Neutrino Properties

NEUTRINO PROPERTIES

Revised July 2023 by P. Vogel (Caltech) and A. Piepke (University of Alabama).

The Neutrino Properties Listings concern measurements of various properties of neutrinos. Nearly all of the measurements, so far only limits, actually concern superpositions of the mass eigenstates ν_i , which are in turn related to the weak eigenstates ν_{ℓ} , via the neutrino mixing matrix

$$\ket{
u_\ell} = \sum_i U_{\ell i} \ket{
u_i} \,.$$

In the analogous case of quark mixing via the CKM matrix, the smallness of the off-diagonal terms (small mixing angles) permits a "dominant eigenstate" approximation. However, the results of neutrino oscillation searches show that the mixing matrix contains two large mixing angles and a third angle that is not exceedingly small. We cannot therefore associate any particular state $|\nu_i\rangle$ with any particular lepton label e, μ or τ . Nevertheless, note that in the standard labeling the $|\nu_1\rangle$ has the largest $|\nu_e\rangle$ component (~ 2/3), $|\nu_2\rangle$ contains ~ 1/3 of the $|\nu_e\rangle$ component and $|\nu_3\rangle$ contains only a small ~ 2.5% $|\nu_e\rangle$ component.

Neutrinos are produced in weak decays with a definite lepton flavor, and are typically detected by the charged current weak interaction again associated with a specific lepton flavor. Hence, the listings for the neutrino mass that follow are separated into the three associated charged lepton categories. Other properties (mean lifetime, magnetic moment, charge and

charge radius) are no longer separated this way. If needed, the associated lepton flavor is reported in the footnotes.

Measured quantities (mass-squared, magnetic moments, mean lifetimes, *etc.*) all depend upon the mixing parameters $|U_{\ell i}|^2$, but to some extent also on experimental conditions (e.g., on energy resolution). Many of these observables, in particular mass-squared, cannot distinguish between Dirac and Majorana neutrinos and are unaffected by *CP* phases.

Direct neutrino mass measurements are usually based on the analysis of the kinematics of charged particles (leptons, pions) emitted together with neutrinos (flavor states) in various weak decays. The most sensitive neutrino mass measurement to date, involving electron type antineutrinos, is based on fitting the shape of the beta spectrum. The quantity $m_{\nu_e}^{2(eff)} =$ $\sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 m_{\nu_i}^2$ is determined or constrained, where the sum is over all mass eigenvalues m_{ν_i} that are too close together to be resolved experimentally. (The quantity $m_{\nu_e}^{eff} \equiv \sqrt{m_{\nu_e}^{2(eff)}}$ is often denoted $\langle m_{\beta} \rangle$ in the literature.) If the energy resolution is better than $\Delta m_{ij}^2 \equiv m_{\nu_i}^2 - m_{\nu_j}^2$, the corresponding heavier m_{ν_i} and mixing parameter could be determined by fitting the resulting spectral anomaly (step or kink).

The dependence of m_{ν_e} on the mass of the lightest neutrino is shown in Fig. 14.11 of the Neutrino Masses, Mixing, and Oscillations review. In the case of inverted ordering there is a minimum possible value of $m_{\nu_e}^{eff}$, approximately $\sqrt{(\Delta m_{32}^2)} \sim$ 50 meV. If $m_{\nu_e}^{eff}$ is found to be larger than this value, it is impossible, based on this information only, to decide which ordering is realized in nature. On the other hand, if the $m_{\nu_e}^{eff}$ is less than ~50 meV, only the normal mass ordering is possible.

A limit on $m_{\nu_e}^{2(eff)}$ implies an upper limit on the minimum value m_{min}^2 of $m_{\nu_i}^2$, independent of the mixing parameters U_{ei} : $m_{min}^2 \leq m_{\nu_e}^{2(eff)}$. However, if and when the value of $m_{\nu_e}^{2(eff)}$ is determined then its combination with the results derived from neutrino oscillations that give us the values of the neutrino mass-squared differences $\Delta m_{ij}^2 \equiv m_i^2 - m_j^2$, including eventually also their signs, and the mixing parameters $|U_{ei}|^2$, the individual neutrino mass squares $m_{\nu_j}^2 = m_{\nu_e}^{2(eff)} - \sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 \Delta m_{ij}^2$ can be determined.

So far solar, reactor, atmospheric and accelerator neutrino oscillation experiments can be consistently described using three active neutrino flavors, i.e. two mass splittings and three mixing angles. However, several experiments with radioactive sources, reactors, and accelerators imply the possible existence of one or more non-interacting, i.e. sterile, neutrino species that might be observable since they couple, albeit weakly, to the flavor neutrinos $|\nu_l\rangle$. In that case, the neutrino mixing matrix would be $n \times n$ unitary matrix with n > 3.

Combined three neutrino analyses determine the squared mass differences and all three mixing angles to within reasonable accuracy. For given $|\Delta m_{ij}^2|$ a limit on $m_{\nu_e}^{2(eff)}$ from beta decay defines an upper limit on the maximum value m_{max} of m_{ν_i} : $m_{max}^2 \leq m_{\nu_e}^{2(eff)} + \sum_{i < j} |\Delta m_{ij}^2|$. The analysis of the low energy beta decay of tritium, combined with the oscillation results, thus limits all active neutrino masses. Traditionally, experimental neutrino mass limits obtained from pion decay $\pi^+ \to \mu^+ + \nu_{\mu}$ or the shape of the spectrum of decay products of the τ lepton did not distinguish between flavor and mass eigenstates. These results are reported as limits of the μ and τ based neutrino mass. After the determination of the $|\Delta m_{ij}^2|$'s and the mixing

angles θ_{ij} , the corresponding neutrino mass limits are no longer competitive with those derived from low energy beta decays.

The spread of arrival times of the neutrinos from SN1987A, coupled with the measured neutrino energies, provided a timeof-flight limit on a quantity similar to $\langle m_{\beta} \rangle \equiv \sqrt{m_{\nu_e}^{2(eff)}}$. This statement, clothed in various degrees of sophistication, has been the basis for a very large number of papers. The resulting limits, however, are no longer comparable with the limits from tritium beta decay.

Constraint, or eventually a value, of the sum of the neutrino masses m_{tot} can be determined from the analysis of the cosmic microwave background anisotropy, combined with the galaxy redshift surveys and other data. These limits are reported in a separate table (Sum of Neutrino Masses, m_{tot}). Obviously, m_{tot} represents an upper limit for all m_i values. Note that many reported m_{tot} limits are considerably more stringent than the listed $m_{\nu_e}^{eff}$ limits. Discussion concerning the model dependence of the m_{tot} limit is continuing.

$\overline{\nu}$ MASS (electron based)

Those limits given below are for the square root of $m_{\nu_e}^{2(\text{eff})} \equiv \sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 m_{\nu_i}^2$. Limits that come from the kinematics of ${}^3\text{H}\beta^-\overline{\nu}$ decay are the square roots of the limits for $m_{\nu_e}^{2(\text{eff})}$. Obtained from the measurements reported in the Listings for " $\overline{\nu}$ Mass Squared," below.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 0.8	90	¹ AKER	22	SPEC	3 H β decay
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●
<155	90	² ESFAHANI	23	CRES	³ H β decay
< 1.1	90	³ AKER	19	SPEC	³ H β decay
< 2.05	95	⁴ ASEEV	11	SPEC	³ H β decay
< 5.8	95	⁵ PAGLIAROLI	10	ASTR	SN1987A
< 2.3	95	⁶ KRAUS	05	SPEC	³ H β decay
< 21.7	90	⁷ ARNABOLDI	03 A	BOLO	187 Re eta decay
https://pdg.lbl.gov		Page 4		Creat	ed: 5/31/2024 10:16

<	5.7		95	8	LOREDO	02	ASTR	SN1987A
<	2.5		95	9	LOBASHEV	99	SPEC	³ H β decay
<	2.8		95	10	WEINHEIMER	99	SPEC	3 H β decay
<	4.35		95	11	BELESEV	95	SPEC	3 H β decay
< 1	12.4		95	12	CHING	95	SPEC	³ H β decay
< !	92		95	13	HIDDEMANN	95	SPEC	3 H β decay
	15	$+32 \\ -15$			HIDDEMANN	95	SPEC	$^{3}\mathrm{H}\ \beta$ decay
< 1	19.6		95		KERNAN	95	ASTR	SN 1987A
<	7.0		95	14	STOEFFL	95	SPEC	3 H β decay
<	7.2		95	15	WEINHEIMER	93	SPEC	3 H β decay
< 1	11.7		95	16	HOLZSCHUH	92 B	SPEC	3 H β decay
< 1	13.1		95	17	KAWAKAMI	91	SPEC	3 H β decay
<	9.3		95	18	ROBERTSON	91	SPEC	³ H β decay
<	14		95		AVIGNONE	90	ASTR	SN 1987A
< 1	16				SPERGEL	88	ASTR	SN 1987A
	17	to 40		19	BORIS	87	SPEC	3 H β decay

¹ AKER 22 derive an upper limit on the kinematical neutrino mass using Tritium β -decay and the KATRIN spectrometer. The constraint is based on combining the first two science runs. Supersedes AKER 19.

 2 ESFAHANI 23 report the first continuous-spectrum measurement of 3 H β decay, using cyclotron radiation emission spectroscopy (CRES) and a small demonstration detector. The energy resolution at the endpoint is demonstrated using $^{83m}{\rm Kr}$ and a kinematical neutrino mass limit derived from the spectral shape. A frequentist analysis obtained a limit of <152 eV.

³ AKER 19 report a neutrino mass limit, derived from the first month of data collected by the KATRIN tritium endpoint experiment. The analysis of the electron kinematics shows no evidence for neutrino mass. The quoted result is based on a frequentist analysis of the data following the method described in LOKHOV 15. Using the method of Feldman and Cousins, the derived upper limit is < 0.8 eV at 90% C.L. Superseded by AKER 22.

⁴ ASEEV 11 report the analysis of the entire beta endpoint data, taken with the Troitsk integrating electrostatic spectrometer between 1997 and 2002 (some of the earlier runs were rejected), using a windowless gaseous tritium source. The fitted value of m_{ν} , based on the method of Feldman and Cousins, is obtained from the upper limit of the fit for m_{ν}^2 . Previous analysis problems were resolved by careful monitoring of the tritium gas column density. Supersedes LOBASHEV 99 and BELESEV 95.

⁵ PAGLIAROLI 10 is critical of the likelihood method used by LOREDO 02.

⁶ KRAUS 05 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 99. This result represents the final analysis of data taken from 1997 to 2001. Various sources of systematic uncertainties have been identified and quantified. The background has been reduced compared to the initial running period. A spectral anomaly at the endpoint, reported in LOBASHEV 99, was not observed.

 7 ARNABOLDI 03A etal. report kinematical neutrino mass limit using β -decay of 187 Re. Bolometric AgReO_4 micro-calorimeters are used. Mass bound is substantially weaker than those derived from tritium β -decays but has different systematic uncertainties.

⁸LOREDO 02 updates LOREDO 89.

⁹ LOBASHEV 99 report a new measurement which continues the work reported in BELE-SEV 95. This limit depends on phenomenological fit parameters used to derive their best fit to m_{ν}^2 , making unambiguous interpretation difficult. See the footnote under " $\overline{\nu}$ Mass Squared."

- ¹⁰ WEINHEIMER 99 presents two analyses which exclude the spectral anomaly and result in an acceptable m_{ν}^2 . We report the most conservative limit, but the other is nearly the same. See the footnote under " $\overline{\nu}$ Mass Squared."
- ¹¹ BELESEV 95 (Moscow) use an integral electrostatic spectrometer with adiabatic magnetic collimation and a gaseous tritium sources. A fit to a normal Kurie plot above 18300–18350 eV (to avoid a low-energy anomaly) plus a monochromatic line 7–15 eV below the endpoint yields $m_{\mu}^2 = -4.1 \pm 10.9 \text{ eV}^2$, leading to this Bayesian limit.
- ¹² CHING 95 quotes results previously given by SUN 93; no experimental details are given. A possible explanation for consistently negative values of m_{μ}^2 is given.
- 13 HIDDEMANN 95 (Munich) experiment uses atomic tritium embedded in a metal-dioxide lattice. Bayesian limit calculated from the weighted mean $m_{\nu}^2 = 221 \pm 4244 \ {\rm eV}^2$ from the two runs listed below.
- ¹⁴STOEFFL 95 (LLNL) result is the Bayesian limit obtained from the m_{ν}^2 errors given below but with m_{ν}^2 set equal to 0. The anomalous endpoint accumulation leads to a value of m_{ν}^2 which is negative by more than 5 standard deviations.
- ¹⁵ WEINHEIMER 93 (Mainz) is a measurement of the endpoint of the tritium β spectrum using an electrostatic spectrometer with a magnetic guiding field. The source is molecular tritium frozen onto an aluminum substrate.
- ¹⁶ HOLZSCHUH 92B (Zurich) result is obtained from the measurement $m_{\nu}^2 = -24 \pm 48 \pm 61$ (1 σ errors), in eV², using the PDG prescription for conversion to a limit in m_{ν} .
- 17 KAWAKAMI 91 (Tokyo) experiment uses tritium-labeled arachidic acid. This result is the Bayesian limit obtained from the m_{ν}^2 limit with the errors combined in quadrature. This was also done in ROBERTSON 91, although the authors report a different procedure.
- 18 ROBERTSON 91 (LANL) experiment uses gaseous molecular tritium. The result is in strong disagreement with the earlier claims by the ITEP group [LUBIMOV 80, BORIS 87 (+BORIS 88 erratum)] that m_{ν} lies between 17 and 40 eV. However, the probability of a positive m^2 is only 3% if statistical and systematic error are combined in quadrature.
- ¹⁹See also comment in BORIS 87B and erratum in BORIS 88.

$\overline{\nu}$ MASS SQUARED (electron based)

Given troubling systematics which result in improbably negative estimators of $m_{\nu_e}^{2(\text{eff})} \equiv \sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 m_{\nu_i}^2$, in many experiments, we use only KRAUS 05, LOBASHEV 99, and AKER 22 for our average.

VALUE	(eV ²)		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
0.	.08±	0.30 OUR AVER	AGE				
0.	$1 \pm$	0.3	¹ AKER	22	SPEC	3 H eta decay	
- 0.	$67\pm$	2.53	² ASEEV	11	SPEC	³ H β decay	
- 0.	.6 ±	$2.2~\pm~2.1$	³ KRAUS	05	SPEC	3 H β decay	
• • •	We do	not use the follow	ing data for avera	ges, f	its, limit	s, etc. ● ● ●	
- 1.	0 +	0.9 1.1	⁴ AKER	19	SPEC	3 H eta decay	
- 1.	9 ±	3.4 ± 2.2	⁵ LOBASHEV	99	SPEC	³ H β decay	
- 3.	7 ±	$5.3~\pm~2.1$	⁶ WEINHEIMER	99	SPEC	³ H β decay	
- 22	±	4.8	⁷ BELESEV	95	SPEC	3 H β decay	
https	://pdg	.lbl.gov	Page 6		Cre	eated: 5/31/2024 10:16	

129	± 6	010		⁸ HIDDEMANN	95	SPEC	3 H β decay
313	± 5	994		⁸ HIDDEMANN	95	SPEC	3 H β decay
-130	\pm	20	± 15	⁹ STOEFFL	95	SPEC	3 H β decay
- 31	±	75	± 48	¹⁰ SUN	93	SPEC	3 H β decay
- 39	±	34	± 15	11 WEINHEIMEF	93	SPEC	3 H β decay
- 24	\pm	48	± 61	¹² HOLZSCHUH	92 B	SPEC	3 H β decay
- 65	\pm	85	± 65	¹³ KAWAKAMI	91	SPEC	3 H β decay
-147	\pm	68	± 41	¹⁴ ROBERTSON	91	SPEC	³ H β decay

¹AKER 22 report results from the analysis of the Tritium β spectrum using the combined data set collected by the KATRIN experiment in the first two science runs. Supersedes AKER 19.

 2 ASEEV 11 report the analysis of the entire beta endpoint data, taken with the Troitsk integrating electrostatic spectrometer between 1997 and 2002, using a windowless gaseous tritium source. The analysis does not use the two additional fit parameters (see LOBA-SHEV 99) for a step-like structure near the endpoint. Using only the runs where the tritium gas column density was carefully monitored the need for such parameters was eliminated. Supersedes LOBASHEV 99 and BELESEV 95.

 3 KRAUS 05 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 99. This result represents the final analysis of data taken from 1997 to 2001. Problems with significantly negative squared neutrino masses, observed in some earlier experiments, have been resolved in this work.

⁴ AKER 19 use the first month of data collected by the KATRIN experiment to determine m_{ν}^2 . The result is consistent with a neutrino mass of zero and is used to place a limit on m_{12} . Superseded by AKER 22.

⁵LOBASHEV 99 report a new measurement which continues the work reported in BELE-SEV 95. The data were corrected for electron trapping effects in the source, eliminating the dependence of the fitted neutrino mass on the fit interval. The analysis assuming a pure beta spectrum yields significantly negative fitted $m_{
m v}^2 pprox$ -(20-10) eV². This problem is attributed to a discrete spectral anomaly of about 6×10^{-11} intensity with a time-dependent energy of 5-15 eV below the endpoint. The data analysis accounts for this anomaly by introducing two extra phenomenological fit parameters resulting in a best fit of $m_{\nu}^2 = -1.9 \pm 3.4 \pm 2.2 \text{ eV}^2$ which is used to derive a neutrino mass limit. However, the introduction of phenomenological fit parameters which are correlated with the derived m_{μ}^2 limit makes unambiguous interpretation of this result difficult

 6 WEINHEIMER 99 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 93 . Using a lower temperature of the frozen tritium source eliminated the dewetting of the T_2 film, which introduced a dependence of the fitted neutrino mass on the fit interval in the earlier work. An indication for a spectral anomaly reported in LOBASHEV 99 has been seen, but its time dependence does not agree with LOBASHEV 99. Two analyses, which exclude the spectral anomaly either by choice of the analysis interval or by using a particular data set which does not exhibit the anomaly, result in acceptable m_{ν}^2 fits and are used to derive the neutrino mass limit published by the authors. We list the most conservative of the two.

⁷BELESEV 95 (Moscow) use an integral electrostatic spectrometer with adiabatic magnetic collimation and a gaseous tritium sources. This value comes from a fit to a normal Kurie plot above 18300-18350 eV (to avoid a low-energy anomaly), including the effects of an apparent peak 7-15 eV below the endpoint.

 8 HIDDEMANN 95 (Munich) experiment uses atomic tritium embedded in a metal-dioxide lattice. They quote measurements from two data sets.

⁹STOEFFL 95 (LLNL) uses a gaseous source of molecular tritium. An anomalous pileup of events at the endpoint leads to the negative value for m_{11}^2 . The authors acknowledge

that "the negative value for the best fit of m_{ν}^2 has no physical meaning" and discuss possible explanations for this effect.

 10 SUN 93 uses a tritiated hydrocarbon source. See also CHING 95.

¹¹ WEINHEIMER 93 (Mainz) is a measurement of the endpoint of the tritium β spectrum using an electrostatic spectrometer with a magnetic guiding field. The source is molecular tritium frozen onto an aluminum substrate.

 12 HOLZSCHUH 92B (Zurich) source is a monolayer of tritiated hydrocarbon.

¹³KAWAKAMI 91 (Tokyo) experiment uses tritium-labeled arachidic acid.

¹⁴ ROBERTSON 91 (LANL) experiment uses gaseous molecular tritium. The result is in strong disagreement with the earlier claims by the ITEP group [LUBIMOV 80, BORIS 87 (+ BORIS 88 erratum)] that m_{ν} lies between 17 and 40 eV. However, the probability of a positive m_{ν}^2 is only 3% if statistical and systematic error are combined in quadrature.

ν MASS (electron based)

These are measurement of m_{ν} (in contrast to $m_{\overline{\nu}}$, given above). The masses can be different for a Dirac neutrino in the absence of *CPT* invariance. The possible distinction between ν and $\overline{\nu}$ properties is usually ignored elsewhere in these Listings.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<460	68	YASUMI	94	CNTR	¹⁶³ Ho decay
<225	95	SPRINGER	87	CNTR	¹⁶³ Ho decay

ν MASS (muon based)

Limits given below are for the square root of $m_{\nu_{\mu}}^{2(\text{eff})} \equiv \sum_{i} |\mathsf{U}_{\mu i}|^2 m_{\nu_{i}}^2$.

In some of the COSM papers listed below, the authors did not distinguish between weak and mass eigenstates.

OUR EVALUATION is based on OUR AVERAGE for the π^{\pm} mass and the ASSAMAGAN 96 value for the muon momentum for the π^{+} decay at rest. The limit is calculated using the unified classical analysis of FELDMAN 98 for a Gaussian distribution near a physical boundary. WARNING: since $m_{\nu_{\mu}}^{2(\text{eff})}$ is calculated from the differences of large numbers, it and the corresponding limits are extraordinarily sensitive to small changes in the pion mass, the decay muon momentum, and their errors. For example, the limits obtained using JECKELMANN 94, LENZ 98, and the weighted averages are 0.15, 0.29, and 0.19 MeV, respectively.

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.19 (CL = 90%) OUF	R EVALUA	TION			
<0.17	90	1 ASSAMAGAN	96	SPEC	$m_{ m u}^2 = -0.016 \pm 0.023$
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	:tc. ● ● ●
<0.15 <0.48		² DOLGOV ³ ENQVIST	95 93	COSM COSM	Nucleosynthesis Nucleosynthesis
https://pdg.lbl.gov		Page 8		Creat	ed: 5/31/2024 10:16

<0.3		⁴ FULLER	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.42		⁴ LAM	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
<0.50	90	⁵ ANDERHUB	82	SPEC	$m_{\nu}^2 = -0.14 \pm 0.20$
<0.65	90	CLARK	74	ASPK	$K_{\mu3}$ decay

¹ASSAMAGAN 96 measurement of p_{μ} from $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu$ at rest combined with JECK-ELMANN 94 Solution B pion mass yields $m_{\nu}^2 = -0.016 \pm 0.023$ with corresponding Bayesian limit listed above. If Solution A is used, $m_{\nu}^2 = -0.143 \pm 0.024$ MeV². Replaces ASSAMAGAN 94.

