Axions (A^0) and Other Very Light Bosons, Searches for

See the related review(s):

Axions and Other Similar Particles

A⁰ (Axion) MASS LIMITS from Astrophysics and Cosmology

These bounds depend on model-dependent assumptions (i.e. — on a combination of axion parameters).

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the followi	ng data for average	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
>0.2	BARROSO	82	ASTR	Standard Axion
>0.25	¹ RAFFELT	82	ASTR	Standard Axion
>0.2	² DICUS	78C	ASTR	Standard Axion
	MIKAELIAN	78	ASTR	Stellar emission
>0.3	² SATO	78	ASTR	Standard Axion
>0.2	VYSOTSKII	78	ASTR	Standard Axion

 $^{^{1}}$ Lower bound from 5.5 MeV γ -ray line from the sun.

A^0 (Axion) and Other Light Boson (X^0) Searches in Hadron Decays

Limits are for branching ratios.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not	use th	ne following data for	aver	ages, fits	s, limits, etc. • • •
$< 4.2 \times 10^{-8}$	90	¹ LEES			$B^{\pm} \rightarrow \ \kappa^{\pm} A^0 \ (A^0 \rightarrow \ \gamma \gamma)$
$< 7 \times 10^{-13}$	95	² ABRATENKO			$K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} X^{0} (X^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-})$
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-7}$	90	³ CORTINA-GIL	21	NA62	$K^+ ightarrow \mu^+ \nu X^0$
$< 5 \times 10^{-11}$	90	⁴ CORTINA-GIL	21A	NA62	$K^+ ightarrow \pi^+ X^0$
$<$ 9 \times 10 ⁻¹⁰	90	⁵ CORTINA-GIL	210		
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90	⁶ PARK	21	BELL	$B^0 \to X^0 X^0 (X^0 \to e^+ e^-,$
					$\mu^{+}\mu^{-}, \pi^{+}\pi^{-})$
$< 2.4 \times 10^{-9}$	90	⁷ AHN	19	кото	$K_I^0 \to \pi^0 X^0, m_{X^0} = 135 \text{ MeV}$
$< 2 \times 10^{-10}$	95	⁸ AAIJ			$B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} X^{0} (X^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-})$
$< 3.7 \times 10^{-8}$	90	⁹ AHN	17		$K_I^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 X^0$, $m_{X^0} = 135$ MeV
$< 6 \times 10^{-11}$	90	¹⁰ BATLEY	17	NA48	$\kappa^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} X^{0} (X^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-})$
		$^{11}\mathrm{WON}$	16		$\eta \rightarrow \gamma X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$
$< 1 \times 10^{-9}$	95	¹² AAIJ	15AZ	LHCB	$B^0 \to K^{*0} X^0 (X^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹³ ADLARSON	13	WASA	$\pi^0 \to \gamma X^0 (X^0 \to e^+ e^-),$
					$m_{\chi^0}=100~{ m MeV}$
$< 2 \times 10^{-8}$	90	¹⁴ BABUSCI	13 B		$\phi \rightarrow \eta X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)$
		¹⁵ ARCHILLI	12	KLOE	$\phi ightarrow ~\eta X^0$, $X^0 ightarrow ~e^+ e^-$
$< 2 \times 10^{-15}$	90	¹⁶ GNINENKO	12A	BDMP	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)$
$< 3 \times 10^{-14}$	90	¹⁷ GNINENKO	12 B	BDMP	$\eta(\eta') \rightarrow \gamma X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)$
$< 7 \times 10^{-10}$	90	¹⁸ ADLER	04	B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 1

² Lower bound from requiring the red giants' stellar evolution not be disrupted by axion emission.

```
<sup>19</sup> ANISIMOVSK...04
< 7.3 \times 10^{-11}
                                                                                K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0
                                                                    B949
                                                                                K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0
< 4.5 \times 10^{-11}
                         90
                                  <sup>20</sup> ADLER
                                                             02c B787
                                                                                K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 A^0
<4 \times 10^{-5}
                                  <sup>21</sup> ADLER
                                                                    B787
                         90
< 4.9 \times 10^{-5}
                                                             01B CLEO B^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}(K^{\pm})X^{0}
                         90
                                      AMMAR
                                                            01B CLEO B^0 \rightarrow \kappa_S^0 \dot{\chi}^0
< 5.3 \times 10^{-5}
                         90
                                      AMMAR
                                                                    NOMD \pi^0 
ightarrow \gamma reve{\chi}^0, m_{\chi^0} < 120 MeV
                                  <sup>22</sup> ALTEGOER
< 3.3 \times 10^{-5}
                         90
                                  <sup>23</sup> KITCHING
                                                                                K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)
< 5.0 \times 10^{-8}
                         90
                                                             97
                                                                    B787 K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} X^{0}
<5.2 \times 10<sup>-10</sup>
                         90
                                  <sup>24</sup> ADLER
                                                             96
                                                            96B CBAR \pi^0 
ightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0} < 65 MeV
< 2.8 \times 10^{-4}
                                  <sup>25</sup> AMSLER
                         90
                                                                    CBAR \eta 
ightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{\chi 0}^{}= 50–200 MeV
                                  <sup>25</sup> AMSLER
< 3 \times 10^{-4}
                         90
       \times 10^{-5}
                                  <sup>25</sup> AMSLER
                                                                    CBAR \eta' \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{\chi 0} = 50-925 MeV
<4
                         90
                                                                    CBAR \pi^0 
ightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0}=65-125 MeV
                                  <sup>25</sup> AMSLER
       \times 10^{-5}
<6
                         90
                                                                    CBAR \eta \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{\chi 0}=200–525 MeV
      \times 10^{-5}
                                  <sup>25</sup> AMSLER
<6
                         90
                                                                    CNTR \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{\chi 0} = 25 MeV
                                  <sup>26</sup> MEIJERDREES 94
< 7 \times 10^{-3}
                         90
                                                                    CNTR \pi^0 
ightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0}^{-1}=100 MeV
< 2 \times 10^{-3}
                                  <sup>26</sup> MEIJERDREES 94
                                  <sup>27</sup> ATIYA
< 2 \times 10^{-7}
                         90
                                                             93B
                                                                    B787
                                                                                Sup. by ADLER 04
                                  <sup>28</sup> NG
                                                                    COSM \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0
< 3 \times 10^{-13}
                                                             93
                                                                    SPEC \kappa^+_1 \rightarrow \pi^+_1 X^0 \ (X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)
                                  <sup>29</sup> ALLIEGRO
< 1.1 \times 10^{-8}
                                                            92
                         90
                                                                    B787 \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0
                                  <sup>30</sup> ATIYA
< 5 \times 10^{-4}
                         90
                                                             92
                                                                    BDMP \pi^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm} \nu X^{0} (X^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-})
< 1 \times 10^{-12}
                         95
                                  <sup>31</sup> BARABASH
                                                            92
                                                                                    \gamma\gamma), m_{\chi^0}=8 MeV
< 1 \times 10^{-12}
                                                                     BDMP K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)
                         95
                                  <sup>32</sup> BARABASH
                                                             92
                                                                                    \gamma\gamma), m_{\chi^0}=10 MeV
                                                                    BDMP \mathcal{K}_L^0 
ightarrow \pi^0 X^0 (X^0 
ightarrow e^+ e^-,
     \times 10^{-11}
                                  <sup>33</sup> BARABASH
                         95
                                                             92
                                                                                    \gamma\gamma), m_{\chi 0}=10~{
m MeV}
                                                                    BDMP \eta' \rightarrow \eta X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-, \gamma \gamma),
     \times 10^{-14}
                         95
                                  <sup>34</sup> BARABASH
                                                             92
                                                                                    m_{\chi^0} = 10 \text{ MeV}
                                                                    SPEC \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-),
     \times 10^{-6}
                                  <sup>35</sup> MEIJERDREES 92
                         90
                                                                                    m_{\chi^0} = 100 \text{ MeV}
< 1 \times 10^{-7}
                                  <sup>36</sup> ATIYA
                         90
                                                            90B
                                                                   B787
                                                                                Sup. by KITCHING 97
< 1.3 \times 10^{-8}
                                  <sup>37</sup> KORENCHE... 87
                                                                    SPEC \pi^{+} \to e^{+} \nu A^{0} (A^{0} \to e^{+} e^{-})
                         90
< 1 \times 10^{-9}
                                  <sup>38</sup> EICHLER
                                                                    SPEC Stopped \pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu A^0
                         90
                                                            86
                                  <sup>39</sup> YAMAZAKI
< 2 \times 10^{-5}
                         90
                                                            84
                                                                    SPEC For 160 < m < 260 \text{ MeV}
<(1.5-4)\times10^{-6} 90
                                  <sup>39</sup> YAMAZAKI
                                                            84
                                                                    <sup>40</sup> ASANO
                                                            82
                                                                    CNTR Stopped K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0
                                  <sup>41</sup> ASANO
                                                            81B CNTR Stopped K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0
                                  <sup>42</sup> ZHITNITSKII 79
                                                                                Heavy axion
```

 $^{^1}$ LEES 22B quoted limit is for $m_{\ensuremath{A^0}}=3.9$ GeV, assuming the promptly decaying axion. Limits of O(10 $^{-7}$) are obtained for $m_{\ensuremath{A^0}}=0.175$ –4.78 GeV. See their Figs.3 and 4 for mass and lifetime dependent limits.

 $^{^2}$ ABRATENKO 21 quoted limit is for $m_{\chi^0}=150$ MeV and the lifetime $c\tau_{\chi^0}=80$ m. See their Fig. 4 for the limits in the range of $m_{\chi^0}=10$ –210 MeV.

 $^{^3}$ CORTINA-GIL 21 quoted limit is for $m_{\chi^0}=370$ MeV. Limits from O(10 $^{-5}$) and O(10 $^{-6}$) are obtained for $m_{\chi^0}=10$ –370 MeV (see their Fig. 7).

- ⁴ CORTINA-GIL 21A quoted limit is for $m_{\chi^0}=160$ –250 MeV. Limits between 5×10^{-11} and 2×10^{-10} are obtained in the range of $m_{\chi^0}=0$ –110 and 154–260 MeV, assuming stable or invisibly decaying χ^0 . See their Fig. 4 for mass- and lifetime-dependent limits.
- 5 CORTINA-GIL 21C quoted limit is for $m_{\chi^0}=130$ –140 MeV, and limits of 9×10^{-10} – 6×10^{-7} are obtained in the mass range of $m_{\chi^0}=110$ –155 MeV, assuming χ^0 escapes detection. See their Fig. 6 for mass- and lifetime-dependent limits.
- ⁶ PARK 21 look for dark photons produced by decays of B^0 through off-shell Higgs-dark Higgs mixing. See their Fig. 5 for limits in the range of $m_{\chi 0}=0.01$ –2.62 GeV.
- 7 AHN 19 is an update of AHN 17 from a new data set. See their Fig. 4 for the limits in the range of $m_{\chi 0} = 0\text{--}250$ MeV.
- 8 AAIJ 17AQ limit is for $\tau_{\chi0}=10$ ps. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the range of $m_{\chi0}=250$ –4700 MeV and $\tau_{\chi0}=0.1$ –1000 ps.
- 9 AHN 17 limit as a function of m_{χ^0} from 0 to 250 MeV is provided in their Fig. 5.
- 10 BATLEY 17 limit is for $m_{\chi^0}=216$ MeV and $\tau_{\chi^0}\leq 10$ ps. See their Fig. 4(c) for limits in the range of $m_{\chi^0}=211$ –354 MeV and longer lifetimes.
- 11 WON 16 look for a vector boson coupled to baryon number. Derived limits on α' $<~10^{-3}$ –10 $^{-2}$ for $m_{\chi0}=$ 290–520 MeV at 95% CL. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 12 AAIJ 15AZ limit is for $\tau_{\chi0}=10$ ps and $m_{\chi0}=214$ –4350 MeV. See their Fig. 4 for mass- and lifetime-dependent limits.
- 13 ADLARSON 13 limits between 2.0×10^{-5} and 1.5×10^{-6} are obtained for $m_{\chi^0}=20$ –100 MeV (see their Fig. 8). Angular momentum conservation requires that χ^0 has spin ≥ 1 .
- ¹⁴ BABUSCI 13B limit is for B($\phi \to \eta X^0$)·B($X^0 \to e^+e^-$) and applies to $m_{\chi^0}=410$ MeV. It is derived by analyzing $\eta \to \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$ and $\pi^-\pi^+\pi^0$. Limits between 1×10^{-6} and 2×10^{-8} are obtained for $m_{\chi^0}\leq 450$ MeV (see their Fig. 6).
- 15 ARCHILLI 12 analyzed $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays. Derived limits on $\alpha'/\alpha < 2\times 10^{-5}$ for $m_{\chi^0}=$ 50–420 MeV at 90% CL. See their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits.
- 16 GNINENKO 12A limit is for B($\pi^0 \to \gamma X^0$)·B($X^0 \to e^+ e^-$) and applies for $m_{\chi^0}=90$ MeV and $\tau_{\chi^0} \simeq 1\times 10^{-8}$ sec. Limits between 10^{-8} and 2×10^{-15} are obtained for $m_{\chi^0}=3$ –120 MeV and $\tau_{\chi^0}=1\times 10^{-11}$ –1 sec. See their Fig. 3 for limits at different masses and lifetimes.
- 17 GNINENKO 12B limit is for B($\eta \to \gamma X^0$)·B($X^0 \to e^+ e^-$) and applies for $m_{\chi 0} = 100$ MeV and $\tau_{\chi 0} \simeq 6 \times 10^{-9}$ sec. Limits between 10^{-5} and 3×10^{-14} are obtained for $m_{\chi 0} \lesssim 550$ MeV and $\tau_{\chi 0} = 10^{-10}$ –10 sec. See their Fig. 5 for limits at different mass and lifetime and for η' decays.
- 18 ADLER 04 limit applies for a mass near 180 MeV. For other masses in the range $m_{\chi^0}=150-250$ MeV the limit is less restrictive, but still improves ADLER 02C and ATIYA 93B.
- 19 ANISIMOVSKY 04 bound is for $m_{\chi^0} = 0$.
- $^{20}\,\mathrm{ADLER}$ 02C bound is for m_{χ^0} <60 MeV. See Fig. 2 for limits at higher masses.
- ²¹ The quoted limit is for $m_{\chi^0}=0$ –80 MeV. See their Fig. 5 for the limit at higher mass. The branching fraction limit assumes pure phase space decay distributions.
- ²² ALTEGOER 98 looked for X^0 from π^0 decay which penetrate the shielding and convert to π^0 in the external Coulomb field of a nucleus
- to π^0 in the external Coulomb field of a nucleus. 23 KITCHING 97 limit is for B($K^+ \to \pi^+ X^0$)·B($X^0 \to \gamma \gamma$) and applies for $m_{\chi^0} \simeq$ 50 MeV, $\tau_{\chi^0} < 10^{-10}$ s. Limits are provided for $0 < m_{\chi^0} < 100$ MeV, $\tau_{\chi^0} < 10^{-8}$ s.

- 24 ADLER 96 looked for a peak in missing-mass distribution. This work is an update of ATIYA 93. The limit is for massless stable χ^0 particles and extends to $m_{\chi 0}$ =80 MeV at the same level. See paper for dependence on finite lifetime.
- ²⁵ AMSLER 94B and AMSLER 96B looked for a peak in missing-mass distribution.
- $^{26}\,\mathrm{MEIJERDREES}$ 94 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of X^0 decay modes. It applies to $\tau(X^0) > 10^{-23}$ sec.
- 27 ATIYA 93B looked for a peak in missing mass distribution. The bound applies for stable X^0 of $m_{\chi 0} = 150 - 250$ MeV, and the limit becomes stronger (10⁻⁸) for $m_{\chi 0} = 180 - 240$
- MeV. 28 NG 93 studied the production of X^0 via $\gamma\gamma\to\pi^0\to\gamma X^0$ in the early universe at $T\simeq 1$ is a studied the production of X^0 via $Y^0\to Y^0$ in the early universe at $Y^0\to Y^0$ is the early universe at $Y^0\to Y^0$ in the early universe at $Y^0\to Y^0$ is the early universe at $Y^0\to Y^0$ in the early universe at MeV. The bound on extra neutrinos from nucleosynthesis $\Delta N_{\nu} < 0.3$ (WALKER 91) is employed. It applies to $m_{\chi 0} \ll 1$ MeV in order to be relativistic down to nucleosynthesis temperature. See paper for heavier X^0 .
- 29 ALLIEGRO 92 limit applies for $m_{\chi 0} = 150 340$ MeV and is the branching ratio times the decay probability. Limit is $< 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ at 99%CL.
- $^{30}\,\mathrm{ATIYA}$ 92 looked for a peak in missing mass distribution. The limit applies to $m_{\chi 0}$ =0-130 MeV in the narrow resonance limit. See paper for the dependence on lifetime. Covariance requires X^0 to be a vector particle.
- 31 BARABASH 92 is a beam dump experiment that searched for a light Higgs. Limits between 1 \times 10 $^{-12}$ and 1 \times 10 $^{-7}$ are obtained for 3 < m_{χ^0} < 40 MeV.
- 32 Limits between 1×10^{-12} and 1 are obtained for $4 < m_{\chi 0}^{2} < 69$ MeV.
- 33 Limits between 1×10^{-11} and 5×10^{-3} are obtained for 4 < $m_{\chi0}$ $\,<$ 63 MeV.
- $^{34}\,\mathrm{Limits}$ between 1×10^{-14} and 1 are obtained for 3 < m_{χ^0} < 82 MeV.
- 35 MEIJERDREES 92 limit applies for $au_{\chi 0} = 10^{-23}$ – 10^{-11} sec. Limits between 2×10^{-4} and 4×10^{-6} are obtained for $m_{\chi 0} = 25$ –120 MeV. Angular momentum conservation
- requires that X^0 has spin ≥ 1 . 36 ATIYA 90B limit is for B($K^+ \to \pi^+ X^0$)·B($X^0 \to \gamma \gamma$) and applies for $m_{X^0} = 50$ MeV, $au_{\chi 0} < 10^{-10}$ s. Limits are also provided for 0 $< m_{\chi 0} <$ 100 MeV, $au_{\chi 0} < 10^{-8}$ s.
- 37 KORENCHENKO 87 limit assumes $m_{A^0}=1.7$ MeV, $au_{A^0}\lesssim 10^{-12}$ s, and B($A^0
 ightarrow$
- ³⁸ EICHLER 86 looked for $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu A^0$ followed by $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$. Limits on the branching fraction depend on the mass and and lifetime of A^0 . The quoted limits are valid when $\tau(A^0) \gtrsim 3. \times 10^{-10}$ s if the decays are kinematically allowed.
- 39 YAMAZAKI 84 looked for a discrete line in $K^+\to\pi^+$ X. Sensitive to wide mass range (5–300 MeV), independent of whether X decays promptly or not. 40 ASANO 82 at KEK set limits for B($K^+\to\pi^+ X^0$) for m_{X^0} $\,$ <100 MeV as BR
- < 4. \times 10⁻⁸ for τ ($X^0 \to n\gamma$'s) > 1. \times 10⁻⁹ s, BR < 1.4 \times 10⁻⁶ for τ < 1. \times 10⁻⁹ s. 41 ASANO 81B is KEK experiment. Set B($K^+ \to \pi^+ X^0$) < 3.8 \times 10⁻⁸ at CL = 90%.
- 42 ZHITNITSKII 79 argue that a heavy axion predicted by YANG 78 (3 < m <40 MeV) contradicts experimental muon anomalous magnetic moments.

A⁰ (Axion) Searches in Quarkonium Decays

Decay or transition of quarkonium. Limits are for branching ratio.

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT CL%

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

22 BELL $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$ 16E BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$ $< 3.1 \times 10^{-7}$ 90 1 JIA $< 2.8 \times 10^{-8}$ 90 ² ABLIKIM

Created: 5/31/2023 09:12 https://pdg.lbl.gov Page 4

- 1 JIA 22 limits between 3.1 imes 10 $^{-7}$ –1.6 imes 10 $^{-5}$ were obtained for 0.22 GeV < $m_{A^{0}}$ <
- 9.2 GeV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits. 2 ABLIKIM 16E limits between 2.8–495.3 \times 10⁻⁸ were obtained for 0.212 GeV $< m_{A^0} < 10^{-8}$
- 3.0 GeV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits. 3 ABLIKIM 12 derived limits between 4 \times 10 $^{-7}$ –2.1 \times 10 $^{-5}$ for 0.212 GeV < m_{A^0} < 3.0 GeV. See their Fig. 2(c) for mass-dependent limits.
- 4 ANTREASYAN 90C assume that A^0 does not decay in the detector.
- 5 The first DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when $au_{\Delta0}/m_{\Delta0}~<~3 imes10^{-13}$ s/MeV and $m_{\Delta^0}~<$ 20 MeV.
- 6 The second DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when $au_{A^0}/m_{A^0}~<~5 imes 10^{-13}$ s/MeV and $m_{\Delta 0} < 20 \text{ MeV}.$
- $^7\,{\rm The}$ third DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when $au_{A^0}/m_{A^0} > 7 imes 10^{-12}$ s/MeV and $m_{\Delta 0}$ < 200 MeV.
- ⁸ EDWARDS 82 looked for $J/\psi \to \gamma A^0$ decays by looking for events with a single γ [of energy $\sim 1/2$ the $J/\psi(1S)$ mass], plus nothing else in the detector. The limit is inconsistent with the axion interpretation of the FAISSNER 81B result.

