

$\Lambda(1670) \ 1/2^-$  $I(J^P) = 0(\frac{1}{2}^-)$  Status: \*\*\*\*

The measurements of the mass, width, and elasticity published before 1974 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They were last listed in our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

## $\Lambda(1670)$ POLE POSITIONS

### REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1670 to 1678 (<math>\approx 1674</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1676 $\pm 2$	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1669 $^{+3}_{-8}$	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1677.5 $\pm 0.8$	GARCIA-REC...03		DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1667	ZHANG	13A	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup>From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

### -2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>28 to 36 (<math>\approx 32</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
33 $\pm 4$	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
19 $^{+18}_{-2}$	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
29.2 $\pm 1.4$	GARCIA-REC...03		DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
26	ZHANG	13A	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup>From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

## $\Lambda(1670)$ POLE RESIDUES

The normalized residue is the residue divided by  $\Gamma_{pole}/2$ .

### Normalized residue in $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \bar{K}N$

MODULUS	PHASE ( $^\circ$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.30 <math>\pm 0.06</math></b>	<b>-145 <math>\pm 11</math></b>	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.351	164	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup>From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

### Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

MODULUS	PHASE ( $^\circ$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.19 <math>\pm 0.06</math></b>	<b>145 <math>\pm 14</math></b>	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.327	125	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup>From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \Lambda\eta$** 

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.26 <math>\pm</math> 0.09</b>	<b>104 <math>\pm</math> 14</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.474	59	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<sup>1</sup>From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \Xi K$** 

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.02 <math>\pm</math> 0.02</b>	<b>100 <math>\pm</math> 25</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \Lambda\omega, S=1/2, S\text{-wave}$** 

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.09 <math>\pm</math> 0.04</b>	<b>-60 <math>\pm</math> 35</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \Lambda\omega, S=3/2, D\text{-wave}$** 

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.05 <math>\pm</math> 0.04</b>		SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*(892), S=1/2, S\text{-wave}$** 

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.31 <math>\pm</math> 0.14</b>	<b>100 <math>\pm</math> 45</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*(892), S=3/2, D\text{-wave}$** 

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.06 <math>\pm</math> 0.03</b>	<b>-85 <math>\pm</math> 40</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \Lambda\sigma$** 

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.25 <math>\pm</math> 0.08</b>	<b>160 <math>\pm</math> 15</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$** 

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.13 <math>\pm</math> 0.06</b>	<b>110 <math>\pm</math> 12</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.0988 -104 <sup>1</sup>KAMANO 15 DPWA Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

 **$\Lambda(1670)$  MASS**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1670 to 1678 (<math>\approx</math> 1674) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1674.3 $\pm$ 0.8 $\pm$ 4.9	LEE	21A	BELL $\Lambda_C^+ \rightarrow \Lambda(1670)\pi^+$
1677 $\pm$ 2	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1672 $\pm$ 3	ZHANG	13A	DPWA Multichannel
1670.8 $\pm$ 1.7	KOISO	85	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
1667 $\pm$ 5	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$

1671 $\pm 3$	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1675 $\pm 2$	HEPP	76B	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
1679 $\pm 1$	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
1665 $\pm 5$	PREVOST	74	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1673 $\pm 2$	MANLEY	02	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1668.9 $\pm 2.0$	ABAEV	96	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \eta$
1670 $\pm 5$	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1664	<sup>1</sup> MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup>MARTIN 77 obtains identical resonance parameters from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.

## $\Lambda(1670)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

**25 to 35 ( $\approx 30$ ) OUR ESTIMATE**

36.1 $\pm 2.4 \pm 4.8$	LEE	21A	BELL	$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda(1670)\pi^+$
33 $\pm 4$	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
29 $\pm 5$	ZHANG	13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
34.1 $\pm 3.7$	KOISO	85	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
29 $\pm 5$	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
29 $\pm 5$	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
46 $\pm 5$	HEPP	76B	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
40 $\pm 3$	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
19 $\pm 5$	PREVOST	74	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

23 $\pm 6$	MANLEY	02	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
21.1 $\pm 3.6$	ABAEV	96	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \eta$
45 $\pm 10$	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
12	<sup>1</sup> MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup>MARTIN 77 obtains identical resonance parameters from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.