 2 DOLGOV 95 removes earlier assumptions (DOLGOV 93) about thermal equilibrium below T_{QCD} for wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos (ENQVIST 93, FULLER 91) to set more stringent limits.

³ENQVIST 93 bases limit on the fact that thermalized wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos would speed up expansion of early universe, thus reducing the primordial abundance. FULLER 91 exploits the same mechanism but in the older calculation obtains a larger production rate for these states, and hence a lower limit. Neutrino lifetime assumed to exceed nucleosynthesis time, \sim 1 s.

⁴Assumes neutrino lifetime >1 s. For Dirac neutrinos only. See also ENQVIST 93.

⁵ ANDERHUB 82 kinematics is insensitive to the pion mass.

ν MASS (tau based)

The limits given below are the square roots of limits for $m_{\nu_{-}}^{2(\text{eff})}$

 $\sum_{i} |\mathbf{U}_{\tau i}|^2 m_{\nu_i}^2$.

In some of the ASTR and COSM papers listed below, the authors did not distinguish between weak and mass eigenstates.

VALUE (MeV)	CL% I	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 18.2	95		¹ BARATE	98F	ALEP	1991–1995 LEP runs
• • • We do not ι	use the	following	g data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●
< 28	95 05		² ATHANAS ³ ACKERSTAFE	00 08T	CLEO	$E_{\rm Cm}^{ee} = 10.6 {\rm GeV}$
< 30	95 95	473	⁴ AMMAR	98 98	CLEO	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 10.6 {\rm GeV}$
< 60 < 0.37 or >22	95		⁵ ANASTASSOV ⁶ FIELDS	97 97	CLEO COSM	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 10.6 {\rm GeV}$ Nucleosynthesis
< 68	95		⁷ SWAIN	97	THEO	$m_{ au}, \tau_{ au}, au$ partial widths
< 29.9 <149	95		⁸ ALEXANDER ⁹ BOTTINO	96м 96	OPAL THEO	1990–1994 LEP runs π , μ , τ leptonic decays
<1 or >25 < 71	95		¹⁰ HANNESTAD ¹¹ SOBIE	96C 96	COSM THEO	Nucleosynthesis $m_{\tau}, \tau_{\tau}, B(\tau^- \rightarrow$
< 24 < 0.19 < 3 < 0.4 or > 30	95	25	¹² BUSKULIC ¹³ DOLGOV ¹⁴ SIGL ¹⁵ DODELSON	95H 95 95 94	ALEP COSM ASTR COSM	$e \overline{\nu}_e \nu_{ au}$) 1991–1993 LEP runs Nucleosynthesis SN 1987A Nucleosynthesis

< 0.1 or > 50			¹⁶ KAWASAKI	94	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
155–225			¹⁷ PERES	94	THEO	π, K, μ, au weak decays
< 32.6	95	113	¹⁸ CINABRO	93	CLEO	$E_{ m cm}^{ee}pprox$ 10.6 GeV
< 0.3 or > 35			¹⁹ DOLGOV	93	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.74			²⁰ ENQVIST	93	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 31	95	19	²¹ ALBRECHT	9 2M	ARG	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 9.4 - 10.6 \text{ GeV}$
< 0.3			²² FULLER	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.5 or > 25			²³ KOLB	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.42			²² LAM	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis

¹BARATE 98F result based on kinematics of 2939 $\tau^- \rightarrow 2\pi^- \pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ and 52 $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ (\pi^0) \nu_{\tau}$ decays. If possible 2.5% excited a_1 decay is included in 3-prong sample analysis, limit increases to 19.2 MeV.

²ATHANAS 00 bound comes from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_{\tau}$ decays.

³ACKERSTAFF 98T use $\tau \rightarrow 5\pi^{\pm}\nu_{\tau}$ decays to obtain a limit of 43.2 MeV (95%CL). They combine this with ALEXANDER 96M value using $\tau \rightarrow 3h^{\pm}\nu_{\tau}$ decays to obtain guoted limit.

- ⁴ AMMAR 98 limit comes from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow 2\pi^- \pi^+ 2\pi^0 \nu_{\tau}$ decay modes.
- ⁵ ANASTASSOV 97 derive limit by comparing their m_{τ} measurement (which depends on $m_{\nu_{\tau}}$) to BAI 96 m_{τ} threshold measurement.
- 6 FIELDS 97 limit for a Dirac neutrino. For a Majorana neutrino the mass region < 0.93 or >31 MeV is excluded. These bounds assume $N_{\nu} < 4$ from nucleosynthesis; a wider excluded region occurs with a smaller N_{ν} upper limit.

⁷ SWAIN 97 derive their limit from the Standard Model relationships between the tau mass, lifetime, branching fractions for $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \overline{\nu}_e \nu_{\tau}, \tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \nu_{\tau}, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \nu_{\tau}$, and

 $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \nu_{\tau}$, and the muon mass and lifetime by assuming lepton universality and using world average values. Limit is reduced to 48 MeV when the CLEO τ mass measurement (BALEST 93) is included; see CLEO's more recent $m_{\nu_{\tau}}$ limit (ANASTASSOV 97).

Consideration of mixing with a fourth generation heavy neutrino yields $\sin^2\theta_L < 0.016$ (95%CL).

⁸ALEXANDER 96M bound comes from analyses of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow h^- h^- h^+ \nu_{\tau}$ decays.

- ⁹ BOTTINO 96 assumes three generations of neutrinos with mixing, finds consistency with massless neutrinos with no mixing based on 1995 data for masses, lifetimes, and leptonic partial widths.
- 10 HANNESTAD 96C limit is on the mass of a Majorana neutrino. This bound assumes N_{ν} < 4 from nucleosynthesis. A wider excluded region occurs with a smaller N_{ν} upper limit. This paper is the corrected version of HANNESTAD 96; see the erratum: HANNESTAD 96B.
- ¹¹ SOBIE 96 derive their limit from the Standard Model relationship between the tau mass, lifetime, and leptonic branching fraction, and the muon mass and lifetime, by assuming lepton universality and using world average values.
- ¹² BUSKULIC 95H bound comes from a two-dimensional fit of the visible energy and invariant mass distribution of $\tau \rightarrow 5\pi(\pi^0)\nu_{\tau}$ decays. Replaced by BARATE 98F.
- ¹³ DOLGOV 95 removes earlier assumptions (DOLGOV 93) about thermal equilibrium below T_{QCD} for wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos (ENQVIST 93, FULLER 91) to set more stringent limits. DOLGOV 96 argues that a possible window near 20 MeV is excluded.

- ¹⁴SIGL 95 exclude massive Dirac or Majorana neutrinos with lifetimes between 10^{-3} and 10^{8} seconds if the decay products are predominantly γ or $e^{+}e^{-}$.
- 15 DODELSON 94 calculate constraints on ν_{τ} mass and lifetime from nucleosynthesis for 4 generic decay modes. Limits depend strongly on decay mode. Quoted limit is valid for all decay modes of Majorana neutrinos with lifetime greater than about 300 s. For Dirac neutrinos limits change to < 0.3 or > 33.
- ¹⁶ KAWASAKI 94 excluded region is for Majorana neutrino with lifetime >1000 s. Other limits are given as a function of ν_{τ} lifetime for decays of the type $\nu_{\tau} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} \phi$ where ϕ is a Nambu-Goldstone boson.
- 17 PERES 94 used PDG 92 values for parameters to obtain a value consistent with mixing. Reexamination by BOTTINO 96 which included radiative corrections and 1995 PDG parameters resulted in two allowed regions, $m_3 <$ 70 MeV and 140 MeV $m_3 <$ 149 MeV.
- ¹⁸ CINABRO 93 bound comes from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow 2\pi^- \pi^+ 2\pi^0 \nu_{\tau}$ decay modes.
- ¹⁹ DOLGOV 93 assumes neutrino lifetime >100 s. For Majorana neutrinos, the low mass limit is 0.5 MeV. KAWANO 92 points out that these bounds can be overcome for a Dirac neutrino if it possesses a magnetic moment. See also DOLGOV 96.
- 20 ENQVIST 93 bases limit on the fact that thermalized wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos would speed up expansion of early universe, thus reducing the primordial abundance. FULLER 91 exploits the same mechanism but in the older calculation obtains a larger production rate for these states, and hence a lower limit. Neutrino lifetime assumed to exceed nucleosynthesis time, $\sim 1\,{\rm s}.$
- ²¹ ALBRECHT 92M reports measurement of a slightly lower τ mass, which has the effect of reducing the ν_{τ} mass reported in ALBRECHT 88B. Bound is from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ mode.
- 22 Assumes neutrino lifetime >1 s. For Dirac neutrinos. See also ENQVIST 93.

 23 KOLB 91 exclusion region is for Dirac neutrino with lifetime >1 s; other limits are given.

Revised August 2023 by K.A. Olive (University of Minnesota).

Neutrinos decouple from thermal equilibrium in the early universe at temperatures $\mathcal{O}(1)$ MeV. The limits on low mass $(m_{\nu} \lesssim 1 \text{ MeV})$ neutrinos apply to m_{tot} given by

$$m_{
m tot} = \sum_{
u} m_{
u}$$

Stable neutrinos in this mass range decouple from the thermal bath while still relativistic and make a contribution to the total energy density of the Universe which is given by

$$\rho_{\nu} = m_{\text{tot}} n_{\nu} \simeq m_{\text{tot}} (3/11) (3.045/3)^{3/4} n_{\gamma} ,$$

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 11

where the factor 3/11 is the ratio of (light) neutrinos to photons and the factor $(3.045/3)^{3/4}$ corrects for the fact that the effective number of neutrinos in the standard model is 3.045 when taking into account e^+e^- annihilation during neutrino decoupling. Writing $\Omega_{\nu} = \rho_{\nu}/\rho_c$, where ρ_c is the critical energy density of the Universe, and using $n_{\gamma} = 410.7$ cm⁻³, we have

$$\Omega_{\nu}h^2 \simeq m_{\rm tot}/(93 \ {\rm eV})$$
.

While an upper limit to the matter density of $\Omega_m h^2 < 0.12$ would constrain $m_{\rm tot} < 11$ eV, much stronger constraints are obtained from the observations of the CMB, combined with lensing and baryon acoustic oscillations data. These combine to give an upper limit of around 0.12 eV, and may, in the near future, be able to provide a lower bound on the sum of the neutrino masses. The current lower bound of $m_{\rm tot} > 0.06$ eV implies a lower limit of $\Omega_{\nu} h^2 > 6 \times 10^{-4}$. See our review on "Neutrinos in Cosmology" for more details.

SUM OF THE NEUTRINO MASSES, m_{tot}

This is a sum of the neutrino masses, m_{tot} , as defined in the above note, of effectively stable neutrinos, i.e. those with mean lifetimes on cosmological scales. When necessary, we have generalized the results reported so they apply to m_{tot} . For other limits, see SZALAY 76, VYSOTSKY 77, BERNSTEIN 81, FREESE 84, SCHRAMM 84, and COWSIK 85. For more information see a note on "Neutrinos in Cosmology" in this *Review*.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use	the follow	wing data for avera	iges, f	its, limit	s, etc. ● ● ●
< 0.082	95	¹ BRIEDEN	22	COSM	BOSS, eBOSS, and CMB
< 0.116	95	² KUMAR	22	COMS	BOSS and CMB
< 0.14	95	³ TANSERI	22	COSM	BOSS and CMB
< 0.13	95	⁴ ABBOTT	21A	COSM	DES and Planck
< 0.12	95	⁵ ALAM	21	COSM	
< 0.09	95	⁶ DI-VALENT	21	COSM	
< 0.16	95	⁷ GARNY	21	COSM	
< 0.06-0.14	95	⁸ STOCKER	21	COSM	Normal mass ordering
< 0.12	95	⁹ AGHANIM	20	COSM	
< 0.15	95	¹⁰ CHOUDHURY	20	COSM	Normal mass hierarchy
https://pdg.lbl.go	v	Page 12		Cr	eated: 5/31/2024 10:16

\lor \lor \lor \lor \lor	0.16 0.11 0.26 0.18 0.152	95 95 95 95 95	 ¹¹ IVANOV ¹² PALANQUE ¹³ LOUREIRO ¹⁴ UPADHYE ¹⁵ CHOUDHURY 	20 20 19 19 18	COSM COSM COSM COSM	Planck and BOSS Lyman alpha and CMB BOSS and CMB
	$\begin{array}{r} 0.152 \\ 0.064 \\ -0.005 \end{array} + 0.061 \\ -0.005 \end{array}$	95	¹⁶ SIMPSON	17	COSM	
< < < < <	$\begin{array}{c} 0.14 \\ 0.0926 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.23 \\ 0.320 \ \pm 0.081 \\ 0.35 \ \pm 0.10 \end{array}$	95 90 95 95 95	 YECHE DIVALENTINO HUANG ROSSI ADE BATTYE BEUTLER 	17 16 15 14 14 14	COSM COSM COSM COSM COSM COSM	BOSS and XQ-100 Normal mass hierarchy Planck BOSS
	$\begin{array}{rrr} 0.22 & +0.09 \\ & -0.10 \end{array}$		²⁴ COSTANZI	14	COSM	
$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.32 & \pm 0.11 \\ 0.26 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.24 \\ 0.20 \end{array}$	95 95 68	 ²⁵ HOU ²⁶ LEISTEDT ²⁷ RIEMER-SOR ²⁸ MORESCO ²⁹ MA 	14 14 .14 12	COSM COSM COSM COSM	
<	0.29	95 95	³⁰ SAITO	12 11	COSM	SDSS
<	0.44	95	³¹ HANNESTAD	10	COSM	
<	0.6	95	³² SEKIGUCHI	10	COSM	
<	0.28	95	³³ THOMAS	10	COSM	
<	1.1	05	35 KOMATSU	09	COSM	ΜΜΔΡ
<	1.2	95	³⁶ TERENO	09	COSM	
<	0.33		³⁷ VIKHLININ	09	COSM	
<	0.28		³⁸ BERNARDIS	80	COSM	
< ().17–2.3		³⁹ FOGLI	07	COSM	
<	0.42	95	⁴⁰ KRISTIANSEN	07	COSM	
< ().63–2.2		⁴¹ ZUNCKEL	07	COSM	
<	0.24	95	⁴² CIRELLI	06	COSM	
<	0.62	95	⁴³ HANNESTAD	06	COSM	
<	1.2	OF	42 SELIAK	06	COSM	
<	0.17	95 05	45 ICHIK MMA	00	COSM	
	0.75	90	⁴⁶ BARGER	03	COSM	
$\overline{\langle}$	1.0		⁴⁷ CROTTY	04	COSM	
<	0.7		⁴⁸ SPERGEL	03	COSM	WMAP
<	0.9		⁴⁹ LEWIS	02	COSM	
<	4.2		⁵⁰ WANG	02	COSM	CMB
<	2.7		⁵¹ FUKUGITA	00	COSM	
<	5.5		⁵² CROFT	99	ASTR	Ly α power spec
<1	80		SZALAY	74	COSM	
<1	32		COWSIK	72	COSM	
<2	50 50			(2 66	COSM	
< 4	JU		GERSHIEIN	00	COSIM	

¹ BRIEDEN 22 combines redshift-space distortions and the shape of the matter power spectrum from BOSS and eBOSS data together with Planck CMB data. Absent the CMB data, the limit is 0.40 eV.

- 2 KUMAR 22 combine the reconstructed galaxy power spectrum from BOSS data with Planck CMB data.
- ³ TANSERI 22 combines BOSS galaxy clustering data with measurements of CMB data. Updates VAGNOZZI 17.
- ⁴ABBOTT 21A combines Dark Energy Survey (DES) year 3 results with Planck CMB lensing measurements.
- ⁵ ALAM 21 limit on the sum of neutrino masses by the eBOSS collaboration is based on galaxy, quasar, and Lyman- α 3D clustering data combined with Planck temperature and polarization CMB and supernovae data.
- ⁶DI-VALENTINO 21 combines CMB temperature and polarization, SNIa luminosity distances and baryon acoustic oscillations data.
- ⁷ GARNY 21 employs a model for the Lyman- α flux power spectrum to set a limit using BOSS data. When combined with Planck CMB temperature and polarization data, a 95% CL range 0.10–0.13 eV is found.
- 8 STOCKER 21 use terrestrial and cosmological experiments to set a 95% CL range on the sum of neutrino masses of 0.058–0.139 eV for normal ordering and 0.098–0.174 eV for inverse ordering. They also set an upper limit of 0.037 eV (NO) and 0.042 eV (IO) for the lightest neutrino mass.
- ⁹ AGHANIM 20 limit on the sum of neutrino masses from Planck data combined with lensing and baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO). Without BAO, the limit relaxes to <0.24 eV. Several other limits are quoted based on different combinations of data.</p>
- ¹⁰ CHOUDHURY 20 combines 2018 Planck CMB temperature and polarization data plus lensing, together with baryon acoustic oscillation data from BOSS, MGS, and 6dFGS. Assumes ACDM model. The upper limit is 0.17 eV for the inverted hierarchy, and 0.12 eV for degenerate neutrinos. Limits are also derived for extended cosmological models.
- ¹¹ IVANOV 20 combines 2018 Planck CMB data with baryon acoustic oscillation data from BOSS. This study is based on a full-shape likelhood for the redshift-space galaxy power spectrum of the BOSS data.
- ¹² PALANQUE-DELABROUILLE 20 combine Lyman alpha and Planck temperature and polarization data. Limit improves to 0.09 eV when CMB lensing and baryon acoustic oscillation data are included.
- ¹³LOUREIRO 19 combines data from large scale structure, cosmic microwave background, type la supernovae and big bang nucleosynthesis using physically motivated neutrino mass models.
- 14 UPADHYE 19 uses the shape of the BOSS redshift-space galaxy power spectrum in combination with the CMB, and supernovae data. Limit weakens to < 0.54 eV if the dark energy equation of state is allowed to vary.
- ¹⁵ CHOUDHURY 18 combines 2015 Planck CMB temperature data, information from the optical depth to reionization from Planck 2016 intermediate results together with baryon acoustic oscillation data from BOSS, MGS, and 6dFGS as well as supernovae Type Ia data from the Pantheon Sample. The limit is strengthened to 0.118 eV when high-*I* CMB polarization data is also included.
- ¹⁶ SIMPSON 17 uses a combination of laboratory and cosmological measurements to determine the light neutrino masses and argue that there is strong evidence for the normal mass ordering.
- ¹⁷ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos using the Lyman-alpha forest power spectrum with BOSS (mid-resolution), XQ-100 (high-resolution) and CMB. Without the CMB data, the limit relaxes to 0.8 eV. Supersedes PALANQUE-DELABROUILLE 15A.
- ¹⁸ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from Planck CMB data combined with baryon acoustic oscillation and Planck cluster data.

- ¹⁹ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from BAO data from SDSS-III/BOSS combined with CMB data from Planck. Limit quoted for normal mass hierarchy. The limit for the inverted mass hierarchy is 0.20 eV and for the degenerate mass hierarchy it is 0.15 eV.
- ²⁰ ROSSI 15 sets limits on the sum of neutrino masses using BOSS Lyman alpha forest data combined with Planck CMB data and baryon acoustic oscillations.
- ²¹ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from Planck CMB data along with WMAP polarization, high L, and BAO data.
- ²² Finite neutrino mass fit to resolve discrepancy between CMB and lensing measurements.
- $^{23}\,\rm{Fit}$ to the total mass of neutrinos from BOSS data along with WMAP CMB data and data from other BAO constraints and weak lensing.
- ²⁴ Fit to the total mass of neutrinos from Planck CMB data along with BAO.
- 25 Fit based on the SPT-SZ survey combined with CMB, BAO, and H_0 data.
- ²⁶ Constraints the total mass of neutrinos (marginalizing over the effective number of neutrino species) from CMB, CMB lensing, BAO, and galaxy clustering data.
- ²⁷ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from Planck CMB data combined with baryon acoustic oscillation data from BOSS, 6dFGS, SDSS, WiggleZ data on the galaxy power spectrum, and HST data on the Hubble parameter. The limit is increased to 0.25 eV if a lower bound to the sum of neutrino masses of 0.04 eV is assumed.
- ²⁸ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from observational Hubble parameter data with seven-year WMAP data and the most recent estimate of H_0 .
- ²⁹ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CFHTLS combined with seven-year WMAP data and a prior on the Hubble parameter. Limit is relaxed to 0.41 eV when small scales affected by non-linearities are removed.
- ³⁰ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey and the five-year WMAP data.
- 31 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the 7-year WMAP data including SDSS and HST data. Limit relaxes to 1.19 eV when CMB data is used alone. Supersedes HANNESTAD 06.
- ³² Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from a combination of CMB data, a recent measurement of H_0 (SHOES), and baryon acoustic oscillation data from SDSS.
- ³³Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from SDSS MegaZ LRG DR7 galaxy clustering data combined with CMB, HST, supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation data. Limit relaxes to 0.47 eV when the equation of state parameter, $w \neq 1$.
- ³⁴ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from weak lensing measurements when combined with CMB. Limit improves to 0.54 eV when supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation observations are included. Assumes ACDM model.
- ³⁵ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from five-year WMAP data. Limit improves to 0.67 eV when supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation observations are included. Limits quoted assume the ΛCDM model. Supersedes SPERGEL 07.
- 36 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from weak lensing measurements when combined with CMB. Limit improves to $0.03 < \Sigma m_{\nu} < 0.54$ eV when supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation observations are included. The slight preference for massive neutrinos at the two-sigma level disappears when systematic errors are taken into account. Assumes ΛCDM model.
- ³⁷ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent Chandra X-ray observations of galaxy clusters when combined with CMB, supernovae, and baryon acoustic oscillation measurements. Assumes flat universe and constant dark-energy equation of state, *w*.
- ³⁸ Constraints the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB and SOSS LRG power spectrum data along with bias mass relations from SDSS, DEEP2, and Lyman-Break Galaxies. It assumes ACDM model. Limit degrades to 0.59 eV in a more general wCDM model.

