0.10	²	BESSON	09	CLEO $K_S^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 3-parameter fit

¹ ABLIKIM 15AF finds $r_1 = -1.91 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.28$ for a 2-parameter fit.

² BESSON 09 finds $r_1 = -2.10 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.08$ for 2-parameter fit.

$r_2 \equiv a_2/a_0$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\ell^+\nu_\ell$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
– 3 ± 12 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.5.
+ 11 ± 9 ± 9	40k	ABLIKIM	15AF	BES3 $K_L^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 3-parameter fit
– 14 ± 11 ± 1		BESSON	09	CLEO $K_S^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 3-parameter fit

$f_+(0)|V_{cd}|$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.1407 ± 0.0025 OUR AVERAGE			
$0.1400 \pm 0.0026 \pm 0.0007$	ABLIKIM	17s	BES3 $\pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 2-parameter fit
$0.146 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.002$	BESSION	09	CLEO $\pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 3-parameter fit

 $r_1 \equiv a_1/a_0$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-2.00 ± 0.13 OUR AVERAGE			
$-2.01 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.02$	ABLIKIM	17s	BES3 $\pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 2-parameter fit
$-1.37 \pm 0.88 \pm 0.24$	BESSION	09	CLEO $\pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 3-parameter fit

 $r_2 \equiv a_2/a_0$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-4 \pm 5 \pm 1$	BESSION	09	CLEO $\pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 3-parameter fit

 $f_+(0)|V_{cd}|$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \eta \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ ($\ell = e$ or ν)

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.4 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE				
$8.7 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2$	234	ABLIKIM	20T	BES3 $\eta \mu^+ \nu_\mu$, z expansion
$7.86 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.21$	373	ABLIKIM	18R	BES3 $\eta e^+ \nu_e$, z expansion
$8.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.1$		YELTON	11	CLEO $\eta e^+ \nu_e$, z expansion

 $r_1 \equiv a_1/a_0$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \eta e^+ \nu_e$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-5.3 ± 2.7 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.9.
$-7.33 \pm 1.69 \pm 0.40$	373	ABLIKIM	18R	BES3 z expansion
$-1.83 \pm 2.23 \pm 0.28$		YELTON	11	CLEO z expansion

 $r_\nu \equiv V(0)/A_1(0)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \omega e^+ \nu_e$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.24 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.06$	ABLIKIM	15W	BES3 292 fb^{-1} , 3773 MeV

 $r_2 \equiv A_2(0)/A_1(0)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \omega e^+ \nu_e$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.06 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.05$	ABLIKIM	15W	BES3 292 fb^{-1} , 3773 MeV

 $r_\nu \equiv V(0)/A_1(0)$ in $D^+, D^0 \rightarrow \rho e^+ \nu_e$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.53 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE				
$1.548 \pm 0.079 \pm 0.041$	3.3k	¹ ABLIKIM	24CP	BES3 $e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV
$1.48 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.05$		^{2,3} DOBBS	13	CLEO $e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.695 \pm 0.083 \pm 0.051$	2.5k	^{2,4} ABLIKIM	19c	BES3 $e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV
-----------------------------	------	------------------------	-----	----------------------------

¹ $D^0 \rightarrow \rho^- e^+ \nu_e$ only, uses a single-pole-dominance parametrization.

² Uses both D^+ and D^0 events.

³ Using PDG 10 values of V_{cd} and lifetimes, DOBBS 13 gets $A_1(0) = 0.56 \pm 0.01^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$, $A_2(0) = 0.47 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.04$, and $V(0) = 0.84 \pm 0.09^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$.

⁴ Superseded in part by ABLIKIM 24CP.

$r_2 \equiv A_2(0)/A_1(0)$ in $D^+, D^0 \rightarrow \rho e^+ \nu_e$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.82 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE				
0.823 ± 0.056 ± 0.026	3.3k	¹ ABLIKIM	24CP BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV
0.83 ± 0.11 ± 0.04		^{2,3} DOBBS	13 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ at $\psi(3770)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.845 ± 0.056 ± 0.039	2.5k	^{2,4} ABLIKIM	19C BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3773 MeV

¹ $D^0 \rightarrow \rho^- e^+ \nu_e$ only, uses a single-pole-dominance parametrization.

² Uses both D^+ and D^0 events.

³ Using PDG 10 values of V_{cd} and lifetimes, DOBBS 13 gets $A_1(0) = 0.56 \pm 0.01^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$, $A_2(0) = 0.47 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.04$, and $V(0) = 0.84 \pm 0.09^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$.