A⁰ (Axion) Searches in Positronium Decays

Decay or transition of positronium. Limits are for branching ratio.

VALUE	CL%	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do no	t use th	e following data	for a	verages,	fits, limits, etc. • • •
$< 4.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ BADERT	02	CNTR	o-Ps $ ightarrow \ \gamma X_1 X_2$, $m_{X_1} + m_{X_2} \le$
	00			CNTD	900 keV
$< 2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	MAENO	95	CNIR	o-Ps $\to A^0 \gamma \ m_{A^0} = 850 - 1013 \text{ keV}$
$< 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	² ASAI	94	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma m_{A^0} = 30-500 \text{ keV}$
$< 2.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	³ AKOPYAN	91	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$,
					$m_{\Delta 0} < 30 \text{ keV}$
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	⁴ ASAI	91	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} < 800 \text{ keV}$
$< 3.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	GNINENKO	90	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} < 30 \text{ keV}$
$<$ (1–5) \times 10 ⁻⁴	95	⁵ TSUCHIAKI	90	CNTR	o-Ps $\to A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} = 300-900 \text{ keV}$
$<$ 6.4 \times 10 ⁻⁵	90	⁶ ORITO	89	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} < 30 \text{ keV}$
			85	CNTR	Ortho-positronium
		⁸ CARBONI	83	CNTR	Ortho-positronium

 $^{^{}m 1}$ BADERTSCHER 02 looked for a three-body decay of ortho-positronium into a photon and two penetrating (neutral or milli-charged) particles.

 $^{^2}$ The ASAI 94 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of A^0 decay

 $^{^3}$ The AKOPYAN 91 limit applies for a short-lived $\it A^0$ with $\tau_{\it A^0}$ $\,<$ 10^{-13} $\it m_{\it A^0}$ [keV] s.

 $^{^4}$ ASAI 91 limit translates to $g_{A0~e^+e^-}^2/4\pi < 1.1 \times 10^{-11}$ (90% CL) for $m_{A^0}~<800$

A⁰ (Axion) Search in Photoproduction

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

1
 ADHIKARI 22C GLUX $m_{A^0} = 180$ –480, 600–720 MeV 2 BASSOMPIE... 95 $m_{A^0} = 1.8 \pm 0.2$ MeV

A⁰ (Axion) Production in Hadron Collisions

Limits are for $\sigma(A^0) / \sigma(\pi^0)$.

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 6

⁵ The TSUCHIAKI 90 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of A^0 decay modes.

⁶ ORITO 89 limit translates to $g_{A^0\,e\,e}^2/4\pi < 6.2\times 10^{-10}$. Somewhat more sensitive limits are obtained for larger $m_{A^0}\colon B<7.6\times 10^{-6}$ at 100 keV.

⁷ AMALDI 85 set limits B($A^0\gamma$) / B($\gamma\gamma\gamma$) < (1–5) × 10⁻⁶ for $m_{A^0}=900$ –100 keV which are about 1/10 of the CARBONI 83 limits.

⁸ CARBONI 83 looked for orthopositronium $\to A^0 \gamma$. Set limit for A^0 electron coupling squared, $g(eeA^0)^2/(4\pi) < 6. \times 10^{-10}$ –7. $\times 10^{-9}$ for m_{A^0} from 150–900 keV (CL = 99.7%). This is about 1/10 of the bound from g–2 experiments.

 $^{^1}$ ADHIKARI 22C search for $A^0\to \gamma\gamma$ and $A^0\to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays, and set limits of $f_{A^0}\lesssim$ 0.5–14 GeV at 90% CL. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^2}$ BASSOMPIERRE 95 is an extension of BASSOMPIERRE 93. They looked for a peak in the invariant mass of $e^+\,e^-$ pairs in the region $m_{e^+\,e^-}=1.8\pm0.2$ MeV. They obtained bounds on the production rate A^0 for $\tau(A^0)=10^{-18}-10^{-9}$ sec. They also found an excess of events in the range $m_{e^+\,e^-}=2.1$ –3.5 MeV.

		¹⁷ MEIJERDREE	S 92	SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow nA^0$, $A^0 \rightarrow$
		¹⁸ BLUEMLEIN ¹⁹ FAISSNER	91 89	BDMP OSPK	$A^0 \stackrel{e^+e^-}{ ightarrow} e^+e^-$, 2γ Beam dump,
		²⁰ DEBOER	88	RVUE	$A^0 \xrightarrow{e^+ e^-} e^-$
		²¹ EL-NADI	88	EMUL	$A^0 ightarrow e^+ e^-$
		²² FAISSNER	88	OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$
		²³ BADIER	86	BDMP	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$
$<2. \times 10^{-11}$	90	²⁴ BERGSMA	85	CHRM	CERN beam dump
$< 1. \times 10^{-13}$	90	²⁴ BERGSMA	85	CHRM	CERN beam dump
		²⁵ FAISSNER	83		Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$
		²⁶ FAISSNER	83 B		LAMPF beam dump
		²⁷ FRANK	83 B		LAMPF beam dump
		²⁸ HOFFMAN	83	CNTR	$\pi p \rightarrow nA^0$
					$(A^0 ightarrow e^+e^-)$
		²⁹ FETSCHER	82	RVUE	
		³⁰ FAISSNER	81	OSPK	CERN PS ν wideband
		³¹ FAISSNER	81 B	OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$
		³² KIM	81	OSPK	26 GeV $pN \rightarrow A^0X$
		³³ FAISSNER	80	OSPK	• <i>'</i>
0		24			${\it A}^0 ightarrow~e^+e^-$
$<1. \times 10^{-8}$	90	34 JACQUES	80	HLBC	28 GeV protons
$< 1. \times 10^{-14}$	90	34 JACQUES	80	HLBC	Beam dump
		35 SOUKAS	80	CALO	28 GeV p beam dump
0		36 BECHIS	79	CNTR	
$<1. \times 10^{-8}$	90	³⁷ COTEUS	79	OSPK	•
$<1. \times 10^{-3}$	95	³⁸ DISHAW	79	CALO	400 GeV <i>pp</i>
$<1. \times 10^{-8}$	90	ALIBRAN	78	HYBR	•
$<6. \times 10^{-9}$	95	ASRATYAN	78 B		Beam dump
$<1.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90	39 BELLOTTI	78	HLBC	Beam dump
$< 5.4 \times 10^{-14}$	90	39 BELLOTTI	78	HLBC	m_{A^0} =1.5 MeV
$< 4.1 \times 10^{-9}$	90	³⁹ BELLOTTI	78	HLBC	$m_{A^0} = 1 \text{ MeV}$
$<1. \times 10^{-8}$	90	⁴⁰ BOSETTI ⁴¹ DONNELLY	78в 78	HYBR	Beam dump
$< 0.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90	HANSL	78 D	WIRE	Beam dump
		42 MICELMAC	78		
		⁴³ VYSOTSKII	78		

¹ AAD 22J set upper limits for the cross sections of $H \to A^0 A^0 \to 4\mu$ and $H \to ZA^0 \to 2\ell 2\mu$. See their Figs. 14 and 17 for the respective mass-dependent limits.

² TUMASYAN 22AH set the limits of $O(10^{-6})$ with respect to the product of the branching fractions of $H \to A^0 A^0$ and $A^0 \to e^+ e^-$, $\mu^+ \mu^-$. They also derive limits on the effective axion couplings contributing to $H \to A^0 A^0$ and $H \to Z A^0$. See their Figs. 5 and 7 for the limits.

 $^{^3}$ TUMASYAN 22R is analogous to GAVELA 20, and set a limit on the products of the axion couplings to gluons and Z bosons as G_{AZZ} G_{Agg} $< 6.64 \times 10^{-7}$ GeV $^{-2}$ at 95% CL for $f_{A^0}=3$ TeV and $m_{A^0}<100$ GeV. Here we use $c_{\widetilde{G}}=G_{Agg}$ $f_{A^0}/4$ and $c_{\widetilde{Z}}=G_{AZZ}$ $f_{A^0}/4$ to translate their limits. They also set a limit on the product of the axion couplings to gluons and ZH. See their Fig. 9 for the f_{A^0} -dependent limits.

- ⁴ AAD 21F look for axion production with an energetic jet and large missing p_T , and set a limit on the axion coupling to gluons, $c_{\widetilde{G}}/f_{A^0} < 8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ at 95 % CL for $m_{A^0} = 1$ MeV. Using $c_{\widetilde{G}} = \alpha_s/8\pi$, we interpret the limit as $f_{A^0} > 0.4$ TeV for $\alpha_s \simeq 0.08$
- 5 AAD 21K look for axion production with an energetic photon and large missing p_T , and set a limit on the axion coupling to a Z boson and photon, $G_{AZ\gamma} < 5.1 \times 10^{-4}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ at 95 % CL for $m_{A^0} = 1$ MeV and assuming $G_{A\gamma\gamma} = 0$.
- ⁶ AAD 21N look for axion production using the measurement of light-by-light scattering based on Pb+Pb collision data. They set the limit on the axion-photon coupling, $G_{A\gamma\gamma} < 5.3 \times 10^{-5} 3.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ at 95 % CL for $m_{A^0} = 6$ –100 GeV. Here we use $\Lambda_a = G_{A\gamma\gamma}^{-1}$ to translate their limits. See their Fig. 9 for mass-dependent limits.
- 7 CARRA 21 is analogous to GAVELA 20, and they use the differential cross sections for $W\,W$ and $Z\gamma$ production measured with the ATLAS detector to set limits on the product of the axion couplings to gauge bosons as $G_{A\,W\,W}\,\,G_{A\,g\,g}\,<6.2\times10^{-7}\,\,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$ and $G_{A\,Z\,\gamma}\,\,G_{A\,g\,g}\,<3.7\times10^{-7}\,\,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$ at 95 % CL for $m_{A^0}\,\lesssim\,100\,\,\mathrm{GeV}.$
- ⁸ AAIJ 20AL look for a light new boson decaying into a pair of muons using the LHCb data with an integrated luminosity of 5.1 fb⁻¹, and set limits on the cross section over a range of $m_{\chi 0} = 0.22$ –3 and 20–60 GeV. See Figs. 8 and 9 for mass-dependent limits.
- 9 GAVELA 20 focus on the axion production as an s-channel off shell mediator, and use the Run 2 CMS public data to set limits on the product of the axion couplings to gluons and photons as well as Z bosons as $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ G_{Agg} $< 2.8 \times 10^{-7}$ GeV $^{-2}$ and G_{AZZ} G_{Agg} $< 9.8 \times 10^{-7}$ GeV $^{-2}$ for $m_{A^0} \lesssim 200$ GeV. See their Fig.3 for the limits.
- 10 SIRUNYAN 19BQ look for the pair production of a new light boson decaying into a pair of muons, and set limits on the product of the production cross section times branching fraction to dimuons squared times acceptance over a range of $m_{\chi 0}=0.25\text{--}8.5$ GeV. See the right panel of their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹¹ JAIN 07 claims evidence for $A^0 \to e^+e^-$ produced in ²⁰⁷Pb collision on nuclear emulsion (Ag/Br) for $m(A^0)=7\pm1$ or 19 ± 1 MeV and $\tau(A^0)\leq 10^{-13}$ s.
- 12 AHMAD 97 reports a result of APEX Collaboration which studied positron production in 238 U+ 232 Ta and 238 U+ 181 Ta collisions, without requiring a coincident electron. No narrow lines were found for 250 $<\!E_{e^+}\!<$ 750 keV.
- ¹³ LEINBERGER 97 (ORANGE Collaboration) at GSI looked for a narrow sum-energy e^+e^- -line at ~ 635 keV in 238 U+ 181 Ta collision. Limits on the production probability for a narrow sum-energy e^+e^- line are set. See their Table 2.
- 14 GANZ 96 (EPos II Collaboration) has placed upper bounds on the production cross section of $e^+\,e^-$ pairs from 238 U+ 181 Ta and 238 U+ 232 Th collisions at GSI. See Table 2 for limits both for back-to-back and isotropic configurations of $e^+\,e^-$ pairs. These limits rule out the existence of peaks in the $e^+\,e^-$ sum-energy distribution, reported by an earlier version of this experiment.
- 15 KAMEL 96 looked for e^+e^- pairs from the collision of 32 S (200 GeV/nucleon) and emulsion. No evidence of mass peaks is found in the region of sensitivity $m_{e\,e}>2$ MeV.
- 16 BLUEMLEIN 92 is a proton beam dump experiment at Serpukhov with a secondary target to induce Bethe-Heitler production of $e^+\,e^-$ or $\mu^+\,\mu^-$ from the produce A^0 . See Fig. 5 for the excluded region in m_{A^0} -x plane. For the standard axion, 0.3 <x<25 is excluded at 95% CL. If combined with BLUEMLEIN 91, 0.008 <x<32 is excluded.
- ¹⁷ MEIJERDREES 92 give Γ(π⁻ $p \rightarrow nA^0$)·B($A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$)/Γ(π⁻ $p \rightarrow \text{all}$) < 10⁻⁵ (90% CL) for $m_{A^0} = 100$ MeV, $\tau_{A^0} = 10^{-11}$ –10⁻²³ sec. Limits ranging from 2.5 × 10⁻³ to 10⁻⁷ are given for $m_{A^0} = 25$ –136 MeV.

- 18 BLUEMLEIN 91 is a proton beam dump experiment at Serpukhov. No candidate event for $A^0 \to e^+ e^-$, 2γ are found. Fig. 6 gives the excluded region in m_{A^0} -x plane (x = $\tan\beta = v_2/v_1$). Standard axion is excluded for 0.2 $< m_{A^0} < 3.2$ MeV for most x > 1, 0.2–11 MeV for most x < 1.
- 19 FAISSNER 89 searched for $A^0 \to e^+\,e^-$ in a proton beam dump experiment at SIN. No excess of events was observed over the background. A standard axion with mass $2m_e$ –20 MeV is excluded. Lower limit on f_{A^0} of $\simeq 10^4$ GeV is given for $m_{A^0}=2m_e$ –20 MeV.
- 20 DEBOER 88 reanalyze EL-NADI 88 data and claim evidence for three distinct states with mass $\sim 1.1, \sim 2.1,$ and ~ 9 MeV, lifetimes $10^{-16} 10^{-15}$ s decaying to e^+e^- and note the similarity of the data with those of a cosmic-ray experiment by Bristol group (B.M. Anand, Proc. of the Royal Society of London, Section A **A22** 183 (1953)). For a criticism see PERKINS 89, who suggests that the events are compatible with π^0 Dalitz decay. DEBOER 89B is a reply which contests the criticism.
- 21 EL-NADI 88 claim the existence of a neutral particle decaying into $e^+\,e^-$ with mass 1.60 \pm 0.59 MeV, lifetime (0.15 \pm 0.01) \times 10 $^{-14}$ s, which is produced in heavy ion interactions with emulsion nuclei at \sim 4 GeV/c/nucleon.
- ²² FAISSNER 88 is a proton beam dump experiment at SIN. They found no candidate event for $A^0 \to \gamma \gamma$. A standard axion decaying to 2γ is excluded except for a region $x \simeq 1$. Lower limit on f_{Δ^0} of $10^2 10^3$ GeV is given for $m_{\Delta^0} = 0.1 1$ MeV.
- ²³ BADIER 86 did not find long-lived A^0 in 300 GeV π^- Beam Dump Experiment that decays into e^+e^- in the mass range $m_{A^0}=(20{\text -}200)$ MeV, which excludes the A^0 decay constant $f(A^0)$ in the interval (60–600) GeV. See their figure 6 for excluded region on $f(A^0){\text -}m_{A^0}$ plane.
- ²⁴ BERGSMA 85 look for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$, e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$. First limit above is for $m_{A^0}=1$ MeV; second is for 200 MeV. See their figure 4 for excluded region on $f_{A^0}-m_{A^0}$ plane, where f_{A^0} is A^0 decay constant. For Peccei-Quinn PECCEI 77 A^0 , m_{A^0} <180 keV and τ >0.037 s. (CL = 90%). For the axion of FAISSNER 81B at 250 keV, BERGSMA 85 expect 15 events but observe zero.
- 25 FAISSNER 83 observed 19 1- γ and 12 2- γ events where a background of 4.8 and 2.3 respectively is expected. A small-angle peak is observed even if iron wall is set in front of the decay region.
- 26 FAISSNER 83B extrapolate SIN γ signal to LAMPF ν experimental condition. Resulting 370 γ 's are not at variance with LAMPF upper limit of 450 γ 's. Derived from LAMPF limit that $\left[d\sigma(A^0)/d\omega$ at $90^{\rm o}\right]m_{A^0}/\tau_{A^0}<14\times10^{-35}~{\rm cm}^2~{\rm sr}^{-1}$ MeV ms $^{-1}$. See comment on FRANK 83B.
- 27 FRANK 83B stress the importance of LAMPF data bins with negative net signal. By statistical analysis say that LAMPF and SIN-A0 are at variance when extrapolation by phase-space model is done. They find LAMPF upper limit is 248 not 450 γ 's. See comment on FAISSNER 83B.
- ²⁸ HOFFMAN 83 set CL = 90% limit $d\sigma/dt$ B(e^+e^-) < 3.5 × 10⁻³² cm²/GeV² for 140 < m_{A^0} <160 MeV. Limit assumes $\tau(A^0)$ < 10⁻⁹ s.
- ²⁹ FETSCHER 82 reanalyzes SIN beam-dump data of FAISSNER 81. Claims no evidence for axion since $2-\gamma$ peak rate remarkably decreases if iron wall is set in front of the decay region.
- 30 FAISSNER 81 see excess μe events. Suggest axion interactions.
- 31 FAISSNER 81B is SIN 590 MeV proton beam dump. Observed 14.5 \pm 5.0 events of 2γ decay of long-lived neutral penetrating particle with $m_{2\gamma}\lesssim 1$ MeV. Axion interpretation with $\eta\text{-}A^0$ mixing gives $m_{A^0}=250\pm25$ keV, $\tau_{\left(2\gamma\right)}=\left(7.3\pm3.7\right)\times10^{-3}$ s from above rate. See critical remarks below in comments of FETSCHER 82, FAISSNER 83, FAISSNER 83B, FRANK 83B, and BERGSMA 85. Also see in the next subsection ALEKSEEV 82B, CAVAIGNAC 83, and ANANEV 85.

- 32 KIM 81 analyzed 8 candidates for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ obtained by Aachen-Padova experiment at CERN with 26 GeV protons on Be. Estimated axion mass is about 300 keV and lifetime is $(0.86\sim5.6)\times10^{-3}$ s depending on models. Faissner (private communication), says axion production underestimated and mass overestimated. Correct value around 200 keV.
- ³³ FAISSNER 80 is SIN beam dump experiment with 590 MeV protons looking for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ decay. Assuming $A^0/\pi^0=5.5\times 10^{-7}$, obtained decay rate limit $20/(A^0$ mass) MeV/s (CL = 90%), which is about 10^{-7} below theory and interpreted as upper limit to $m_{A^0} < 2m_{e^-}$.
- ³⁴ JACQUES 80 is a BNL beam dump experiment. First limit above comes from nonobservation of excess neutral-current-type events $[\sigma(\text{production})\sigma(\text{interaction}) < 7. \times 10^{-68} \text{ cm}^4$, CL = 90%]. Second limit is from nonobservation of axion decays into 2γ 's or e^+e^- , and for axion mass a few MeV.
- 35 SOUKAS 80 at BNL observed no excess of neutral-current-type events in beam dump.
- 36 BECHIS 79 looked for the axion production in low energy electron Bremsstrahlung and the subsequent decay into either 2γ or e^+e^- . No signal found. CL = 90% limits for model parameter(s) are given.
- ³⁷ COTEUS 79 is a beam dump experiment at BNL.
- ³⁸ DISHAW 79 is a calorimetric experiment and looks for low energy tail of energy distributions due to energy lost to weakly interacting particles.
- ³⁹ BELLOTTI 78 first value comes from search for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$. Second value comes from search for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$, assuming mass $<2m_{e^-}$. For any mass satisfying this, limit is above value×(mass⁻⁴). Third value uses data of PL 60B 401 and quotes σ (production) σ (interaction) $< 10^{-67}$ cm⁴.
- ⁴⁰ BOSETTI 78B quotes σ (production) σ (interaction) < 2. × 10⁻⁶⁷ cm⁴.
- 41 DONNELLY 78 examines data from reactor neutrino experiments of REINES 76 and GURR 74 as well as SLAC beam dump experiment. Evidence is negative.
- ⁴² MICELMACHER 78 finds no evidence of axion existence in reactor experiments of REINES 76 and GURR 74. (See reference under DONNELLY 78 below).
- ⁴³ VYSOTSKII 78 derived lower limit for the axion mass 25 keV from luminosity of the sun and 200 keV from red supergiants.