- ³⁹ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from neutrino oscillation experiments and cosmological data. The most conservative limit uses only WMAP three-year data, while the most stringent limit includes CMB, large-scale structure, supernova, and Lyman-alpha data.
- ⁴⁰ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB, large scale structure, SN1a, and baryon acoustic oscillation data. The limit relaxes to 1.75 when WMAP data alone is used with no prior. Paper shows results with several combinations of data sets. Supersedes KRISTIANSEN 06.
- ⁴¹ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CMB and the large scale structure data. The most conservative limit is obtained when generic initial conditions are allowed.
- ⁴² Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB, large scale structure, Lymanalpha forest, and SN1a data.
- ⁴³ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB and large scale structure data. See also GOOBAR 06. Superseded by HANNESTAD 10.
- ⁴⁴ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CMB and the final 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey.
- ⁴⁵ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CMB experiments alone, assuming ACDM Universe. FUKUGITA 06 show that this result is unchanged by the 3-year WMAP data.
- ⁴⁶ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey and the 2dF galaxy redshift survey, WMAP and 27 other CMB experiments and measurements by the HST Key project.
- ⁴⁷ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, the 2dF galaxy redshift survey, WMAP and ACBAR. The limit is strengthened to 0.6 eV when measurements by the HST Key project and supernovae data are included.
- 48 Constrains the fractional contribution of neutrinos to the total matter density in the Universe from WMAP data combined with other CMB measurements, the 2dfGRS data, and Lyman α data. The limit does not noticeably change if the Lyman α data are not used.
- ⁴⁹ LEWIS 02 constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the CMB, HST Key project, 2dF galaxy redshift survey, supernovae type Ia, and BBN.
- 50 WANG 02 constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the CMB and other cosmological data sets such as galaxy clustering and the Lyman α forest.
- ⁵¹ FUKUGITA 00 is a limit on neutrino masses from structure formation. The constraint is based on the clustering scale σ_8 and the COBE normalization and leads to a conservative limit of 0.9 eV assuming 3 nearly degenerate neutrinos. The quoted limit is on the sum of the light neutrino masses.
- $^{52}\,{\rm CROFT}$ 99 result based on the power spectrum of the Ly α forest. If $\Omega_{\rm matter}<$ 0.5, the limit is improved to $m_{\nu}<$ 2.4 $(\Omega_{\rm matter}/0.17\text{--}1)$ eV.

Limits on MASSES of Light Stable Right-Handed ν (with necessarily suppressed interaction strengths)

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT IL)	TECN COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the	e following data for averag	ges, fits,	, limits, etc. • • •	
<100–200	¹ OLIVE	82	COSM Dirac ν	
<200–2000	¹ OLIVE	82	COSM Majorana $ u$	
1 Depending on interac	ction strength <i>G</i> _R where	G _R <6	G _F .	

Limits on MASSES of Heavy Stable Right-Handed ν
(with necessarily suppressed interaction strengths)VALUE (GeV)DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••> 101OLIVE82COSM G_R/G_F <0.1</td>> 1001OLIVE82COSM G_R/G_F <0.1</td>

¹ These results apply to heavy Majorana neutrinos and are summarized by the equation: $m_{\nu} > 1.2 \text{ GeV} (G_F/G_R)$. The bound saturates, and if G_R is too small no mass range is allowed.

ν CHARGE

e = electron charge is the unit of values listed below.						
VALUE	(<i>e</i>)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<4	× 10 ⁻³⁵	95	¹ CAPRINI	05	COSM	charge neutral universe
• • •	We do not use	e the followi	ng data for average	s, fits	s, limits,	etc. • • •
<2.24	$1 imes 10^{-13}$	90	² AALBERS	23A	LZ	Solar ν spectrum
<1.5	imes 10 ⁻¹³	90	³ ATZORI-COR	.23	FIT	solar neutrinos
<3.3	$\times 10^{-12}$	90	⁴ BONET	22A	CONU	nuclear reactor
<5.4	imes 10 ⁻¹²	90	⁵ ABE	20e	XMAS	solar neutrinos
1.7–2.	3×10^{-12}	68	⁶ KHAN	20		spectral fit of XENON1T
<3	imes 10 ⁻⁸	95	⁷ DELLA-VALLE	16	LASR	magnetic dichroism
<2.1	imes 10 ⁻¹²	90	⁸ CHEN	14A	TEXO	nuclear reactor
<1.5	imes 10 ⁻¹²	90	⁹ STUDENIKIN	14		nuclear reactor
<3.7	$ imes$ 10 $^{-12}$	90	¹⁰ GNINENKO	07	RVUE	nuclear reactor
<2	$ imes$ 10 $^{-14}$		¹¹ RAFFELT	99	ASTR	red giant luminosity
<6	$ imes$ 10 $^{-14}$		¹² RAFFELT	99	ASTR	solar cooling
<4	imes 10 ⁻⁴		¹³ BABU	94	RVUE	BEBC beam dump
<3	imes 10 ⁻⁴		¹⁴ DAVIDSON	91	RVUE	SLAC e^- beam dump
<2	$ imes$ 10 $^{-15}$		¹⁵ BARBIELLINI	87	ASTR	SN 1987A
<1	imes 10 ⁻¹³		¹⁶ BERNSTEIN	63	ASTR	solar energy losses

¹CAPRINI 05 limit derived from the lack of a charge asymmetry in the universe. Limit assumes that charge asymmetries between particles are not anti-correlated.

² AALBERS 23A utilize the first 60 days of data collected by the LZ dark matter search to place a limit on the electric charge of solar neutrinos. Low energy electron-recoil events are utilized. This LZ-collaboration analysis supersedes that of the external authors in ATZORI-CORONA 23 because of a more complete treatment of experiment uncertainties.

- uncertainties. ³ATZORI-CORONA 23 use LUX-ZEPLIN dark matter search data published by AAL-BERS 23 to place a limit on neutrino millicharge.
- ⁴ BONET 22A use data collected by four low-threshold Ge detectors, placed 17.1 m from one of the cores of the nuclear reactors at Brokdorf to derive this limit. A spectral analysis is performed on reactor on and off data.

⁵ ABE 20E obtains this result by assuming that the low-energy excess events in the XMASS detector are produced by neutrino millicharge which is common for all three neutrino flavors.

⁶KHAN 20 performed a constrained spectral fit analysis of the excess observed in the electron recoil energy spectrum by the XENON1T experiment. This range of neutrino

millicharge values is one of the possible interpretations of these excess events. For the individual flavor constraints at 90% C.L. see the original reference.

- ⁷ DELLA-VALLE 16 obtain a limit on the charge of neutrinos valid for masses of less than 10 meV. For heavier neutrinos the limit increases as a power of mass, reaching 10^{-6} e for m = 100 meV.
- 8 CHEN 14A use the Multi-Configuration RRPA method to analyze reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$ scattering on Ge atoms with 300 eV recoil energy threshold to obtain this limit.
- $^9\,\rm STUDENIKIN$ 14 uses the limit on μ_{ν} from BEDA 13 and the 2.8 keV threshold of the electron recoil energy to obtain this limit.
- $^{10}\,{\rm GNINENKO}$ 07 use limit on $\overline{\nu}_e$ magnetic moment from LI 03B to derive this result. The limit is considerably weaker than the limits on the charge of ν_e and $\overline{\nu}_e$ from various astrophysics considerations.
- 11 This RAFFELT 99 limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<5 keV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants.
- 12 This RAFFELT 99 limit is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun, and applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<1 keV) to be emitted from the sun.
- 13 BABU 94 use COOPER-SARKAR 92 limit on ν magnetic moment to derive quoted result. It applies to $\nu_{\tau}.$
- $^{14}\,\mathrm{DAVIDSON}$ 91 use data from early SLAC electron beam dump experiment to derive charge limit as a function of neutrino mass. It applies to $\nu_{\tau}.$
- ¹⁵ Exact BARBIELLINI 87 limit depends on assumptions about the intergalactic or galactic magnetic fields and about the direct distance and time through the field. It applies to ν_{ρ} .

¹⁶ The limit applies to all flavors.

ν (MEAN LIFE) / MASS

Measures $\left[\sum |U_{\ell j}|^2 \Gamma_j m_j\right]^{-1}$, where the sum is over mass eigenstates which cannot be resolved experimentally. Some of the limits constrain the radiative decay and are based on the limit of the corresponding photon flux. Other apply to the decay of a heavier neutrino into the lighter one and a Majoron or other invisible particle. Many of these limits apply to any ν within the indicated mass range.

Limits on the radiative decay are either directly based on the limits of the corresponding photon flux, or are derived from the limits on the neutrino magnetic moments. In the later case the transition rate for $\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_i + \gamma$

is constrained by $\Gamma_{ij} = \frac{1}{\tau_{ij}} = \frac{(m_i^2 - m_j^2)^3}{m_i^3} \mu_{ij}^2$ where μ_{ij} is the neutrino transition moment in the mass eigenstates basis. Typically, the limits on lifetime based on the magnetic moments are many orders of magnitude more restrictive than limits based on the nonobservation of photons.

VAL	<i>UE</i> (s∕eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>	15.4		90	¹ KRAKAUER	91	CNTR	$ u_{\mu}$, $\overline{ u}_{\mu}$ at LAMPF
>	7	× 10 ⁹		² RAFFELT	85	ASTR	, ,
>	300		90	³ REINES	74	CNTR	$\overline{\nu}_e$

 \bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. \bullet \bullet

>	1.2	$ imes 10^5$	90	⁴ IVANEZ-BAL	. 23	ASTR	SN1987A, nonradiative
>	8.08	imes 10 ⁻⁵	90	⁵ AHARMIM	19	SNO	ν_2 invisible nonradiative
>	1.92	imes 10 ⁻³	90	⁶ AHARMIM	19	FIT	ν_2 invisible nonradiative decay
6–2	$6 imes 10^9$)	95	⁷ ESCUDERO	19	COSM	Invisible decay $m_{\nu} \geq 0.05 \text{ eV}$
> 1	$0^{5} - 10^{6}$) ¹⁰	95	⁸ CECCHINI	11	ASTR	$\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu_1$ radiative decay
				⁹ MIRIZZI	07	CMB	radiative decay
				¹⁰ MIRIZZI	07	CIB	radiative decay
				11 WONG	07	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$
>	0.11		90	¹² XIN	05	CNTR	Reactor ν_e
				¹³ XIN	05	CNTR	Reactor ν_{e}
>	0.004	Ļ	90	¹⁴ AHARMIM	04	SNO	quasidegen. ν masses
>	4.4	imes 10 ⁻⁵	90	¹⁴ AHARMIM	04	SNO	hierarchical ν masses
\geq	100		95	¹⁵ CECCHINI	04	ASTR	Radiative decay for ν
\sim				16			mass > 0.01 eV
>	0.067	, 	90	¹⁰ EGUCHI	04	KLND	quasidegen. ν masses
>	1.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹⁰ EGUCHI	04	KLND	hierarchical ν masses
>	8.7	$ imes 10^{-5}$	99	¹⁷ BANDYOPA	03	FIT	nonradiative decay
\geq 4	200	-	90	¹⁸ DERBIN	0 2B	CNTR	Solar pp and Be v
>	2.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	99	¹⁹ JOSHIPURA	0 2B	FIT	nonradiative decay
				²⁰ DOLGOV	99	COSM	
		10		²¹ BILLER	98	ASTR	$m_{ m u} = 0.05 - 1 { m eV}$
>	2.8	$\times 10^{15}$		^{22,23} BLUDMAN	92	ASTR	$m_{ m u}^{} <$ 50 eV
non	$e 10^{-12}$	$^2 - 5 \times 10^4$		²⁴ DODELSON	92	ASTR	$m_{ m u}$ =1–300 keV
<	10^{-12}	or $> 5 imes 10^4$		²⁴ DODELSON	92	ASTR	$m_{\nu} = 1 - 300 \text{ keV}$
				²⁵ GRANEK	91	COSM	Decaying L ⁰
>	6.4		90	²⁶ KRAKAUER	91	CNTR	ν_{e} at LAMPF
>	1.1	imes 10 ¹⁵		²⁷ WALKER	90	ASTR	$m_{ m v}=0.03-\sim 2~{ m MeV}$
>	6.3	imes 10 ¹⁵		^{23,28} CHUPP	89	ASTR	$m_{ m v} < 20 { m eV}$
>	1.7	imes 10 ¹⁵		²³ KOLB	89	ASTR	$m_{11} < 20 \text{ eV}$
				²⁹ RAFFELT	89	RVUE	$\overline{\nu}$ (Dirac, Majorana)
				³⁰ RAFFELT	89 B	ASTR	
>	8.3	imes 10 ¹⁴		³¹ VONFEILIT	88	ASTR	
>	22		68	³² OBERAUER	87		$\overline{\nu}_R$ (Dirac)
>	38		68	³² OBERAUER	87		$\overline{\nu}$ (Majorana)
>	59		68	³² OBERAUER	87		$\overline{\nu}_L$ (Dirac)
>	30		68	KETOV	86	CNTR	$\overline{\nu}$ (Dirac)
>	20		68	KETOV	86	CNTR	$\overline{ u}$ (Majorana)
				³³ BINETRUY	84	COSM	$m_{ m u} \sim ~1~{ m MeV}$
>	0.11		90	³⁴ FRANK	81	CNTR	$\nu \overline{\nu}$ LAMPF
>	2	$\times 10^{21}$		³⁵ STECKER	80	ASTR	$m_{ m u} =$ 10–100 eV
>	1.0	imes 10 ⁻²	90	³⁴ BLIETSCHAU	78	HLBC	$ u_{\mu}$, CERN GGM
>	1.7	imes 10 ⁻²	90	³⁴ BLIETSCHAU	78	HLBC	$\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$, CERN GGM
<	3	imes 10 ⁻¹¹		³⁶ FALK	78	ASTR	<i>т. <</i> 10 МеV
>	2.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	³⁴ BARNES	77	DBC	ν ANL 12-ft
-				³⁷ COWSIK	77	ASTR	.,
					-		

https://pdg.lbl.gov

>	3.	imes 10 ⁻³	90	³⁴ BELLOTTI	76	HLBC ν , CERN GGM
>	1.3	imes 10 ⁻²	90	³⁴ BELLOTTI	76	HLBC $\overline{\nu}$, CERN GGM

 $^1\,{\rm KRAKAUER}$ 91 quotes the limit $\tau/m_{\nu_1}~>$ (0.75a $^2~+~$ 21.65a +~ 26.3) s/eV, where a

is a parameter describing the asymmetry in the neutrino decay defined as $dN_{\gamma}/d\cos\theta = (1/2)(1 + a\cos\theta)$ The parameter a = 0 for a Majorana neutrino, but can vary from -1 to 1 for a Dirac neutrino. The bound given by the authors is the most conservative (which applies for a = -1).

- ² RAFFELT 85 limit on the radiative decay is from solar x- and γ -ray fluxes. Limit depends on ν flux from pp, now established from GALLEX and SAGE to be > 0.5 of expectation.
- ³ REINES 74 looked for ν of nonzero mass decaying radiatively to a neutral of lesser mass $+ \gamma$. Used liquid scintillator detector near fission reactor. Finds lab lifetime 6×10^7 s or more. Above value of (mean life)/mass assumes average effective neutrino energy of 0.2 MeV. To obtain the limit 6×10^7 s REINES 74 assumed that the full $\overline{\nu}_e$ reactor flux could be responsible for yielding decays with photon energies in the interval 0.1 MeV 0.5 MeV. This represents some overestimate so their lower limit is an over-estimate of the lab lifetime (VOGEL 84). If so, OBERAUER 87 may be comparable or better.
- ⁴ IVANEZ-BALLESTEROS 23 reports a limit on the lifetime-to-mass ratio of the mass eigenstates ν_1 and ν_2 for inverted mass ordering. No limit was obtained in the case of normal mass ordering.
- ⁵AHARMIM 19 quotes the limit τ/m_{ν_2} for invisible nonradiative decay of ν_2 . They obtained this result by analyzing the entire SNO dataset, allowing for the decay of ν_2 which would cause an energy-dependent distortion of the survival probability of electron-type solar neutrinos.
- ⁶AHARMIM 19 quotes the limit τ/m_{ν_2} for invisible nonradiative decay of ν_2 . They obtained this result by combining the τ/m_{ν_2} measurements from SNO and other solar neu-

trino experiments (Super-Kamiokande, KamLAND, and Borexino ⁸B results; Borexino and KamLAND ⁷Be results; the combined gallium interaction rate from GNO, GALLEX, and SAGE; and the chlorine interaction rate from Homestake). The quoted limit at 99% CL is $> 1.04 \times 10^{-3}$.

- ⁷ ESCUDERO 19 sets limits on invisible neutrino decays using Planck 2018 data of τ > 1.3–0.3 × 10⁹ s at 95% C.L. Values in the range $\tau = 2-16 \times 10^9$ s are preferred at 95% C.L. when Planck polarization data is included. Limits scale as $(m_{\nu}/0.05 \text{ eV})^3$.
- ⁸ CECCHINI 11 search for radiative decays of solar neutrinos into visible photons during the 2006 total solar eclipse. The range of (mean life)/mass values corresponds to a range of ν_1 masses between 10^{-4} and 0.1 eV.
- 9 MIRIZZI 07 determine a limit on the neutrino radiative decay from analysis of the maximum allowed distortion of the CMB spectrum as measured by the COBE/FIRAS. For the decay $\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu_1$ the lifetime limit is $\lesssim 4 \times 10^{20}$ s for $m_{min} \lesssim 0.14$ eV. For transition with the $|\Delta m_{31}|$ mass difference the lifetime limit is $\sim 2 \times 10^{19}$ s for $m_{min} \lesssim 0.14$ eV and $\sim 5 \times 10^{20}$ s for $m_{min} \gtrsim 0.14$ eV.
- ¹⁰ MIRIZZI 07 determine a limit on the neutrino radiative decay from analysis of the cosmic infrared background (CIB) using the Spitzer Observatory data. For transition with the $|\Delta m_{31}|$ mass difference they obtain the lifetime limit $\sim 10^{20}$ s for $m_{min} \lesssim 0.14$ eV.
- ¹¹ WONG 07 use their limit on the neutrino magnetic moment together with the assumed experimental value of $\Delta m_{13}^2 \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ to obtain $\tau_{13}/m_1^3 > 3.2 \times 10^{27} \text{ s/eV}^3$ for the radiative decay in the case of the inverted mass hierarchy. Similarly to RAFFELT 89 this limit can be violated if electric and magnetic moments are equal to each other. Analogous, but numerically somewhat different limits are obtained for τ_{23} and τ_{21} .

- 12 XIN 05 search for the γ from radiative decay of ν_e produced by the electron capture on $^{51}{\rm Cr.}$ No events were seen and the limit on τ/m_ν was derived. This is a weaker limit on the decay of ν_e than KRAKAUER 91.
- 13 XIN 05 use their limit on the neutrino magnetic moment of ν_e together with the assumed experimental value of $\Delta m^2_{1,3} \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \, {\rm eV}^2$ to obtain $\tau_{13}/m^3_1 > 1 \times 10^{23} \, {\rm s/eV}^3$ for the radiative decay in the case of the inverted mass hierarchy. Similarly to RAFFELT 89 this limit can be violated if electric and magnetic moments are equal to each other. Analogous, but numerically somewhat different limits are obtained for τ_{23} and τ_{21} . Again, this limit is specific for ν_e .
- ¹⁴AHARMIM 04 obtained these results from the solar $\overline{\nu}_e$ flux limit set by the SNO measurement assuming ν_2 decay through nonradiative process $\nu_2 \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_1 X$, where X is a Majoron or other invisible particle. Limits are given for the cases of quasidegenerate and hierarchical neutrino masses.
- ¹⁵ CECCHINI 04 obtained this bound through the observations performed on the occasion of the 21 June 2001 total solar eclipse, looking for visible photons from radiative decays of solar neutrinos. Limit is a τ/m_{ν_2} in $\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu_1 \gamma$. Limit ranges from ~ 100 to 10^7 s/eV for $0.01 < m_{\nu_1} < 0.1 \text{ eV}$.
- ¹⁶ EGUCHI 04 obtained these results from the solar $\overline{\nu}_e$ flux limit set by the KamLAND measurement assuming ν_2 decay through nonradiative process $\nu_2 \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_1 X$, where X is a Majoron or other invisible particle. Limits are given for the cases of quasidegenerate and hierarchical neutrino masses.
- ¹⁷ The ratio of the lifetime over the mass derived by BANDYOPADHYAY 03 is for ν_2 . They obtained this result using the following solar-neutrino data: total rates measured in Cl and Ga experiments, the Super-Kamiokande's zenith-angle spectra, and SNO's day and night spectra. They assumed that ν_1 is the lowest mass, stable or nearly stable neutrino state and ν_2 decays through nonradiative Majoron emission process, $\nu_2 \rightarrow \overline{\nu_1} + J$, or through nonradiative process with all the final state particles being sterile. The best fit is obtained in the region of the LMA solution.
- ¹⁸ DERBIN 02B (also BACK 03B) obtained this bound for the radiative decay from the results of background measurements with Counting Test Facility (the prototype of the Borexino detector). The laboratory gamma spectrum is given as $dN_{\gamma}/d\cos\theta = (1/2) (1 + \alpha\cos\theta)$ with $\alpha=0$ for a Majorana neutrino, and α varying to -1 to 1 for a Dirac neutrino. The listed bound is for the case of $\alpha=0$. The most conservative bound 1.5×10^3 s eV⁻¹ is obtained for the case of $\alpha=-1$.
- ¹⁹ The ratio of the lifetime over the mass derived by JOSHIPURA 02B is for ν_2 . They obtained this result from the total rates measured in all solar neutrino experiments. They assumed that ν_1 is the lowest mass, stable or nearly stable neutrino state and ν_2 decays through nonradiative process like Majoron emission decay, $\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu'_1 + J$ where

 ν_1' state is sterile. The exact limit depends on the specific solution of the solar neutrino problem. The quoted limit is for the LMA solution.