## $\Lambda(1670)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_j/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $N\bar{K}$	20–30 %
$\Gamma_2$ $\Sigma \pi$	25–55 %
$\Gamma_3$ $\Lambda \eta$	10–25 %
$\Gamma_4$ $\Sigma(1385)\pi$ , $D$ -wave	( 6.0 $\pm 2.0$ ) %
$\Gamma_5$ $N\bar{K}^*(892)$ , $S=1/2$ , $S$ -wave	
$\Gamma_6$ $N\bar{K}^*(892)$ , $S=3/2$ , $D$ -wave	( 5 $\pm 4$ ) %
$\Gamma_7$ $\Lambda \sigma$	(20 $\pm 8$ ) %

**$\Lambda(1670)$  BRANCHING RATIOS**

See “Sign conventions for resonance couplings” in the Note on  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  Resonances.

 **$\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_1/\Gamma$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.20 to 0.30 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
0.33 $\pm$ 0.07	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.26 $\pm$ 0.25	ZHANG 13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.18 $\pm$ 0.03	GOPAL 80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
0.17 $\pm$ 0.03	ALSTON-... 78	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.318	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.37 $\pm$ 0.07	MANLEY 02	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.20 $\pm$ 0.03	GOPAL 77	DPWA	See GOPAL 80
0.15	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

<sup>2</sup> MARTIN 77 obtains identical resonance parameters from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.

 **$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.12 <math>\pm</math> 0.03</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.289	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	Multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

 **$\Gamma(\Lambda\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_3/\Gamma$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.20 <math>\pm</math> 0.08</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.373	KAMANO 15	DPWA	Multichannel
0.30 $\pm$ 0.08	ABAEV 96	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta$

 **$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.06 <math>\pm</math> 0.02</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.019	KAMANO 15	DPWA	Multi-channel

 **$\Gamma(\Lambda\sigma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.20 <math>\pm</math> 0.08</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

 **$\Gamma(N\bar{K}^*(892), S=1/2, S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	Multichannel

<sup>1</sup> Not seen in the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

$\Gamma(N\bar{K}^*(892), S=3/2, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_6/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.05±0.04</b>	ZHANG	13A	DPWA Multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>Not seen in the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15. $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.29±0.06	ZHANG	13A	DPWA Multichannel
-0.26±0.02	KOISO	85	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
-0.31±0.03	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.29±0.03	HEPP	76B	DPWA $K^-N \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
-0.23±0.03	LONDON	75	HLBC $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^0$
-0.27±0.02	KANE	74	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.38±0.03	MANLEY	02	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.13	<sup>1</sup> MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup>MARTIN 77 obtains identical resonance parameters from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit. $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \Lambda\eta$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.30±0.10	ZHANG	13A	DPWA Multichannel
+0.20±0.05	BAXTER	73	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow$ neutrals
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
+0.24±0.04	MANLEY	02	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.24	KIM	71	DPWA K-matrix analysis
0.26	ARMENTEROS69C	HBC	
0.20 or 0.23	BERLEY	65	HBC

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi, D\text{-wave}$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.17±0.06	MANLEY	02	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.18±0.05	PREVOST	74	DPWA $K^-N \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$

 **$\Lambda(1670)$  REFERENCES**

LEE	21A	PR D103 052005	J.Y. Lee <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SARANTSEV	19	EPJ A55 180	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
KAMANO	15	PR C92 025205	H. Kamano <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, OSAK)
ZHANG	13A	PR C88 035205	H. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(KSU)
GARCIA-RECIO...	03	PR D67 076009	C. Garcia-Recio <i>et al.</i>	(GRAN, VALE)
MANLEY	02	PRL 88 012002	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(BNL Crystal Ball Collab.)
ABAEV	96	PR C53 385	V.V. Abaev, B.M.K. Nefkens	(UCLA)
KOISO	85	NP A433 619	H. Koiso <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, MASA)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL) IJP
ALSTON-...	78	PR D18 182	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
Also		PRL 38 1007	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP

GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
MARTIN	77	NP B127 349	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock, R.G. Moorhouse	(LOUC+) IJP
Also		NP B126 266	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC)
Also		NP B126 285	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC) IJP
HEPP	76B	PL 65B 487	V. Hepp <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEIDH, MPIM) IJP
LONDON	75	NP B85 289	G.W. London <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CERN, EPOL+)
KANE	74	LBL-2452	D.F. Kane	(LBL) IJP
PREVOST	74	NP B69 246	J. Prevost <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, CERN, HEID)
BAXTER	73	NP B67 125	D.F. Baxter <i>et al.</i>	(OXF) IJP
KIM	71	PRL 27 356	J.K. Kim	(HARV) IJP
Also		Duke Conf. 161	J.K. Kim	(HARV) IJP
Hyperon Resonances, 1970				
ARMENTEROS 69C		Lund Paper 229	R. Armenteros <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEID, SACL) IJP
Values are quoted in LEVI-SETTI 69.				
BERLEY	65	PRL 15 641	D. Berley <i>et al.</i>	(BNL) IJP

---