A⁰ (Axion) Searches in Reactor Experiments

 VALUE
 DOCUMENT ID
 TECN
 COMMENT

 • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ CHANG	07		Primakoff or Compton
² ALTMANN			Reactor; $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$
³ KETOV			Reactor, $A^0 ightarrow \gamma \gamma$
⁴ KOCH	86	SPEC	Reactor; $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
⁵ DATAR			Light water reactor
⁶ VUILLEUMIER	81	CNTR	Reactor, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$

- ¹ CHANG 07 looked for monochromatic photons from Primakoff or Compton conversion of axions from the Kuo-Sheng reactor due to axion coupling to photon or electron, respectively. The search places model-independent limits on the products $G_{A\gamma\gamma}G_{ANN}$ and $G_{A\alpha\alpha}G_{ANN}$ for $m(A^0)$ less than the MeV range.
- and $G_{A\,e\,e}G_{A\,N\,N}$ for $m(A^0)$ less than the MeV range. 2 ALTMANN 95 looked for A^0 decaying into e^+e^- from the Bugey 5 nuclear reactor. They obtain an upper limit on the A^0 production rate of $\omega(A^0)/\omega(\gamma) \times \mathrm{B}(A^0 \to e^+e^-) < 10^{-16}$ for $m_{A^0} = 1.5$ MeV at 90% CL. The limit is weaker for heavier A^0 . In the case of a standard axion, this limit excludes a mass in the range $2m_e < m_{A^0} < 4.8$

- MeV at 90% CL. See Fig. 5 of their paper for exclusion limits of axion-like resonances Z^0 in the (m_{X^0},f_{X^0}) plane.
- 3 KETOV 86 searched for A^0 at the Rovno nuclear power plant. They found an upper limit on the A^0 production probability of 0.8 $[100~{\rm keV}/m_{A^0}]^6~\times 10^{-6}$ per fission. In the standard axion model, this corresponds to $m_{A^0}~>150~{\rm keV}.$ Not valid for $m_{A^0}\gtrsim 1~{\rm MeV}.$
- 4 KOCH 86 searched for $A^0\to\gamma\gamma$ at nuclear power reactor Biblis A. They found an upper limit on the A^0 production rate of $\omega(A^0)/\omega(\gamma(M1))<1.5\times10^{-10}$ (CL=95%). Standard axion with $m_{A^0}=250$ keV gives 10^{-5} for the ratio. Not valid for $m_{A^0}>1022$ keV
- ⁵ DATAR 82 looked for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ in neutron capture $(np \rightarrow dA^0)$ at Tarapur 500 MW reactor. Sensitive to sum of I=0 and I=1 amplitudes. With ZEHNDER 81 [(I=0)-(I=1)] result, assert nonexistence of standard A^0 .
- 6 VUILLEUMIER 81 is at Grenoble reactor. Set limit $m_{\Delta0}$ <280 keV.

A^0 (Axion) and Other Light Boson (X^0) Searches in Nuclear Transitions Limits are for branching ratio.

<u>VALUE</u>	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not us	e the fo	ollowing data for a	verage	es, fits, I	imits, etc. • • •
$< 8.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	$^{ m 1}$ DERBIN	02	CNTR	125m Te decay
		² DEBOER	97c		M1 transitions
$< 5.5 \times 10^{-10}$	95	³ TSUNODA	95		252 Cf fission, $A^0 ightarrow ee$
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁴ MINOWA	93		139 La* \rightarrow 139 La 0
$< 2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	⁵ HICKS	92		35 S decay, ${\it A}^0 ightarrow \gamma \gamma$
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-9}$	95	⁶ ASANUMA	90	CNTR	²⁴¹ Am decay
$<(0.4-10)\times10^{-3}$	95	⁷ DEBOER	90		$^{8}\text{Be}^{*} \rightarrow ^{8}\text{Be}A^{0}$,
$<$ (0.2–1) \times 10 ⁻³	90	⁸ BINI	89	CNTR	$16_{0}^{A0} 16_{0}^{+} X^{0}$
		⁹ AVIGNONE	88	CNTR	$X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ $Cu^* \rightarrow CuA^0 (A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma,$
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹⁰ DATAR	88	CNTR	$ \begin{array}{c} A^0 e \to \gamma e, A^0 Z \to \gamma Z) \\ 12C^* \to 12CA^0, \end{array} $
$< 5 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹¹ DEBOER	88C	CNTR	$160^{*} 160^{*} X^{0},$
$< 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$	95	¹² DOEHNER	88	SPEC	$X^0 \xrightarrow{e^+e^-} e^+e^-$ $^2H^*, A^0 \xrightarrow{e^+e^-} e^+e^-$
$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	95	¹³ SAVAGE	88		Nuclear decay (isovector)
$< 3 \times 10^{-3}$	95	¹³ SAVAGE	88		Nuclear decay (isoscalar)
$< 10.6 \times 10^{-2}$	90	¹⁴ HALLIN	86		⁶ Li isovector decay
<10.8	90	¹⁴ HALLIN	86	SPEC	10 B isoscalar decays
< 2.2	90	¹⁴ HALLIN	86	SPEC	¹⁴ N isoscalar decays
$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹⁵ SAVAGE	86 B	CNTR	
		¹⁶ ANANEV	85		Li * , deut * $A^0 ightarrow \ 2\gamma$
		¹⁷ CAVAIGNAC	83	CNTR	97 Nb * , deut * transition 0 0 0 2 0
		¹⁸ ALEKSEEV	82 B	CNTR	Li*, deut* transition $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$
		¹⁹ LEHMANN	82	CNTR	$\text{Cu}^* o \text{Cu} A^0 \ (A^0 o 2\gamma)$

²⁰ ZEHNDER	82	CNTR	Li*, Nb* decay, <i>n</i> -capt.
			$Ba^* \to Ba A^0 (A^0 \to 2\gamma)$
²² CALAPRICE	79		Carbon

- 1 DERBIN 02 looked for the axion emission in an M1 transition in ^{125}m Te decay. They looked for a possible presence of a shifted energy spectrum in gamma rays due to the undetected axion.
- ² DEBOER 97C reanalyzed the existent data on Nuclear M1 transitions and find that a 9 MeV boson decaying into e^+e^- would explain the excess of events with large opening angles. See also DEBOER 01 for follow-up experiments.
- 3 TSUNODA 95 looked for axion emission when 252 Cf undergoes a spontaneous fission, with the axion decaying into $e^+\,e^-$. The bound is for $m_{A^0}{=}40$ MeV. It improves to 2.5×10^{-5} for $m_{A^0}{=}200$ MeV.
- 4 MINOWA 93 studied chain process, $^{139}{\rm Ce} \rightarrow ^{139}{\rm La}^*$ by electron capture and M1 transition of $^{139}{\rm La}^*$ to the ground state. It does not assume decay modes of A^0 . The bound applies for $m_{A^0} < 166$ keV.
- 5 HICKS 92 bound is applicable for $\tau_{~\chi 0}~<$ 4 \times 10 $^{-11}$ sec.
- 6 The ASANUMA 90 limit is for the branching fraction of X^0 emission per $^{241}{\rm Am}\,\alpha$ decay and valid for $\tau_{~X^0}~<~3\times 10^{-11}$ s.
- ⁷ The DEBOER 90 limit is for the branching ratio ⁸Be* (18.15 MeV, 1⁺) \rightarrow ⁸Be A^0 , $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ for the mass range $m_{\Delta 0}=4$ –15 MeV.
- ⁸ The BINI 89 limit is for the branching fraction of 16 O* (6.05 MeV, $^{+}$) \rightarrow 16 O 0 O, 0 O $^{$
- 9 AVIGNONE 88 looked for the 1115 keV transition C* \to Cu A^0 , either from $A^0 \to 2\gamma$ in-flight decay or from the secondary A^0 interactions by Compton and by Primakoff processes. Limits for axion parameters are obtained for $m_{A^0} < 1.1$ MeV.
- 10 DATAR 88 rule out light pseudoscalar particle emission through its decay $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ in the mass range 1.02–2.5 MeV and lifetime range 10^{-13} –10 $^{-8}$ s. The above limit is for $\tau=5\times 10^{-13}$ s and m=1.7 MeV; see the paper for the τ -m dependence of the limit.
- The limit is for the branching fraction of $^{16}\mathrm{O}^*$ (6.05 MeV, $^{0+}$) \rightarrow $^{16}\mathrm{O}\,X^0$, X^0 \rightarrow $e^+\,e^-$ against internal pair conversion for $m_{\chi^0}=1.7$ MeV and $\tau_{\chi^0}<10^{-11}\,\mathrm{s}$. Similar limits are obtained for $m_{\chi^0}=1.3$ –3.2 MeV. The spin parity of X^0 must be either $^0+$ or $^1-$. The limit at 1.7 MeV is translated into a limit for the X^0 -nucleon coupling constant: $g_{\chi^0NN}^2/4\pi<2.3\times10^{-9}$.
- 12 The DOEHNER 88 limit is for $m_{A^0}=1.7$ MeV, $\tau(A^0)<10^{-10}$ s. Limits less than 10^{-4} are obtained for $m_{A^0}=1.2$ –2.2 MeV.
- 13 SAVAGE 88 looked for A^0 that decays into $e^+\,e^-$ in the decay of the 9.17 MeV $J^P=2^+$ state in 14 N, 17.64 MeV state $J^P=1^+$ in 8 Be, and the 18.15 MeV state $J^P=1^+$ in 8 Be. This experiment constrains the isovector coupling of A^0 to hadrons, if $m_{A^0}=(1.1\ \rightarrow\ 2.2)$ MeV and the isoscalar coupling of A^0 to hadrons, if $m_{A^0}=(1.1\ \rightarrow\ 2.6)$ MeV. Both limits are valid only if $\tau(A^0)\lesssim 1\times 10^{-11}$ s.
- ¹⁴ Limits are for Γ(A^0 (1.8 MeV))/Γ(π M1); i.e., for 1.8 MeV axion emission normalized to the rate for internal emission of e^+e^- pairs. Valid for $\tau_{A^0} < 2 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{s}$. ⁶Li isovector decay data strongly disfavor PECCEI 86 model I, whereas the ¹⁰B and ¹⁴N isoscalar decay data strongly reject PECCEI 86 model II and III.

- ¹⁵ SAVAGE 86B looked for A^0 that decays into e^+e^- in the decay of the 9.17 MeV $J^P=2^+$ state in ¹⁴N. Limit on the branching fraction is valid if $\tau_{A^0}\lesssim 1.\times 10^{-11} \mathrm{s}$ for $m_{A^0}=(1.1-1.7)$ MeV. This experiment constrains the iso-vector coupling of A^0 to hadrons.
- 16 ANANEV 85 with IBR-2 pulsed reactor exclude standard A^0 at CL = 95% masses below 470 keV (Li* decay) and below $2m_e$ for deuteron* decay.
- ¹⁷ CAVAIGNAC 83 at Bugey reactor exclude axion at any m_{97} Nb*decay and axion with m_{A0} between 275 and 288 keV (deuteron* decay).
- 18 ALEKSEEV 82 with IBR-2 pulsed reactor exclude standard A^0 at CL = 95% mass-ranges $m_{\Delta0}~<\!400$ keV (Li* decay) and 330 keV $<\!m_{\Delta0}~<\!2.2$ MeV. (deuteron* decay).
- 19 LEHMANN 82 obtained $A^0\to 2\gamma$ rate $<6.2\times 10^{-5}/\mathrm{s}$ (CL =95%) excluding m_{A^0} between 100 and 1000 keV.
- ²⁰ ZEHNDER 82 used Gosgen 2.8GW light-water reactor to check A^0 production. No 2γ peak in Li*, Nb* decay (both single p transition) nor in n capture (combined with previous Ba* negative result) rules out standard A^0 . Set limit $m_{A^0} <$ 60 keV for any m_{A^0}
- ²¹ ZEHNDER 81 looked for Ba* \rightarrow A^0 Ba transition with $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$. Obtained 2γ coincidence rate $< 2.2 \times 10^{-5}/\mathrm{s}$ (CL = 95%) excluding $m_{A^0} >$ 160 keV (or 200 keV depending on Higgs mixing). However, see BARROSO 81.
- ²² CALAPRICE 79 saw no axion emission from excited states of carbon. Sensitive to axion mass between 1 and 15 MeV.

A⁰ (Axion) Limits from Its Electron Coupling

Limits are for $\tau(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)$. • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ¹ ANDREEV $e N \rightarrow e A^0 N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow invisi$ bles) $e \stackrel{\wedge}{N} \rightarrow e \stackrel{\wedge}{A} \stackrel{\wedge}{N} (A^0 \rightarrow e e)$ ² ANDREEV NA64 none $4 \times 10^{-16} - 4.5 \times 10^{-12}$ 90 ³ BROSS BDMP $eN \rightarrow eA^0N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow ee)$ ⁴ GUO BDMP $eN \rightarrow eA^0N$ ⁵ BJORKEN $\begin{array}{c} e\,e \xrightarrow{} e\,e\,A^0 \\ (A^0 \xrightarrow{} e\,e) \end{array}$ ⁶ BLINOV MD1 BDMP $eN \rightarrow eA^0N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow ee)$ none $1 \times 10^{-14} - 1 \times 10^{-10}$ ⁷ RIORDAN 90 none $1 \times 10^{-14} - 1 \times 10^{-11}$ BDMP $e \stackrel{\frown}{N} \rightarrow e \stackrel{\frown}{A} \stackrel{\frown}{N} \stackrel$ ⁸ BROWN 90 BDMP $eN \rightarrow eA^0N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow ee)$ BDMP $eN \rightarrow eA^0N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow ee)$ none $6 \times 10^{-14} - 9 \times 10^{-11}$ ⁹ DAVIER 95 none $3 \times 10^{-13} - 1 \times 10^{-7}$ ¹⁰ KONAKA

 $^{^1}$ ANDREEV 21 look for invisible decays of axions coupled to electrons, and set limits on $g_{\mbox{\it Hee}} < 4.6 \times 10^{-6} - 3.1 \times 10^{-3}$ for $m_{\mbox{\it A}^0} = 10^{-3} - 1$ GeV. This limits the axion contribution to the electron g-2 to an order of magnitude less than the current experimental uncertainty. See their Figs. 3 and 4 for mass-dependent limits.

- 2 ANDREEV 21B set limits on $g_{A\,e\,e}$ in the range of 6.3×10^{-6} – 1.6×10^{-3} for $m_{A^0}=2$ –17 MeV at 90% CL. This excludes 6.6×10^{-5} < $g_{A\,e\,e}$ < 1×10^{-4} at $m_{A^0}=16.7$ MeV corresponding to the ATOMKI anomaly. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- ³ The listed BROSS 91 limit is for $m_{A^0}=1.14\,\mathrm{MeV}$. B($A^0\to e^+e^-$) = 1 assumed. Excluded domain in the $\tau_{A^0}-m_{A^0}$ plane extends up to $m_{A^0}\approx 7\,\mathrm{MeV}$ (see Fig. 5). Combining with electron g-2 constraint, axions coupling only to e^+e^- ruled out for $m_{A^0}<4.8\,\mathrm{MeV}$ (90% CL).
- 4 GUO 90 use the same apparatus as BROWN 86 and improve the previous limit in the shorter lifetime region. Combined with g-2 constraint, axions coupling only to $e^+\,e^-$ are ruled out for $m_{\Delta^0}~<~2.7$ MeV (90% CL).
- ⁵ BJORKEN 88 reports limits on axion parameters (f_A , m_A , τ_A) for m_{A^0} < 200 MeV from electron beam-dump experiment with production via Primakoff photoproduction, bremsstrahlung from electrons, and resonant annihilation of positrons on atomic electrons.
- ⁶ BLINOV 88 assume zero spin, m=1.8 MeV and lifetime $<5\times10^{-12}$ s and find $\Gamma(A^0\to\gamma\gamma)$ B $(A^0\to e^+e^-)<2$ eV (CL=90%).
- 7 Assumes $A^0\,\gamma\gamma$ coupling is small and hence Primakoff production is small. Their figure 2 shows limits on axions for $m_{A^0} < 15$ MeV.
- 8 Uses electrons in hadronic showers from an incident 800 GeV proton beam. Limits for $m_{\Delta0} < 15$ MeV are shown in their figure 3.
- $^9m_{A^0}=1.8$ MeV assumed. The excluded domain in the $au_{A^0}-m_{A^0}$ plane extends up to $m_{\Delta^0}\approx 14$ MeV, see their figure 4.
- ¹⁰ The limits are obtained from their figure 3. Also given is the limit on the $A^0 \gamma \gamma A^0 e^+ e^-$ coupling plane by assuming Primakoff production.

Search for A⁰ (Axion) Resonance in Bhabha Scattering

The limit is for $\Gamma(A^0)[B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)]^2$.

<i>VALUE</i> (10 ⁻³ eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
< 1.3	97	¹ HALLIN	92	CNTR	$m_{\Delta 0} = 1.75 - 1.88 \text{ MeV}$
none 0.0016-0.47	90	² HENDERSON	92C	CNTR	$m_{A0}^{7} = 1.5 - 1.86 \text{ MeV}$
< 2.0	90	³ WU	92		$m_{\Delta^0} = 1.56 - 1.86 \text{ MeV}$
< 0.013	95	TSERTOS	91	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$
none 0.19-3.3	95	⁴ WIDMANN	91		$m_{A0} = 1.78 - 1.92 \text{ MeV}$
< 5	97	BAUER	90	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$
none 0.09-1.5	95	⁵ JUDGE	90	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV},$
< 1.9	97	⁶ TSERTOS	89	CNTR	elastic $m_{\Delta 0} = 1.82 \text{ MeV}$
<(10-40)	97	⁶ TSERTOS	89		$m_{\Delta 0} = 1.51 - 1.65 \text{ MeV}$
<(1–2.5)	97	⁶ TSERTOS	89	CNTR	$m_{\Delta^0} = 1.80 - 1.86 \text{ MeV}$
< 31	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A0} = 1.646 \text{ MeV}$
< 94	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A0} = 1.726 \text{ MeV}$
< 23	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.782 \text{ MeV}$
< 19	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.837 \text{ MeV}$

< 3.8	97	⁷ TSERTOS	88	CNTR	$m_{\Delta 0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$
		⁸ VANKLINKEN	88	CNTR	/ 1
		⁹ MAIER	87	CNTR	
<2500	90	MILLS	87	CNTR	$m_{\Delta0}=1.8~{ m MeV}$
		¹⁰ VONWIMMER.	87	CNTR	7

- ¹ HALLIN 92 quote limits on lifetime, 8×10^{-14} 5×10^{-13} sec depending on mass, assuming B($A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$) = 100%. They say that TSERTOS 91 overstated their sensitivity by a factor of 3.
- 2 HENDERSON 92C exclude axion with lifetime $\tau_{A^0}{=}1.4\times10^{-12}$ –4.0 \times 10^{-10} s, assuming B(A 0 \rightarrow $e^+\,e^-$)=100%. HENDERSON 92C also exclude a vector boson with $\tau{=}1.4\times10^{-12}$ –6.0 \times 10^{-10} s.
- 3 WU 92 quote limits on lifetime $> 3.3 \times 10^{-13}$ s assuming B($A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$)=100%. They say that TSERTOS 89 overestimate the limit by a factor of $\pi/2$. WU 92 also quote a bound for vector boson, $\tau > 8.2 \times 10^{-13}$ s.
- ⁴ WIDMANN 91 bound applies exclusively to the case $B(A^0 \to e^+e^-)=1$, since the detection efficiency varies substantially as $\Gamma(A^0)_{total}$ changes. See their Fig. 6.
- 5 JUDGE 90 excludes an elastic pseudoscalar $e^+\,e^-$ resonance for 4.5×10^{-13} s $<\tau(A^0)$ $<7.5\times 10^{-12}$ s (95% CL) at $m_{A^0}=1.832$ MeV. Comparable limits can be set for $m_{A^0}=1.776$ –1.856 MeV.
- ⁶See also TSERTOS 88B in references.
- ⁷ The upper limit listed in TSERTOS 88 is too large by a factor of 4. See TSERTOS 88B, footnote 3.
- ⁸ VANKLINKEN 88 looked for relatively long-lived resonance ($\tau=10^{-10}$ – 10^{-12} s). The sensitivity is not sufficient to exclude such a narrow resonance.
- ⁹ MAIER 87 obtained limits $R\Gamma \lesssim 60$ eV (100 eV) at $m_{A^0} \simeq 1.64$ MeV (1.83 MeV) for energy resolution $\Delta E_{\rm cm} \simeq 3$ keV, where R is the resonance cross section normalized to that of Bhabha scattering, and $\Gamma = \Gamma_{e\,e}^2/\Gamma_{\rm total}$. For a discussion implying that $\Delta E_{\rm cm} \simeq 10$ keV, see TSERTOS 89.
- 10 VONWIMMERSPERG 87 measured Bhabha scattering for $E_{\rm Cm}=1.37-1.86$ MeV and found a possible peak at 1.73 with $\int \sigma dE_{\rm Cm}=14.5\pm6.8$ keV·b. For a comment and a reply, see VANKLINKEN 88B and VONWIMMERSPERG 88. Also see CONNELL 88.

Search for A^0 (Axion) Resonance in $e^+e^- o \gamma \gamma$

The limit is for $\Gamma(A^0 o e^+e^-) \cdot \Gamma(A^0 o \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{ ext{total}}$

2				Jul	
<i>VALUE</i> (10 ⁻³ eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	e following	data for averages	s, fits,	limits, et	tc. • • •
< 0.18	95	VO	94	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.1 \text{ MeV}$
< 1.5	95	VO	94	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.4 \text{ MeV}$
<12	95	VO	94	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.7 \text{ MeV}$
< 6.6	95	¹ TRZASKA	91	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.8 \text{ MeV}$
< 4.4	95	WIDMANN	91	CNTR	$m_{A0} = 1.78 - 1.92 \text{ MeV}$
		² FOX	89	CNTR	,,
< 0.11	95	³ MINOWA	89	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.062 \text{ MeV}$
<33	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.580 \text{ MeV}$
<42	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.642 \text{ MeV}$

<73	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0}=1.782~\mathrm{MeV}$
<79	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR	$m_{A0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$

 $^{^{1}\,\}mathrm{TRZASKA}$ 91 also give limits in the range (6.6–30) \times 10 $^{-3}\,\mathrm{eV}$ (95%CL) for $m_{\varDelta0}$ =

Search for X^0 (Light Boson) Resonance in $e^+e^- \to \gamma\gamma\gamma$ The limit is for $\Gamma(X^0 \to e^+e^-)\cdot\Gamma(X^0 \to \gamma\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. C invariance forbids spin-0 X^0 coupling to both e^+e^- and $\gamma\gamma\gamma$.