- ²⁰ DOLGOV 99 places limits in the (Majorana) τ -associated ν mass-lifetime plane based on nucleosynthesis. Results would be considerably modified if neutrino oscillations exist.
- 21 BILLER 98 use the observed TeV $\gamma\text{-ray}$ spectra to set limits on the mean life of any radiatively decaying neutrino between 0.05 and 1 eV. Curve shows $\tau_{\nu}/\text{B}_{\gamma} > 0.15 \times 10^{21}$ s at 0.05 eV, $> 1.2 \times 10^{21}$ s at 0.17 eV, $> 3 \times 10^{21}$ s at 1 eV, where B_{γ} is the branching ratio to photons.
- ²² BLUDMAN 92 sets additional limits by this method for higher mass ranges. Cosmological limits are also obtained.
- 23 Limit on the radiative decay based on nonobservation of γ 's in coincidence with ν 's from SN 1987A.

- ²⁴ DODELSON 92 range is for wrong-helicity keV mass Dirac ν 's from the core of neutron star in SN 1987A decaying to ν 's that would have interacted in KAM2 or IMB detectors.
- $^{25}\,{\rm GRANEK}$ 91 considers heavy neutrino decays to $\gamma\,\nu_L$ and $3\nu_L$, where m_{ν_L} <100 keV. Lifetime is calculated as a function of heavy neutrino mass, branching ratio into $\gamma\,\nu_L$, and m_{ν_l} .
- ²⁶ KRAKAUER 91 quotes the limit for ν_e , $\tau/m_{\nu} > (0.3a^2 + 9.8a + 15.9)$ s/eV, where *a* is a parameter describing the asymmetry in the radiative neutrino decay defined as $dN_{\gamma}/d\cos\theta = (1/2)(1 + a\cos\theta) a = 0$ for a Majorana neutrino, but can vary from -1 to 1 for a Dirac neutrino. The bound given by the authors is the most conservative (which applies for a = -1).
- 27 WALKER 90 uses SN 1987A γ flux limits after 289 days.
- 28 CHUPP 89 should be multiplied by a branching ratio (about 1) and a detection efficiency (about 1/4), and pertains to radiative decay of any neutrino to a lighter or sterile neutrino.
- 29 RAFFELT 89 uses KYULDJIEV 84 to obtain $\tau m^3 > 3 \times 10^{18}$ s eV³ (based on $\overline{\nu}_e \, e^-$ cross sections). The bound for the radiative decay is not valid if electric and magnetic transition moments are equal for Dirac neutrinos.
- 30 RAFFELT 89B analyze stellar evolution and exclude the region 3 \times 10 12 < τm^3 < 3 \times 10 21 s eV $^3.$
- ³¹ Model-dependent theoretical analysis of SN 1987A neutrinos. Quoted limit is for $\left[\sum_{j} |U_{\ell j}|^2 \Gamma_j m_j\right]^{-1}$, where $\ell = \mu$, τ . Limit is 3.3×10^{14} s/eV for $\ell = e$.
- $^{32}\,{\rm OBERAUER}$ 87 looks for photons and $e^+\,e^-$ pairs from radiative decays of reactor neutrinos.
- $^{33}\,{\rm BINETRUY}$ 84 finds $\tau<10^8\,{\rm s}$ for neutrinos in a radiation-dominated universe.
- ³⁴ These experiments look for $\nu_k \rightarrow \nu_i \gamma$ or $\overline{\nu}_k \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_i \gamma$.
- 35 STECKER 80 limit based on UV background; result given is $\tau > 4 \times 10^{22}$ s at m_{ν} =20 eV.
- ³⁶ FALK 78 finds lifetime constraints based on supernova energetics.
- 37 COWSIK 77 considers variety of scenarios. For neutrinos produced in the big bang, present limits on optical photon flux require $\tau>10^{23}\,{\rm s}$ for $m_{\nu}\sim1$ eV. See also COWSIK 79 and GOLDMAN 79.

ν MAGNETIC MOMENT

The coupling of neutrinos to an electromagnetic field is a characterized by a 3×3 matrix λ of the magnetic (μ) and electric (d) dipole moments ($\lambda = \mu - id$). For Majorana neutrinos the matrix λ is antisymmetric and only transition moments are allowed, while for Dirac neutrinos λ is a general 3×3 matrix. In the standard electroweak theory extended to include neutrino masses (see FUJIKAWA 80) $\mu_{\nu} = 3eG_F m_{\nu}/(8\pi^2\sqrt{2}) = 3.2 \times 10^{-19} (m_{\nu}/\text{eV})\mu_B$, i.e. it is unobservably small given the known small neutrino masses. In more general models there is no longer a proportionality between neutrino mass and its magnetic moment, even though only massive neutrinos have nonvanishing magnetic moments without fine tuning.

Laboratory bounds on λ are obtained via elastic ν -e scattering, where the scattered neutrino is not observed. The combinations of matrix elements of λ that are constrained by various experiments depend on the initial neutrino flavor and on its propagation between source and detector (e.g.,

solar ν_e and reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$ do not constrain the same combinations). The listings below therefore identify the initial neutrino flavor.

Other limits, e.g. from various stellar cooling processes, apply to all neutrino flavors. Analogous flavor independent, but weaker, limits are obtained from the analysis of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$ collider experiments.

VALU	$JE (10^{-10} \mu_B)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<	0.064	90	¹ APRILE	22в	XENT	Solar ν spectrum
<	0.29	90	² BEDA	13	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$
<	6.8	90	³ AUERBACH	01	LSND	$\nu_e e, \nu_\mu e$ scattering
< 3	3900	90	⁴ SCHWIENHO.	01	DONU	$\nu_{\tau} e^- \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} e^-$
••	• We do not u	se the fo	llowing data for ave	rages	, fits, lim	nits, etc. ● ● ●
<	0.136	90	⁵ AALBERS	23A	LZ	Solar $ u$ spectrum
<	0.11	90	⁶ ATZORI-COR.	23	FIT	Solar $ u$ spectrum
<	0.75	90	⁷ BONET	22A	CONU	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$
<	2.8	90	⁸ COLOMA	22	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_{\rho}$
<	1.8	90	⁹ ABE	20E	XMAS	Solar ν spectrum
0.14	-0.29	90	¹⁰ APRILE	20	XE1T	Solar ν spectrum
<	0.012	95	¹¹ CAPOZZI	20	ASTR	Tip of the Red-Giant Branch
0.2-	0.4	68	¹² KHAN	20		Spectral fit of XENON1T
<	0.28	90	¹³ AGOSTINI	17A	BORX	Solar ν spectrum
<	0.022	90	¹⁴ ARCEO-DIAZ	15	ASTR	Red giants
<	0.1	95	¹⁵ CORSICO	14	ASTR	-
<	0.05	95	¹⁶ MILLER-BER	.14B	ASTR	
<	0.045	95	¹⁷ VIAUX	13A	ASTR	Globular cluster M5
<	0.32	90	¹⁸ BEDA	10	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_{\rho}$
<	2.2	90	¹⁹ DENIZ	10	TEXO	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_{a}$
< 0.	.011–0.027		²⁰ KUZNETSOV	09	ASTR	$\nu_I \rightarrow \nu_R$ in SN1987A
<	0.54	90	²¹ ARPESELLA	08A	BORX	Solar ν spectrum
<	0.58	90	²² BEDA	07	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_{\rho}$
<	0.74	90	²³ WONG	07	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_{a}$
<	0.9	90	²⁴ DARAKTCH	05		Reactor $\overline{\nu}_{a}$
<	130	90	²⁵ XIN	05	CNTR	Reactor ν_{a}
<	37	95	²⁶ GRIFOLS	04	FIT	Solar ⁸ B ν (SNO NC)
<	3.6	90	²⁷ LIU	04	SKAM	Solar ν spectrum
<	1.1	90	²⁸ LIU	04	SKAM	Solar ν spectrum (LMA region)
<	5.5	90	²⁹ BACK	03 B	CNTR	Solar pp and Be v
<	1.0	90	³⁰ DARAKTCH	03		Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$
<	1.3	90	31 LI	03 B	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$
<	2	90	³² GRIMUS	02	FIT	solar + reactor (Majorana $ u$)
<80	0000	90	³³ TANIMOTO	00	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$
< 0.	.01–0.04		³⁴ AYALA	99	ASTR	$\nu_I \rightarrow \nu_R$ in SN 1987A
<	1.5	90	³⁵ BEACOM	99	SKAM	Solar ν spectrum
<	0.03		³⁶ RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Red giant luminosity
<	4		³⁷ RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Solar cooling
<44	4000	90	ABREU	97J	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow u \overline{ u} \gamma$ at LEP
<33	3000	90	³⁸ ACCIARRI	97Q	L3	${ m e^+ e^-} ightarrow u \overline{ u} \gamma$ at LEP

<	0.62		39	ELMFORS	97	COSM	Depolarization in early uni- verse plasma
<27	000	95	40	ESCRIBANO	97	RVUE	$\Gamma(Z ightarrow \overline{ u} \nu)$ at LEP
<	30	90		VILAIN	95 B	CHM2	$ u_{\mu} e ightarrow u_{\mu} e$
<55	000	90		GOULD	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- ightarrow u \overline{ u} \gamma$ at LEP
<	1.9	95	41	DERBIN	93	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu} e \rightarrow \overline{\nu} e$
< 5	400	90	42	COOPER	92	BEBC	$\nu_{\tau} e^- \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} e^-$
<	2.4	90	43	VIDYAKIN	92	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu} e \rightarrow \overline{\nu} e$
<56	000	90		DESHPANDE	91	RVUE	$e^+e^- ightarrow \ u \overline{ u} \gamma$
<	100	95	44	DORENBOS	91	CHRM	$ u_{\mu} { m e} ightarrow u_{\mu} { m e}$
<	8.5	90		AHRENS	90	CNTR	$\nu_{\mu} e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} e$
<	10.8	90	45	KRAKAUER	90	CNTR	LAMPF $\nu e \rightarrow \nu e$
<	7.4	90	45	KRAKAUER	90	CNTR	LAMPF $(\nu_{\mu}, \overline{\nu}_{\mu})e$ elast.
<	0.02		46	RAFFELT	90	ASTR	Red giant luminosity
<	0.1		47	RAFFELT	89 B	ASTR	Cooling helium stars
			48	FUKUGITA	88	COSM	Primordial magn. fields
<40	000	90	49	GROTCH	88	RVUE	$e^+e^- ightarrow \ u \overline{ u} \gamma$
\leq	.3		47	RAFFELT	88 B	ASTR	He burning stars
<	0.11		47	FUKUGITA	87	ASTR	Cooling helium stars
<	0.0006		50	NUSSINOV	87	ASTR	Cosmic EM backgrounds
< 0.1	-0.2			MORGAN	81	COSM	⁴ He abundance
<	0.85			BEG	78	ASTR	Stellar plasmons
<	0.6		51	SUTHERLAND	76	ASTR	Red giants + degenerate dwarfs
<	81		52	KIM	74	RVUE	$\overline{\nu}_{\mu} e \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{\mu} e$
<	1			BERNSTEIN	63	ASTR	Solar cooling
<	14			COWAN	57	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}$

¹ APRILE 22B use data collected with the XENONnT dark matter detector to place a limit on an enhanced magnetic moment of solar neutrinos. Supersedes APRILE 20.

- ² BEDA 13 report $\overline{\nu}_e e^-$ scattering results, using the Kalinin Nuclear Power Plant and a shielded Ge detector. The recoil electron spectrum is analyzed between 2.5 and 55 keV. Supersedes BEDA 07. Supersedes BEDA 10. This is the most stringent limit on the magnetic moment of reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$.
- 3 AUERBACH 01 limit is based on the LSND ν_e and ν_μ electron scattering measurements. The limit is slightly more stringent than KRAKAUER 90.
- ⁴SCHWIENHORST 01 quote an experimental sensitivity of 4.9×10^{-7} .
- ⁵ AALBERS 23A utilize the first 60 days of data collected by the LZ dark matter search to place a limit on the magnetic moment of solar neutrinos. Low energy electron-recoil events are utilized. This LZ-collaboration analysis supersedes that of the external authors in ATZORI-CORONA 23 because of a more complete treatment of experiment uncertainties.
- ⁶ ATZORI-CORONA 23 use LUX-ZEPLIN dark matter search data published by AAL-BERS 23 to place a limit on an enhanced magnetic moment of solar neutrinos.
- ⁷ BONET 22A use data collected by four low-threshold Ge detectors, placed 17.1 m from one of the cores of the nuclear reactors at Brokdorf to derive this limit. A spectral analysis is performed on reactor on and off data.

- ⁸ COLOMA 22 present a re-analysis of data taken by the COHERENT and Dresden-II experiments. The combination of both experiments is used to place a limit on the magnetic moment of electron-type neutrinos. The presented value is one-sided limit as recommended by the authors; the two-sided limit is $< 3.2 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ at 90% C.L. Results based on Fef and YBe quenching models are reported in the paper. The authors are not part of either collaboration.
- ⁹ ABE 20E observed an excess of low-energy events in the XMASS detector, which could be interpreted as a signal produced by a neutrino magnetic moment with this magnitude.
- ¹⁰ APRILE 20 observed an excess of low-energy events in the XENON1T detector, which could be interpreted as a signal produced by a neutrino magnetic moment with this magnitude.
- $^{11}\,\text{CAPOZZI}$ 20 obtains a limit on the neutrino dipole moment from the brightness of the tip of the red-giant branch in ω Centauri. A similar limit of $\mu_{\nu}~<~1.5\times10^{-12}~\mu_{B}$ is obtained in NGC 4258.
- 12 KHAN 20 performed a constrained spectral fit analysis of the excess observed in the electron recoil energy spectrum by the XENON1T experiment. This range of the μ_B values is one of the possible interpretations of these excess events. For the individual flavor constraints at 90% C.L. see the original reference.
- ¹³ AGOSTINI 17A obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Borexino Phase-II 1291.5 live days of solar neutrino data and the constraints on the sum of the solar neutrino fluxes from the radiochemical gallium experiments SAGE, Gallex, and GNO. Without radiochemical constraints, the 90% C.L. limit of < $4.0 \times 10^{-11} \mu_B$ is obtained.
- ¹⁴ ARCEO-DIAZ 15 constrains the neutrino magnetic moment from observation of the tip of the red giant branch in the globular cluster ω -Centauri.
- ¹⁵ CORSICO 14 constrains the neutrino magnetic moment from observations of white drarf pulsations.
- ¹⁶ MILLER-BERTOLAMI 14B constrains the neutrino magnetic moment from observations of the white dwarf luminosity function of the Galactic disk.
- ¹⁷ VIAUX 13A constrains the neutrino magnetic moment from observations of the globular cluster M5.
- $^{18}\,{\rm BEDA}$ 10 report $\overline{\nu}_e\,e^-$ scattering results, using the Kalinin Nuclear Power Plant and a shielded Ge detector. The recoil electron spectrum is analyzed between 2.9 and 45 keV. Supersedes BEDA 07. Superseded by BEDA 13.
- ¹⁹ DENIZ 10 observe reactor $\overline{\nu}_e e$ scattering with recoil kinetic energies 3–8 MeV using Csl(Tl) detectors. The observed rate and spectral shape are consistent with the Standard Model prediction, leading to the reported constraint on $\overline{\nu}_e$ magnetic moment.
- ²⁰ KUZNETSOV 09 obtain a limit on the flavor averaged magnetic moment of Dirac neutrinos from the time averaged neutrino signal of SN1987A. Improves and supersedes the analysis of BARBIERI 88 and AYALA 99.
- ²¹ ARPESELLA 08A obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Borexino 192 live days of solar neutrino data.
- 22 BEDA 07 performed search for electromagnetic $\overline{\nu}_e$ -e scattering at Kalininskaya nuclear reactor. A Ge detector with active and passive shield was used and the electron recoil spectrum between 3.0 and 61.3 keV analyzed. Superseded by BEDA 10.
- ²³ WONG 07 performed search for non-standard $\overline{\nu}_{e}$ -e scattering at the Kuo-Sheng nuclear reactor. Ge detector equipped with active anti-Compton shield is used. Most stringent laboratory limit on magnetic moment of reactor $\overline{\nu}_{e}$. Supersedes LI 03B.
- ²⁴ DARAKTCHIEVA 05 present the final analysis of the search for non-standard $\overline{\nu}_e$ -e scattering component at Bugey nuclear reactor. Full kinematical event reconstruction of both the kinetic energy above 700 keV and scattering angle of the recoil electron, by

use of TPC. Most stringent laboratory limit on magnetic moment. Supersedes DARAK-TCHIEVA 03.

- ²⁵ XIN 05 evaluated the ν_e flux at the Kuo-Sheng nuclear reactor and searched for nonstandard ν_e -e scattering. Ge detector equipped with active anti-Compton shield was used. This laboratory limit on magnetic moment is considerably less stringent than the limits for reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$, but is specific to ν_e .
- ²⁶ GRIFOLS 04 obtained this bound using the SNO data of the solar ⁸B neutrino flux measured with deuteron breakup. This bound applies to $\mu_{eff} = (\mu_{21}^2 + \mu_{22}^2 + \mu_{23}^2)^{1/2}$.
- ²⁷ LIU 04 obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Super-Kamiokande-I 1496 days of solar neutrino data. Neutrinos are assumed to have only diagonal magnetic moments, $\mu_{\nu 1} = \mu_{\nu 2}$. This limit corresponds to the oscillation parameters in the vacuum oscillation region.
- 28 LIU 04 obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Super-Kamiokande-I 1496 live-day solar neutrino data, by limiting the oscillation parameter region in the LMA region allowed by solar neutrino experiments plus KamLAND. $\mu_{\nu 1}=\mu_{\nu 2}$ is assumed. In the LMA region, the same limit would be obtained even if neutrinos have off-diagonal magnetic moments.
- 29 BACK 03B obtained this bound from the results of background measurements with Counting Test Facility (the prototype of the Borexino detector). Standard Solar Model flux was assumed. This μ_{ν} can be different from the reactor μ_{ν} in certain oscillation scenarios (see BEACOM 99).
- 30 DARAKTCHIEVA 03 searched for non-standard $\overline{\nu}_e$ -e scattering component at Bugey nuclear reactor. Full kinematical event reconstruction by use of TPC. Superseded by DARAKTCHIEVA 05.
- $^{31}\,{\rm LI}$ 03B used Ge detector in active shield near nuclear reactor to test for nonstandard $\overline{\nu}_e\text{-}e$ scattering.
- 32 GRIMUS 02 obtain stringent bounds on all Majorana neutrino transition moments from a simultaneous fit of LMA-MSW oscillation parameters and transition moments to global solar neutrino data + reactor data. Using only solar neutrino data, a 90% CL bound of $6.3 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ is obtained.
- ³³ TANIMOTO 00 combined $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$ data from VENUS, TOPAZ, and AMY.
- ³⁴ AYALA 99 improves the limit of BARBIERI 88.
- 35 BEACOM 99 obtain the limit using the shape, but not the absolute magnitude which is affected by oscillations, of the solar neutrino spectrum obtained by Superkamiokande (825 days). This μ_{ν} can be different from the reactor μ_{ν} in certain oscillation scenarios.
- 36 RAFFELT 99 is an update of RAFFELT 90. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (< 5 keV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole moments and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.
- ³⁷ RAFFELT 99 is essentially an update of BERNSTEIN 63, but is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<1 keV) to be emitted from the Sun. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.
- ³⁸ ACCIARRI 97Q result applies to both direct and transition magnetic moments and for $q^2=0$.
- ³⁹ ELMFORS 97 calculate the rate of depolarization in a plasma for neutrinos with a magnetic moment and use the constraints from a big-bang nucleosynthesis on additional degrees of freedom.

⁴⁰ Applies to absolute value of magnetic moment.

- ⁴¹ DERBIN 93 determine the cross section for 0.6–2.0 MeV electron energy as $(1.28 \pm 0.63) \times \sigma_{weak}$. However, the (reactor on reactor off)/(reactor off) is only ~ 1/100.
- ⁴²COOPER-SARKAR 92 assume $f_{D_s}/f_{\pi} = 2$ and D_s , \overline{D}_s production cross section = 2.6 μ b to calculate ν flux.
- ⁴³ VIDYAKIN 92 limit is from a $e\overline{\nu}_e$ elastic scattering experiment. No experimental details are given except for the cross section from which this limit is derived. Signal/noise was 1/10. The limit uses $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$ as input.
- ⁴⁴ DORENBOSCH 91 corrects an incorrect statement in DORENBOSCH 89 that the ν magnetic moment is $< 1 \times 10^{-9}$ at the 95%CL. DORENBOSCH 89 measures both $\nu_{\mu} e$ and $\overline{\nu} e$ elastic scattering and assume $\mu(\nu) = \mu(\overline{\nu})$.
- ⁴⁵ KRAKAUER 90 experiment fully reported in ALLEN 93.
- 46 RAFFELT 90 limit applies for a diagonal magnetic moment of a Dirac neutrino, or for a transition magnetic moment of a Majorana neutrino. In the latter case, the same analysis gives $<1.4\times10^{-12}$. Limit at 95%CL obtained from δM_{c} .

⁴⁷ Significant dependence on details of stellar models.

