

$\chi_{c2}(3930)$


$$J^{PC} = 0^{+}(2^{++})$$

$\chi_{c2}(3930)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3922.5 ± 1.0 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.		
3926.8 ± 2.4 ± 0.8	1.2k	¹ AAIJ	20AI LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$
3921.9 ± 0.6 ± 0.2		² AAIJ	19M LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D\bar{D} + \text{anything}$
3926.7 ± 2.7 ± 1.1	76 ± 17	AUBERT	10G BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- D\bar{D}$
3929 ± 5 ± 2	64	UEHARA	06 BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- D\bar{D}$

¹ Obtained from the full amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape. Previous measurements assumed a single state in this region. This analysis revealed the presence of $\chi_{c0}(3930)$ with the same mass.

² Measured in prompt hadroproduction.



$\chi_{c2}(3930)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
35.2 ± 2.2 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
34.2 ± 6.6 ± 1.1	1.2k	¹ AAIJ	20AI LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$
36.6 ± 1.9 ± 0.9		² AAIJ	19M LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D\bar{D} + \text{anything}$

21.3 ± 6.8 ± 3.6 76 ± 17 AUBERT 10G BABR 10.6 e⁺e⁻ → e⁺e⁻D \bar{D}
 29 ± 10 ± 2 64 UEHARA 06 BELL 10.6 e⁺e⁻ → e⁺e⁻D \bar{D}

¹ Obtained from the full amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape. Previous measurements assumed a single state in this region. This analysis revealed the presence of $\chi_{c0}(3930)$ with the same mass.
² Measured in prompt hadroproduction.

$\chi_{c2}(3930)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\gamma\gamma$	seen
Γ_2 $K\bar{K}\pi$	not seen
Γ_3 $K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	not seen
Γ_4 $D\bar{D}$	seen
Γ_5 D^+D^-	seen
Γ_6 $D^0\bar{D}^0$	seen
Γ_7 $\pi^+\pi^-\eta_c(1S)$	not seen
Γ_8 $K\bar{K}$	not seen

$\chi_{c2}(3930)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

———— $\chi_{c2}(3930) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$ ————

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_2\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<2.1	90	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_3\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<3.4	90	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	

$\Gamma(D\bar{D}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_4\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.21 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE					

0.24 ± 0.05 ± 0.04	76 ± 17	AUBERT	10G	BABR	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → e ⁺ e ⁻ D \bar{D}
0.18 ± 0.05 ± 0.03	64	¹ UEHARA	06	BELL	10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → e ⁺ e ⁻ D \bar{D}

¹ Assuming $B(D^+D^-) = 0.89 B(D^0\bar{D}^0)$.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta_c(1S)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_7\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<18	90	LEES	12AE	BABR	e ⁺ e ⁻ → e ⁺ e ⁻ $\pi^+\pi^-\eta_c$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_8\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.256	90	UEHARA	13	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

$\chi_{c2}(3930)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^+ D^-)/\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0)$					Γ_5/Γ_6
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.74±0.43±0.16	64	UEHARA	06	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- D\bar{D}$

 $\chi_{c2}(3930)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	20AI	PR D102 112003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	19M	JHEP 1907 035	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	12AE	PR D86 092005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	11M	PR D84 012004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	10G	PR D81 092003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
UEHARA	06	PRL 96 082003	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)