$VALUE (10^{-3} \text{ eV})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN COMMENT
• • • We do not use th	e following	g data for average	s, fits	, limits, etc. • • •
< 0.2	95	¹ VO	94	CNTR $m_{\chi 0} = 1.1 - 1.9 \text{ MeV}$
< 1.0	95	² VO		CNTR $m_{\chi 0}^{\Lambda} = 1.1 \text{ MeV}$
< 2.5	95	² VO	94	CNTR $m_{\chi 0}^{\Lambda} = 1.4 \text{ MeV}$
<120	95	² VO		CNTR $m_{\chi 0} = 1.7 \text{ MeV}$
< 3.8	95	³ SKALSEY	92	CNTR $m_{\chi^0} = 1.5 \text{ MeV}$

¹VO 94 looked for $X^0 \to \gamma \gamma \gamma$ decaying at rest. The precise limits depend on $m_{\chi 0}$. See

Light Boson (X^0) Search in Nonresonant e^+e^- Annihilation at Rest Limits are for the ratio of $n\gamma + X^0$ production relative to $\gamma\gamma$.

<i>VALUE</i> (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID)	TECN COMMENT
• • • We do not us	se the followin	g data for averag	ges, fits	, limits, etc. • • •
< 4.2	90	¹ MITSUI		CNTR γX^0
< 4	68	² SKALSEY		CNTR γX^0
<40	68	³ SKALSEY		RVUE γX^0
< 0.18	90	⁴ ADACHI		CNTR $\gamma \gamma X^0$, $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
< 0.26	90	⁵ ADACHI		CNTR $\gamma \gamma X^0$, $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
< 0.33	90	⁶ ADACHI	94	CNTR γX^0 , $X^0 o \gamma \gamma \gamma$

 $^{^1}$ MITSUI 96 looked for a monochromatic γ . The bound applies for a vector X^0 with C=-1 and $m_{\chi 0}$ <200 keV. They derive an upper bound on eeX^0 coupling and hence on the branching ratio B(o-Ps $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma X^0$)< 6.2 × 10⁻⁶. The bounds weaken for heavier

 $^{^{2}\,\}text{FOX 89}$ measured positron annihilation with an electron in the source material into two photons and found no signal at 1.062 MeV ($< 9 \times 10^{-5}$ of two-photon annihilation at

 $^{^3 \, {\}rm Similar}$ limits are obtained for $m_{ \, \Delta0} = 1.045 {-} 1.085$ MeV.

²VO 94 looked for $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$ decaying in flight.

 $^{^3}$ SKALSEY 92 also give limits 4.3 for $m_{\chi 0} = 1.54$ and 7.5 for 1.64 MeV. The spin of χ^0 is assumed to be one.

 $^{^2}$ SKALSEY 95 looked for a monochromatic γ without an accompanying γ in e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for scalar and vector X^0 with C=-1 and $m_{X^0}=$

^{100–1000} keV. 3 SKALSEY 95 reinterpreted the bound on γA^0 decay of o-Ps by ASAI 91 where 3% of delayed annihilations are not from 3S_1 states. The bound applies for scalar and vector X^0 with C=-1 and $m_{X^0}=0$ –800 keV.

Searches for Goldstone Bosons (X^0)

(Including Horizontal Bosons and Majorons.) Limits are for branching ratios.

<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
se the fol	lowing data for ave	rages	, fits, lim	nits, etc. • • •
	$^{ m 1}$ COLOMA	22A	BORX	νe non-standard interactions
90	² AGUILAR-AR	21A	PIEN	$\pi ightarrow \ \mu u X^0$, Majoron
90	³ AGUILAR-AR	21A	PIEN	$\pi ightarrow \ e u X^0$, Majoron
90	⁴ AGUILAR-AR.	20	PIEN	$\mu^+ ightarrow \; e^+ X^0$, Familon
90	⁵ BALDINI	20	MEG	$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma),$ Familon
90	⁶ BAYES	15	TWST	$\mu^+ ightarrow e^+ X^0$, Familon
		13	COSM	Majoron dark matter decay
		07	RVUE	Meson, ℓ decays to Majoron
	⁹ DIAZ	98	THEO	$H^0 ightarrow X^0 X^0$, $A^0 ightarrow X^0 X^0$, Majoron
	¹⁰ BOBRAKOV	91		Electron quasi-magnetic interaction
95	¹¹ ALBRECHT	90E	ARG	$ au o \mu X^0$. Familon
95	¹¹ ALBRECHT	90E	ARG	$ au ightarrow \ e X^0$. Familon
90	¹² ATIYA	90		$\mathit{K}^+ ightarrow \ \pi^+ \mathit{X}^0$. Familon
90	¹³ BALKE	88		$\mu^+ ightarrow \ e^+ X^0$. Familon
90	¹⁴ BOLTON	88	CBOX	$\mu^+ ightarrow \ e^+ \gamma X^0$. Familon
	¹⁵ CHANDA	88	ASTR	Sun, Majoron
		88	ASTR	3 , _
90	¹⁷ PICCIOTTO	88		$\pi \to e \nu X^0$, Majoron
90	¹⁸ GOLDMAN	87		$\mu \rightarrow e \gamma X^0$. Familon
90	¹⁹ BRYMAN	86 B		$\mu ightarrow \ e X^0$. Familon
90	²⁰ EICHLER	86		$\mu^+ ightarrow \ e^+ X^0$. Familon
90	²¹ JODIDIO	86		$\mu^+ ightarrow e^+ X^0$. Familon
	²² BALTRUSAIT.	85	MRK3	$ au ightarrow \ell X^0$. Familon
	²³ DICUS	83	COSM	$ u(hvy) \to \ \nu(light) X^0 $
	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	se the following data for average and a second seco	se the following data for averages 1 COLOMA 22A 90 2 AGUILAR-AR 21A 90 3 AGUILAR-AR 21A 90 4 AGUILAR-AR 20 90 5 BALDINI 20 90 6 BAYES 15 7 LATTANZI 13 8 LESSA 07 9 DIAZ 98 10 BOBRAKOV 91 95 11 ALBRECHT 90E 95 11 ALBRECHT 90E 95 11 ALBRECHT 90E 90 12 ATIYA 90 13 BALKE 88 90 14 BOLTON 88 15 CHANDA 88 16 CHOI 88 17 PICCIOTTO 88 90 18 GOLDMAN 87 90 19 BRYMAN 86B 90 20 EICHLER 86 90 21 JODIDIO 86 22 BALTRUSAIT85	se the following data for averages, fits, lim 1 COLOMA 22A BORX 90 2 AGUILAR-AR21A PIEN 90 3 AGUILAR-AR21A PIEN 90 4 AGUILAR-AR20 PIEN 90 5 BALDINI 20 MEG 90 6 BAYES 15 TWST 7 LATTANZI 13 COSM 8 LESSA 07 RVUE 9 DIAZ 98 THEO 10 BOBRAKOV 91 95 11 ALBRECHT 90E ARG 95 11 ALBRECHT 90E ARG 90 12 ATIYA 90 B787 90 13 BALKE 88 CNTR 90 14 BOLTON 88 CBOX 15 CHANDA 88 ASTR 16 CHOI 88 ASTR 16 CHOI 88 ASTR 17 PICCIOTTO 88 CNTR 90 18 GOLDMAN 87 CNTR 90 19 BRYMAN 86B RVUE 90 20 EICHLER 86 SPEC 90 21 JODIDIO 86 SPEC

 $^{^1}$ COLOMA 22A used the spectral data of Borexino Phase II to constrain the neutrino non-standard interaction with electrons mediated by a scalar or a pseudoscalar. Limits on the universal coupling to neutrinos and electrons between 2 \times 10 $^{-6}$ and 10 $^{-4}$ are obtained for $m_{\chi0} \lesssim$ 30–40 MeV. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.

⁴ ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the $\gamma\gamma$ invariant mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{\chi0}=70$ –800 keV.

⁵ ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the missing-mass mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma$ channel, using $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{\chi0}$ <800 keV.

⁶ ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the missing mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ channel, using $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{\chi^0}=200$ –900 keV.

 $^{^2}$ AGUILAR-AREVALO 21A quoted limit applies to $m_{\chi^0}=33.9$ MeV. Limits between 4.3×10^{-6} and 7.5×10^{-5} are obtained for 0 $< m_{\chi^0} < 33.9$ MeV. The lifetime of χ^0 is assumed to be long enough. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^3}$ AGUILAR-AREVALO 21A quoted limit applies to $m_{\chi 0}=85$ MeV. Limits between 5.2×10^{-8} and 1.4×10^{-6} are obtained for $0 < m_{\chi 0} < 120$ MeV, which improve the limits

- of PICCIOTTO 88 by an order of magnitude. The lifetime of X^0 is assumed to be long enough. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴ AGUILAR-AREVALO 20 obtained limits of order 10^{-5} for $m_{\chi^0}=47.8$ –95.1 MeV. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\chi^0}=75$ MeV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 5 BALDINI 20 obtained limits for $m_{\chi 0}=$ 20–45 MeV and $\tau_{\chi 0}<$ 40 ps, and supersedes BOLTON 88 for $m_{\chi 0}=$ 20–40 MeV. See their Fig. 17 for mass-dependent limits.
- 6 BAYES 15 limits are the average over $m_{\chi^0}=13\text{--}80$ MeV for the isotropic decay distribution of positrons. See their Fig. 4 and Table II for the mass-dependent limits as well as the dependence on the decay anisotropy. In particular, they find a limit $<58\times10^{-6}$ at 90% CL for massless familons and for the same asymmetry as normal muon decay, a case not covered by JODIDIO 86.
- ⁷LATTANZI 13 use WMAP 9 year data as well as X-ray and γ -ray observations to derive limits on decaying majoron dark matter. A limit on the decay width $\Gamma(X^0 \to \nu \overline{\nu})$ < 6.4 × 10⁻¹⁹ s⁻¹ at 95% CL is found if majorons make up all of the dark matter.
- ⁸LESSA 07 consider decays of the form Meson $\rightarrow \ell \nu$ Majoron and $\ell \rightarrow \ell' \nu \overline{\nu}$ Majoron and use existing data to derive limits on the neutrino-Majoron Yukawa couplings $g_{\alpha\beta}$ ($\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau$). Their best limits are $|g_{e\,\alpha}|^2<5.5\times10^{-6}$, $|g_{\mu\,\alpha}|^2<4.5\times10^{-5}$, $|g_{\tau\,\alpha}|^2<5.5\times10^{-2}$ at CL = 90%.
- ⁹ DIAZ 98 studied models of spontaneously broken lepton number with both singlet and triplet Higgses. They obtain limits on the parameter space from invisible decay $Z \to H^0 A^0 \to X^0 X^0 X^0 X^0 X^0$ and $e^+ e^- \to Z H^0$ with $H^0 \to X^0 X^0$.
- 10 BOBRAKOV 91 searched for anomalous magnetic interactions between polarized electrons expected from the exchange of a massless pseudoscalar boson (arion). A limit $x_e^2 < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ (95%CL) is found for the effective anomalous magneton parametrized as $x_e (G_F/8\pi\sqrt{2})^{1/2}$.
- ¹¹ ALBRECHT 90E limits are for B($au o \ell X^0$)/B($au o \ell
 u \overline{
 u}$). Valid for $m_{\chi 0} < 100$ MeV. The limits rise to 7.1% (for μ), 5.0% (for e) for $m_{\chi 0} = 500$ MeV.
- ¹² ATIYA 90 limit is for $m_{\chi^0}=0$. The limit B < 1×10^{-8} holds for $m_{\chi^0}<95$ MeV. For the reduction of the limit due to finite lifetime of χ^0 , see their Fig. 3.
- 13 BALKE 88 limits are for B($\mu^+ \to e^+ X^0$). Valid for $m_{\chi 0} <$ 80 MeV and $\tau_{\chi 0} > 10^{-8}$ sec.
- 14 BOLTON 88 limit corresponds to $F>3.1\times10^9$ GeV, which does not depend on the chirality property of the coupling.
- 15 CHANDA 88 find v_T < 10 MeV for the weak-triplet Higgs vacuum expectation value in Gelmini-Roncadelli model, and v_S > 5.8×10^6 GeV in the singlet Majoron model.
- ¹⁶ CHOI 88 used the observed neutrino flux from the supernova SN 1987A to exclude the neutrino Majoron Yukawa coupling h in the range $2\times 10^{-5} < h < 3\times 10^{-4}$ for the interaction $L_{\rm int} = \frac{1}{2} i h \overline{\psi}_{\nu}^{\rm C} \gamma_5 \psi_{\nu} \phi_{\rm X}$. For several families of neutrinos, the limit applies for $(\Sigma h_i^4)^{1/4}$.
- 17 PICCIOTTO 88 limit applies when $m_{\chi^0} <$ 55 MeV and $\tau_{\chi^0} >$ 2ns, and it decreases to 4 \times 10 $^{-7}$ at $m_{\chi^0} =$ 125 MeV, beyond which no limit is obtained.
- ¹⁸ GOLDMAN 87 limit corresponds to $F>2.9\times10^9$ GeV for the family symmetry breaking scale from the Lagrangian $L_{\rm int}=(1/F)\overline{\psi}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}$ (a+b γ_5) $\psi_e\partial_{\mu}\phi_{\chi^0}$ with $a^2+b^2=1$. This is not as sensitive as the limit $F>9.9\times10^9$ GeV derived from the search for $\mu^+\to e^+\chi^0$ by JODIDIO 86, but does not depend on the chirality property of the coupling.
- ¹⁹ Limits are for $\Gamma(\mu \to e X^0)/\Gamma(\mu \to e \nu \overline{\nu})$. Valid when $m_{\chi^0}=0$ –93.4, 98.1–103.5 MeV.

- 20 EICHLER 86 looked for $\mu^+ \to e^+ X^0$ followed by $X^0 \to e^+ e^-$. Limits on the branching fraction depend on the mass and and lifetime of X^0 . The quoted limits are valid when $\tau_{X^0} \lesssim 3. \times 10^{-10}\, \mathrm{s}$ if the decays are kinematically allowed.
- ²¹ JODIDIO 86 corresponds to $F>9.9\times 10^9$ GeV for the family symmetry breaking scale with the parity-conserving effective Lagrangian $L_{\rm int}=(1/F)~\overline{\psi}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{e}\partial^{\mu}\phi_{\chi 0}$.
- ²² BALTRUSAITIS 85 search for light Goldstone boson(X^0) of broken U(1). CL = 95% limits are B($au o \mu^+ X^0$)/B($au o \mu^+ \nu \nu$) <0.125 and B($au o e^+ X^0$)/B($au o e^+ \nu \nu$) <0.04. Inferred limit for the symmetry breaking scale is m >3000 TeV.
- ²³ The primordial heavy neutrino must decay into ν and familon, f_A , early so that the red-shifted decay products are below critical density, see their table. In addition, $K \to \pi f_A$ and $\mu \to e f_A$ are unseen. Combining these excludes $m_{\rm heavy}\nu$ between 5×10^{-5} and 5×10^{-4} MeV (μ decay) and $m_{\rm heavy}\nu$ between 5×10^{-5} and 0.1 MeV (K-decay).

Majoron Searches in Neutrinoless Double β Decay

Limits are for the half-life of neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay with a Majoron emission. No experiment currently claims any such evidence. Only the best or comparable limits for each isotope are reported.

$t_{1/2}$	(10 ²¹ yr)	CL%	ISOTOPE	TRANSITION	METHOD		DOCUMENT ID	
>7	200	90	¹²⁸ Te		CNTR	1	BERNATOW	92
• •	• We do	not use th	e followin	g data for av	erages, fits, limits,	etc.	• • •	
> (540	90	$^{76}\mathrm{Ge}$	$0 \nu 1 \chi$	GERDA	2	AGOSTINI	22
>43	300	90	¹³⁶ Xe	$0 u 1 \chi$	EXO-200	3	AL-KHARUSI	21
>	4.4	90	100_{Mo}	$0 u 1 \chi$	NEMO-3	4	ARNOLD	19
>	37	90	⁸² Se	$0 u 1 \chi$	NEMO-3	5	ARNOLD	18
> 4	420	90	76_{Ge}	$0 u 1 \chi$	GERDA		AGOSTINI	15A
> 4	400	90	100 Mo	$0 u 1 \chi$	NEMO-3	7	ARNOLD	15
>12	200	90	136 Xe	$0 u 1 \chi$	EXO-200		ALBERT	14 A
>20	500	90	136 Xe	$0 u 1 \chi$	KamLAND-Zen	9	GANDO	12
>	16	90	$^{130}\mathrm{Te}$	$0 u 1 \chi$	NEMO-3		ARNOLD	11
>	1.9	90	^{96}Zr	$2\nu1\chi$	NEMO-3	11	ARGYRIADES	10
>	1.52	90	^{150}Nd	$0 u 1 \chi$	NEMO-3	12	ARGYRIADES	09
>	27	90	$^{100}\mathrm{Mo}$	$0 u 1 \chi$	NEMO-3	13	ARNOLD	06
>	15	90	⁸² Se	$0 u 1 \chi$	NEMO-3	14	ARNOLD	06
>	14	90	$^{100}\mathrm{Mo}$	$0 u 1 \chi$	NEMO-3	15	ARNOLD	04
>	12	90	⁸² Se	$0 \nu 1 \chi$	NEMO-3	16	ARNOLD	04
>	2.2	90	$^{130}\mathrm{Te}$	$0 u 1 \chi$	Cryog. det.	17	ARNABOLDI	03
>	0.9	90	130 _{Te}	$0 u2\chi$	Cryog. det.	18	ARNABOLDI	03
>	8	90	$^{116}\mathrm{Cd}$	$0 u 1 \chi$	CdWO ₄ scint.	19	DANEVICH	03
>	0.8	90	$^{116}\mathrm{Cd}$	$0 \nu 2 \chi$	CdWO ₄ scint.	20	DANEVICH	03
> !	500	90	$^{136}\mathrm{Xe}$	$0\nu1\chi$	Liquid Xe Scint.	21	BERNABEI	02 D
>	5.8	90	$^{100}\mathrm{Mo}$	$0\nu1\chi$	ELEGANT V	22	FUSHIMI	02
>	0.32	90	$100 \mathrm{Mo}$	$0\nu1\chi$	Liq. Ar ioniz.	23	ASHITKOV	01
>	0.0035	90	160 Gd	$0\nu1\chi$	¹⁶⁰ Gd ₂ SiO ₅ :Ce	24	DANEVICH	01
>	0.013	90	160 Gd	$0\nu2\chi$	¹⁶⁰ Gd ₂ SiO ₅ :Ce	25	DANEVICH	01
>	2.3	90	⁸² Se	$0\nu1\chi$	NEMO 2	26	ARNOLD	00

>	0.31	90	⁹⁶ Zr	$0 u1\chi$	NEMO 2	²⁷ ARNOLD	00
>	0.63	90	82 Se	$0 \nu 2 \chi$	NEMO 2	²⁸ ARNOLD	00
>	0.063	90	⁹⁶ Zr	$0 \nu 2 \chi$	NEMO 2	²⁸ ARNOLD	00
>	0.16	90	$^{100} \mathrm{Mo}$	$0 \nu 2 \chi$	NEMO 2	²⁸ ARNOLD	00
>	2.4		⁸² Se	$0 u1\chi$	NEMO 2	²⁹ ARNOLD	98
>	7.2		$^{136}\mathrm{Xe}$	$0 u2\chi$	TPC	³⁰ LUESCHER	98
>	7.91		76_{Ge}		SPEC	³¹ GUENTHER	96
>	17	90	$^{76}\mathrm{Ge}$		CNTR	BECK	93

- 1 BERNATOWICZ 92 studied double- β decays of 128 Te and 130 Te, and found the ratio $\tau(^{130}\text{Te})/\tau(^{128}\text{Te})=(3.52\pm0.11)\times10^{-4}$ in agreement with relatively stable theoretical predictions. The bound is based on the requirement that Majoron-emitting decay cannot be larger than the observed double-beta rate of ^{128}Te of $(7.7\pm0.4)\times10^{24}$ year. We calculated 90% CL limit as $(7.7-1.28\times0.4=7.2)\times10^{24}$.
- 2 AGOSTINI 22 use 32.8 kg·yr of GERDA phase 2 data to derive a limit of $g_{\nu\chi} < 1.8$ –4.4 \times 10^{-5} on the neutrino-Majoron coupling. The range reflects the author's evaluation of the spread of nuclear matrix elements.
- 3 AL-KHARUSI 21 utilize the complete dataset of the EXO-200 experiment, corresponding to an exposure of 234 kg yr, to place a limit on the one Majoron mode of the neutrinoless double beta decay of 136 Xe. Several limits are reported, the one given here corresponds to a spectral index of 1, resulting in a limit of $g_{\nu\chi} < 0.4$ –0.9 \times 10 $^{-5}$ on the Majoronneutrino coupling constant. The range reflects the spread of the nuclear matrix elements.
- ⁴ARNOLD 19 uses the NEMO-3 tracking calorimeter to determine limits for the Majoron emitting double beta decay, with spectral index n=3. The limit corresponds to the range of the g_{ee} coupling of 0.013–0.035; depending on the nuclear matrix elements used.
- 5 ARNOLD 18 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The limit corresponds to $\langle g_{ee} \rangle < 3.2-8.0 \times 10^{-5}$; the range corresponds to different nuclear matrix element calculations.
- 6 AGOSTINI 15A analyze a 20.3 kg yr of data set of the GERDA calorimeter to determine $g_{\nu\chi} < 3.4 8.7 \times 10^{-5}$ on the Majoron-neutrino coupling constant. The range reflects the spread of the nuclear matrix elements.
- 7 ARNOLD 15 use the NEMO-3 tracking calorimeter with 3.43 kg yr exposure to determine the limit on Majoron emission. The limit corresponds to $g_{\nu\chi} < 1.6 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$. The spread reflects different nuclear matrix elements. Supersedes ARNOLD 06.
- ⁸ ALBERT 14A utilize 100 kg yr of exposure of the EXO-200 tracking calorimeter to place a limit on the $g_{\nu\chi} < 0.8$ –1.7 \times 10⁻⁵ on the Majoron-neutrino coupling constant. The range reflects the spread of the nuclear matrix elements.
- ⁹ GANDO 12 use the KamLAND-Zen detector to obtain the limit on the $0\nu\chi$ decay with Majoron emission. It implies that the coupling constant $g_{\nu\chi}<0.8$ – 1.6×10^{-5} depending on the nuclear matrix elements used.
- 10 ARNOLD 11 use the NEMO-3 detector to obtain the reported limit on Majoron emission. It implies that the coupling constant $g_{\nu\chi} < 0.6 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ depending on the nuclear matrix element used. Supercedes ARNABOLDI 03.
- 11 ARGYRIADES 10 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector and 96 Zr to derive the reported limit. No limit for the Majoron electron coupling is given.
- 12 ARGYRIADES 09 use 150 Nd data taken with the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The reported limit corresponds to $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < 1.7-3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ using a range of nuclear matrix elements that include the effect of nuclear deformation.
- elements that include the effect of nuclear deformation. 13 ARNOLD 06 use 100 Mo data taken with the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The reported limit corresponds to $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < (0.4–1.8) \times 10^{-4}$ using a range of matrix element calculations. Superseded by ARNOLD 15.