- ⁴⁸ FUKUGITA 88 find magnetic dipole moments of any two neutrino species are bounded by $\mu < 10^{-16} [10^{-9} G/B_0]$ where B_0 is the present-day intergalactic field strength.
- 49 GROTCH 88 combined data from MAC, ASP, CELLO, and Mark J.
- $^{50}\,{\rm For}~m_{\nu}$ = 8–200 eV. NUSSINOV 87 examines transition magnetic moments for ν_{μ} \rightarrow ν_{e} and obtain $<~3\times10^{-15}$ for $m_{\nu}~>$ 16 eV and $<~6\times10^{-14}$ for $m_{\nu}~>$ 4 eV.

⁵¹We obtain above limit from SUTHERLAND 76 using their limit f < 1/3.

 $^{52}\,{\rm KIM}$ 74 is a theoretical analysis of $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ reaction data.

NEUTRINO CHARGE RADIUS SQUARED

We report limits on the so-called neutrino charge radius squared. While the straight-forward definition of a neutrino charge radius has been proven to be gauge-dependent and, hence, unphysical (LEE 77C), there have been recent attempts to define a physically observable neutrino charge radius (BERNABEU 00, BERNABEU 02). The issue is still controversial (FU-JIKAWA 03, BERNABEU 03). A more general interpretation of the experimental results is that they are limits on certain nonstandard contributions to neutrino scattering.

VALU	$E(10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
_	2.1 to 3.3	90	¹ DENIZ	10	TEXO	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e e$
• • •	• We do not use	the follow	ving data for avera	nges, f	its, limit	s, etc. ● ● ●
-1	27.5 to 3	90	² CADEDDU	18		$ u_{\mu}$ coherent scat. on Csl
_	0.53 to 0.68	90	³ HIRSCH	03		$\nu_{\mu}^{\prime}e$ scat.
_	8.2 to 9.9	90	⁴ HIRSCH	03		anomalous $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$
_	2.97 to 4.14	90	⁵ AUERBACH	01	LSND	$\nu_e e \rightarrow \nu_e e$
_	0.6 to 0.6	90	VILAIN	95 B	CHM2	$\nu_{\mu} e$ elastic scat.
	0.9 ± 2.7		ALLEN	93	CNTR	LAMPF $\nu e \rightarrow \nu e$
<	2.3	95	MOURAO	92	ASTR	HOME/KAM2 $ u$ rates
<	7.3	90	⁶ VIDYAKIN	92	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu} e \rightarrow \overline{\nu} e$

$1.1 \hspace{.1in} \pm 2.3$	ALLEN	91	CNTR	Repl. by ALLEN 93
$-$ 1.1 ± 1.0	⁷ AHRENS	90	CNTR	$ u_{\mu} e$ elastic scat.
$-$ 0.3 ± 1.5	⁷ DORENBOS	89	CHRM	$ u_{\mu}^{\prime} e$ elastic scat.
	⁸ GRIFOLS	89 B	ASTR	SN 1987A

- ¹ DENIZ 10 observe reactor $\overline{\nu}_e e$ scattering with recoil kinetic energies 3–8 MeV using CsI(TI) detectors. The observed rate and spectral shape are consistent with the Standard Model prediction, leading to the reported constraint on $\overline{\nu}_e$ charge radius.
- ² CADEDDU 18 use the data of the COHERENT experiment, AKIMOV 18. The limit is $\langle r_{\nu}^2 \rangle$ for ν_{μ} obtained from the time-dependent data. Weaker limits were obtained for charge radii of ν_e and for transition charge radii. The published value was divided by 2 to conform to the convention of this table.
- ³Based on analysis of CCFR 98 results. Limit is on $\langle r_V^2 \rangle + \langle r_A^2 \rangle$. The CHARM II and E734 at BNL results are reanalyzed, and weaker bounds on the charge radius squared than previously published are obtained. The NuTeV result is discussed; when tentatively interpreted as ν_{μ} charge radius it implies $\langle r_V^2 \rangle + \langle r_A^2 \rangle = (4.20 \pm 1.64) \times 10^{-33} \text{ cm}^2$.
- ⁴ Results of LEP-2 are interpreted as limits on the axial-vector charge radius squared of a Majorana ν_{τ} . Slightly weaker limits for both vector and axial-vector charge radius squared are obtained for the Dirac case, and somewhat weaker limits are obtained from the analysis of lower energy data (LEP-1.5 and TRISTAN).
- ⁵ AUERBACH 01 measure $\nu_e e$ elastic scattering with LSND detector. The cross section agrees with the Standard Model expectation, including the charge and neutral current interference. The 90% CL applies to the range shown.
- ⁶ VIDYAKIN 92 limit is from a $e\overline{\nu}$ elastic scattering experiment. No experimental details are given except for the cross section from which this limit is derived. Signal/noise was 1/10. The limit uses $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$ as input.
- 7 Result is obtained from reanalysis given in ALLEN 91, followed by our reduction to obtain 1 σ errors.

 $^8\,{\rm GRIFOLS}$ 89B sets a limit of $\langle r^2\rangle < 0.2\times 10^{-32}\,{\rm cm}^2$ for right-handed neutrinos.

REFERENCES FOR Neutrino Properties

23	PRL 131 041002	J. Aalbers <i>et al.</i>	(LZ Collab.)
23A	PR D108 072006	J. Aalbers <i>et al.</i>	(LZ Collab.)
23	PR D107 053001	M. Atzori Corona <i>et al.</i>	(CAGL, CAGLI)
23	PRL 131 102502	A.A. Esfahani <i>et al.</i>	(Project 8 Collab.)
23	PL B847 138252	P. Ivanez-Ballesteros, M.C. Volpe	(CNRS, UPCIT)
22	NATP 18 160	M. Aker <i>et al.</i>	(KATRIN Collab.)
22B	PRL 129 161805	E. Aprile et al.	(XENONnT Collab.)
22A	EPJ C82 813	H. Bonet <i>et al.</i>	(CONUS Collab.)
22	JCAP 2208 024	S. Brieden, Hector Gil-Marin, Licia	Verde
22	JHEP 2205 037	P. Coloma et al. (IFT, OS	SU, STON, ICREA+)
22	JCAP 2209 060	S. Kumar, R. Nunes, P.Yadav	
22	JHEAP 36 1	I. Tanseri <i>et al.</i>	
21A	PR D105 023520	T.C.M. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(DES Collab.)
21	PR D103 083533	S. Alam <i>et al.</i>	(eBOSS Collab.)
21	PR D104 083504	E. Di Valentino, S. Gariazzo, O. Me	ena (DURH+)
21	JCAP 2103 049	M. Garny <i>et al.</i>	
21	PR D103 123508	P. Stocker <i>et al.</i>	(GAMBIT Collab.)
20E	PL B809 135741	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(XMASS Collab.)
20	AA 641 A6	N. Aghanim <i>et al.</i>	(Planck Collab.)
20	PR D102 072004	E. Aprile <i>et al.</i>	(XENON Collab.)
20	PR D102 083007	F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt	(MPIM)
20	JCAP 2007 037	S.R. Choudhury, S. Hannestad	(IITB, AARH)
20	PR D101 083504	M.M. Ivanov, M. SImonovic, M. Za	ldarriaga (NYU,+)
20	PL B809 135782	A.N. Khan	(MPIK)
20	JCAP 2004 038	N. Palanque-Delabrouille et al.	(SACL+)
	23 23A 23 23 22 22B 22A 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 21A 21 21 21 21 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	23 PRL 131 041002 23A PR D108 072006 23 PR D107 053001 23 PRL 131 102502 23 PL B847 138252 23 PL B847 138252 24 PL 129 161805 228 PRL 129 161805 224 EPJ C82 813 22 JCAP 2208 024 22 JHEP 2205 037 22 JCAP 2209 060 22 JHEAP 36 1 21A PR D105 023520 21 PR D103 083533 21 PR D104 083504 21 JCAP 2103 049 21 PR D103 123508 20E PL B809 135741 20 AA 641 A6 20 PR D102 072004 20 PR D101 083504 20 JCAP 2007 037 20 PL B809 135782 20 JCAP 2004 038	23 PRL 131 041002 J. Aalbers et al. 23A PR D108 072006 J. Aalbers et al. 23 PR D107 053001 M. Atzori Corona et al. 23 PRL 131 102502 A.A. Esfahani et al. 23 PL 131 102502 A.A. Esfahani et al. 23 PL B847 138252 P. Ivanez-Ballesteros, M.C. Volpe 24 PJ C82 813 H. Bonet et al. 25B PRL 129 161805 E. Aprile et al. 22A EPJ C82 813 H. Bonet et al. 22 JCAP 2208 024 S. Brieden, Hector Gil-Marin, Licia Y. 22 JCAP 2209 060 S. Kumar, R. Nunes, P.Yadav 21 JEAP 36 1 I. Tanseri et al. 21 PR D103 083533 S. Alam et al. 21 PR D103 083504 E. Di Valentino, S. Gariazzo, O. Me 21 JCAP 2103 049 M. Garny et al. 21 PR D103 123508 P. Stocker et al. 20 AA 641 A6 N. Aghanim et al. 20 PR D102 072004 E. Aprile et al. 20 PR D101 083504 M. Algony, M. Simonovic, M. Za 20 PL B809 135782 A.N. K

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 28

Created: 5/31/2024 10:16

AHARMIM AKER Also	19 19	PR D99 032013 PRL 123 221802 PR D104 012005	B. Aharmim <i>et al.</i> M. Aker <i>et al.</i> M. Aker <i>et al.</i>	(SNO (KATRIN (KATRIN	Collab.) Collab.) Collab.)
ESCUDERO LOUREIRO	19 19 10	PR D100 103531 PRL 123 081301	M. Escudero, M. Fairbairn A. Loureiro <i>et al.</i>		(LOKC)
AKIMOV CADEDDU Also	18 18	arXiv:1804.09459 PR D98 113010 PR D101 059902 (errat.)	D. Akimov <i>et al.</i> M. Cadeddu <i>et al.</i> M. Cadeddu <i>et al.</i>	(COHERENT	Collab.)
CHOUDHURY AGOSTINI SIMPSON	18 17A 17	JCAP 1809 017 PR D96 091103 JCAP 1706 029	S.R. Choudhury, S. Choubey M. Agostini <i>et al.</i> F. Simpson <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino	Collab.) (BARC)
VAGNOZZI YECHE DELLA-VALLE	17 17 16	PR D96 123503 JCAP 1706 047 EPJ C76 24	S. Vagnozzi <i>et al.</i> C. Yeche <i>et al.</i> F. Della Valle <i>et al.</i>	(PVLAS	Collab.)
DIVALENTINO HUANG ARCEO-DIAZ	16 16 15	PR D93 083527 EPJ C76 489 ASP 70 1	E. Di Valentino <i>et al.</i> QG. Huang, K. Wang, S. Wang S. Arceo-Diaz <i>et al.</i>	·	
LOKHOV	15	PPN 46 347 Translated from FECAY 4	A.V. Lokhov, F.V. Tkachov 6 3.		
PALANQUE	15A	JCAP 1511 011	N. Palanque-Delabrouille <i>et al.</i>		
ROSSI	15 14	PR D92 063505	G. Rossi <i>et al.</i> PAP Ado at al	(Planck	Collab)
BATTYE	14	PRL 112 051303	R.A. Battye, A. Moss	(MCHS.	NOTT)
BEUTLER	14	MNRAS 444 3501	F. Beutler <i>et al.</i>	(BOSS	Collab.)
CHEN	14A	PR D90 011301	JW. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(TEXONO	Collab.)
CORSICO	14	JCAP 1408 054	A.H. Corsico	(=== ==	
COSTANZI	14	JCAP 1410 081	M. Costanzi <i>et al.</i>	(TRST,	TRSTI)
	14 14	APJ 782 74 PRI 113 0/1301	Z. FIOU <i>et al.</i> B. Leistedt H.V. Peiris I. Verde		
MILLER-BER	14B	AA 562 A123	M.M. Miller Bertolami	(MPIG	LAPL)
RIEMER-SOR	14	PR D89 103505	S. Riemer-Sorensen, D. Parkinson,	T.M. Davis	, ,
STUDENIKIN	14	EPL 107 21001	A.I. Studenikin		
BEDA	13	PPNL 10 139	A.G. Beda <i>et al.</i>	(GEMMA	Collab.)
VIAUX	13A 12	PRL 111 231301	N. Viaux et al.		
XIA	12	JCAP 1207 055	$I_{-}O_{-}X_{i_2} et al$		
ASEEV	11	PR D84 112003	V.N. Aseev et al.		
CECCHINI	11	ASP 34 486	S. Cecchini <i>et al.</i>		
SAITO	11	PR D83 043529	S. Saito, M. Takada, A. Taruya		
BEDA	10	PPNL 7 406	A.G. Beda <i>et al.</i>	(GEMMA	Collab.)
	10	PR D81 072001	M. Deniz <i>et al.</i>	(TEXONO	Collab.)
	10	JCAP 1008 001 ASD 33 287	5. Hannestad <i>et al.</i> C. Pagliaroli, E. Rossi Torros, E. Vi	issani (
SEKIGUCHI	10	ICAP 1003 015	T Sekiguchi et al	issaili (INT N+)
THOMAS	10	PRL 105 031301	S.A. Thomas, F.B. Abdalla, O. Lak	าลง	(LOUC)
ICHIKI	09	PR D79 023520	K. Ichiki, M. Takada, T. Takahashi		
KOMATSU	09	APJS 180 330	E. Komatsu <i>et al.</i>		() (1 D O)
TERENO	09	IJMP A24 59/7	A.V. Kuznetsov, N.V. Mikneev, A.A.	A. Okrugin	(YARO)
VIKHLININ	09	APJ 692 1060	A. Vikhlinin <i>et al.</i>		
ARPESELLA	08A	PRL 101 091302	C. Arpesella <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino	Collab.)
BERNARDIS	08	PR D78 083535	F. De Bernardis et al.		
BEDA	07	PAN 70 1873	A.G. Beda <i>et al.</i>		
		Translated from YAF 70	1925.		
FOGLI	07	PR D75 053001	G.L. Fogli <i>et al.</i>	Dubble	
KRISTIANSEN	07	PR D75 075014 PR D75 083510	J.N. Ghinenko, N.V. Krasnikov, A.		
MIRIZZI	07	PR D76 053007	A. Mirizzi, D. Montanino, P.D. Ser	rpico	
SPERGEL	07	APJS 170 377	D.N. Spergel et al.	1	
WONG	07	PR D75 012001	H.T. Wong <i>et al.</i>	(TEXONO	Collab.)
ZUNCKEL	07	JCAP 0708 004	C. Zunckel, P. Ferreira		
	00	JCAP 0012 013	IVI. CITEIII et al. M. Eukugita et al.		
GOOBAR	06	ICAP 0606 019	A Goobar <i>et al</i>		
HANNESTAD	06	JCAP 0611 016	S. Hannestad, G. Raffelt		
KRISTIANSEN	06	PR D74 123005	J. Kristiansen, O. Elgaroy, H. Eriks	en	
SANCHEZ	06	MNRAS 366 189	A.G. Sanchez <i>et al.</i>		
SELJAK	06	JCAP 0610 014	U. Seljak, A. Slosar, P. McDonald		0)/FTS)
	05	JCAP 0502 006	C. Caprini, P.G. Ferreira	(GEVA,	UXFTP)
	00	I E DOID 100	L. Dalanichieva el al.		Conab.)