⁴ Superseded in part by ABLIKIM 24CP.

$r_V \equiv V(0)/A_1(0)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

See also BRIERE 10 for $\bar{K}^* \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ helicity-basis form-factor measurements.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.48 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.9.		See the ideogram below.
1.43 ± 0.07 ± 0.03	3.8k	¹ ABLIKIM	24CS BES3	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e$
1.411 ± 0.058 ± 0.007	16.2k	ABLIKIM	16F BES3	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e$
1.463 ± 0.017 ± 0.031		² DEL-AMO-SA...11I	BABR	
1.504 ± 0.057 ± 0.039	15k	³ LINK	02L FOCS	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
1.45 ± 0.23 ± 0.07	763	ADAMOVICH	99 BEAT	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
1.90 ± 0.11 ± 0.09	3000	⁴ AITALA	98B E791	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e$
1.84 ± 0.11 ± 0.09	3034	AITALA	98F E791	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
1.74 ± 0.27 ± 0.28	874	FRABETTI	93E E687	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
2.00 $^{+0.34}_{-0.32}$ ± 0.16	305	KODAMA	92 E653	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.0 ± 0.6 ± 0.3	183	ANJOS	90E E691	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
1.48 ± 0.05 (Error scaled by 1.9)



$r_V \equiv V(0)/A_1(0)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

¹ ABLIKIM 24CS uses a single-pole dominance parameterization.

² DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 11I finds the pole mass $m_A = (2.63 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.13)$ GeV (m_V is fixed at 2 GeV).

³ LINK 02L includes the effects of interference with an S -wave background. This much improves the goodness of fit, but does not much shift the values of the form factors.

⁴ This is slightly different from the AITALA 98B value: see ref. [5] in AITALA 98F.

$r_2 \equiv A_2(0)/A_1(0)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

See also BRIERE 10 for $\bar{K}^* \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ helicity-basis form-factor measurements.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.794 ± 0.020 OUR AVERAGE				
0.72 ± 0.06 ± 0.02	3.8k	¹ ABLIKIM 24CS	BES3	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e$
0.788 ± 0.042 ± 0.008	16.2k	ABLIKIM 16F	BES3	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e$
0.801 ± 0.020 ± 0.020		² DEL-AMO-SA...11I	BABR	
0.875 ± 0.049 ± 0.064	15k	³ LINK 02L	FOCS	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
1.00 ± 0.15 ± 0.03	763	ADAMOVICH 99	BEAT	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
0.71 ± 0.08 ± 0.09	3000	AITALA 98B	E791	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e$
0.75 ± 0.08 ± 0.09	3034	AITALA 98F	E791	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
0.78 ± 0.18 ± 0.10	874	FRABETTI 93E	E687	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
0.82 $^{+0.22}_{-0.23}$ ± 0.11	305	KODAMA 92	E653	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.0 ± 0.5 ± 0.2	183	ANJOS 90E	E691	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e$
-----------------	-----	-----------	------	------------------------------

¹ ABLIKIM 24CS uses a single-pole dominance parameterization.

² DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 11I finds the pole mass $m_A = (2.63 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.13)$ GeV (m_V is fixed at 2 GeV).

³ LINK 02L includes the effects of interference with an S -wave background. This much improves the goodness of fit, but does not much shift the values of the form factors.

$r_3 \equiv A_3(0)/A_1(0)$ in $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

See also BRIERE 10 for $\bar{K}^* \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ helicity-basis form-factor measurements.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.04 ± 0.33 ± 0.29	3034	AITALA 98F	E791	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$

Γ_L/Γ_T in $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

See also BRIERE 10 for $\bar{K}^* \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ helicity-basis form-factor measurements.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.13 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE				
1.09 ± 0.10 ± 0.02	763	ADAMOVICH 99	BEAT	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
1.20 ± 0.13 ± 0.13	874	FRABETTI 93E	E687	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
1.18 ± 0.18 ± 0.08	305	KODAMA 92	E653	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.8 $^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$ ± 0.3	183	ANJOS 90E	E691	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e$
----------------------------	-----	-----------	------	------------------------------

Γ_+/Γ_- in $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$

See also BRIERE 10 for $\bar{K}^* \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ helicity-basis form-factor measurements.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.22 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.6.
0.28 ± 0.05 ± 0.02	763	ADAMOVICH 99	BEAT	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$

$0.16 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.02$	305	KODAMA	92	E653	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.15^{+0.07}_{-0.05} \pm 0.03$	183	ANJOS	90E	E691	$\bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e$