- 14 NEMO-3 tracking calorimeter is used in ARNOLD 06 . Reported half-life limit for 82 Se corresponds to $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < (0.66-1.9) \times 10^{-4}$ using a range of matrix element calculations. Supersedes ARNOLD 04.
- 15 ARNOLD 04 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The limit corresponds to $\langle g_{\nu\chi}\rangle<(0.5\text{--}0.9)10^{-4}$ using the matrix elements of SIMKOVIC 99, STOICA 01 and CIVITARESE 03. Superseded by ARNOLD 06.
- 16 ARNOLD 04 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The limit corresponds to $\langle g_{\nu\chi}\rangle < (0.7\text{--}1.6)10^{-4}$ using the matrix elements of SIMKOVIC 99, STOICA 01 and CIVITARESE 03.
- 17 Supersedes ALESSANDRELLO 00. Array of TeO $_2$ crystals in high resolution cryogenic calorimeter. Some enriched in 130 Te. Derive $\langle g_{\nu\chi}\rangle~<~17$ –33 $\times~10^{-5}$ depending on matrix element.
- 18 Supersedes ALESSANDRELLO 00. Cryogenic calorimeter search.
- 19 Limit for the $0\nu\chi$ decay with Majoron emission of 116 Cd using enriched CdWO₄ scintillators. $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < 4.6 8.1 \times 10^{-5}$ depending on the matrix element. Supersedes DANFVICH 00.
- 20 Limit for the $0\nu2\chi$ decay of 116 Cd. Supersedes DANEVICH 00.
- ²¹ BERNABEI 02D obtain limit for $0\nu\chi$ decay with Majoron emission of 136 Xe using liquid Xe scintillation detector. They derive $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle <$ 2.0–3.0 \times 10⁻⁵ with several nuclear matrix elements.
- Replaces TANAKA 93. FUSHIMI 02 derive half-life limit for the $0\nu\chi$ decay by means of tracking calorimeter ELEGANT V. Considering various matrix element calculations, a range of limits for the Majoron-neutrino coupling is given: $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < (6.3-360) \times 10^{-5}$.
- 23 ASHITKOV 01 result for $0 \nu \chi$ of 100 Mo is less stringent than ARNOLD 00.
- 24 DANEVICH 01 obtain limit for the $0\nu\chi$ decay with Majoron emission of $^{160}{\rm Gd}$ using ${\rm Gd_2SiO_5:Ce}$ crystal scintillators.
- ²⁵ DANEVICH 01 obtain limit for the $0\nu2\chi$ decay with 2 Majoron emission of 160 Gd.
- 26 ARNOLD 00 reports limit for the $0\nu\chi$ decay with Majoron emission derived from tracking calorimeter NEMO 2. Using 82 Se source: $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$. Matrix element from GUENTHER 96.
- 27 Using 96 Zr source: $\langle g_{
 u\chi} \rangle < 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$. Matrix element from ARNOLD 99.
- 28 ARNOLD 00 reports limit for the $0\nu2\chi$ decay with two Majoron emission derived from tracking calorimeter NEMO 2.
- 29 ARNOLD 98 determine the limit for $0\nu_\chi$ decay with Majoron emission of 82 Se using the NEMO-2 tracking detector. They derive $\langle g_{\nu_\chi} \rangle <$ 2.3–4.3 \times 10^{-4} with several nuclear matrix elements.
- 30 LUESCHER 98 report a limit for the 0ν decay with Majoron emission of 136 Xe using Xe TPC. This result is more stringent than BARABASH 89. Using the matrix elements of ENGEL 88, they obtain a limit on $\langle g_{\nu\chi}\rangle$ of 2.0 \times 10 $^{-4}$.
- 31 See Table 1 in GUENTHER 96 for limits on the Majoron coupling in different models.

Invisible A⁰ (Axion) MASS LIMITS from Astrophysics and Cosmology

 $v_1=v_2$ is usually assumed ($v_i=v_1$) and TURNER 90. In the comment lines below, D and K refer to DFSZ and KSVZ axion types, discussed in the above minireview.

VALUE (eV) _____ CL% ____ DOCUMENT ID ____ TECN __COMMENT_

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

¹ LAGUE 22 COSM Ultralight axion DM

none $0.15-1.5 \times 10^{-12}$ > 1.4×10^{-21} < 1.9×10^4	95 95	² YUAN ³ BANIK ⁴ BAUMHOLZ ⁵ CROON	21	ASTR	warm dark matter
1 2 2 7 10 – 13		⁶ FUJIKURA ⁷ MARTINCAM. ⁸ NG		ASTR ASTR	Microlensing SN 1987A, Λ decay
none $1.3-2.7 \times 10^{-13}$ > 2 $\times 10^{-20}$	95	9 ROGERS	21	ASTR	•
$> 2 \times 10^{-20}$ none $0.8-6.5 \times 10^{-13}$	95 95	¹⁰ TSUKADA	21	ASTR	Lyman- α BH superradiance
$> 2 \times 10^{-17}$	95	¹¹ IRSIC	21 20		Isocurvature fluctua- tions
		¹² PODDAR	20	ASTR	Compact binary systems
$> 2.1 \times 10^{-21}$		¹³ SCHUTZ	20	COSM	Fuzzy DM
none $6.4-8.0 \times 10^{-13}$	95	¹⁴ SUN	20	ASTR	BH superradiance
none $2.9-4.6 \times 10^{-21}$		15 DAVOUDIASL	19	ASTR	BH superradiance
none 10^{-21} -6 × 10^{-20}		¹⁶ MARSH	19	ASTR	Fuzzy DM
none $1.1 - 4 \times 10^{-13}$	95	¹⁷ PALOMBA	19	ASTR	BH superradiance
< 0.06		¹⁸ CHANG	18	ASTR	
< 0.67	95	¹⁹ ARCHIDIACO.	13A		K, hot dark matter
none $0.73 imes 10^5$		²⁰ CADAMURO	11		D abundance
<105	90	²¹ DERBIN	11A		D, solar axion
		²² ANDRIAMON.	10		K, solar axions
< 0.72	95	²³ HANNESTAD	10		K, hot dark matter
		²⁴ ANDRIAMON.		CAST	•
<191	90	²⁵ DERBIN	09A		K, solar axions
<334	95	²⁶ KEKEZ	09	HPGE	•
< 1.02	95	²⁷ HANNESTAD			K, hot dark matter
< 1.2	95	²⁸ HANNESTAD	07		K, hot dark matter
< 0.42	95	²⁹ MELCHIORRI			K, hot dark matter
< 1.05	95	30 HANNESTAD 31 MOROI	05A		K, hot dark matter
3 to 20		32 BORISOV	98		K, hot dark matter
< 0.007 < 4		33 KACHELRIESS	97		D, neutron star D, neutron star cooling
$< (0.5-6) \times 10^{-3}$		34 KEIL	97		SN 1987A
< 0.018		35 RAFFELT	97 95		D, red giant
< 0.010		³⁶ ALTHERR			D, red giants, white
0.010		37 CHANG			dwarfs
< 0.01		WANG	93	ASTR	•
< 0.01 < 0.03		WANG	92 92c	ASTR ASTR	D, C-O burning
< 0.03 none 3–8		38 BERSHADY	92C 91	ASTR	D, K,
none 3–6			91	ASTR	intergalactic light
< 10		³⁹ KIM	91 C	COSM	D, K, mass density of the universe, super- symmetry
		⁴⁰ RAFFELT	91 B	ASTR	
$< 1 \times 10^{-3}$		⁴¹ RESSELL	91	ASTR	
none 10 ⁻³ -3		BURROWS	90	ASTR	, 0
· · · · ·		⁴² ENGEL	90	ASTR	
< 0.02		⁴³ RAFFELT	90 D	ASTR	D, red giant
$< 1 \times 10^{-3}$		⁴⁴ BURROWS	89	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 22

$<(1.4-10)\times10^{-3}$	⁴⁵ ERICSON	89	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
$< 3.6 \times 10^{-4}$	⁴⁶ MAYLE	89	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 12	CHANDA	88	ASTR	D, Sun
$< 1 \times 10^{-3}$	RAFFELT	88	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
	⁴⁷ RAFFELT	88 B	ASTR	red giant
< 0.07	FRIEMAN	87	ASTR	D, red giant
< 0.7	⁴⁸ RAFFELT	87	ASTR	K, red giant
< 2-5	TURNER	87	COSM	K, thermal production
< 0.01	⁴⁹ DEARBORN	86	ASTR	D, red giant
< 0.06	RAFFELT	86	ASTR	D, red giant
< 0.7	⁵⁰ RAFFELT	86	ASTR	K, red giant
< 0.03	RAFFELT	86 B	ASTR	D, white dwarf
< 1	⁵¹ KAPLAN	85	ASTR	K, red giant
< 0.003-0.02	IWAMOTO	84	ASTR	D, K, neutron star
$> 1 \times 10^{-5}$	ABBOTT	83	COSM	D,K, mass density of the universe
$> 1 \times 10^{-5}$	DINE	83	COSM	
< 0.04	ELLIS	83 B	ASTR	D, red giant
$> 1 \times 10^{-5}$	PRESKILL	83	COSM	D,K, mass density of the universe
< 0.1	BARROSO	82	ASTR	D, red giant
< 1	⁵² FUKUGITA	82	ASTR	D, stellar cooling
< 0.07	FUKUGITA	82B	ASTR	D, red giant
1				

 1 LAGUE 22 used the BOSS galaxy-clustering data to set limits on the abundance of ultralight axion dark matter. When combined with the CMB data, they obtained $\Omega_{\mbox{\it A}0}\,h^2 < 0.004$ for $m_{\mbox{\it A}0} = 10^{-31} - 10^{-26}$ eV. See their Figs. 1 and 15 for mass-dependent limits.

²YUAN 22A use the data of Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo's first three observing runs to search for stochastic GW background produced by scalar bosonic clouds formed by the BH superradiant instability. They set the limit, taking into account all the unstable modes.

 3 BANIK 21 use the subhalo mass function inferred from the analyses of the GD-1 and Pal 5 stellar streams. The limit is strengthened to 2.2×10^{-21} eV when adding dwarf satellite counts.

⁴ BAUMHOLZER 21 study the freeze-in production of axion dark matter through couplings to photons, and set the limit using Lyman- α forest data and the observed number of Milky Way subhalos.

 5 CROON 21 study the supernova cooling effect of the axion-muon coupling, taking account of semi-Compton scattering and muon-proton bremsstrahlung, as well as the loop-induced axion-photon coupling, and exclude the range of $g_{A\mu\mu}\simeq 7\times 10^{-3}$ – 2×10^{-10} for $m_{A^0}<0.5$ GeV. See their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits.

 6 FUJIKURA 21 use the EROS-2 survey and the Subaru HSC observation to set limits on spherically symmetric axion clumps, taking account of the finite lens and source size effects. $f_{A^0} \gtrsim 10^{12}$ GeV can be constrained depending on the fraction of the axion dark matter collapsed into clumps, and the clump densities. See their Figs. 7–10 for the limits.

⁷ MARTINCAMALICH 21 considered axion emission from a supernova core through the Λ hyperon decay, and set the limit on B($\Lambda \to nA^0$) $\lesssim 8 \times 10^{-9}$, or equivalently, $f_{A^0}/C_{sd} \gtrsim 2.6 \times 10^9$ GeV in terms of the flavor-violating axion coupling to the down and strange quarks.

⁸ NG 21 use the binary black holes reported by LIGO and Virgo to determine the black hole spin distribution at formation and the scalar boson mass simultaneously, neglecting the boson self-interaction.

- 9 ROGERS 21 set the limit by using a framework involving Bayesian emulator optimization to accurately forward-model the Lyman- α flux power spectrum, and comparing this with small-scale data to constrain the predicted suppression of cosmic structure growth.
- 10 TSUKADA 21 look for a stochastic GW background produced by extragalactic BH-hidden photon cloud systems through the superradiant instability. They assume a uniform spin distribution at birth of isolated BHs from 0 to 1.
- 11 IRSIC 20 used the Lyman-lpha forest constraint on small-scale isocurvature perturbation to derive limits on the axion mass and decay constant, assuming that the axion makes up all dark matter in the post-inflationary scenario. See their Fig. 1 for other astrophysical limits as well as the limits on the case of the temperature-dependent axion mass.
- 12 PODDAR 20 used the observed decay in orbital period of four compact binary systems to derive a limit on the emission of axions with $m_{A^0} < 1 \times 10^{-19}$ eV, assuming they couple to nucleons and the strong CP phase vanishes at the potential minimum. They exclude $f_{A^0} \lesssim 10^{11}$ GeV for such axions.
- ¹³ SCHUTZ 20 set a limit on fuzzy dark matter based on the existing limits for warm dark matter derived from the inferred subhalo mass function.
- 14 SUN 20 look for quasimonochromatic gravitational waves emitted from boson clouds around the Cygnus X-1 black hole. The quoted limit assume the black hole age of 5×10^6 years. A mass range of $9.6\text{--}15.5\times 10^{-13}$ eV is disfavored when repeated induction of bosenova for string axions with decay constant $f_{A^0}\simeq 10^{15}$ GeV prevents the superradiance from being saturated.
- 15 DAVOUDIASL 19 used the observed data of M87* by the Event Horizon Telescope to set the limit. A mass range of $0.85-4.6 \times 10^{-21}$ eV is disfavored for a spin-1 boson.
- MARSH 19 considered heating of star clusters due to the stochastic oscillations of the core and granular quasiparticles in the outer halo. The limit was derived by requiring the survival of the old star cluster in Eridanus II, where the lower end is set by the validity of diffusion approximation. The effect of tidal stripping is also discussed for lower masses.
- 17 PALOMBA 19 used the LIGO O2 dataset to derive limits on nearly monochromatic gravitational waves emitted by boson clouds formed around a stellar-mass black hole. They exclude boson masses in a range of 1.1×10^{-13} and 4×10^{-13} eV for high initial black hole spin, and 1.2×10^{-13} and 1.8×10^{-13} eV for moderate spin. See their Figs. 2 and 3 for limits based on various values of black hole initial spin, boson cloud age, and distance.
- 18 CHANG 18 update axion bremsstrahlung emission rates in nucleon-nucleon collisions, shifting the excluded mass range to higher values. They rule out the hadronic axion with mass up to a few hundred eV, closing the hadronic axion window. See their Fig. 11 for results based on several different choices of the temperature and density profile of the proto-neutron star.
- ¹⁹ ARCHIDIACONO 13A is analogous to HANNESTAD 05A. The limit is based on the CMB temperature power spectrum of the Planck data, the CMB polarization from the WMAP 9-yr data, the matter power spectrum from SDSS-DR7, and the local Hubble parameter measurement by the Carnegie Hubble program.
- 20 CADAMURO 11 use the deuterium abundance to show that the m_{A^0} range 0.7 eV 300 keV is excluded for axions, complementing HANNESTAD 10.
- 21 DERBIN 11A look for solar axions produced by Compton and bremsstrahlung processes, in the resonant excitation of $^{169}\mathrm{Tm},$ constraining the axion-electron \times axion nucleon couplings.
- ²² ANDRIAMONJE 10 search for solar axions produced from ⁷Li (478 keV) and D(p,γ)³He (5.5 MeV) nuclear transitions. They show limits on the axion-photon coupling for two reference values of the axion-nucleon coupling for $m_A < 100$ eV.
- 23 This is an update of HANNESTAD 08 including 7 years of WMAP data.
- ²⁴ ANDRIAMONJE 09 look for solar axions produced from the thermally excited 14.4 keV level of ⁵⁷ Fe. They show limits on the axion-nucleon \times axion-photon coupling assuming $m_A < 0.03$ eV.

- 25 DERBIN 09A look for Primakoff-produced solar axions in the resonant excitation of 169 Tm, constraining the axion-photon imes axion-nucleon couplings.
- ²⁶ KEKEZ 09 look at axio-electric effect of solar axions in HPGe detectors. The one-loop axion-electron coupling for hadronic axions is used.
- 27 This is an update of HANNESTAD 07 including 5 years of WMAP data.
- 28 This is an update of HANNESTAD 05A with new cosmological data, notably WMAP (3 years) and baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO). Lyman- α data are left out, in contrast to HANNESTAD 05A and MELCHIORRI 07A, because it is argued that systematic errors are large. It uses Bayesian statistics and marginalizes over a possible neutrino hot dark matter component.
- 29 MELCHIORRI 07A is analogous to HANNESTAD 05A, with updated cosmological data, notably WMAP (3 years). Uses Bayesian statistics and marginalizes over a possible neutrino hot dark matter component. Leaving out Lyman-lpha data, a conservative limit is
- $^{
 m 30}$ HANNESTAD 05A puts an upper limit on the mass of hadronic axion because in this mass range it would have been thermalized and contribute to the hot dark matter component of the universe. The limit is based on the CMB anisotropy from WMAP, SDSS large scale structure, Lyman α , and the prior Hubble parameter from HST Key Project. A χ^2 statistic is used. Neutrinos are assumed not to contribute to hot dark matter.
- 31 MOROI 98 points out that a KSVZ axion of this mass range (see CHANG 93) can be a viable hot dark matter of Universe, as long as the model-dependent $g_{A\gamma}$ is accidentally small enough as originally emphasized by KAPLAN 85; see Fig. 1.
- 32 BORISOV 97 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1 \times 10^{-13}$ from the photoproduction of axions off of magnetic fields in the outer layers of neutron stars.
- ³³ KACHELRIESS 97 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1 \times 10^{-10}$ from the production of axions in strongly magnetized neutron stars. The authors also quote a stronger limit, $g_{ae} < 9 \times 10^{-13}$ which is strongly dependent on the strength of the magnetic field in white dwarfs.
- $^{34}\,\mathrm{KEIL}$ 97 uses new measurements of the axial-vector coupling strength of nucleons, as well as a reanalysis of many-body effects and pion-emission processes in the core of the neutron star, to update limits on the invisible-axion mass.
- $^{
 m 35}\,{\sf RAFFELT}$ 95 reexamined the constraints on axion emission from red giants due to the axion-electron coupling. They improve on DEARBORN 86 by taking into proper account degeneracy effects in the bremsstrahlung rate. The limit comes from requiring the red giant core mass at helium ignition not to exceed its standard value by more than 5% (0.025 solar masses).
- ³⁶ ALTHERR 94 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1.5 \times 10^{-13}$, from energy loss via axion emission.
- 37 CHANG 93 updates ENGEL 90 bound with the Kaplan-Manohar ambiguity in $z=m_{_{I\!I}}/m_{_{I\!J}}$ (see the Note on the Quark Masses in the Quark Particle Listings). It leaves the window $f_A = 3 \times 10^5 - 3 \times 10^6$ GeV open. The constraint from Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis is satisfied in this window as well.
- 38 BERSHADY 91 searched for a line at wave length from 3100–8300 Å expected from 2γ decays of relic thermal axions in intergalactic light of three rich clusters of galaxies.
- $^{39}\,\mathsf{KIM}$ 91C argues that the bound from the mass density of the universe will change drastically for the supersymmetric models due to the entropy production of saxion (scalar component in the axionic chiral multiplet) decay. Note that it is an upperbound rather than a lowerbound.
- $^{
 m 40}$ RAFFELT 91B argue that previous SN 1987A bounds must be relaxed due to corrections to nucleon bremsstrahlung processes.
- ⁴¹ RESSELL 91 uses absence of any intracluster line emission to set limit. ⁴² ENGEL 90 rule out $10^{-10} \lesssim g_{AN} \lesssim 10^{-3}$, which for a hadronic axion with EMC motivated axion-nucleon couplings corresponds to 2.5×10^{-3} eV $\lesssim m_{A^0} \lesssim 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ 10^4 eV. The constraint is loose in the middle of the range, i.e. for $g_{AN}~\sim~10^{-6}$.