Page 29

Created: 5/31/2024 10:16

KRAUS OS E.PJ C40 447 Ch. Kraus <i>et al.</i> (TEXONO Collab.) AHARMIM 04 PR D70 023014 B. Aharmim <i>et al.</i> (SNO Collab.) ARACER 04 ASP 21 183 S. Eccchini <i>et al.</i> (BGNA+) CECCHINI 04 ASP 21 183 S. Eccchini <i>et al.</i> (BGNA+) CECCHINI 04 ASP 21 183 S. Eccchini <i>et al.</i> (BARC, AIMAE) EQUCHI 04 PR 183 021802 D.W. Lue <i>et al.</i> (Super-Kamiokande Collab.) GRIFOLS 04 PR 183 021802 D.W. Lue <i>et al.</i> (BARC, AIMAE) BANDYOPA 03 PR 195 033 A. Brack <i>et al.</i> (Barc, AIMAE) BERNABEU 03 Hep 57 0330202 Lamatonity are solition. J. Vidal (MUNU Collab.) DARKTCH 03 Hep 57 0330303 K. Fujikawa. R. Shrock (MUNU Collab.) DARASTCH 03 PR D67 0330308 M. Hirsch, E. Nardi, D. Restrepp (TEXONO Collab.) DARASTCH 03 PR D67 0330308 X. Furious <i>et al.</i> (CENON Collab.) SPERGEL	ICHIKAWA	05	PR D71 043001	K. Ichikawa, M. Fukugita, M. Kawasaki	(ICRR)
AIN 05 FR D/2 01200 B. Alarmin et al. (TEXONO Collab.) BARCER 04 RL B595 95 V. Barger, D. Marfatia, A. Tregre (BGNA+) CECTTINI 04 PR D 20 01301 F. Katta, L. Ergorugues, S. Pastor (BGNA+) CECUTINI 04 PR D 30 013007 F. Katta, L. Ergorugues, S. Pastor (KanLAND Collab.) CRIPOLS 04 PR B57 184 J.A. Gridis, E. Masso, S. Mohanty (BARC, AHMED) LU 04 PR B55 33 H.O. Back <i>et al.</i> (Berek, C. MMED) BANDYOPA. 03 PL B55 33 H.O. Back <i>et al.</i> (MUNU Collab.) BARK 03 PR B54 190 Z. Daraktchiava <i>et al.</i> (MUNU Collab.) DARARTCH 03 PR D50 033005 M. Hirsch, E. Nardi, D. Restrepo (HUNU Collab.) DSPERGEL 02 PR L 89 10302 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassilou, J. Vidal J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassilou, J. Vidal JOSHIPURA 02 PR B66 10301 A.S. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty LEWU JOSHIPURA 02 PR B66 103008 A.S. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty <	KRAUS	05	EPJ C40 447	Ch. Kraus <i>et al.</i>	
ATARCEN 0 PR D/0 05014 B. Anaminin et al. (INO Collab.) BARCEN 04 PR D/0 05014 B. Anaminin et al. (IEGUAH) CECCHNI 04 PR D/0 0501301 K. Eagourgues, S. Pastor (IBAALD) Collab.) CECCHNI 04 PR D/0 021301 K. Grids, E. Masso, S. Mohanty (IEGUAH) (BARC, AHMED) CHI D387 183 J.A. Grids, E. Masso, S. Mohanty (BARC, AHMED) (IAU ANNADOLDI 03A PRL 91 101802 C. Arnaboldi et al. (Borencin Collab.) ANNADOLDI 03A PRL 950 033038 A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswami (SAHA+) BERNABEU 03 PRP D/0 033005 M. Hirsch, E. Nardi, D. Restrepo (MUNU Collab.) LI 038 PRL 90 10302 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal DARARCH. 03 PR D/0 703308 K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock LERNABEU 03 PR D/16 030207 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal DERNA 020 PR D/6 030302 T. J. Loredo, D. Ju. Smirnov Trauslated from ZETFP 76 483 GRIMUS OP D/6 063002		05	PR D72 012006	B. Xin et al. (IEX)	JNO Collab.)
Check Number Construction Constand Cons		04	PR D70 093014 DI B505 55	B. Anarmim <i>et al.</i> (V. Barger, D. Marfatia, A. Tregre	SNO Collab.)
CROTTY 04 PPR D60 123007 P. Crotty, J. Leggouges, S. Pastor (LCM-N) GRIPOLS 04 PPL B587 184 J.A. Grids, E. Masso, S. Mohanty (BARC, AHMED) JU 04 PPL B587 184 J.A. Grids, E. Masso, S. Mohanty (BARC, AHMED) JAN. BORD 03A PPL B563 35 H. D. Back et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collab.) JANDYOPA 03 Hep.S653 A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswami (SAHA+) JERNABEU 03 Hep.Fh.0303302 J. Bernaheu, J. Papavasiliou, J. Vidal (MUNU Collab.) JARKTCH 03 HP, D67<0330308		04	ASP 21 183	S Cecchini et al	$(BGNA_{\perp})$
EGUCHI OFR. 92 OT 301 K. Eguchi et al. Generation of the second o	CROTTY	04	PR D69 123007	P Crotty Lesgourgues S Pastor	(DONA+)
GRIFOLS 04 PRI 9587 1584 I.A. Carfois, E. Masso, S. Mohanty, ("BARC, AHMED) LU 4 PRI 93 021802 C. Arnaboldi et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collab.) ARNABOLDI 03A PRI 91 161802 C. Arnaboldi et al. (Borexino Collab.) BANDVOPA 03 hep-ph/030303 A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Gossomi (SAHA+) BRNABEU 3 hep-ph/030318 K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock (MUNU Collab.) VIJJKAWA 3 hep-ph/030318 K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock (MUNU Collab.) VIJJKAWA 3 hep-ph/0303188 K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock (MUNU Collab.) VIJJKAWA 3 PR D67 033005 M. Hirsch, E. Mardi, D. Restrepo (II BERNABEU 3 PR D67 033005 M. Hirsch, E. Mardi, D. Restrepo (II DERSID 28 PR D67 033005 M. Hirsch, E. Mardi, D. Restrepo (II DERMABEU 3 PR D66 033118 A. Lewis, S. Bridle (DONUT Collab.) JOSHIPURA 4.0 27 PR D65 0563002 T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb WANG	EGUCHI	04	PRI 92 071301	K Fruchi et al (Kaml	AND Collab)
LIU 04 PRL 93 021802 D.W. Liu <i>et al.</i> (Super-Kamiokande Collab.) ARNABOLD 03 PL B563 35 H.O. Back <i>et al.</i> (Boresino Collab.) BAUDVOPA. 03 PL B555 33 A. Bandyopathyay. S. Chubey. S. Gossomi (SAHA+) BARKTOL. 03 PL B564 190 Z. Daraktorius <i>et al.</i> (MUNU Collab.) BARKTOL. 03 PL B564 190 Z. Daraktorius <i>et al.</i> (TEXONO Collab.) DARAKTOL. 03 PR D67 033005 H.Birch, E. Nardi, D. Restrepo (IL) LI 038 PRL 90 131802 H.Birch, E. Nardi, D. Restrepo (IL) Also PRL 89 229902 (errat.) J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal Also DERBIN 028 J.ETPL 76 483 A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov Translated from ZETFP 76 483. GRIMUS 029 PR D66 103011 A. Lewis, S. Bridle (ISND Collab.) LOVENDO 2 PR D66 103010 A. Lewis, S. Bridle (ISND Collab.) CATWIAS 0 PR D50 5123001 L.B. Auerback et al. (IDONUT Collab.) ATHANAS	GRIFOLS	04	PL B587 184	J.A. Grifols, E. Masso, S. Mohanty (BAR	RC. AHMED)
ARNABOLDI 03A PRL 91 161802 C. Arnaboldi et al. Genexino Collab. BACK 03 PL B55 33 A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswami (SAHA+) BERNABEU 1 berph/0030302 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavasiliou, J. Vidal DARAKTCH 03 hepph/00303188 K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock HIRSCH 03 hepph/00303188 K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock HIRSCH 03 PR D67<033005	LIU	04	PRL 93 021802	D.W. Liu et al. (Super-Kamiok	ande Collab.)
BACK 03B PL B563 35 H.O. Back et al. (Boresino Collab.) BADNDYOPA. 3 PL B563 33 A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswami (SAHA+) BERNABEU 03 PL B564 190 Z. Daraktchiva et al. (MUNU Collab.) FUJIKAWA 03 PL B564 190 Z. Daraktchiva et al. (MUNU Collab.) FUJIKAWA 03 PR D57 033005 H.B. Li et al. (TEXONO Collab.) SPERGEL 03 PPL 189 101802 I. Bernabeu, J. Papavasiliou, J. Vidal DERNABEU 22 PRL 89 101802 I. Bernabeu, J. Papavasiliou, J. Vidal Also PRL 89 229002 (errat.) J. Bernabeu, J. Papavasiliou, J. Vidal DERNIN 02B JETPL 76 409 A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov Translated from ZETFP 76 483. W. Grimus et al. (LONDUT Collab.) JOSHIPURA 02B PR D56 050302 T. J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb VANG 02 PR D56 105002 M. Athanas et al. (CLEO Collab.) SCHWIENHOA PR D59 111001 L. Berabeu et al. (CLEO Collab.) AVALOF PR D59 111001	ARNABOLDI	03A	PRL 91 161802	C. Arnaboldi <i>et al.</i>	,
BANDYOPA O3 PL B55 33 A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswami (SAHA+) BERNABEU is hep-ph/030302 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavasiliou, J. Vidal DARAKTCH or hep-ph/030318 K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock HIRSCH 03 hep-ph/030318 K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock HIRSCH 03 PR D67 033005 M. Hirsch, E. Nardi, D. Restrepo LI 038 PRL 90 131802 H.B. Li et al. (TEXONO Collab.) SPERGEL 03 APJS 148 175 D.N. Spergel et al. Eschabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal BERNABEU 2 PRL 89 029002 (errat.) J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal AVD DERBIN 028 PR D66 113008 AS. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty LEWIS 02 PR D66 113008 A. Lewis, S. Bridle (DONUT Collab.) JOSHIPURA 02 PR D65 123001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 0 PR D65 123001 X. Schwienhorst et al. (CLO Collab.) SCHWIENHO 0 PR D65 123001 X. Mandaga (DONUT Collab.) <td< td=""><td>BACK</td><td>03B</td><td>PL B563 35</td><td>H.O. Back et al. (Bore</td><td>exino Collab.)</td></td<>	BACK	03B	PL B563 35	H.O. Back et al. (Bore	exino Collab.)
BERNABEU 03 hep-ph/0303202 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal RUJIKAWA 03 PR B564 190 Z. Daraktchieva et al. (MUNU Collab.) FUJIKAWA 03 PR D67 033005 M. Hirsch, E. Nardi, D. Restrepo (TEXONO Collab.) SPERGEL 03 APIS 148 175 D.N. Spergel et al. (TEXONO Collab.) BERNABEU 02 PRL 89 101802 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal Also PRL 89 20902 (errat.) J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal DERBIN 02B JETPL 76 409 A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov Translated from ZETFP 76 483. W. Grimus et al. (LSND Collab.) JOSHIPURA 02 PR D66 13500 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PR D65 123001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PR D65 123002 M. Arhanas et al. (CLEO Collab.) SERNABEU 00 PR D63 123002 M. Arhanas et al. (CLEO Collab.) ATHANAS 00 PR L63 13022 J. Bernabeu et al. (CLEO Collab.) ASUMALOTA <td>BANDYOPA</td> <td>03</td> <td>PL B555 33</td> <td>A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswami</td> <td>(SAHA+)</td>	BANDYOPA	03	PL B555 33	A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswami	(SAHA+)
DARAR (CH 03 hep-ph/0303188 K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock HIRSCH 03 hep-ph/0303188 K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock HIRSCH 03 PR L60 033005 M. Hirsch, E. Nardi, D. Restrepo LI 038 PR L90 131802 H. B. Li <i>et al.</i> (TEXONO Collab.) SPERCEL 03 PR L69 013802 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal Also PR L69 029902 (errat.) J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal Also PR L66 013006 A.V. Devin, O.Ju. Smirnov Translated from ZETFP 76 483 (LSND Collab.) OSHIPURA 02 PR D66 133011 A. Lewis, S. Bridle ORE 02 PR D66 133001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PR D61 132012 J. Bernabeu <i>et al.</i> (DNUT Collab.) SCHWIENHO01 01 PR D61 132012 J. Bernabeu <i>et al.</i> (DNUT Collab.) SCHWIENHO01 02 PR D62 113012 J. Bernabeu <i>et al.</i> (DOUT Collab.) STHANASEU 00 PR D62 11301 A. Apala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres EACACSTAP	BERNABEU	03	hep-ph/0303202	J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal	
FUJIKAWA OB Rep. ph/030388 K. Fujikawa, K. Shrock HIRSCH 03 PR L 60 103005 M. Hirsch, E. Nardi, D. Restrepo LI 038 PRL 60 103005 H. B. Li <i>et al.</i> (TEXONO Collab.) SPERGEL 03 APLS 148 175 D.N. Spergel <i>et al.</i> J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal Also PRL 69 229902 (errat.) J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal DERBIN 028 JETPL 76 409 AV. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov Translated from ZETFP 76 483. W. Grimus <i>et al.</i> Mohanty JOSHIPURA 02 PR D66 103501 A. Lewis, S. Bridle LOREDO 02 PR D65 123001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PR D63 112001 L.B. Auerbach <i>et al.</i> (CLEO Collab.) SCHWIENHO. 01 PR D61 052002 M. Arhanas <i>et al.</i> (CLEO Collab.) ATAMAS 00 PR D63 112001 A. Avala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Tores BEACOM 99 PR L63 5222 J. Bernabeu <i>et al.</i> (DALCO Collab.) AVALA 99 PR L640 229	DARAKICH	03	PL B564 190	Z. Daraktchieva <i>et al.</i> (M	UNU Collab.)
Introcht Ois PR Døf Ossouds M. Rinsch, E. Mardi, D. Restreps Li Ois PRL 89 0131802 H.B. Li <i>et al.</i> (TEXONO Collab.) SPERVABEU O PRL 89 101802 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal Also PRL 89 01902 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal Also PRL 76 409 A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov Translated from ZETFP 76 483. W. Grimus et al. (LSND Collab.) JOSHIPURA 02B PR D66 13301 A. Lewis, S. Bridle (LSND Collab.) LOREDO PR D65 132001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga (LSND Collab.) VALERBACH 0 PR D65 13201 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga VALERBACH 0 PR D65 13201 L. B. Auerbach et al. (DOUUT Collab.) SCHWIENHO 0 PR D65 132012 J. Bernabeu et al. (DAUUT Collab.) SCHWIENHO 0 PR D61 052002 M. Fukugita, G.C. Liu, N. Sugiyama TAUMOTO AYLA 9 PR D59 111901 A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres EACOM SEACOM 9 <td< td=""><td></td><td>03</td><td>hep-ph/0303188</td><td>K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock</td><td></td></td<>		03	hep-ph/0303188	K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock	
L1 03 APJ 148 175 D.N. Spergel et al. (TEX.010 Collab.) SPERGEL 03 APJ 148 175 D.N. Spergel et al. User abeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal Also PRL 89 229002 (errat.) J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal DERBIN 02B JETPL 76 493. Supavassiliou, J. Vidal DERBIN 02B PR D66 113008 A.S. Doshipura. E. Masso, S. Mohanty LEWIS 02 PR D66 103008 A. Lewis, S. Bridle LSND Collab.) LOREDO 02 PR D65 033002 T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb WANG 02 PR D63 12001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (DONUT Collab.) SCHWIENHO 10 PL B713 23 R. Schwienhorst et al. (CLEO Collab.) SERNABEU 00 PR D62 11301 J. Bernabeu et al. (CLEO Collab.) SCHWIENHO 0 PL B478 N. Tanimoto et al. (CLEO Collab.) ATMANAS 00 PR D59 11301 A. Avala, J.C. O'Olivo, M. Torres	ПКЗСП	03 02P	PR D07 033005	U. HIRSCH, E. Nardi, D. Restrepo	ONO Callab)
BERNABEU 02 PR. 89 101802 Displayed Papavassiliou, J. Vidal Also PRL 89 22902 (errat.) J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal DERBIN 02 JETEP T 76 409 A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov Translated from ZETEP 76 433 Smirnov GRIMUS 02 NP B648 376 W. Grinus et al. JOSHIPURA 02 PR D66 103311 A. Lewis, S. Bridle LOREDO 02 PR D65 123001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PR D63 112001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (DNUT Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01 PR D63 112001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (DEO Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01 PR D63 112001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (DCUT Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01 PR D63 112001 L.B. Averbach et al. (DCUT Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01 PR D63 12001 L.B. Averbach et al. (DELO Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01	SPERGEL	030	APIS 148 175	DN Spergel et al.	SNO Collab.)
Also PRL 89 22902 (errat.) J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal DERBIN 02B JETPL 76 409 A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov Translated from ZETFP 76 483. NP B643 376 W. Grimus et al. JOSHIPURA 02B PR D66 113008 A.S. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty LEWIS 02 PR D65 103001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PR D65 123001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PR D63 112001 LB. Auerbach et al. (DONUT Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01 PR D61 052002 M. Arthanse et al. (CLEO Collab.) SERNABEU 00 PR D62 113012 J. Bernabeu et al. (CLEO Collab.) SCHWIENHO 0 PR D63 112021 A. Araha, G.C. Liu, N. Sugiyama ArAIA ANIMOTO 0 PL B478 1 N. Tanimoto et al. ACC Croft, W. Hu, R. Dave DOLGOV 9 PR L83 1092 R.A.C. Croft, W. Hu, R. Dave DOLGOV 10DASHEV 9 PL B460 227 V. M. Lobashev et al. (QPAL Collab.) RAFELT <td>BERNABEU</td> <td>02</td> <td>PRL 89 101802</td> <td>J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal</td> <td></td>	BERNABEU	02	PRL 89 101802	J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal	
DERBIN 02B JETPL 76 409 A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov GRIMUS 2 NP B648 376 W. Grimus et al. JOSHIPURA 02B PR D66 113008 A.S. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty LEWIS 02 PR D66 03002 T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb WANG 02 PR D65 123001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PR D63 112001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (LSND Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01 PR D63 112001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (CLEO Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01 PR D63 112001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (CLEO Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01 PR D63 113012 J. Bernabeu et al. (CLEO Collab.) SCHWIENHOTO 01 PL B478 100 PR L43 1082 J. Feacom, P. Vogel CROFT 99 PRL 83 5222 J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel CROFT ODLGOV 99 PL 8430 219 G. Kaffelt (DELO Collab.)	Also		PRL 89 229902 (errat.)	J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal	
Translated from ZETFP 76 483. ORIMUS 02 NP B648 376 W. Grimus et al. JOSHIPUR0 28 PR D66 113008 A.S. Joshipura E. Masso, S. Mohanty LEWIS 02 PR D65 063002 T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb WANG 02 PR D65 112001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (DNUT Collab.) SCHWENHO PR D61 1052002 M. Athanas et al. (DNUT Collab.) SCHWENHO PR D61 052002 M. Athanas et al. (CLEO Collab.) BERNABEU PR D61 105202 M. Fukugita, G.C. Liu, N. Sugiyama ATMIMOTO PL B478 1 N. Tanimoto et al. (CLEO Collab.) BEACOM PR D59 111901 A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres BEACOM PP R1 83 5222 J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel CROFT 9 PRL 83 502 J.D. Dolgov et al. OLGOV 9 PR B483 385 A.D. Dolgov et al. ACKERSTAFF 987 EPJ C5 229 K. Ackerstaff et al. (CLEO Collab.) AMMAR 9 PL 8460 227 V.M. Lobashev et al. (ALEPH Collab.) AMMAR <td>DERBIN</td> <td>02B</td> <td>JETPL 76 409</td> <td>A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov</td> <td></td>	DERBIN	02B	JETPL 76 409	A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov	
GRIMUS 02 NP B668 376 W. Crimus et al. JOSHIPURA 028 PR D66 113008 A.S. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty LEWIS 02 PR D66 103511 A. Lewis, S. Bridle LOREDO 02 PR D66 103511 A. Lewis, S. Bridle LOREDO 02 PR D65 123001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PR D63 112001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (DONUT Collab.) SCHWIENHAS 00 PR D61 052002 M. Athanas et al. (CLEO Collab.) BERNABEU 00 PR D63 113012 J. Bernabeu et al. (DNUT Collab.) SCHWIENHA 00 PR D63 113012 J. Bernabeu et al. (DRUT Collab.) SCHWIENHE 99 PR D59 111901 A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres BEACOM BEACOM 99 PR B48 385 A.D. Dolgov et al. LOBASHEV PH P1 320 319 G. G. Raffelt VEINFEINMAR 98 PL B460 219 Ch. Weinheimer et al. (ACEE Collab.) AMKAR 98 PL B431 209 R. Armar et al. (ALEPH Collab.)			Translated from ZETEP 7	6 483	
JOSHIPURA 028 PR D66 113008 A.S. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty LEWIS 02 PR D66 103511 A. Lewis, S. Bridle UCREDO 02 PR D66 105311 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga WANG 02 PR D65 123001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (LSND Collab.) SCHWIENHO 11 PL B513 23 R. Schwienhorst et al. (DONUT Collab.) STHANAS 00 PR D62 113012 J. Bernabeu et al. (CLEO Collab.) BERNABEU 00 PR D62 113012 J. Bernabeu et al. (CLEO Collab.) AYALA 99 PR L87 187 N. Tanimoto et al. N. Starimoto M. Starimotan M. Starimotan M. Starimoto M. Starimotan M. Starimotan M. Sta	GRIMUS	02	NP B648 376	W. Grimus <i>et al.</i>	
LEWIS 02 PR D66 103511 A. Lewis, S. Bridle LOREDO 02 PR D65 123001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PR D65 112001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (DONUT Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01 PR D65 112001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (DONUT Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01 PL B513 23 R. Schwienhorst et al. (DOUT Collab.) SERNABEU 02 PR D61 052002 M. Athanas et al. (CLEO Collab.) SERNABEU 02 PR D61 103012 J. Bernabeu et al. (CLEO Collab.) FUKUGITA 00 PR B41 1082 M. Fukugita, G.C. Liu, N. Sugiyama NTanimoto et al. AYALA 99 PR D59 111901 A. Ayala, J.C. DOlivo, M. Torres DEGOGOV BEACOM 99 PR B48 385 A.D. Dolgov et al. CORFT OPAL 60121 LOBASHEV 99 PL B460 227 V.M. Lobashev et al. (AELEPH Collab.) ACKERSTAFF 8T FPJ C5 229 K. Ackerstaff et al. (OPAL Collab.) AMMAR 98 PL B412 209	JOSHIPURA	02B	PR D66 113008	A.S. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty	
LOREDO 02 PR D65 063002 T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb WANG 02 PR D65 123001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PR D65 123001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PL D51 323 R. Schwienhorst et al. (DONUT Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01 PR D61 052002 M. Athanas et al. (CLEO Collab.) BERNABEU 00 PR D62 113012 J. Bernabeu et al. (CLEO Collab.) BERNABEU 00 PR D83 11901 A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres BEACOM 9 PRL 83 5222 J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel CROFT 9 PRL 83 522 J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel CROFT 9 PRL 83 522 J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel CROFT 9 PRL 83 522 J.F. Muerchance LOBASHEV 9 PL B460 227 V.M. Lobashev et al. LOBASHEV 9 PL B460 219 Ch. Weinheimer et al. (CLEO Collab.) AKKERSTAFF 98T FPJ C5 295 R. Barate et al. (WHIPPLE Collab.) <	LEWIS	02	PR D66 103511	A. Lewis, S. Bridle	
WANG 02 PR Do5 112001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PR Do5 112001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (DONUT Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01 PL B513 23 R. Schwienhorst et al. (DONUT Collab.) ATHANAS 00 PR Do5 113012 J. Bernabeu et al. (CLEO Collab.) BERNABEU 00 PR L84 1082 M. Fukugita, G.C. Liu, N. Sugiyama ANIMOTO 00 PL B478 1 N. Tanimoto et al. Avala AYALA 99 PR D59 111901 A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres BEACOM 99 PR B483 35222 J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel CROFT 99 PR B460 227 V.M. Lobashev et al. RAFELT 99 PR B40 219 Ch. Weinheimer et al. ACKERSTAFF 98T EPJ C5 229 K. Ackerstaff et al. (OPAL Collab.) AMMAR 98 PL B431 209 R. Armar et al. (ALEPH Collab.) ARFEL 98 PR L83 2092 S.D. Biller et al. (WHPPLE Collab.) AREATE 98F FD J C5 2355 A. A	LOREDO	02	PR D65 063002	T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb	
AUERBACH 01 PR D53 112001 LB. Auerbach et al. (LSND Collab.) SCHWIENHO 01 PR D51 052002 M. Athanas et al. (CLEO Collab.) BERNABEU 00 PR D62 113012 J. Bernabeu et al. (CLEO Collab.) BERNABEU 00 PR L84 1062 M. Fukugita, G.C. Liu, N. Sugiyama (CLEO Collab.) AVALA 99 PR D59 111901 A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres (DRACOM) BEACOM 99 PR B33 355 A.D. Dolgov et al. (DRACOM) LOBASHEV 99 PL B460 219 C. Acc. Croft, W. Hu, R. Dave (DOLGOV) DOLGOV 99 NP B543 385 A.D. Dolgov et al. (CLEO Collab.) ACKERSTAFF 98 TEPL 520 G. Raffelt (METHENER) WEINHEIMER 99 PL B460 219 C. Merner et al. (CLEO Collab.) AMMAR 88 PL B431 209 R. Amartasov et al. (CLEO Collab.) AMMAR 98 PR D57 3873 G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins (DELPHI Collab.) FELDMAN 98 PR D57 3873 <td>WANG</td> <td>02</td> <td>PR D65 123001</td> <td>X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga</td> <td></td>	WANG	02	PR D65 123001	X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga	
Schwienhorst et al. (DONOT Gollab.) ATHANAS 00 PR D61 052002 M. Athanas et al. (CLEO Collab.) BERNABEU 00 PR D61 1052002 M. Athanas et al. (CLEO Collab.) FUKUGITA 00 PR D61 1052002 M. Athanas et al. (CLEO Collab.) FUKUGITA 00 PR D61 1052002 M. Athanas et al. (CLEO Collab.) AYALA 99 PR D59 111901 A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres J. BEACOM 99 PR B483 385 A.D. Dolgov et al. LOBASHEV 99 COBASHEV 99 PL B460 217 V.M. Lobashev et al. (OPAL Collab.) ACKERSTAFF 98 FEJ C2 29 K. Ackerstaff et al. (OPAL Collab.) AMMAR 99 PL B431 209 R. Ammar et al. (CLEO Collab.) BARATE 98 PL B431 203 G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins LENZ BLNAT 98 PL B412 201 M. Acciarri et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ANSTASSOV 97 PR D55 1 J. Swain, L. Taylor (IEAC Collab.)	AUERBACH	01	PR D63 112001	L.B. Auerbach <i>et al.</i> (L	SND Collab.)
ATRANAS 00 PR Dof 105202 M. Atrahas et al. (CLEO Collab.) BERNABEU 00 PR Dof 2113012 J. Bernabeu et al. N. Tanimoto et al. AVALA 99 PR Dof 113012 J. Bernabeu et al. N. Tanimoto et al. AYALA 99 PR Ds 111901 A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres BEACOM 99 PR B 35 222 J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel CROFT 99 PR B 38 385 A.D. Dolgov et al. LOBASHEV 99 PL 8460 227 V.M. Lobashev et al. RAFFELT 99 PPR D 32 0319 G.G. Raffelt WEINHEIMER 99 PL 8460 219 Ch. Weinheimer et al. (OPAL Collab.) ACKERSTAFF 98T EPJ C2 395 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 98F EPJ C2 375 R. Barate et al. (WHIPPLE Collab.) BILLER 98 PL 80 2992 S.D. Biller et al. (WHIPPLE Collab.) ACCIARRI 97Q PL 8412 201 M. Acciarri et al. (CLEO Collab.) ANSTASSOV 97 PR D55 2559 A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) ANSTASSOV 97 <	SCHWIENHU	01	PL B513 23	R. Schwienhorst <i>et al.</i> (DU	NUT Collab.)
DELINATE OPEN 2012 J. Definition et al. AVALA 00 PR 84 1082 M. Fukugita, G.C. Liu, N. Sugiyama AVALA 99 PR D59 111901 A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres BEACOM 99 PR 183 1092 R.A.C. Croft, W. Hu, R. Dave DOLGOV 99 PR 843 85 A.D. Dolgov et al. LOBASHEV 99 PL 8460 227 V.M. Lobashev et al. RAFFELT 99 PRL 83 1092 R.A.C. Croft, W. Hu, R. Dave DOLGOV 99 NP B484 385 A.D. Dolgov et al. ACKERSTAFF 99 PL 8460 227 V.M. Lobashev et al. ACKERSTAFF 99 PL 8402 297 V.M. Lobashev et al. ACKERSTAFF 98T EPJ C5 299 K. Ackerstaff et al. (OPAL Collab.) BARATE 98F EPJ C3 395 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BELNAN 98 PL 8416 50 S. Lenz et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARI 97Q PL 8412 201 M. Acciarri et al. (CLEO Collab.) Also PR D55 2559 A		00	PR D01 052002	IN. Athanas et al. (C	LEO Collab.)
File Original File Original TANIMOTO 00 PR D59 111901 A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres AYALA 99 PR D59 111901 A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres BEACOM 99 PR B3 5222 J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel CROFT 99 PR B38 5222 J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel CROST 99 PR B483 85 A.D. Dolgov et al. LOBASHEV 99 PL B460 217 V.M. Lobashev et al. CACKERSTAFF 98 PL B460 219 Ch. Weinheimer et al. ACKERSTAFF 98 PL B431 209 R. Ammar et al. (CLEO Collab.) AMMAR 98 PL B57 3873 G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins LENZ BILLER 98 PR L80 2992 S.D. Biller et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ASTASSOV 97 PR D55 2559 A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) ANASTASSOV 97 PR D55 2559 A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) Also 97 PR D551 J. Swain, L. Taylor (NAACL ORI Co		00	PRI 8/ 1082	J. Demadeu <i>et al.</i> M. Eukugita, G.C. Liu, N. Sugiyama	
AYALA 99 PR D59 111901 A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres BEACOM 99 PRL 83 5222 J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel CROFT 99 PRL 83 1092 R.A.C. Croft, W. Hu, R. Dave DOLGOV 99 NP B548 385 A.D. Dolgov et al. LOBASHEV 99 PL B460 227 V.M. Lobashev et al. RAFFELT 99 PRL 32 03 19 G.G. Raffelt WEINHEIMER 99 PL B460 219 Ch. Weinheimer et al. ACKERSTAFF 98T EPJ C5 229 K. Ackerstaff et al. (OPAL Collab.) AMMAR 98 PL B431 209 R. Ammar et al. (LEO Collab.) BARATE 98F EPJ C2 395 R. Barate et al. (WHIPPLE Collab.) BLLER 98 PR b73 3873 G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins LENZ LENZ 98 PL B416 50 S. Lenz et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARR 97Q PL B412 201 M. Acciarri et al. (CLEO Collab.) ANASTASSOV 97 PR D55 110 Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) ALEMANO 97 PR D55 1 J. Swain, L. Taylor (NEAS)<	TANIMOTO	00	PI B478 1	N Tanimoto et al	