Amplitude analyses

$D \rightarrow K\pi\pi\pi$ partial wave analyses

Amplitude analyses of D^+ decays to a variety of 4-body kaon or pion final states, fitting simultaneously different partial wave components.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
	ABLIKIM	19AZ BES3	$D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

$D^+ \rightarrow 2\pi^+ \pi^-$ partial wave analyses

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
	572k	¹ AAIJ	23H	LHCB	Dalitz plot fit
	2.2k	BONVICINI	07	CLEO	
	1.5k	LINK	04	FOCS	
	1.2k	AITALA	01B	E791	

¹ The amplitude model has 7 components, including a $\pi^+ \pi^\pm$ S-wave parametrised by one complex number per bin in 50 bins of $\pi^+ \pi^-$ invariant mass.

$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \eta$ partial wave analyses

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
	950	¹ ABLIKIM	24CL BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 3.773 GeV

¹ The amplitude model has six components, including $(\pi^+ \pi^0)_V \eta$ with a vector-like mode.

$D^\pm$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	24AG PRL 133 251801	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24AS PRL 132 131903	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24AZ PR D109 072003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24CJ PR D110 092006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24CK PR D110 092008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24CL PR D110 L111102	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24CM PR D110 112001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24CN PR D110 112006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24CP PR D110 112018	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24CR JHEP 2409 089	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24CS JHEP 2410 199	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24CX JHEP 2412 206	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	23E JHEP 2304 081	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	23H JHEP 2306 044	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	23L JHEP 2307 067	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23AI PR D107 032002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23AO PR D107 112005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23BW JHEP 2309 077	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LI	23G PR D107 033003	L.K. Li <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
MOON	23 PR D108 L111102	H.K. Moon <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22BG PR D106 092005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22BJ PR D106 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22BK JHEP 2209 107	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22U PR D105 032009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22Y PR D106 032002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	21T JHEP 2106 044	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	21U JHEP 2106 019	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21AD PR D104 012006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21BA PR D104 052008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)

ABLIKIM	21BB	PR D104 072005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABUDINEN	21A	PRL 127 211801	F. Abudinen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE II Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20AA	PR D102 052003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20AC	PR D102 052006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20AF	PR D102 112005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20D	PR D101 031102	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20G	PR D101 052009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20H	PR D101 072005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20T	PRL 124 231801	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20V	PRL 124 241803	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20Z	PRL 125 141802	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	19G	JHEP 1903 176	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	19H	JHEP 1904 063	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	19T	PRL 122 191803	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AL	PR D99 112002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AY	PR D100 072006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AZ	PR D100 072008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19BG	PRL 123 211802	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19BH	PRL 123 231801	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19BI	PL B798 135017	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19C	PRL 122 062001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19M	PR D99 032002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18AC	PR D98 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18AE	PRL 121 171803	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18F	PRL 121 081802	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18P	PR D97 072015	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18R	PR D97 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18W	PR D97 072004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
BABU	18	PR D97 011101	V. Babu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	17AF	PL B771 21	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17A	PL B765 231	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17AD	PR D96 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17M	PR D95 071102	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17S	PR D96 012002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16D	PRL 116 082001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16F	PR D94 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16G	EPJ C76 369	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16V	CP C40 113001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15AF	PR D92 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15W	PR D92 071101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	14BD	JHEP 1410 025	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	14C	PL B728 585	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABAZOV	14L	PR D90 111102	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	14E	PR D89 052001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	14F	PR D89 051104	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
BONVICINI	14	PR D89 072002	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AAIJ	13AF	PL B724 203	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	13W	JHEP 1306 112	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
DOBBS	13	PRL 110 131802	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KO	13	JHEP 1302 098	B.R. Ko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	13E	PR D87 052012	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	13F	PR D87 052010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
KO	12A	PRL 109 119903 (errat.)	B.R. Ko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
Also		PRL 109 021601	B.R. Ko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
STARIC	12	PRL 108 071801	M. Staric <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	11G	PR D84 112008	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	11H	PR D83 071103	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	11I	PR D83 072001	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11E	PR D84 031103	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11G	PR D84 072006	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
WILLIAMS	11	PR D84 054015	M. Williams	(LOIC)
WON	11	PRL 107 221801	E. Won <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
YELTON	11	PR D84 032001	J. Yelton <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ANASHIN	10A	PL B686 84	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(VEPP-4M KEDR Collab.)
ASNER	10	PR D81 052007	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BRIERE	10	PR D81 112001	R.A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KO	10	PRL 104 181602	B.R. Ko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
MENDEZ	10	PR D81 052013	H. Mendez <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	10	JP G37 075021	K. Nakamura <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
RUBIN	10	PR D82 092007	P. Rubin <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BEDIAGA	09	PR D80 096006	I. Bediaga <i>et al.</i>	(CBPF, NDAM)