⁴³RAFFELT 90D is a re-analysis of DEARBORN 86.

Search for Relic Invisible Axions

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Limits are for the dimensionless quantity $[G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A^0}]^2 \rho_A$ where $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ denotes the axion two-photon coupling, $L_{\rm int} = -\frac{G_{A\gamma\gamma}}{4} \phi_A F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = G_{A\gamma\gamma} \phi_A {\bf E} \cdot {\bf B}$, and ρ_A is the axion energy density near the earth, unless otherwise stated. Notice that for QCD axions $G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A^0}$ does not depend on m_{A^0} . For the reference values $m_{A^0} = 1~\mu {\rm eV}$, $G_{A\gamma\gamma} = 3.9 \times 10^{-16}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ (that would apply to KSVZ axions at that mass), and $\rho_A = 300~{\rm MeV/cm}^3$ one finds $[G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A^0}]^2 \rho_A = 3.5 \times 10^{-43}$.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT					
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$										
$< 4.7 \times 10^{-5}$	95	¹ ADE	22	CMB	$m_{A0} = 0.16 - 4.8 \times 10^{-20} \text{ eV}$					
$< 1.0 \times 10^{-41}$	90	² ALESINI	22	QUAX	$m_{A0}^{7} = 42.8210 - 42.8223 \ \mu eV$					
$< 7 \times 10^{-33}$	95	³ BATTYE	22		$m_{\Delta 0} = 4.2 - 60 \ \mu \text{eV}$					
$< 5.8 \times 10^{-41}$	95	⁴ CHANG	22	TASE	$m_{\Delta 0} = 19.4687 – 19.8436 \ \mu eV$					
$< 3.2 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁵ FERGUSON	22	CMB	$m_{\Delta^0} = 0.047 - 4.7 \times 10^{-20} \text{ eV}$					
$< 8.4 \times 10^{-43}$	90	⁶ LEE	22	CASK	, .					
$< 3.6 \times 10^{-43}$	90	⁷ YOON	22	CASK	$m_{\Delta 0}^{\gamma} = 19.764 – 19.890 \ \mu eV$					
$< 1.03 \times 10^{-35}$	95	⁸ ZHOU	22	ASTR	$m_{A0} = 3.18 - 4.35 \ \mu eV$					
$< 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	95	⁹ ADE	21	CMB	$m_{A0} = 0.16 - 4.8 \times 10^{-20} \text{ eV}$					
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-41}$	90	¹⁰ ALESINI	21	QUAX	$m_{A0}^{A} = 43 \ \mu \text{eV}$					
$< 1 \times 10^{-44}$	90	¹¹ BARTRAM	21A		$m_{A0} = 3.3-4.2 \ \mu \text{eV}$					
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-29}$	95	¹² DEVLIN	21		$m_{\Delta 0} = 2.7906 - 2.7914 \text{ neV}$					
$< 1.4 \times 10^{-23}$	95	¹³ GRAMOLIN	21	SHFT	$m_{\Delta 0} = 0.012 - 12 \text{ neV}$					
$< 7 \times 10^{-43}$	90	¹⁴ KWON	21	CASK	$m_{A0} = 10.7126 - 10.7186 \ \mu eV$					
$< 4.6 \times 10^{-40}$	95	¹⁵ MELCON	21	RADE	$m_{\Delta 0} = 34.6738 - 34.6771 \ \mu eV$					
$< 3.5 \times 10^{-28}$	95	¹⁶ SALEMI	21	ABRA	/ 1					
<3 \times 10 ⁻³	95	¹⁷ THOMSON	21		$m_{A0} = 7.44 - 19.38 \text{ neV}$					
<1 \times 10 ⁻²	95	¹⁷ THOMSON	21		$m_{\Delta 0} = 74.4 - 74.5 \ \mu eV$					
		¹⁸ YUAN	21	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 10^{-20} - 10^{-17} \text{ eV}$					
					A					

Page 26

⁴⁴ The region $m_{\Delta 0} \gtrsim$ 2 eV is also allowed.

⁴⁵ ERICSON 89 considered various nuclear corrections to axion emission in a supernova core, and found a reduction of the previous limit (MAYLE 88) by a large factor.

⁴⁶ MAYLE 89 limit based on naive quark model couplings of axion to nucleons. Limit based on couplings motivated by EMC measurements is 2–4 times weaker. The limit from axion-electron coupling is weak: see HATSUDA 88B.

⁴⁷ RAFFELT 88B derives a limit for the energy generation rate by exotic processes in helium-burning stars $\epsilon <$ 100 erg g $^{-1}$ s $^{-1}$, which gives a firmer basis for the axion limits based on red giant cooling.

⁴⁸ RAFFELT 87 also gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 1 \times 10^{-10} \; {\rm GeV}^{-1}$.

 $^{^{49}}$ DEARBORN 86 also gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 1.4 \times 10^{-11}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$.

 $^{^{50}}$ RAFFELT 86 gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 1.1 \times 10^{-10}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ from red giants and $< 2.4 \times 10^{-9}$ __ GeV $^{-1}$ from the sun.

 $^{^{51}}$ KAPLAN 85 says $m_{A^0} <$ 23 eV is allowed for a special choice of model parameters.

 $^{^{52}}$ FUKUGITA 82 gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 2.3 \times 10^{-10} \; {\rm GeV}^{-1}$.

<1.9	\times 10 ⁻⁴⁴	90	¹⁹ BRAINE	20	ADMX	$m_{A0} = 2.81 - 3.31 \ \mu eV$
<2	\times 10 ⁻³⁵	90	²⁰ CRISOSTO	20	SLIC	$m_{A^0} = 180.07 - 180.15 \text{ neV}$
<4	\times 10 ⁻³⁷	95	²¹ DARLING	20A	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 4.2 - 165.6 \ \mu \text{eV}$
<3.2	\times 10 ⁻³⁶	95	²² FOSTER	20	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 5-7, 10-11 \mu \text{eV}$
< 5.7	$\times 10^{-41}$	90	²³ JEONG	20	CASK	$m_{A0}^{A} = 13.0 - 13.9 \ \mu eV$
			²⁴ KENNEDY	20		$m_{S0}^{A^{\circ}} = 10^{-19} - 10^{-17} \text{ eV}$
<4.8	$\times 10^{-42}$	90	²⁵ LEE	20A	CASK	3
<2.6	$\times10^{-39}$	95	²⁶ ALESINI	19		$m_{A0}^{A} = 37.5 \ \mu \text{eV}$
<6	$\times 10^{-5}$		²⁷ FUJITA	19		$m_{A^0}^{A^0} < 10^{-21} \text{ eV}$
<2	\times 10 ⁻²⁷	95	²⁸ OUELLET	19A		$m_{A^0} = 0.31 - 8.3 \text{ neV}$
<7.3	\times 10 ⁻⁴⁰	90	²⁹ BOUTAN	18		$m_{A^0} = 17.38 – 17.57 \ \mu eV$
<1.8	\times 10 ⁻³⁹	90	²⁹ BOUTAN	18		$m_{A^0} = 21.03 - 23.98 \ \mu \text{eV}$
<3.4	\times 10 ⁻³⁹	90	²⁹ BOUTAN	18		$m_{A^0}^2 = 29.67 - 29.79 \ \mu eV$
<1.4	$\times 10^{-44}$	90	30 DU	18		$m_{A^0} = 2.66 - 2.81 \ \mu eV$
<2.87	$\times 10^{-42}$	90	³¹ ZHONG	18		$m_{A^0} = 23.15 - 24 \ \mu \text{eV}$
			³² BRANCA	17		$m_{S^0} = 3.5 - 3.9 \text{ peV}$
<3	$\times 10^{-42}$	90	³³ BRUBAKER	17		$m_{A^0} = 23.55 - 24.0 \ \mu eV$
<1.0	\times 10 ⁻²⁹	95	³⁴ CHOI	17		$m_{A0}^{7} = 24.7 - 29.1 \ \mu eV$
<8.6	\times 10 ⁻⁴²	90	³⁵ HOSKINS	16		$m_{A0}^{7} = 3.36 - 3.52 \text{ or}$
			26			3.55–3.69 $\mu {\rm eV}$
			³⁶ BECK	13		$m_{A^0} = 0.11 \text{ meV}$
< 3.5	$\times 10^{-43}$		³⁷ HOSKINS	11	ADMX	$m_{A0} = 3.3 - 3.69 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
< 2.9	$\times 10^{-43}$	90	³⁸ ASZTALOS	10	ADMX	$m_{A^0} = 3.34 - 3.53 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
<1.9	$\times 10^{-43}$	97.7	³⁹ DUFFY	06	ADMX	$m_{A^0}^{A} = 1.98 - 2.17 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
< 5.5	$\times 10^{-43}$	90	⁴⁰ ASZTALOS	04		$m_{A^0} = 1.9 - 3.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
			⁴¹ KIM	98	THEO	A
<2	\times 10 ⁻⁴¹		⁴² HAGMANN	90		$m_{A^0} = (5.4-5.9)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
<6.3	$\times 10^{-42}$	95	⁴³ WUENSCH	89	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = (4.5-10.2)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
< 5.4	$\times10^{-41}$	95	⁴³ WUENSCH	89	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = (11.3-16.3)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$

 $^{^1}$ ADE 22 is an update of ADE 21 based on the expanded data of the 2012–2015 observing seasons. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits over the extended mass range $1\times 10^{-23}\text{-}6\times 10^{-19}~\text{eV}.$

² ALESINI 22 is an update of ALESINI 21, using the TM030 mode of the cylindrical dielectric cavity. See their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^3}$ BATTYE 22 is analogous to DARLING 20A, and use plasma ray tracing technique to analyze the propagation of radio photons converted from axion dark matter in the magnetosphere of PSR J1745-2900. The quoted limit assumes $\rho_A=6.5\times 10^4~{\rm GeV/cm}^3$ in the vicinity of the magnetar. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.

⁴ CHANG 22 used a microwave cavity detector to search for dark matter axions. See Fig. ₂ 3 for the mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^5}$ FERGUSON 22 is analogous to ADE 21. They use the data of the SPT-3G's 2019 observing season. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits over the extended mass range 0.047–9.5 \times 10 $^{-20}\,$ eV.

⁶ LEE 22 is analogous to LEE 20A. They used an 18T high-temperature superconducting magnet haloscope. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.

⁷YOON 22 analyzed the data from LEE 22 and changed from a frequentist to a Bayesian method to set limits. See their Fig. 27 for mass-dependent limits.

- ⁸ ZHOU 22 is analogous to DARLING 20A, and they use the data from the MeerKAT radio telescope's observation of the neutron star J0806.4-4123, which is 250 pc from Earth. See their Fig.3 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁹ ADE 21 looks for a time-variable global rotation of the CMB polarization induced by the harmonic oscillations of local axion-like dark matter and uses data from the 2012 observing season of the Keck Array, part of the BICEP program. The limits get 25% weaker for $m_{A^0}=4.8\times 10^{-20}$ –5.7 $\times 10^{-19}$ eV. See their Eq. (80) and Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁰ ALESINI 21 is an update of ALESINI 19. See their Figs. 5 and 6 for the mass-dependent limits.
- $^{11}\,\mathrm{BARTRAM}$ 21A is analogous to DU 18. See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹² DEVLIN 21 use the superconducting resonant detection circuit of a cryogenic Penning trap with a single antiproton. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 13 GRAMOLIN 21 use two detection channels, each consisting of two stacked toroids to look for the axion-induced oscillating magnetic field. The quoted limit applies at m_{A^0} = 0.02 neV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 14 KWON 21 is analogous to LEE 20A. They also obtain weaker limits in the range of m_{A^0} = 10.16–11.37 μ eV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁵ MELCON 21 use a radio frequency cavity consisting of 5 sub-cavities coupled by inductive irises installed inside the CAST dipole magnet to look for higher axion masses. See their Fig. 9 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{16}\,\mathsf{SALEMI}$ 21 is an update of OUELLET 19A. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 17 THOMSON 21 use a resonant cavity supporting two spatially overlapping microwave modes, which is sensitive to the axion mass corresponding to the sum or difference of the two resonant frequencies. The original limit was retracted due to a sign error. See their Fig. 2 in the erratum for the corrected limits.
- 18 YUAN 21 use polarimetric observations of Sgr A* taken by the Event Horizon Telescope to search for periodic oscillation of the polarization induced by axion dark matter, assuming a solitonic core near the Galactic center. They obtained limits in the range of $G_{A\gamma\gamma}=8\times 10^{-13} \text{--} 3\times 10^{-11}~\text{GeV}^{-1}$.
- 19 BRAINE 20 is analogous to DU 18. See Fig. 4 for their mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁰ CRISOSTO 20 used a resonant LC circuit to look for lighter axion dark matter. They obtained a similar, slightly weaker limit for $m_{A^0}=174.98-175.19$ and 177.34–177.38 neV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 21 DARLING 20A use VLA data to look for radio-frequency radiation converted from axion dark matter in the magnetosphere of the Galactic Center magnetar PSR J1745-2900. They extended the results of DARLING 20, which used only data with the highest angular resolution, by adding sub-optimal data. They use $\rho_A=6.5\times 10^4~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ in the vicinity of the magnetar. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 22 FOSTER 20 look for radio-frequency radiation converted from axion dark matter in the magnetic field around neutron stars. They use the observed data of isolated local neutron stars and in the Galactic center. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0} \simeq 7~\mu \rm eV$. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 23 JEONG 20 is analogous to LEE 20A, and they use a double-cell cavity to look for axions with mass > 10 μeV . See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 24 KENNEDY 20 is analogous to BRANCA 17, and they compare the frequency ratios of the Si cavity measured by a Sr optical lattice clock and by a H maser. Assuming the local density of moduli dark matter, $\rho_S=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$, they obtain a limit $G_{S\gamma\gamma}<5.8\times 10^{-24}~{\rm GeV^{-1}}$ at $m_{S^0}=2\times 10^{-19}~{\rm eV}$. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits as well as limits on the modulus coupling to electrons.
- ²⁵ LEE 20A used a microwave cavity detector at the IBS/CAPP to search for dark matter axions. See Fig. 3 for the mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁶ ALESINI 19 used a superconducting resonant cavity made of NbTi to increase the quality factor. The limit applies to a mass range of 0.2 neV around $m_{A^0}=37.5~\mu {\rm eV}$.

- ²⁷ FUJITA 19 look for photon birefringence under the oscillating axion background using the polarimetric imaging observation of a protoplanetary disk, AB Aur. See their Fig. 2 for a more conservative limit taking account of possible systematic effects.
- 28 OUELLET 19A look for the axion-induced oscillating magnetic field generated by a toroidal magnetic field. The quoted limit applies at $m_{A^0}=8$ neV. See their Fig. 3 for the mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁹ BOUTAN 18 use a small high frequency cavity installed above the main ADMX cavity to look for heavier axion dark matter. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 30 DU 18 is analogous to DUFFY 06. They upgraded a dilution refrigerator to reduce the system noise. The quoted limit is around $m_{A^0}=2.69~\mu {\rm eV}$ for the boosted Maxwellian axion line shape. See Fig. 4 for their mass-dependent limits.
- ³¹ ZHONG 18 is analogous to BRUBAKER 17. The quoted limit applies at $m_{A^0}=23.76$ μeV . See Fig. 4 for their mass-dependent limits.
- 32 BRANCA 17 look for modulations of the fine-structure constant and the electron mass due to moduli dark matter by using the cryogenic resonant-mass AURIGA detector. The limit on the assumed dilatonic coupling implies $G_{S\,\gamma\gamma} < 1.5 \times 10^{-24} \; {\rm GeV}^{-1}$ for the scalar to two-photon coupling. See Fig. 5 for the mass-dependent limits.
- ³³ BRUBAKER 17 used a microwave cavity detector at the Yale Wright Laboratory to search for dark matter axions. See Fig. 3 for the mass-dependent limits.
- ³⁴ CHOI 17 used a microwave cavity detector with toroidal geometry. See Fig. 4 for their mass-dependent limits.
- ³⁵ HOSKINS 16 is analogous to DUFFY 06. See Fig. 12 for mass-dependent limits in terms of the local dark matter density.
- 36 BECK 13 argues that dark-matter axions passing through Earth may generate a small observable signal in resonant S/N/S Josephson junctions. A measurement by HOFF-MANN 04 [Physical Review **B70** 180503 (2004)] is interpreted in terms of subdominant dark matter axions with $m_{A0}=0.11$ meV.
- 37 HOSKINS 11 is analogous to DUFFY 06. See Fig. 4 for the mass-dependent limit in terms of the local density.
- 38 ASZTALOS 10 used the upgraded detector of ASZTALOS 04 to search for halo axions. See their Fig. 5 for the m_{A0} dependence of the limit.
- ³⁹ DUFFY 06 used the upgraded detector of ASZTALOS 04, while assuming a smaller velocity dispersion than the isothermal model as in Eq. (8) of their paper. See Fig. 10 of their paper on the axion mass dependence of the limit.
- ⁴⁰ ASZTALOS 04 looked for a conversion of halo axions to microwave photons in magnetic field. At 90% CL, the KSVZ axion cannot have a local halo density more than 0.45 GeV/cm³ in the quoted mass range. See Fig. 7 of their paper on the axion mass dependence of the limit.
- 41 KIM 98 calculated the axion-to-photon couplings for various axion models and compared them to the HAGMANN 90 bounds. This analysis demonstrates a strong model dependence of $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and hence the bound from relic axion search.
- ⁴² HAGMANN 90 experiment is based on the proposal of SIKIVIE 83.
- 43 WUENSCH 89 looks for condensed axions near the earth that could be converted to photons in the presence of an intense electromagnetic field via the Primakoff effect, following the proposal of SIKIVIE 83. The theoretical prediction with $[G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A^0}]^2=2\times 10^{-14}~{\rm MeV}^{-4}$ (the three generation DFSZ model) and $\rho_A=300~{\rm MeV/cm}^3$ that makes up galactic halos gives $(G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A^0})^2~\rho_A=4\times 10^{-44}$. Note that our definition of $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ is $(1/4\pi)$ smaller than that of WUENSCH 89.

Invisible A^0 (Axion) Limits from Photon Coupling
Limits are for the modulus of the axion-two-photon coupling $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ defined by $L = -G_{A\gamma\gamma}\phi_A$ **E-B**. For scalars S^0 the limit is on the coupling constant in $L = G_{S\gamma\gamma}\phi_S(\mathbf{E}^2 - \mathbf{B}^2)$. The relation between $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and M_{A^0} is not used unless stated otherwise, i.e., many of these bounds apply to low-mass axion-like particles (ALPs), not to QCD axions.