BEACOM 99 PRL 83 5222 J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel CROFT 99 PRL 83 1092 R.A.C. Croft, W. Hu, R. Dave DOLGOV 99 NP B548 385 A.D. Dolgov et al. LOBASHEV 99 PL B460 227 V.M. Lobashev et al. RAFFELT 99 PRL 320 319 G.G. Raffelt WEINHEIMER 99 PL B460 219 Ch. Weinheimer et al. ACKERSTAFF 98T EPJ C5 229 K. Ackerstaff et al. (OPAL Collab.) AMMAR 98 PL B431 209 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 98F PL 37373 G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins LENZ BILLER 98 PR 180 2992 S.D. Biller et al. (MHIPPLE Collab.) ASTASSOV 97 PR D57 3873 G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins LENZ ASTASSOV 97 PR D55 2559 A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) ALNASTASSOV 97 PR D55 1 J. Swain, L. Taylor (MDAM+) SECRIBANO 97 PR D55 1 J. Swain, L. Taylor (MDA	AYALA	99	PR D59 111901	A. Avala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres	
CROFT 99 PRL 83 1092 R.A.C. Croft, W. Hu, R. Dave DOLGOV 99 NP B548 385 A.D. Dolgov et al. LOBASHEV 99 PRL 840 219 Ch. Obashev et al. RAFFELT 99 PRL 230 319 G.G. Raffelt WEINHEIMER 99 PL 8460 219 Ch. Weinheimer et al. ACKERSTAFF 98T EPJ C5 229 K. Ackerstaff et al. (OPAL Collab.) AMMAR 98 PL 8431 209 R. Ammar et al. (CLEO Collab.) BARATE 98F EPJ C5 229 S.D. Biller et al. (MHIPPLE Collab.) FLDMAN 98 PL 8416 50 S. Lenz et al. (MELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI 97Q PL B412 201 M. Acciarri et al. (LEO Collab.) ANASTASSOV 97 PR D55 2559 A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) ALCIARRI 97Q PL B439 33 (errat.) A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) ESCRIBANO 97 PL B395 369 R. Escribano, E. Masso (BARC, PARIT) FIELDS 97 ASP 6 169	BEACOM	99	PRL 83 5222	J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel	
DOLGOV 99 NP B548 385 A.D. Dolgov et al. LOBASHEV 99 PL B460 227 V.M. Lobashev et al. RAFFELT 99 PRL 320 319 G.G. Raffelt WEINHEIMER 99 PL B460 219 Ch. Weinheimer et al. ACKERSTAFF 98T EPJ C2 29 K. Ackerstaff et al. (OPAL Collab.) BARATE 98F EPJ C2 395 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BILLER 98 PR b57 3873 G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins LENZ IENZ 98 PL 8410 50 S. Lenz et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACKIRRI 97Q PL B512 2559 A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) ANASTASSOV 97 PR D55 119903 (errat.) A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) Alkoo PR D55 1 J. Swain, L. Taylor (NDAM+) Swain, L. Taylor (NDAM+) SUANNA 97 PR D55 30605 K.A. Assamagan et al. (OPAL Collab.) ALEXANDER 96 PR D53 0605 K.A. Assamagan et al. (OPAL Collab.) ALEXANDER <td< td=""><td>CROFT</td><td>99</td><td>PRL 83 1092</td><td>R.A.C. Croft, W. Hu, R. Dave</td><td></td></td<>	CROFT	99	PRL 83 1092	R.A.C. Croft, W. Hu, R. Dave	
LOBASHEV 99 PL B460 227 V.M. Lobashev et al. RAFFELT 99 PRPL 320 319 G.G. Raffelt WEINHEIMER 99 PL B460 219 Ch. Weinheimer et al. (OPAL Collab.) ACKERSTAFF 98T EPJ C5 229 K. Ackerstaff et al. (OLEO Collab.) AMMAR 98 PL B431 209 R. Ammar et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 98 PL B416 50 S. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BILLER 98 PL B416 50 S. Lenz et al. (BELPT C13 Collab.) ABREU 97J ZPHY C74 577 P. Abreu et al. (L12 Collab.) ACIARRI 97Q PL B412 201 M. Acciarri et al. (CLEO Collab.) ANASTASSOV 97 PR D55 2559 A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) Also PR D58 119903 (errat.) A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) ESCRIBANO 97 PL B395 369 R. Escribano, E. Masso (BARC, PARIT) FIELDS 97 ASP 6 169 B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive (NDAM+) SWAIN 97 PR D53 10 J. Swain, L. Taylor (OPAL Collab.) <td>DOLGOV</td> <td>99</td> <td>NP B548 385</td> <td>A.D. Dolgov <i>et al.</i></td> <td></td>	DOLGOV	99	NP B548 385	A.D. Dolgov <i>et al.</i>	
RAFFELT 99 PRPL 320 319 G.C. Raffelt WEINHEIMER 99 PL B460 219 Ch. Weinheimer et al. (OPAL Collab.) AMMAR 98 PL B431 209 R. Ackerstaff et al. (OPAL Collab.) BARATE 98F EPJ C2 395 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BILLER 98 PRL 80 2992 S.D. Biller et al. (WHIPPLE Collab.) FELDMAN 98 PR B57 3873 G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins ENZ LENZ 98 PL B416 50 S. Lenz et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI 97Q PL B412 201 M. Acciarri et al. (CLEO Collab.) ASS PR D55 2559 A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) Also PR D58 119903 (errat.) A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) Also PR D55 1 J. Swain, L. Taylor (NEAS) ALEXANDER 96M PR D53 6065 K.A. Assamagan et al. (OPAL Collab.) SSAMAGAN 96 PR D53 6065 K.A. Assamagan et al. (DES Collab.) BOTTINO <td< td=""><td>LOBASHEV</td><td>99</td><td>PL B460 227</td><td>V.M. Lobashev <i>et al.</i></td><td></td></td<>	LOBASHEV	99	PL B460 227	V.M. Lobashev <i>et al.</i>	
WEINHEIMER 99 PL B460 219 Ch. Weinheimer et al. ACKERSTAFF 98T EPJ C5 229 K. Ackerstaff et al. (OPAL Collab.) AMMAR 98 PL B431 209 R. Ammar et al. (CLEO Collab.) BARATE 98F EPJ C2 395 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BILLER 98 PR L80 2992 S.D. Biller et al. (WHIPPLE Collab.) FELDMAN 98 PR D57 3873 G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins (DELPHI Collab.) LENZ 98 PL B416 50 S. Lenz et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ARBREU 97J ZPHY C74 577 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI 97Q PL B412 201 M. Acciarri et al. (CLEO Collab.) ANASTASSOV 97 PR D55 2559 A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) Also PR D58 119903 (errat.) A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) ELMFORS 97 NP B503 30 P. Elmfors et al. (EXCO Collab.) SCRIBANO 97 PL B395 369 R. Escribano, E. Masso (BARC, PARIT) FIELDS 97 ASP 6 169 B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive (NDAM+) SWAIN 97 PR D53 6065 K.A. Assamagan et al. (RAFFELT	99	PRPL 320 319	G.G. Raffelt	
ACKERSTAFF 981 EPJ C5 229 K. Ackerstaft et al. (DPAL Collab.) AMMAR 98 PL B431 209 R. Ammar et al. (CLEO Collab.) BARATE 98F EPJ C2 395 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BILLER 98 PRL 80 2992 S.D. Biller et al. (WHIPPLE Collab.) FELDMAN 98 PR D57 3873 G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins (DELPHI Collab.) LENZ 98 PL 8416 50 S. Lenz et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 97J ZPHY C74 577 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI 97Q PL B412 201 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ANASTASSOV 97 PR D58 119903 (errat.) A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) ALMFORS 97 NP B503 3 P. Elmfors et al. (DACH (DACH ESCRIBANO 97 PR D58 119903 (errat.) J. Swain, L. Taylor (NEAS) ALEXANDER 96M ZPHY C72 231 G. Alexander et al. (OPAL Collab.) ALEXANDER 96M ZPHY C72 231 G. Alexander et al. (DSI., VILL+) BAI	WEINHEIMER	99 00 T	PL B460 219	Ch. Weinheimer <i>et al.</i>	
AMMAR96PL 6431 209R. Ammar et al.(LEEO Collab.)BARATE98FEPJ C2 395R. Barate et al.(ALEPH Collab.)BILLER98PR b37 3873G.J. Feldman, R.D. CousinsLENZ98PL B416 50S. Lenz et al.ABREU97JZPHY C74 577P. Abreu et al.(DELPHI Collab.)ACCIARRI97QPL B412 201M. Acciarri et al.(L3 Collab.)ANASTASSOV97PR D55 2559A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)AlsoPR D58 119903 (errat.)A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)AlsoPR D58 13903 (errat.)A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)ESCRIBANO97PL B395 369R. Escribano, E. Masso(BARC, PARIT)FIELDS97ASP 6 169B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive(NDAM+)SWAIN97PR D55 1J. Swain, L. Taylor(NEAS)ALSXANDER96MZPHY C72 231G. Alexander et al.(OPAL Collab.)ASAMAGAN 96PR D53 6065K.A. Assamagan et al.(PSI, ZURI, VILL+)BAI96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.(DES Collab.)DOLGOV96PL B383 193A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle(IFIC, VALE)HANNESTAD96PR D54 7894S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96PR D54 7894S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)SOBIE96ZPHY C70 383R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson(VICT)BUSKULIC95HPL B349 585<	ACKERSTAFF	981	EPJ C5 229	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i> (C	PAL Collab.)
DARATE 967 ETJ C2 393 K. Datate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BILLER 98 PRL 80 2992 S.D. Biller et al. (WHIPPLE Collab.) FELDMAN 98 PR D57 3873 G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins (WHIPPLE Collab.) ABREU 97J ZPHY C74 577 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI 97Q PL B412 201 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ANASTASSOV 97 PR D55 2559 A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) Also PR D58 119903 (errat.) A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) ELMFORS 97 NP B503 3 P. Elmfors et al. (ELO Collab.) ESCRIBANO 97 PR D55 11 J. Swain, L. Taylor (NDAM+) SWAIN 97 PR D53 6065 K.A. Assamagan et al. (PFI, ZURI, VILL+) SAL 96 PR D53 6361 A. Bottino et al. (BES Collab.) BOTTINO 96 PR D53 6361 A. Bottino et al. (BES Collab.) BOTTINO 96 PR D54 7894 S. Hannestad, J. Madsen </td <td></td> <td>98 09F</td> <td>PL B431 209</td> <td>R. Ammar <i>et al.</i> (C.</td> <td>LEU Collab.)</td>		98 09F	PL B431 209	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i> (C.	LEU Collab.)
DICLER96PR D57 3873G.J. Feldman, R.D. CousinsFELDMAN98PL B416 50S. Lenz et al.ABREU97JZPHY C74 577P. Abreu et al.(DELPHI Collab.)ACCIARRI97QPL B412 201M. Acciarri et al.(L3 Collab.)ANASTASSOV 97PR D55 2559A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)AlsoPR D58 119903 (errat.)A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)AlsoPR D58 33P. Elmfors et al.(CLEO Collab.)ESCRIBANO97PL B395 369R. Escribano, E. Masso(BARC, PARIT)FIELDS97ASP 6 169B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive(NDAM+)SWAIN97PR D55 1J. Swain, L. Taylor(NEAS)ALEXANDER96MZPHY C72 231G. Alexander et al.(OPAL Collab.)ASSAMAGAN96PR D53 6065K.A. Assamagan et al.(PSI, ZURI, VILL+)BAI96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.(DES Collab.)DOLGOV96PL B383 193A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle(IFIC, VALE)HANNESTAD96PRL 77 5148 (errat.)S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96PR D57 7894S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)SOBIE96ZPHY C70 383R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson(VICT)BLESSEV95PL B349 585D. Buskulic et al.(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)DOLGOV95PR D51 4129A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein(MICH+)HANNESTAD95	BILLER	90F 08	PRI 80 2002	SD Biller et al. (WHIE	PIE Collab.)
LENZ98PL B416 50S. Lenz et al.(DELPHI Collab.)ABREU97JZPHY C74 577P. Abreu et al.(DELPHI Collab.)ACCIARRI97QPL B412 201M. Acciarri et al.(L3 Collab.)ANASTASSOV 97PR D55 2559A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)AlsoPR D58 119903 (errat.)A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)AlsoPR D58 119903 (errat.)A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)ELMFORS97NP B503 3P. Elmfors et al.(CLEO Collab.)ESCRIBANO97PL B395 369R. Escribano, E. Masso(BARC, PARIT)FIELDS97ASP 6 169B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive(NDAM+)SWAIN97PR D55 1J. Swain, L. Taylor(NEAS)ALEXANDER96MZPHY C72 231G. Alexander et al.(OPAL Collab.)ASSAMAGAN96PR D53 6065K.A. Assamagan et al.(DFI, ZURI, VILL+)BAI96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.(BES Collab.)BOTTINO96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.DOLGOVDOLGOV96PL B383 193A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle(IFIC, VALE)HANNESTAD96PR D54 7894S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96PR D54 7894S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)SOBIE96PL B389 263A.I. Belesev et al.(INRM, KIAE)BUSKULIC95PL B349 585D. Buskulic et al.(ALEPH Collab.)CHING95	FFLDMAN	98	PR D57 3873	G L Feldman R D Cousins	TEE Collab.)
ABREU97JZPHY C74 577P. Abreu et al.(DELPHI Collab.)ACCIARRI97QPL B412 201M. Acciarri et al.(L3 Collab.)ANASTASSOV97PR D55 2559A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)AlsoPR D58 119903 (errat.)A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)ELMFORS97NP B503 3P. Elmfors et al.ESCRIBANO97PL B395 369R. Escribano, E. Masso(BARC, PARIT)FIELDS97ASP 6 169B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive(NDAM+)SWAIN97PR D55 1J. Swain, L. Taylor(NEAS)ALEXANDER96MZPHY C72 231G. Alexander et al.(OPAL Collab.)ASSAMAGAN96PR D53 6065K.A. Assamage et al.(BES Collab.)BAI96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.(BES Collab.)BOTTINO96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.(BES Collab.)DOLGOV96PL B383 193A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle(IFIC, VALE)HANNESTAD96BPRL 76 2848S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96CPR D54 7894S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96ZPHY C70 383R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson(VICT)BELESEV95PL B349 585D. Buskulic et al.(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)DOLGOV95PR D51 4129A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein (MICH+)HING95JP G21 639K.H. Hiddemann, H. Daniel, O. Schwentker(TUM)	LENZ	98	PL B416 50	S. Lenz <i>et al.</i>	
ACCIARRI ANASTASSOV97 PRPLB412201M. Acciarri et al.(L3 Collab.)ANASTASSOV97PRD552559A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)AlsoPRD58119903 (errat.)A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)ELMFORS97NPB5033P. Elmfors et al.ESCRIBANO97PLB395369R. Escribano, E. Masso(BARC, PARIT)FIELDS97ASP6169B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive(NDAM+)SWAIN97PRD551J. Swain, L. Taylor(NEAS)ALEXANDER96MZPHY C72231G. Alexander et al.(OPAL Collab.)ASSAMAGAN96PRD536065K.A. Assamagan et al.(PSI, ZURI, VILL+)BAI96PR D5320J.Z. Bai et al.(BES Collab.)DOLGOV96PLB383193A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle(IFIC, VALE)HANNESTAD96PRL 775148(errat.)S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96PRL 77548S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)SOBIE96ZPHY C70383R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson(VICT)BELESEV95PLB350263A.I. Belsev et al.(INRM, KIAE)BUSKULIC95HPLB349585D. Buskulic et al.(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)OLGOV95PR D514129A.D. Dolgov, K. Ka	ABREU	97J	ZPHY C74 577	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> (DEI	LPHI Collab.)
ANASTASSOV97PRD552559A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)AlsoPRD58119903 (errat.)A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)ELMFORS97NPB5033P. Elmfors et al.ESCRIBANO97PLB395369R. Escribano, E. Masso(BARC, PARIT)FIELDS97ASP6169B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive(NDAM+)SWAIN97PRD551J. Swain, L. Taylor(NEAS)ALEXANDER96MZPHY C72231G. Alexander et al.(OPAL Collab.)ASSAMAGAN96PRD536065K.A. Assamagan et al.(PSI, ZURI, VILL+)BAI96PRD536361A. Bottino et al.(BES Collab.)DOLGOV96PLB383193A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle(IFIC, VALE)HANNESTAD96PRL775148 (errat.)S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96PRL775148 (errat.)S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)SOBIE96ZPHY C70383R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson(VICT)BELESEV95PLB350263A.I. Belesev et al.(INRM, KIAE)BUSKULIC95HPLB349585D. Buskulic et al.(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)OLGOV95PR D514129A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein(MICH+)HIDDEMANN95JPG216	ACCIARRI	97Q	PL B412 201	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AlsoPR D58 119903 (errat.)A. Anastassov et al.(CLEO Collab.)ELMFORS97NP B503 3P. Elmfors et al.ESCRIBANO97PL B395 369R. Escribano, E. Masso(BARC, PARIT)FIELDS97ASP 6 169B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive(NDAM+)SWAIN97PR D55 1J. Swain, L. Taylor(NEAS)ALEXANDER96MZPHY C72 231G. Alexander et al.(OPAL Collab.)ASSAMAGAN96PR D53 6065K.A. Assamagan et al.(PSI, ZURI, VILL+)BAI96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.(BES Collab.)BOTTINO96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.(BES Collab.)DOLGOV96PL B383 193A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle(IFIC, VALE)HANNESTAD96BPRL 77 5148 (errat.)S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96CPR D54 7894S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)SOBIE96ZPHY C70 383R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson(VICT)BELESEV95PL B350 263A.I. Belesev et al.(INRM, KIAE)BUSKULIC95HPL B349 585D. Buskulic et al.(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)DOLGOV95PR D51 4129A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein(MICH+)HIDDEMANN95NP B437 243P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss(CASE)SIGL95PR D51 1499G. Sigl, M.S. Turner(FNAL, EFI)	ANASTASSOV	97	PR D55 2559	A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i> (C	LÈO Collab.)
ELMFORS 97 NP B503 3 P. Elmfors et al. ESCRIBANO 97 PL B395 369 R. Escribano, E. Masso (BARC, PARIT) FIELDS 97 ASP 6 169 B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive (NDAM+) SWAIN 97 PR D55 1 J. Swain, L. Taylor (NEAS) ALEXANDER 96M ZPHY C72 231 G. Alexander et al. (OPAL Collab.) ASSAMAGAN 96 PR D53 6065 K.A. Assamagan et al. (PSI, ZURI, VILL+) BAI 96 PR D53 6361 A. Bottino et al. (BES Collab.) BOTTINO 96 PR L 76 2848 S. Hannestad, J. Madsen (AARH) HANNESTAD 96B PRL 77 5148 (errat.) S. Hannestad, J. Madsen (AARH) HANNESTAD 96C PR D54 7894 S. Hannestad, J. Madsen (AARH) SOBIE 96 ZPHY C70 383 R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson (VICT) BELESEV 95 PL B349 585 D. Buskulic et al. (CST, BEIJT, CIAE) DOLGOV 95 IJMP A10 2841 C.R. Ching et al. (CST, BEIJT, CIAE) DOLGOV 95 PR D51 4129	Also		PR D58 119903 (errat.)	A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i> (C	LEO Collab.)
ESCRIBANO 97 PL B395 369 R. Escribano, E. Masso (BARC, PARIT) FIELDS 97 ASP 6 169 B.D. Fields, K. Kanulainen, K.A. Olive (NDAM+) SWAIN 97 PR D55 1 J. Swain, L. Taylor (NEAS) ALEXANDER 96M ZPHY C72 231 G. Alexander et al. (OPAL Collab.) ASSAMAGAN 96 PR D53 6065 K.A. Assamagan et al. (PSI, ZURI, VILL+) BAI 96 PR D53 6361 A. Bottino et al. (DSI, ZURI, VILL+) BOTTINO 96 PR D53 6361 A. Bottino et al. (DIGOV VILC, VALE) HANNESTAD 96 PRL 76 2848 S. Hannestad, J. Madsen (AARH) SOBIE 96 ZPHY C70 383 R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson (VICT) BUSKULIC 95H PL B349 585	ELMFORS	97	NP B503 3	P. Elmfors <i>et al.</i>	
FIELDS97ASP 6 169B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive(NDAM+)SWAIN97PR D55 1J. Swain, L. Taylor(NEAS)ALEXANDER96MZPHY C72 231G. Alexander et al.(OPAL Collab.)ASSAMAGAN96PR D53 6065K.A. Assamagan et al.(PSI, ZURI, VILL+)BAI96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.(BES Collab.)BOTTINO96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.(DLGOVDOLGOV96PL B383 193A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle(IFIC, VALE)HANNESTAD96PRL 76 2848S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96PR D54 7894S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)SOBIE96ZPHY C70 383R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson(VICT)BELESEV95PL B349 585D. Buskulic et al.(INRM, KIAE)BUSKULIC95HPL B349 585D. Buskulic et al.(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)OOLGOV95PR D51 4129A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein(MICH+)HIDDEMANN95JP G21 639K.H. Hiddemann, H. Daniel, O. Schwentker(TUM)KERNAN95NP B437 243P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss(CASE)SIGL95PR D51 1499G. Sigl, M.S. Turner(FNAL, EFI)	ESCRIBANO	97	PL B395 369	R. Escribano, E. Masso (B)	ARC, PARIT)
SWAIN97PR D33 1J. Swain, L. Taylor(NEAS)ALEXANDER96MZPHY C72 231G. Alexander et al.(OPAL Collab.)ASSAMAGAN96PR D53 6065K.A. Assamagan et al.(PSI, ZURI, VILL+)BAI96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.(BES Collab.)BOTTINO96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.(DLGOVDOLGOV96PL B383 193A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle(IFIC, VALE)HANNESTAD96PRL 76 2848S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96PRL 77 5148 (errat.)S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)SOBIE96ZPHY C70 383R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson(VICT)BELESEV95PL B349 585D. Buskulic et al.(INRM, KIAE)BUSKULIC95HPL B349 585D. Buskulic et al.(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)OOLGOV95PR D51 4129A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein(MICH+)HIDDEMANN95NP B437 243P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss(CASE)SIGL95PR D51 1499G. Sigl, M.S. Turner(FNAL, EFI)	FIELDS	97	ASP 6 169	B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive	(NDAM+)
ALLAANDEIXSofth21 HT C12 201G. Alexander et al.(OTAL Conab.)ASSAMAGAN96PR D53 6065K.A. Assamagan et al.(PSI, ZURI, VILL+)BAI96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.(BES Collab.)BOTTINO96PR D53 6361A. Bottino et al.(BES Collab.)DOLGOV96PL B383 193A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle(IFIC, VALE)HANNESTAD96PRL 76 2848S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96PRL 77 5148 (errat.)S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96CPR D54 7894S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)SOBIE96ZPHY C70 383R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson(VICT)BELESEV95PL B349 585D. Buskulic et al.(INRM, KIAE)BUSKULIC95HPL B349 585D. Buskulic et al.(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)OOLGOV95PR D51 4129A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein(MICH+)HIDDEMANN95NP B437 243P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss(CASE)SIGL95PR D51 1499G. Sigl, M.S. Turner(FNAL, EFI)		97 06M	704V (72 231	J. Swalli, L. Taylor G. Alovandor et al. (C	(NEAS)
ADSMINIANTSolutionSolutionInterferenceInt	ASSAMAGAN	90101	PR D53 6065	K Δ Assamagan <i>et al.</i> (PSI 7	(IRE VILL +)
BOTTINO96PRD536361A. Bottinoet al.DOLGOV96PLB383193A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle(IFIC, VALE)HANNESTAD96PRL 762848S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96BPRL 775148 (errat.)S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96CPRD547894S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)SOBIE96ZPHY C70383R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson(VICT)BELESEV95PLB350263A.I. Belesev et al.(INRM, KIAE)BUSKULIC95HPLB349585D. Buskulic et al.(ALEPH Collab.)CHING95IJMP A102841C.R. Ching et al.(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)DOLGOV95PRD514129A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein(MICH+)HIDDEMANN95NPB437243P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss(CASE)SIGL95PRD511499G. Sigl, M.S. Turner(FNAL, EFI)	BAI	96	PR D53 20	IZ Bai et al	(BES Collab)
DOLGOV96PL B383 193A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle(IFIC, VALE)HANNESTAD96PRL 76 2848S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96BPRL 77 5148 (errat.)S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96CPR D54 7894S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)SOBIE96ZPHY C70 383R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson(VICT)BELESEV95PL B350 263A.I. Belesev et al.(INRM, KIAE)BUSKULIC95HPL B349 585D. Buskulic et al.(ALEPH Collab.)CHING95IJMP A10 2841C.R. Ching et al.(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)DOLGOV95PR D51 4129A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein(MICH+)HIDDEMANN95NP B437 243P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss(CASE)SIGL95PR D51 1499G. Sigl, M.S. Turner(FNAL, EFI)	BOTTINO	96	PR D53 6361	A. Bottino <i>et al.</i>	,220 001103.)
HANNESTAD96PRL 76 2848S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96BPRL 77 5148 (errat.)S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)HANNESTAD96CPR D54 7894S. Hannestad, J. Madsen(AARH)SOBIE96ZPHY C70 383R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson(VICT)BELESEV95PL B350 263A.I. Belesev et al.(INRM, KIAE)BUSKULIC95HPL B349 585D. Buskulic et al.(ALEPH Collab.)CHING95IJMP A10 2841C.R. Ching et al.(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)DOLGOV95PR D51 4129A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein(MICH+)HIDDEMANN95NP B437 243P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss(CASE)SIGL95PR D51 1499G. Sigl, M.S. Turner(FNAL, EFI)	DOLGOV	96	PL B383 193	A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle	(IFIC, VALE)
HANNESTAD 96B PRL 77 5148 (errat.) S. Hannestad, J. Madsen (AARH) HANNESTAD 96C PR D54 7894 S. Hannestad, J. Madsen (AARH) SOBIE 96 ZPHY C70 383 R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson (VICT) BELESEV 95 PL B350 263 A.I. Belesev et al. (INRM, KIAE) BUSKULIC 95H PL B349 585 D. Buskulic et al. (ALEPH Collab.) CHING 95 IJMP A10 2841 C.R. Ching et al. (CST, BEIJT, CIAE) DOLGOV 95 PR D51 4129 A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein (MICH+) HIDDEMANN 95 JP G21 G39 K.H. Hiddemann, H. Daniel, O. Schwentker (TUM) KERNAN 95 NP B437 243 P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss (CASE) SIGL 95 PR D51 1499 G. Sigl, M.S. Turner (FNAL, EFI)	HANNESTAD	96	PRL 76 2848	S. Hannestad, J. Madsen	(AARH)
HANNESTAD 96C PR D54 7894 S. Hannestad, J. Madsen (AARH) SOBIE 96 ZPHY C70 383 R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson (VICT) BELESEV 95 PL B350 263 A.I. Belesev et al. (INRM, KIAE) BUSKULIC 95H PL B349 585 D. Buskulic et al. (ALEPH Collab.) CHING 95 IJMP A10 2841 C.R. Ching et al. (CST, BEIJT, CIAE) DOLGOV 95 PR D51 4129 A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein (MICH+) HIDDEMANN 95 NP B437 243 P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss (CASE) SIGL 95 PR D51 1499 G. Sigl, M.S. Turner (FNAL, EFI)	HANNESTAD	96B	PRL 77 5148 (errat.)	S. Hannestad, J. Madsen	(AARH)
SOBIE 96 ZPHY C70 383 R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson (VICT) BELESEV 95 PL B350 263 A.I. Belesev et al. (INRM, KIAE) BUSKULIC 95H PL B349 585 D. Buskulic et al. (ALEPH Collab.) CHING 95 IJMP A10 2841 C.R. Ching et al. (CST, BEIJT, CIAE) DOLGOV 95 PR D51 4129 A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein (MICH+) HIDDEMANN 95 NP B437 243 P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss (CASE) SIGL 95 PR D51 1499 G. Sigl, M.S. Turner (FNAL, EFI)	HANNESTAD	96C	PR D54 7894	S. Hannestad, J. Madsen	(AARH)
BELESEV95PLB350263A.I. Belesev et al.(INRM, KIAE)BUSKULIC95HPLB349585D. Buskulic et al.(ALEPH Collab.)CHING95IJMP A102841C.R. Ching et al.(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)DOLGOV95PRD514129A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein(MICH+)HIDDEMANN95JPG21639K.H. Hiddemann, H. Daniel, O. Schwentker(TUM)KERNAN95PRD511499G. Sigl, M.S. Turner(FNAL, EFI)	SOBIE	96	ZPHY C70 383	R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson	(VICT)
DUSKULIC95HPLB349585D.Buskulic et al.(ALEPH Collab.)CHING95IJMP A102841C.R. Ching et al.(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)DOLGOV95PR D514129A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein(MICH+)HIDDEMANN95JP G21639K.H. Hiddemann, H. Daniel, O. Schwentker(TUM)KERNAN95NP B437243P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss(CASE)SIGL95PR D511499G. Sigl, M.S. Turner(FNAL, EFI)	REFEREN	95 0511	PL B350 263	A.I. Belesev et al. (1	INRM, KIAE)
Ching95IJMF A10 2041C.R. Ching et al.(CS1, BEIJT, CIAE)DOLGOV95PR D51 4129A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein(MICH+)HIDDEMANN95JP G21 639K.H. Hiddemann, H. Daniel, O. Schwentker(TUM)KERNAN95NP B437 243P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss(CASE)SIGL95PR D51 1499G. Sigl, M.S. Turner(FNAL, EFI)	CHINC	90H 0F	ΓL B349 305 HMD A10 2011	D. DUSKUIIC <i>et al.</i> (AL	EFH COLLAD.)
HIDDEMANN95JPG21639K.H.Hiddemann, H.Daniel, O.Schwentker(TUM)KERNAN95NPB437243P.J.Kernan, L.M.Krauss(CASE)SIGL95PRD511499G.Sigl, M.S.Turner(FNAL, EFI)		95 95	PR D51 4120	A D Dolgov K Kajnulajnen 17 Rothstein	(MICH+)
KERNAN95NPB437243P.J.Kernan, L.M.Krauss(CASE)SIGL95PRD511499G.Sigl, M.S.Turner(FNAL, EFI)	HIDDEMANN	95	JP G21 639	K.H. Hiddemann, H. Daniel, O. Schwentker	(TUM)
SIGL 95 PR D51 1499 G. Sigl, M.S. Turner (FNAL, EFI)	KERNAN	95	NP B437 243	P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss	(CASE)
	SIGL	95	PR D51 1499	G. Sigl, M.S. Turner	(FNAL, EFI)