BESSON	09	PR D80 032005	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
Also		PR D79 052010	J.Y. Ge <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KO	09	PRL 102 221802	B.R. Ko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LINK	09	PL B681 14	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
MITCHELL	09B	PRL 102 081801	R.E. Mitchell <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
WON	09	PR D80 111101	E. Won <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABAZOV	08D	PRL 100 101801	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	08L	PL B665 16	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ARTUSO	08	PR D77 092003	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	08AO	PR D78 051102	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BONVICINI	08	PR D77 091106	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BONVICINI	08A	PR D78 052001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
DOBBS	08	PR D77 112005	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
Also		PRL 100 251802	D. Cronin-Hennessy <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
EISENSTEIN	08	PR D78 052003	B.I. Eisenstein <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
HE	08	PRL 100 091801	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
RUBIN	08	PR D78 072003	P. Rubin <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABLIKIM	07	PL B644 20	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	07G	PL B658 1	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BONVICINI	07	PR D76 012001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
DOBBS	07	PR D76 112001	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LINK	07B	PL B653 1	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06O	EPJ C47 31	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06P	EPJ C47 39	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06U	PL B643 246	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ADAM	06A	PRL 97 251801	N.E. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AITALA	06	PR D73 032004	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
Also		PR D74 059901 (err.)	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
AUBERT,B	06F	PR D74 011107	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
DYTMAN	06	PR D74 071102	S.A. Dytman <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
HUANG	06B	PR D74 112005	G.S. Huang <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LINK	06B	PL B637 32	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
RUBIN	06	PRL 96 081802	P. Rubin <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
RUBIN	06A	PR D73 112005	P. Rubin <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABLIKIM	05A	PL B608 24	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	05D	PL B610 183	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	05F	PL B622 6	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	05P	PL B625 196	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ARTUSO	05A	PRL 95 251801	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	05S	PR D71 091101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
HE	05	PRL 95 121801	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
Also		PRL 96 199903 (err.)	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
HE	05A	PRL 95 221802	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
HUANG	05B	PRL 95 181801	G.S. Huang <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KAYIS-TOPAK...	05	PL B626 24	A. Kayis-Topaksu <i>et al.</i>	(CERN CHORUS Collab.)
LINK	05E	PL B622 239	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK	05I	PL B621 72	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	04C	PL B597 39	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BEP C BES Collab.)
ARMS	04	PR D69 071102	K. Arms <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BONVICINI	04A	PR D70 112004	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LINK	04	PL B585 200	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK	04E	PL B598 33	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK	04F	PL B601 10	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ANISOVICH	03	EPJ A16 229	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
LINK	03D	PL B561 225	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK	03F	PL B572 21	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
AITALA	02	PRL 89 121801	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
BRANDENB...	02	PRL 89 222001	G. Brandenburg <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KAYIS-TOPAK...	02	PL B549 48	A. Kayis-Topaksu <i>et al.</i>	(CERN CHORUS Collab.)
LINK	02B	PRL 88 041602	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
Also		PRL 88 159903 (err.)	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK	02E	PL B535 43	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK	02F	PL B537 192	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK	02I	PL B541 227	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK	02J	PL B541 243	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK	02L	PL B544 89	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
AITALA	01B	PRL 86 770	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
LINK	01C	PRL 87 162001	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ABREU	00O	EPJ C12 209	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ASTIER	00D	PL B486 35	P. Astier <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NOMAD Collab.)