$VALUE$ (GeV $^{-1}$)	CL%		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following	g da	ata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
$< 5 \times 10^{-10}$	90	1	APRILE	22 B	XENT	Solar axions
$< 1.45 \times 10^{-9}$	95		ARNQUIST	22	MAJD	$m_{ extstyle A^0} < 100 \; ext{eV}$
$3-6 \times 10^{-11}$	95	3	BERNAL	22	COSM	$m_{A0} = 8-20 \text{ eV}$
$< 3.76 \times 10^{-11}$	95	4	CALORE	22	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 10^{-11} \text{ eV}$
$< 2 \times 10^{-10}$		5	CAPUTO	22	ASTR	$m_{A0}=1$ –500 MeV
$< 3 \times 10^{-14}$	95	6	CASTILLO	22	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 3 \times 10^{-23} \text{ eV}$
$< 6 \times 10^{-12}$	90	7	DEROCCO	22	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 5-30 \text{ keV}$
$< 5.4 \times 10^{-12}$	95	8	DESSERT	22A	ASTR	$m_{A^0} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$
$< 2.1 \times 10^{-11}$	95	9	ECKNER	22	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$
$< 1 \times 10^{-11}$	95	10	FOSTER	22		$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 16.5 - 32.5 \ \mu \text{eV}$
$< 1.14 \times 10^{-5}$	95	11	KIRITA	22		$m_{A^0} = 0.5-500 \text{ meV}$
$< 2 \times 10^{-16}$		12	LANGHOFF	22		$m_{A^0}^{A^*} = 0.1 - 3 \times 10^4 \text{ keV}$
$< 6 \times 10^{-12}$	95	13	LI	22	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 0.2-20 \text{ neV}$
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-11}$	95	14	LI	22C		$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 8-200 \text{ neV}$
$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$		15	LUCENTE	22	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} \lesssim 0.4 \text{ MeV}$
$< 9.2 \times 10^{-11}$	95		BASU	21	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 3.6 \times 10^{-21} \text{ eV}$
$< 1.8 \times 10^{-10}$	95	17		21	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 2 - 6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-10}$	95	18	DOLAN	21A	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 1-570 \text{ keV}$
$<$ 5 \times 10 ⁻¹¹	95		GUO	21	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 8-23 \text{ neV}$
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	95		НОММА	21	SAPH	$m_{\Delta 0}^{A0} = 0.4-600 \text{ meV}$
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-11}$	95	21		21 B	ASTR	$m_{\Delta 0}^{A^0} = 0.5-500 \text{ neV}$
			LLOYD	21	ASTR	Magnetars
$< 1 \times 10^{-13}$	95	23	REGIS	21	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 2.7 - 5.3 \text{ eV}$
$< 1.8 \times 10^{-11}$	95	24	XIAO	21	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 3.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{eV}$
$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	95	25	ABUDINEN	20	BEL2	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 0.2-1 \text{ GeV}$
$< 2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	26	BANERJEE	20A	NA64	$m_{A^0}^{A^0}$ < 55 MeV
$< 1.0 \times 10^{-11}$	95	27	BUEHLER	20	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 3 \text{ neV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-10}$			CALORE	20	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} \lesssim 10^{-11} \; \mathrm{eV}$
			CARENZA	20		$A^{\circ} \sim$ Globular clusters
$2-4 \times 10^{-10}$	95	30	DENT	20A		Solar axions
10		31	DEPTA	20		Axion-like particles
$< 3.6 \times 10^{-12}$	95		DESSERT	20A	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ eV}$
10		33	ESTEBAN	20	ANIT	Axion-like particles
$4-6 \times 10^{-10}$ <2.8 × 10 ⁻¹¹	90		GAO	20	ASTR	
	95		KOROCHKIN	20	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 25 \text{ eV}$
none 6.0×10^{-9} – 1.3×10^{-5}		30	LUCENTE	20A	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 270 \text{ MeV}$
			Page 30		Creat	ed: 5/31/2023 09:12
none 6.0×10^{-9} – $1.3 \times$		36	LUCENTE		ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} < 270 \text{ MeV}$ ed: $5/31/2023 \ 09:12$

$< 2.6 \times 10^{-11}$	95	³⁷ MEYER	20	FLAT	$m_{A^0} < 3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}$
$< 8.4 \times 10^{-8}$	99	³⁸ YAMAMOTO	20	COSM	$m_{A^0}^{A^+} < 4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 1 \times 10^{-3}$	95	³⁹ ALONI	19	PRMX	$m_{A^0} = 0.16 \text{ GeV}$
$< 1.4 \times 10^{-14}$	95	⁴⁰ CAPUTO	19	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 5 \times 10^{-24} \text{ eV}$
$<9.6 \times 10^{-14}$	95	⁴¹ FEDDERKE	19	CMB	$m_{A^0} = 10^{-22} \text{ eV}$
$<7 \times 10^{-13}$	95	⁴² IVANOV	19	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 10^{-23} \text{ eV}$ $m_{A^0} = 5 \times 10^{-23} \text{ eV}$
11		43 LIANG			$m_{A^0} = 3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$
$<4 \times 10^{-11}$	95		19	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$
$< 5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	⁴⁴ FORTIN ⁴⁵ YAMAJI	18	ASTR	Axion-like particles
11		46 ZUANG	18	LSW	$m_{A^0} = 46-1020 \text{ eV}$
$<1 \times 10^{-11}$	99.9	⁴⁶ ZHANG ⁴⁷ ADE	18	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 0.6-4 \text{ neV}$
$< 6.6 \times 10^{-11}$	95	48 ANASTASSO	17 17	CMB CAST	Axion-like particles
<0.0 × 10	93	49 DOLAN		RVUE	$m_{A^0} < 0.02 \text{ eV}$
$< 2.51 \times 10^{-4}$	95	50 INADA	17 17	LSW	Axion-like particles
		⁵¹ KOHRI			$m_{A^0} < 0.1 \text{ eV}$
>1.5 × 10 ⁻¹¹	95		17	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 0.7-50 \text{ neV}$
$<2.6 \times 10^{-12}$	95	52 MARSH	17	ASTR	$m_{A^0} \leq 10^{-13} \text{ eV}$
$< 6 \times 10^{-13}$		⁵³ TIWARI	17	COSM	$m_{A^0}^{A^3} \leq 10^{-15} \text{ eV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-12}$	95	⁵⁴ AJELLO	16	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 0.5 - 5 \text{ neV}$
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-7}$	95	⁵⁵ DELLA-VALLE		LASR	$m_{ extstyle A^0} = 1.3 \; extstyle meV$
$< 7.2 \times 10^{-8}$	95	⁵⁶ DELLA-VALLE	16	LASR	$m_{A^0} < 0.5 \text{ meV}$
$< 8 \times 10^{-4}$		⁵⁷ JAECKEL	16	ALPS	$m_{\Delta 0} = 0.1 - 100 \text{ GeV}$
$< 6 \times 10^{-21}$		⁵⁸ LEEFER	16		$m_{S^0}^{7} < 10^{-18} \text{ eV}$
		⁵⁹ ANASTASSO	. 15	CAST	Chameleons
$< 1.47 \times 10^{-10}$	95	⁶⁰ ARIK	15	CAST	$m_{A^0} = 0.39 - 0.42 \text{ eV}$
$< 3.5 \times 10^{-8}$	95	⁶¹ BALLOU	15	LSW	$m_{\Lambda 0} < 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$
		⁶² BRAX	15	ASTR	$m_{S^0}^{A^*} < 4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ eV}$
$< 5.42 \times 10^{-4}$	95	⁶³ HASEBE	15	LASR	$m_{A0} = 0.15 \text{ eV}$
		⁶⁴ MILLEA	15	COSM	Axion-like particles
10			15		Dilaton-like dark matter
$<4.1 \times 10^{-10}$	99.7	66 VINYOLES	15	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 0.6 – 185 \text{ eV}$
$< 3.3 \times 10^{-10}$	95	⁶⁷ ARIK	14	CAST	$m_{A^0} = 0.64 - 1.17 \text{ eV}$
$<6.6 \times 10^{-11}$	95	68 AYALA	14	ASTR	Globular clusters
$< 1.4 \times 10^{-7}$	95	⁶⁹ DELLA-VALLE	14	LASR	$m_{A^0}=1~{ m meV}$
		⁷⁰ EJLLI	14	COSM	$m_{A0}^{}=2.66$ –48.8 $\mu {\rm eV}$
$< 8 \times 10^{-8}$	95	⁷¹ PUGNAT	14	LSW	$m_{\Delta^0} < 0.3 \text{ meV}$
$< 1 \times 10^{-11}$		⁷² REESMAN	14	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}$
$< 2.1 \times 10^{-11}$	95	⁷³ ABRAMOWSK	113A	IACT	$m_{A0}^{A^{\circ}} = 15-60 \text{ neV}$
$< 2.15 \times 10^{-9}$	95	⁷⁴ ARMENGAUD		EDEL	$m_{A0}^{A^{\circ}}$ < 200 eV
$< 4.5 \times 10^{-8}$	95	⁷⁵ BETZ	13	LSW	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 7.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 8 \times 10^{-11}$		⁷⁶ FRIEDLAND	13	ASTR	, .
>2 \times 10^{-11}		77 MEYER	13	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 1 \times 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$
$< 8.3 \times 10^{-12}$	95	⁷⁸ WOUTERS	13	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} < 7 \times 10^{-12} \text{ eV}$
121		⁷⁹ CADAMURO	12		Axion-like particles
$< 2.5 \times 10^{-13}$	95	80 PAYEZ	12	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 4.2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ eV}$
					A

$< 2.3 \times 10^{-10}$	95	⁸¹ ARIK	11	CAST	$m_{A^0} = 0.39 – 0.64 \text{ eV}$
$< 6.5 \times 10^{-8}$	95	⁸² EHRET	10	ALPS	$m_{A0}^{7} < 0.7 \text{ meV}$
$< 2.4 \times 10^{-9}$	95	⁸³ AHMED	09A	CDMS	, .
$< 1.2 – 2.8 \times 10^{-10}$	95	⁸⁴ ARIK	09	CAST	$m_{A0} = 0.02 - 0.39 \text{ eV}$
		⁸⁵ CHOU	09		Chameleons
$< 7 \times 10^{-10}$		⁸⁶ GONDOLO	09	ASTR	$m_{{\color{blue}A^0}} < {\sf few keV}$
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁸⁷ AFANASEV	80		$m_{S^0}^{\gamma} < 1 \text{ meV}$
$< 3.5 \times 10^{-7}$	99.7	⁸⁸ CHOU	80		$m_{A^0} < 0.5 \text{ meV}$
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	99.7	⁸⁹ FOUCHE	08		$m_{A^0}^{A^0} < 1 \text{ meV}$
$< 5.6 13.4 \times 10^{-10}$	95	⁹⁰ INOUE	08		$m_{A^0} = 0.84 - 1.00 \text{ eV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-7}$		⁹¹ ZAVATTINI	08		$m_{A^0}^{A^0} < 1 \text{ meV}$
$< 8.8 \times 10^{-11}$	95	⁹² ANDRIAMON.	07	CAST	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} < 0.02 \text{ eV}$
$< 1.25 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁹³ ROBILLIARD	07		$m_{A^0} < 1 \text{ meV}$
$2-5 \times 10^{-6}$		⁹⁴ ZAVATTINI	06		$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 1 - 1.5 \text{ meV}$
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-9}$	95	⁹⁵ INOUE	02		$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 0.05 - 0.27 \text{ eV}$
$< 2.78 \times 10^{-9}$	95	⁹⁶ MORALES	02 B		$m_{A^0}^{0}$ <1 keV
$< 1.7 \times 10^{-9}$	90	⁹⁷ BERNABEI	01 B		$m_{A^0}^{A^0}$ <100 eV
$<1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	⁹⁸ ASTIER	00 B	NOMD	m_{A^0} <40 eV
(2.0 // 20		99 MASSO	00	THEO	induced γ coupling
$< 2.7 \times 10^{-9}$	95	¹⁰⁰ AVIGNONE	98	SLAX	$m_{A^0} < 1 \text{ keV}$
$< 6.0 \times 10^{-10}$	95	¹⁰¹ MORIYAMA	98		$m_{A^0}^{A^0} < 0.03 \text{ eV}$
$< 3.6 \times 10^{-7}$	95	¹⁰² CAMERON	93		$m_{A^0}^{A^0} < 10^{-3} \text{ eV},$
					optical rotation
$< 6.7 \times 10^{-7}$	95	¹⁰³ CAMERON	93		$m_{A^0} < 10^{-3} \text{ eV},$
·2.6 · · 10=9	00.7	104	00		photon regeneration
$<3.6 \times 10^{-9}$	99.7	104 LAZARUS	92		$m_{A^0} < 0.03 \text{ eV}$
$< 7.7 \times 10^{-9}$	99.7	104 LAZARUS	92		$m_{A^0} = 0.03 - 0.11 \text{ eV}$
$< 7.7 \times 10^{-7}$	99	105 RUOSO	92		$m_{A^0} < 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$
$< 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$		¹⁰⁶ SEMERTZIDIS	90		$m_{A^0} < 7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$

¹ APRILE 22B is an update of APRILE 20 based on a similar solar axion modeling to DENT 20A and GAO 20. They exclude the XENON1T excess found in APRILE 20. The quoted limit holds for small g_{Aee} . See Fig. 6 for correlation between $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and g_{Aee} .

 $^{^2}$ ARNQUIST 22 is analogous to AVIGNONE 98, and supersedes ANASTASSOPOULOS 17 for $m_{A0}~\gtrsim~1.2$ eV.

³ BERNAL 22 explored the possibility that the excess in the cosmic optical background measured by New Horizonss Long Range Reconnaisance Imager was due to axion dark matter decaying into monoenergetic photons. See their Fig. 2 for the axion-photon coupling to explain the excess.

⁴ CALORE 22 update CALORE 20 by evaluating axion fluxes from progenitors of various masses and performing a template-based analysis using 12 years of Fermi-LAT data in the energy range from 50 MeV to 500 GeV. See their Fig. 10 for mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^5}$ CAPUTO 22 study the effect of energy deposition by radiative decay of axions produced via the Primakoff process and photon coalescence in the supernova core, and set the limits by the radiative energy deposition $<~10^{50}$ erg and progenitor radius $=5\times10^{13}$ cm. The quoted limit is at $m_{A^0}=150$ MeV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.

- ⁶ CASTILLO 22 update CAPUTO 19 using the polarization measurements of the Crab Pulsar by the QUIJOTE MFI instrument and 20 Galactic pulsars from the PPTA project. See their Table 1 for the assumed local axion energy density ρ_A for each pulsar and their Fig. 7 for the mass-dependent limits in the range of 3×10^{-23} eV $\leq m_{A^0} \leq 10^{-19}$ eV.
- ⁷ DEROCCO 22 uses the NuSTAR data to search for monochromatic X-ray lines produced by the decay of solar axions trapped on bound orbits. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0} \simeq 9$ keV. They also derive limits in the plane of g_{Aee} and $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$. See their Figs. 2 and 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^8\, {\sf DESSERT}$ 22A look for an axion-induced linear polarization using data from multiple magnetic white dwarf stars. See their Figs. 1 and 8 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 9 ECKNER 22 set limits by using sub-PeV diffuse gamma-ray data from HAWC and Tibet AS γ by assuming that gamma rays produced simultaneously with high-energy neutrinos from extragalactic sources suggested by IceCube are converted to axions in the magnetic field at the source and reconverted to gamma rays in the Galactic magnetic field. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 10 FOSTER 22 is an update of FOSTER 20 in the list of limits on relic invisible axions. They search for axion-photon transitions generated by neutron stars in the Galactic center region. They use improved population models of the Galactic center neutron stars and a Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) model of the galactic dark matter distribution. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\Lambda0}~\simeq~17\text{--}25~\mu\text{eV}$. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 11 KIRITA 22 update HOMMA 21 by increasing the laser energy and developing a background discrimination method using the beam cross-section dependence of the background originated from optical elements. The quoted limits applies to $m_{\mbox{$A^0$}}=0.18$ eV. See their Fig. 11 for mass-dependent limits.
- 12 LANGHOFF 22 set limits by considering the freeze-in production of axions coupled only to photons. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\mbox{$A^0$}}=2$ MeV for the reheating temperature equal to 5 MeV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 13 LI 22 is analogous to LI 21B, and use the spectra of the blazar FSRQ 4C+21.35 measured by MAGIC, VERITAS, and Fermi-LAT. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\mbox{${\cal A}$}^0} \simeq 8 \times 10^{-10}$ eV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 14 LI 22C is analogous to LI 21B, and use the spectra of the blazars Mrk 421 and PG 1553+113 measured by MAGIC and Fermi-LAT. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0} \simeq 1\times 10^{-8}$ eV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 15 LUCENTE 22 developed a method to correctly incorporate the effects of axions decaying into photons inside the core of horizontal-branch stars. They update CARENZA 20 by evaluating axion energy transfer in the range of axion mean free path where the diffusive energy transport and free streaming approximations are not applicable. See their Fig. 1 for the limits.
- 16 BASU 21 searched for birefringence induced by axion dark matter using multiple images of the polarized source in the strongly gravitationally lensed system CLASS B1152+199. They assume the axion makes up all dark matter, and used the axion density in the emitting region, $\rho_A=20~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$. Limits between 9.2×10^{-11} –7.7 \times $10^{-8}~{\rm GeV^{-1}}$ are obtained for $m_{A^0}=3.6\times10^{-21}$ –4.6 \times $10^{-18}~{\rm eV}$. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 17 BI 21 look for the gamma-ray spectral distortions induced by axion-photon oscillations in the presence of the Galactic magnetic field, using the measurements of sub-PeV gamma-rays from the Crab Nebula by the Tibet AS γ and HAWC experiments, together with MAGIC and HEGRA gamma-ray data. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁸ DOLAN 21A study the effect of axion production on the evolution of asymptotic giant branch stars, and use the white-dwarf initial-final mass relation to set the limits. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁹ GUO 21 is analogous to AJELLO 16, and use the Fermi-LAT and H.E.S.S. II measurements of PG 1553+113 and PKS 2155-304. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.

- 20 HOMMA 21 look for the production of axion resonance states and their subsequent stimulated decays by combining linearly polarized creation laser pulses and circularly polarized inducing laser pulses. The quoted limit is at $m_{A^0} \simeq 0.178$ eV. See their Fig. 14 for mass-dependent limits.
- 21 LI 21B is analogous to AJELLO 16, and use the spectra of the blazar Mrk 421 measured by ARGO-YBJ and Fermi-LAT. They consider ALP-photon mixing in the magnetic fields of both the blazar jet and the Galaxy. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0} \simeq 1 \times 10^{-9}$ eV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ²²LLOYD 21 is analogous to FORTIN 18, and set limits on the product of the axion couplings to photons and nucleons as g_{ANN} $G_{A\gamma\gamma} \lesssim 4.6 \times 10^{-19}$ GeV $^{-1}$ for $m_{A^0} \lesssim 10^{-5}$ eV by using the quiescent soft gamma-ray flux upper limits in five magnetars. We use $g_{ANN} = G_{AN} \ 2m_N$ to translate their limits. See their Table II and Fig. 3 for the limits
- 23 REGIS 21 look for monochromatic photons from axion decay, using the MUSE spectroscopic data on the Leo T dwarf spheroidal galaxy. They assume that axions make up all of dark matter and use the integrated dark matter density along the line of sight determined by observations.
- ²⁴ XIAO 21 use X-ray data from Betelgeuse to look for signals from axions produced in the stellar core that were converted to X-rays by the Galactic magnetic field. See their Fig. 1 for the mass-dependent limit.
- ²⁵ ABUDINEN 20 look for the process $e^+e^- \to \gamma A^0$ ($A^0 \to \gamma \gamma$) and set upper limits of around 10^{-3} over the mass range. The quoted limit is at $m_{A^0}=0.3$ GeV. See their Fig. 5 for mass dependent limits.
- 26 BANERJEE 20A look for axions produced from high-energy bremsstrahlung photons through the Primakoff effect with the electric field of the target nuclei. They exclude $G_{A\gamma\gamma}=2\times 10^{-4}$ –5 $\times 10^{-2}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ for $m_{A^0}~<$ 55 MeV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 27 BUEHLER 20 look for the γ -ray transparency due to axion-photon oscillations using high-energy photon events from 79 sources in the Second Fermi-LAT Catalog of High-Energy Sources. The quoted limit is for the intergalactic magnetic field strength and coherence length of B=1 nG and s=1 Mpc. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for mass-dependent limits and for different magnetic-field parameters.
- 28 CALORE 20 use the isotropic diffuse $\gamma\text{-ray}$ background measured by the Fermi-LAT to constrain the $\gamma\text{-ray}$ flux converted in the Galactic magnetic field from axions produced from past core-collapse supernovae. They also derive a limit on a heavier axion with $m_{A^0} \gtrsim \text{keV}$ decaying into two photons of $G_{A\gamma\gamma} \lesssim 5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for $m_{A^0} = 5 \text{ keV}$. See their Figs. 5 and 7 for the limits as well as limits in the presence of axion-nucleon couplings.
- ²⁹ CARENZA 20 extend the globular cluster bound of AYALA 14 to heavier masses ($m_{A^0} \leq$ a few 100 keV) by taking account of the coalescence process $\gamma + \gamma \to A^0$ as well as the decay of the ALP inside the stellar core. See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 30 DENT 20A is analogous to GAO 20. The quoted limit is from their arXiv:2006.15118v3 (v2 is their published version), using the relativistic Hartree-Fock form factor. The limit is up to two times weaker than the published one. See Fig. 4 in their arXiv version 3 for the correlation between $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and g_{Aee} corresponding to the excess reported in APRILE 20.
- ³¹ DEPTA 20 correct the underestimated D abundance in MILLEA 15, and derive robust cosmological bounds by allowing the reheating temperature, N_{eff}, and neutrino chemical potential to vary. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- 32 DESSERT 20A use the NuSTAR data of the Quintuplet and Westerlund 1 super star clusters to look for X-rays converted in the Galactic magnetic field from the axions produced in stellar cores. See their Fig. 3 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 33 ESTEBAN 20 show that the two anomalous ANITA events can be explained by the reflected radio pulses that are resonantly produced in the ionosphere via axion-photon