Page 30

Created: 5/31/2024 10:16

STOEFFL VILAIN ASSAMAGAN BABU DODELSON GOULD JECKELMANN KAWASAKI PERES YASUMI ALLEN BALEST CINABRO DERBIN	95 95B 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 93 93 93 93	PRL 75 3237 PL B345 115 PL B335 231 PL B321 140 PR D49 5068 PL B333 545 PL B335 326 NP B419 105 PR D50 513 PL B334 229 PR D47 11 PR D47 3671 PRL 70 3700 JETPL 57 768	W. Stoeffl, D.J. Decman (LLNL) P. Vilain et al. (CHARM II Collab.) K.A. Assamagan et al. (PSI, ZURI, VILL+) K.S. Babu, T.M. Gould, I.Z. Rothstein (BART+) S. Dodelson, G. Gyuk, M.S. Turner (FNAL, CHIC+) T.M. Gould, I.Z. Rothstein (JHU, MICH) B. Jeckelmann, P.F.A. Goudsmit, H.J. Leisi (WABRN+) M. Kawasaki et al. (OSU) O.L.G. Peres, V. Pleitez, R. Zukanovich Funchal S. Yasumi et al. S. Yasumi et al. (KEK, TSUK, KYOT+) R. Balest et al. (CLEO Collab.) D. Cinabro et al. (CLEO Collab.) A.V. Derbin et al. (PNPI)	
		Translated from ZETFP 5	7 755.	
ENQVIST SUN WEINHEIMER ALBRECHT BLUDMAN	93 93 93 93 92 92 92	PRL 71 476 PL B301 376 CJNP 15 261 PL B300 210 PL B302 221 PR D45 4720	A.D. Dolgov, I.Z. Rothstein (MICH) K. Enqvist, H. Uibo (NORD) H.C. Sun et al. (CIAE, CST, BEIJT) C. Weinheimer et al. (MAINZ) H. Albrecht et al. (ARGUS Collab.) S.A. Bludman (CFPA)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
COOPER DODELSON HOLZSCHUH	92 92 92B	PL B280 153 PRL 68 2572 PL B287 381	A.M. Cooper-Sarkar <i>et al.</i> (BEBC WA66 Collab.) S. Dodelson, J.A. Frieman, M.S. Turner (FNAL+) F. Holzschub, M. Fritschi, W. Kundig (7URI))
KAWANO MOURAO PDG VIDYAKIN	92 92 92 92 92	PL B275 487 PL B285 364 PR D45 S1 JETPL 55 206	L.H. Kawano <i>et al.</i> (CIT, UCSD, LLL+) A.M. Mourao, J. Pulido, J.P. Ralston (LISB, LISBT+) K. Hikasa <i>et al.</i> (KEK, LBL, BOST+) G.S. Vidyakin <i>et al.</i> (KIAE)))))
ALLEN DAVIDSON	91 91	Translated from ZETFP 5 PR D43 1 PR D43 2314	5 212. R.C. Allen <i>et al.</i> (UCI, LANL, UMD) S. Davidson, B.A. Campbell, D. Bailey (ALBE+))
DESHPANDE DORENBOS	91 91 01	PR D43 943 ZPHY C51 142 (errat.)	N.G. Deshpande, K.V.L. Sarma (OREG, TATA) J. Dorenbosch <i>et al.</i> (CHARM Collab.))
GRANEK KAWAKAMI	91 91 91	IJMP A6 2387 PL B256 105	H. Granek, B.H.J. McKellar (MELB) H. Kawakami <i>et al.</i> (INUS, TOHOK, TINT+))
KOLB KRAKAUER LAM	91 91 91	PRL 67 533 PR D44 6 PR D44 3345	E.W. Kolb et al.(FNAL, CHIC)D.A. Krakauer et al.(LAMPF E225 Collab.)W.P. Lam, K.W. Ng(AST))
ROBERTSON AHRENS AVIGNONE	91 90 90	PRL 67 957 PR D41 3297 PR D41 682	R.G.H. Robertson <i>et al.</i> (LASL, LLL) L.A. Ahrens <i>et al.</i> (BNL, BROW, HIRO+) F.T. Avignone, J.I. Collar (SCUC)))
KRAKAUER RAFFELT WALKER	90 90 90	PL B252 177 PRL 64 2856 PR D41 689	D.A. Krakauer et al. (LAMPF E225 Collab.) G.G. Raffelt (MPIM) T.P. Wolker (HARV))
CHUPP DORENBOS	89 89	PRL 62 505 ZPHY C41 567	E.L. Chupp, W.T. Vestrand, C. Reppin (UNH, MPIM) J. Dorenbosch <i>et al.</i> (CHARM Collab.))
KOLB LOREDO	89 89 89	PRL 62 509 ANYAS 571 601	J.A. Grifols, E. Masso(BARC)E.W. Kolb, M.S. Turner(CHIC, FNAL)T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb(CHIC))
RAFFELT RAFFELT AI BRECHT	89 89B 88B	PR D39 2066 APJ 336 61 PL B202 149	G.G. Raffelt (PRIN, UCB) G. Raffelt, D. Dearborn, J. Silk (UCB, LLL) H Albrecht <i>et al</i> (ARGUS Collab.))
BARBIERI BORIS	88 88	PRL 61 27 PRL 61 245 (errat.)	R. Barbieri, R.N. Mohapatra (PISA, UMD) S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i> (ITEP, ASCI))
GROTCH RAFFELT	88 88 88B	ZPHY C39 553 PR D37 549	M. Fukugita <i>et al.</i> (KYOTU, MPIM, UCB) H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU) G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn (UCB, LLL))))
SPERGEL VONFEILIT BARBIELLINI	88 88 87	PL B200 366 PL B200 580 NAT 329 21	D.N. Spergel, J.N. Bahcall (IAS) F. von Feilitzsch, L. Oberauer (TUM) G. Barbiellini, G. Cocconi (CFRN))
BORIS Also BORIS	87 87B	PRL 58 2019 PRL 61 245 (errat.) JETPL 45 333	S.D. Boris et al. (ITEP, ASCI) S.D. Boris et al. (ITEP, ASCI) S.D. Boris et al. (ITEP))
	87 87	Translated from ZETFP 4 PR D36 3817 PR D36 2279	5 267. M. Fukugita, S. Yazaki (KYOTU, TOKY) S. Nursinov, Y. Bonhaoli (TELA))
OBERAUER	87 87	PL B198 113 PR A35 679	L.F. Oberauer, F. von Feilitzsch, R.L. Mossbauer P.T. Springer <i>et al.</i> (LLNL))

KETOV	86	JETPL 44 146	S.N. Ketov <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)	
		Translated from ZETFP 4	4 114.		
COWSIK	85	PL 151B 62	R. Cowsik	(TATA)	
RAFFELT	85	PR D31 3002	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)	
BINETRUY	84	PL 134B 174	P. Binetruy, G. Girardi, P. Salati	(LAPP)	
FREESE	84	NP B233 167	K. Freese, D.N. Schramm	(CHIC, FNAL)	
KYULDJIEV	84	NP B243 387	A.V. Kyuldjiev	(SOFI)	
SCHRAMM	84	PL 141B 337	D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman	(FNAL, BART)	
VOGEL	84	PR D30 1505	P. Vogel		
ANDERHUB	82	PL 114B 76	H.B. Anderhub <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN)	
OLIVE	82	PR D25 213	K.A. Olive, M.S. Turner	(CHIC, UCSB)	
BERNSTEIN	81	PL 101B 39	J. Bernstein, G. Feinberg	(STEV, COLU)	
FRANK	81	PR D24 2001	J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i>	(LASL, YALE, MIT+)	
MORGAN	81	PL 102B 247	J.A. Morgan	(SUSS)	
FUJIKAWA	80	PRL 45 963	K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock	(STON)	
LUBIMOV	80	PL 94B 266	V.A. Lyubimov <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)	
STECKER	80	PRL 45 1460	F.W. Stecker	(NASA)	
COWSIK	79	PR D19 2219	R. Cowsik	(TATA)	
GOLDMAN	79	PR D19 2215	T. Goldman, G.J. Stephenson	(LASL)	
BEG	78	PR D17 1395	M.A.B. Beg, W.J. Marciano, M. R	uderman (ROCK+)	
BLIETSCHAU	78	NP B133 205	J. Blietschau <i>et al.</i>	(Gargamelle Collab.)	
FALK	78	PL 79B 511	S.W. Falk, D.N. Schramm	(CHIC)	
BARNES	77	PRL 38 1049	V.E. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(PURD, ANL)	
COWSIK	77	PRL 39 784	R. Cowsik	(MPIM, TATA)	
LEE	77C	PR D16 1444	B.W. Lee, R.E. Shrock	(STON)	
VYSOTSKY	77	JETPL 26 188	M.I. Vysotsky, A.D. Dolgov, Y.B.	Zeldovich (ITEP)	
Translated from ZETFP 26 200.					
BELLOTTI	76	LNC 17 553	E. Bellotti <i>et al.</i>	(MILA)	
SUTHERLAND	76	PR D13 2700	P. Sutherland <i>et al.</i>	(PENN, COLU, NYU)	
SZALAY	76	AA 49 437	A.S. Szalay, G. Marx	(EOTV)	
CLARK	74	PR D9 533	A.R. Clark <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)	
KIM	74	PR D9 3050	J.E. Kim, V.S. Mathur, S. Okubo	(ROCH)	
REINES	74	PRL 32 180	F. Reines, H.W. Sobel, H.S. Gurr	(UCI)	
SZALAY	74	APAH 35 8	A.S. Szalay, G. Marx	(EOTV)	
COWSIK	72	PRL 29 669	R. Cowsik, J. McClelland	(UCB)	
MARX	72	Nu Conf. Budapest	G. Marx, A.S. Szalay	(EOTV)	
GERSHTEIN	66	JETPL 4 120	S.S. Gershtein, Y.B. Zeldovich	(KIAM)	
		Translated from ZETFP 4 189.			
BERNSTEIN	63	PR 132 1227	J. Bernstein, M. Ruderman, G. Fei	nberg (NYU+)	
COWAN	57	PR 107 528	C.L. Cowan, F. Reines	(LANL)	