JUN	00	PRL 84 1857	S.Y. Jun <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL SELEX Collab.)
LINK	00B	PL B491 232	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
Also		PL B495 443 (errat.)	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99K	EPJ C8 573	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ADAMOVICH	99	EPJ C6 35	M. Adamovich <i>et al.</i>	(CERN BEATRICE Collab.)
AITALA	99G	PL B462 401	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
BONVICINI	99	PRL 82 4586	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AITALA	98B	PRL 80 1393	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
AITALA	98C	PL B421 405	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
AITALA	98F	PL B440 435	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
BAI	98B	PL B429 188	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BEPC BES Collab.)
AITALA	97	PL B397 325	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
AITALA	97B	PL B403 377	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
AITALA	97C	PL B404 187	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
BISHAI	97	PRL 78 3261	M. Bishai <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FRABETTI	97	PL B391 235	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
FRABETTI	97B	PL B398 239	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
FRABETTI	97C	PL B401 131	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
FRABETTI	97D	PL B407 79	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
AITALA	96	PRL 76 364	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
FRABETTI	95	PL B346 199	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
FRABETTI	95B	PL B351 591	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
FRABETTI	95E	PL B359 403	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
KODAMA	95	PL B345 85	K. Kodama <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E653 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	94I	ZPHY C64 375	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BALEST	94	PRL 72 2328	R. Balest <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FRABETTI	94D	PL B323 459	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
FRABETTI	94G	PL B331 217	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
FRABETTI	94I	PR D50 2953	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
AKERIB	93	PRL 71 3070	D.S. Akerib <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ANJOS	93	PR D48 56	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)
FRABETTI	93E	PL B307 262	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92F	PL B278 202	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BARLAG	92C	ZPHY C55 383	S. Barlag <i>et al.</i>	(ACCMOR Collab.)
Also		ZPHY C48 29	S. Barlag <i>et al.</i>	(ACCMOR Collab.)
COFFMAN	92B	PR D45 2196	D.M. Coffman <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
DAOUDI	92	PR D45 3965	M. Daoudi <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KODAMA	92	PL B274 246	K. Kodama <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E653 Collab.)
KODAMA	92C	PL B286 187	K. Kodama <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E653 Collab.)
ADAMOVICH	91	PL B268 142	M.I. Adamovich <i>et al.</i>	(WA82 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	91	PL B255 634	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALVAREZ	91B	ZPHY C50 11	M.P. Alvarez <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA14/2 Collab.)
AMMAR	91	PR D44 3383	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BAI	91	PRL 66 1011	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
COFFMAN	91	PL B263 135	D.M. Coffman <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
FRABETTI	91	PL B263 584	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
ALVAREZ	90	ZPHY C47 539	M.P. Alvarez <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA14/2 Collab.)
ANJOS	90C	PR D41 2705	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)
ANJOS	90D	PR D42 2414	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)
ANJOS	90E	PRL 65 2630	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)
BARLAG	90C	ZPHY C46 563	S. Barlag <i>et al.</i>	(ACCMOR Collab.)
WEIR	90B	PR D41 1384	A.J. Weir <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
ANJOS	89	PRL 62 125	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)
ANJOS	89B	PRL 62 722	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)
ANJOS	89E	PL B223 267	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)
ADLER	88C	PRL 60 89	J. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
ALBRECHT	88I	PL B210 267	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
HAAS	88	PRL 60 1614	P. Haas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ONG	88	PRL 60 2587	R.A. Ong <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
RAAB	88	PR D37 2391	J.R. Raab <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)
ADAMOVICH	87	EPL 4 887	M.I. Adamovich <i>et al.</i>	(Photon Emulsion Collab.)
ADLER	87	PL B196 107	J. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
BARTEL	87	ZPHY C33 339	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BALTRUSAITIS...	86E	PRL 56 2140	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
BALTRUSAITIS...	85B	PRL 54 1976	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
BALTRUSAITIS...	85E	PRL 55 150	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
BARTEL	85J	PL 163B 277	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
ADAMOVICH	84	PL 140B 119	M.I. Adamovich <i>et al.</i>	(CERN WA58 Collab.)
ALTHOFF	84G	ZPHY C22 219	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
DERRICK	84	PRL 53 1971	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(HRS Collab.)
SCHINDLER	81	PR D24 78	R.H. Schindler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)

TRILLING	81	PRPL 75 57	G.H. Trilling	(LBL, UCB) J
ZHOLENTZ	80	PL 96B 214	A.A. Zholents <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
Also		SJNP 34 814	A.A. Zholents <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 34 1471.		
GOLDHABER	77	PL 69B 503	G. Goldhaber <i>et al.</i>	(Mark I Collab.)
PERUZZI	77	PRL 39 1301	I. Peruzzi <i>et al.</i>	(LGW Collab.)
PICCOLO	77	PL 70B 260	M. Piccolo <i>et al.</i>	(Mark I Collab.)
PERUZZI	76	PRL 37 569	I. Peruzzi <i>et al.</i>	(Mark I Collab.)
MOSTELLER	48	Am.Stat. 3 No.5 30	R.A. Fisher, F. Mosteller	

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

RICHMAN	95	RMP 67 893	J.D. Richman, P.R. Burchat	(UCSB, STAN)
ROSNER	95	CNPP 21 369	J. Rosner	(CHIC)