- conversion for $m_{A^0} \lesssim 1 \times 10^{-7}$ eV , if an axion clump passes the Earth about once a month. See their Fig.5 for the region consistent with this interpretation for different values of the axion density inside the clumps.
- 34 GAO 20 correct the limit of APRILE 20 by including inverse Primakoff scattering in the XENON1T detector. The quoted limit is from their arXiv:2006.14598v4 (v3 is their published version), taking account of the atomic form factor of Xe as pointed out in ABE 20J. The limit is weaker by a factor of 1.5–2 than the published one. See Fig. 3 in their arXiv version 4 for correlation between $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and g_{Aee} corresponding to the excess reported in APRILE 20.
- 35 KOROCHKIN 20 assume the axion makes up all dark matter, and look for a dip in the observed gamma-ray spectrum of the blazer 1ES 1218+304 by Fermi/LAT and VERITAS due to the extragalactic background light produced by the axion decay. Their analysis favors nonzero axion-induced absorption with $G_{A\gamma\gamma}=3\times 10^{-11}$ –2 $\times 10^{-10}$ GeV $^{-1}$ over a range of $m_{A^0}=2$ –18 eV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits between 0.25 $< m_{\Delta^0} <$ 25 eV.
- 36 LUCENTE 20A study the SN 1987A energy-loss argument on the axion-like particle production. In addition to the Primakoff process, they take account of photon coalescence as well as gravitational trapping that become relevant at $m_{A^0} > 100$ MeV. See their Fig. 12 for the mass-dependent limit.
- 37 MEYER 20 look for prompt γ -rays converted in the Galactic magnetic fields from axions produced via the Primakoff process in a sample of 20 extragalactic core-collapse supernovae. The limits assume a progenitor mass of 10 times the solar mass and certain models for the optical emission and the galactic magnetic field. See their Figs. 2 and 6 in the erratum for mass- and model-dependent limits.
- 38 YAMAMOTO 20 look for X-ray photons converted by the Earth's magnetic field from the axions produced by the two-body decay of dark matter, and set the limits by using the Suzaku data. The quoted limit is for the monochromatic X-ray line from the galactic dark matter with lifetime $\tau=4.32\times10^{17}$ sec. They also derive limits on the continuum spectrum from the extragalactic component. See their Fig. 7 for the limits.
- ³⁹ ALONI 19 used the data collected by the PRIMEX experiment to derive a limit based on a data-driven method. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 40 CAPUTO 19 look for an oscillating variation of the polarization angle of the pulsar J0437-4715, where they assume the local axion energy density $\rho_A=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm}^3$. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits for $5\times 10^{-24}~{\rm eV}~\leq~m_{A^0}~\leq~2\times 10^{-19}~{\rm eV}.$
- ⁴¹ FEDDERKE 19 look for a uniform reduction of the CMB polarization at large scales, which is induced by the oscillating axion background during CMB decoupling. The quoted limit is based on the assumption that axions make up all of the dark matter. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits for $m_{A^0}=10^{-22}$ – 10^{-19} eV.
- 42 IVANOV 19 look for the axion-induced periodic changes in the polarization angle of parsec-scale jets in active galactic nuclei observed by the MOJAVE program, where they use the axion energy density $\rho_A=20~{\rm GeV/cm^3}.$ See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits for $5\times 10^{-23}~{\rm eV}~\leq~m_{A^0}~\leq~1.2\times 10^{-21}~{\rm eV}.$
- ⁴³LIANG 19 look for spectral irregularities in the spectrum of 10 bright H.E.S.S. sources in the Galactic plane, assuming photon-ALP mixing in the Galactic magnetic fields. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits with different Galactic magnetic field models.
- ⁴⁴ FORTIN 18 studied the conversion of axion-like particles produced in the core of a magnetar to hard X-rays in the magnetosphere. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits with different values of the magnetar core temperature.
- 45 YAMAJI 18 search for axions with an x-ray LSW at Spring-8, using the Laue-case conversion in a silicon crystal. They also obtain $G_{A\gamma\gamma} < 4.2 \times 10^{-3} \; {\rm GeV}^{-1}$ for $m_{\mbox{${\cal A}$}^0} < 10 \; {\rm eV}$. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 46 ZHANG 18 look for spectral irregularities in the spectrum of PKS 2155-304 measured by Fermi LAT, assuming photon-ALP mixing in the intercluster and Galactic magnetic

- fields. See their Figs. 2 and 3 for mass-dependent limits with different values of the intercluster magnetic field parameters.
- 47 ADE 17 look for cosmic birefringence from axion-like particles using CMB polarization data taken by the BICEP2 and Keck Array experiments. They set a limit $G_{A\gamma\gamma}H_I$ $<7.2\times10^{-2}$ at 95 %CL for m_{A^0} $<10^{-28}$ eV, where H_I is the Hubble parameter during inflation.
- 48 ANASTASSOPOULOS 17 looked for solar axions by the CAST axion helioscope in the vacuum phase, and supersedes ANDRIAMONJE 07.
- 49 DOLAN 17 update existing limits on $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ for axion-like particles. The limits from the proton beam dump experiments in their Fig. 2 contained an error, and the corrected version is shown in Fig. 1 of DOLAN 21.
- ⁵⁰ INADA 17 search for axions with an x-ray LSW at Spring-8. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 51 KOHRI 17 attributed to axion-photon oscillations the excess of cosmic infrared background observed by the CIBER experiment. See their Fig. 5 for the region preferred by their scenario.
- ⁵² MARSH 17 is similar to WOUTERS 13, using Chandra observations of M87. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵³ TIWARI 17 use observed limits of the cosmic distance-duality relation to constrain the photon-ALP mixing based on 3D simulations of the magnetic field configuration. The quoted value is for the averaged magnetic field of 1nG with a coherent length of 1 Mpc. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 54 AJELLO 16 look for irregularities in the energy spectrum of the NGC1275 measured by Fermi LAT, assuming photon-ALP mixing in the intra-cluster and Galactic magnetic fields. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵⁵ DELLA-VALLE 16 look for the birefringence induced by axion-like particles. See their Fig. 14 for mass-dependent limits.
- 56 DELLA-VALLE 16 look for the dichroism induced by axion-like particles. See their Fig. 14 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵⁷ JAECKEL 16 use the LEP data of $Z \to 2\gamma$ and $Z \to 3\gamma$ to constrain the ALP production via $e^+e^- \to Z \to A^0\gamma$ ($A^0 \to \gamma\gamma$), assuming the ALP coupling with two hypercharge bosons. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵⁸LEEFER 16 derived limits by using radio-frequency spectroscopy of dysprosium and atomic clock measurements. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits as well as limits on Yukawa-type couplings of the scalar to the electron and nucleons.
- ⁵⁹ ANASTASSOPOULOS 15 search for solar chameleons with CAST and derived limits on the chameleon coupling to photons and matter. See their Fig. 12 for the exclusion region.
- 60 ARIK 15 is analogous to ARIK 09, and search for solar axions for m_{A^0} around 0.2 and 0.4 eV. See their Figs. 1 and 3 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 61 Based on OSQAR photon regeneration experiment. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits on scalar and pseudoscalar bosons.
- 62 BRAX 15 derived limits on conformal and disformal couplings of a scalar to photons by searching for a chaotic absorption pattern in the X-ray and UV bands of the Hydra A galaxy cluster and a BL lac object, respectively. See their Fig. 8.
- 63 HASEBE 15 look for an axion via a four-wave mixing process at quasi-parallel colliding laser beams. They also derived limits on a scalar coupling to photons $G_{\mbox{\footnotesize{S}}\gamma\gamma} < 2.62 \times 10^{-4} \mbox{ GeV}^{-1}$ at $m_{\mbox{\footnotesize{S}}0} = 0.15 \mbox{ eV}$. See their Figs. 11 and 12 for mass-dependent limits.
- 64 MILLEA 15 is similar to CADAMURO 12, including the Planck data and the latest inferences of primordial deuterium abundance. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits
- ⁶⁵ VANTILBURG 15 look for harmonic variations in the dyprosium transition frequency data, induced by coherent oscillations of the fine-structure constant due to dilaton-like

- dark matter, and set the limits, $G_{S\gamma\gamma} < 6\times 10^{-27}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ at $m_{S^0} = 6\times 10^{-23}~{\rm eV}$. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits between $1\times 10^{-24} < m_{S^0} < 1\times 10^{-15}~{\rm eV}$.
- ⁶⁶ VINYOLES 15 performed a global fit analysis based on helioseismology and solar neutrino observations. See their Fig. 9.
- 67 ARIK 14 is similar to ARIK 11. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁶⁸ AYALA 14 derived the limit from the helium-burning lifetime of horizontal-branch stars based on number counts in globular clusters.
- ⁶⁹ DELLA-VALLE 14 use the new PVLAS apparatus to set a limit on vacuum magnetic birefringence induced by axion-like particles. See their Fig. 6 for the mass-dependent limits.
- ⁷⁰ EJLLI 14 set limits on a product of primordial magnetic field and the axion mass using CMB distortion induced by resonant axion production from CMB photons. See their Fig. 1 for limits applying specifically to the DFSZ and KSVZ axion models.
- 71 PUGNAT 14 is analogous to EHRET 10. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits on scalar and pseudoscalar bosons.
- 72 REESMAN 14 derive limits by requiring effects of axion-photon interconversion on gamma-ray spectra from distant blazars to be no larger than errors in the best-fit optical depth based on a certain extragalactic background light model. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁷³ ABRAMOWSKI 13A look for irregularities in the energy spectrum of the BL Lac object PKS 2155–304 measured by H.E.S.S. The limits depend on assumed magnetic field around the source. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 74 ARMENGAUD 13 is analogous to AVIGNONE 98. See Fig. 6 for the limit.
- ⁷⁵ BETZ 13 performed a microwave-based light shining through the wall experiment. See their Fig. 13 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁷⁶ FRIEDLAND 13 derived the limit by considering blue-loop suppression of the evolution of red giants with 7–12 solar masses.
- 77 MEYER 13 attributed to axion-photon oscillations the observed excess of very high-energy γ -rays with respect to predictions based on extragalactic background light models. See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent lower limits for various magnetic field configurations.
- 78 WOUTERS 13 look for irregularities in the X-ray spectrum of the Hydra cluster observed by Chandra. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 79 CADAMURO 12 derived cosmological limits on $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ for axion-like particles. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁸⁰ PAYEZ 12 derive limits from polarization measurements of quasar light (see their Fig. 3). The limits depend on assumed magnetic field strength in galaxy clusters. The limits depend on assumed magnetic field and electron density in the local galaxy supercluster.
- 81 ARIK 11 search for solar axions using 3 He buffer gas in CAST, continuing from the 4 He version of ARIK 09. See Fig. 2 for the exact mass-dependent limits.
- ⁸² ALPS is a photon regeneration experiment. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits on scalar and pseudoscalar bosons.
- 83 AHMED 09A is analogous to AVIGNONE 98.
- ⁸⁴ ARIK 09 is the ⁴He filling version of the CAST axion helioscope in analogy to INOUE 02 and INOUE 08. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 85 CHOU 09 use the GammeV apparatus in the afterglow mode to search for chameleons, (pseudo)scalar bosons with a mass depending on the environment. For pseudoscalars they exclude at 3σ the range $2.6\times 10^{-7}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}<~G_{A\gamma\gamma}<~4.2\times 10^{-6}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ for vacuum m_{A0} roughly below 6 meV for density scaling index exceeding 0.8.
- ⁸⁶ GONDOLO 09 use the all-flavor measured solar neutrino flux to constrain solar interior temperature and thus energy losses.
- 87 LIPSS photon regeneration experiment, assuming scalar particle S^0 . See Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 88 CHOU 08 perform a variable-baseline photon regeneration experiment. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits. Excludes the PVLAS result of ZAVATTINI 06.

- ⁸⁹ FOUCHE 08 is an update of ROBILLIARD 07. See their Fig. 12 for mass-dependent limits.
- 90 INOUE 08 is an extension of INOUE 02 to larger axion masses, using the Tokyo axion helioscope. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 91 ZAVATTINI 08 is an upgrade of ZAVATTINI 06, see their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits. They now exclude the parameter range where ZAVATTINI 06 had seen a positive signature.
- ⁹² ANDRIAMONJE 07 looked for Primakoff conversion of solar axions in 9T superconducting magnet into X-rays. Supersedes ZIOUTAS 05.
- 93 ROBILLIARD 07 perform a photon regeneration experiment with a pulsed laser and pulsed magnetic field. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits. Excludes the PVLAS result of ZAVATTINI 06 with a CL exceeding 99.9%.
- 94 ZAVATTINI 06 propagate a laser beam in a magnetic field and observe dichroism and birefringence effects that could be attributed to an axion-like particle. This result is now excluded by ROBILLIARD 07, ZAVATTINI 08, and CHOU 08.
- ⁹⁵ INOUE 02 looked for Primakoff conversion of solar axions in 4T superconducting magnet into X ray.
- 96 MORALES 02B looked for the coherent conversion of solar axions to photons via the Primakoff effect in Germanium detector.
- 97 BERNABEI 01B looked for Primakoff coherent conversion of solar axions into photons via Bragg scattering in NaI crystal in DAMA dark matter detector.
- 98 ASTIER 00B looked for production of axions from the interaction of high-energy photons with the horn magnetic field and their subsequent re-conversion to photons via the interaction with the NOMAD dipole magnetic field.
- 99 MASSO 00 studied limits on axion-proton coupling using the induced axion-photon coupling through the proton loop and CAMERON 93 bound on the axion-photon coupling using optical rotation. They obtained the bound $g_p^2/4\pi < 1.7 \times 10^{-9}$ for the coupling $g_p \overline{p} \gamma_5 p \phi_A$.
- 100 AVIGNONE 98 result is based on the coherent conversion of solar axions to photons via the Primakoff effect in a single crystal germanium detector.
- 101 Based on the conversion of solar axions to X-rays in a strong laboratory magnetic field.
- ¹⁰² Experiment based on proposal by MAIANI 86.
- ¹⁰³ Experiment based on proposal by VANBIBBER 87.
- 104 LAZARUS 92 experiment is based on proposal found in VANBIBBER 89.
- $^{105}\,\text{RUOSO}$ 92 experiment is based on the proposal by VANBIBBER 87.
- 106 SEMERTZIDIS 90 experiment is based on the proposal of MAIANI 86. The limit is obtained by taking the noise amplitude as the upper limit. Limits extend to $m_{A^0}=4\times 10^{-3}$ where $G_{A\gamma\gamma}<1\times 10^{-4}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$.

Limit on Invisible A⁰ (Axion) Electron Coupling

The limit is for $g_{Aee} \phi_A \overline{e}(i\gamma_5)e$, or equivalently, the dipole-dipole potential σ^2

 $-\frac{g_{Ae\,e}^2}{16\pi\,m_{e}^2}\left((\pmb{\sigma}_1\cdot\pmb{\sigma}_2)-3(\pmb{\sigma}_1\cdot\pmb{n})\,(\pmb{\sigma}_2\cdot\pmb{n})\right)/r^3 \text{ where } \pmb{n}=\pmb{r}/r \text{ and the sign of the potential was corrected based on DAIDO 17}.$

VALUE		CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not us	e the follow	ing data for avera	ges, fi	ts, limits	, etc. • • •
<4	\times 10 ⁻¹²	90	$^{ m 1}$ APRILE	22	XE1T	$m_{A^0} = 0.01 – 0.4 \text{ keV}$
,-	$\times 10^{-15}$	90	² APRILE	22 B	XENT	$m_{A0} = 1-39, 44-140 \text{ keV}$
<2	$\times 10^{-12}$	90	³ APRILE	22 B	XENT	Solar axions
< 2.6	× 10 ⁻⁶	95	⁴ DESSERT ⁵ IKFDA	22 22		Magnetic white dwarf $m_{\Delta0}$ =33.117-33.130 μ eV
\2.0	,, _0					A0 33.22. 33.230 pc v

Created: 5/31/2023 09:12

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 38

$< 2.5 \times 10^{-18}$		⁶ LANGHOFF	22	COSM	$m_{A^0} = 20 - 3 \times 10^4 \text{ keV}$
		⁷ WANG	22C		$m_{A^0} \leq 0.47 \text{ meV}$
		⁸ XIAO	22	ASTR	Betelgeuse
10		⁹ CALORE	21	ASTR	Core-collapse SNe
$< 2.5 \times 10^{-10}$		10 LUCENTE	21	ASTR	SN 1987A
$< 5.1 \times 10^{-12}$	90	11 AGOSTINI	20	HPGE	$m_{A^0} = 0.06-1 \text{ MeV}$
$<1 \times 10^{-9}$	90	¹² AMARAL	20	SCDM	$m_{A^0} = 1.2 - 50 \text{ eV}$
$<2 \times 10^{-14}$	90	¹³ APRILE	20	XE1T	$m_{{\cal A}^0}=1~{\sf keV}$
$2.6-3.7 \times 10^{-12}$	90	14 APRILE	20	XE1T	Solar axions
$< 6 \times 10^{-13}$	90	¹⁵ ARALIS	20	SCDM	$m_{A^0} = 0.04-500 \text{ keV}$
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-13}$	95	¹⁶ CAPOZZI	20	ASTR	Tip of the Red Giant
$< 1.7 \times 10^{-11}$	95	¹⁷ CRESCINI	20	QUAX	Branch $m_{A^0} = 42.4-43.1 \ \mu eV$
$< 1.8 \times 10^{-9}$		¹⁸ GHOSH	20A	COSM	$m_{A^0} \lesssim 0.5 \text{ MeV}$
$< 1.48 \times 10^{-13}$	95	¹⁹ STRANIERO	20	ASTR	Tip of the Red Giant Branch
$< 2.48 \times 10^{-11}$	90	²⁰ WANG	20A	CDEX	Solar axions
$< 4 \times 10^{-13}$	90	²¹ WANG	20A	CDEX	$m_{\Delta^0}=1.5~{\rm keV}$
$< 1.7 \times 10^{-11}$	90	²² ADHIKARI	19 B	C100	Solar axions
$< 2.3 \times 10^{-14}$	90	²³ APRILE	19 D	XE1T	$m_{A0} = 0.186 - 1 \text{ keV}$
10		²⁴ DESSERT	19	ASTR	Magnetic white dwarf
$<2.6 \times 10^{-10}$	95	²⁵ TERRANO	19		Torsion pendulum
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-13}$	90	²⁶ ABE	18F	XMAS	$m_{A^0} = 40-120 \text{ keV}$
$<1.1 \times 10^{-11}$	90	²⁷ ARMENGAUD		EDE3	Solar axions
$<4 \times 10^{-13}$	90	²⁸ ARMENGAUD		EDE3	$m_{A^0} = 0.8-500 \text{ keV}$
$< 4.9 \times 10^{-10}$	95	²⁹ CRESCINI	18	QUAX	$m_{ extstyle A^0} = 58~\mu \mathrm{eV}$
10		³⁰ FICEK	18	THEO	$m_{A^0} < 10 \text{ keV}$
$< 4.5 \times 10^{-13}$	90	31 ABGRALL	17	HPGE	$m_{A^0}=11.8 \text{ keV}$
$<3.5 \times 10^{-12}$	90	32 AKERIB	17 B	LUX	Solar axions
$<4.2 \times 10^{-13}$	90	33 AKERIB	17 B	LUX	$m_{A^0} = 116 \text{ keV}$
$< 2.3 \times 10^{-13}$	90	34 APRILE	17 B	X100	$m_{A^0}=6 \text{ keV}$
$<4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	³⁵ FICEK	17	THEO	$m_{A^0} < 1 \; \mathrm{keV}$
$<4.35 \times 10^{-12}$	90	36 FU			Solar axions
$<4.3 \times 10^{-14}$	90	37 _{FU}			$m_{A^0} = 2 \text{ keV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-13}$	90	³⁸ LIU			$m_{A^0} = 13 \text{ keV}$
$< 2.5 \times 10^{-11}$	90	³⁹ LIU		CDEX	
< 0.15	95	⁴⁰ LUO	17		$m_{A^0} = 300 \text{ eV}$
$<3.3 \times 10^{-13}$	68	⁴¹ BATTICH	16		White dwarf cooling
$< 7 \times 10^{-13}$	00	⁴² CORSICO ⁴³ YOON	16		White dwarf cooling
$<1.39 \times 10^{-11}$ $<7.4 \times 10^{-9}$	90 95	44 TERRANO	16 15	KIMS	Solar axions
$< 8 \times 10^{-13}$	90	⁴⁵ ABE	14F	YMAC	$m_{A^0} < 30 \ \mu eV$
$< 7.7 \times 10^{-12}$		46 APRILE			$m_{A^0} = 60 \text{ keV}$
<1.1 × 10	90	47 APRILE	14в 14в	X100 X100	Solar axions $m_{\Delta^0} = 5-7 \text{ keV}$
$< 0.96-8.2 \times 10^{-8}$	90	48 DERBIN	14	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 3.7 \text{ KeV}$ $m_{A^0} = 0.11 \text{ MeV}$
$< 0.90 - 0.2 \times 10$ $< 2.8 \times 10^{-13}$	99	49 MILLER-BER		ASTR	$M_{A^0} = 0.1-1 \text{ WeV}$ White dwarf cooling
\2.0 X 10 -3	99	WIILLEN-DEK	. 14	AJIK	vvilite uwari cooling

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 39

$< 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$	11 ₉₀	⁵⁰ ABE	13 D	XMAS	Solar axions
$<1.07 \times 10^{-1}$	12 90	⁵¹ ARMENGAUD	13	EDEL	$m_{A^0}=12.5 \; \mathrm{keV}$
$< 2.59 \times 10^{-3}$	¹¹ 90	⁵² ARMENGAUD	13	EDEL	Solar axions
		⁵³ BARTH	13	CAST	Solar axions
$< 1.4-9.7 \times 1$	10^{-7} 90	⁵⁴ DERBIN	13	CNTR	$m_{A0} = 0.1$ –1 MeV
$<1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	⁸ 68	⁵⁵ HECKEL	13		$m_{\Delta0}^{\prime\prime} \leq 0.1~\mu \mathrm{eV}$
$<4.3 \times 10^{-3}$		⁵⁶ VIAUX	13A	ASTR	Low-mass red giants
<7 \times 10 ⁻¹	13 ₉₅	⁵⁷ CORSICO	12	ASTR	White dwarf cooling
$< 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$	10 ₉₀	⁵⁸ DERBIN	12	CNTR	Solar axions
$< 0.02 - 1 \times 10^{-1}$	$_{90}^{-10}$	⁵⁹ AALSETH	11	CNTR	$m_{A0}^{}=0.3-8 \; {\rm keV}$
$<1.4 \times 10^{-1}$	12 ₉₀	⁶⁰ AHMED	09A	CDMS	$m_{\Delta 0} = 2.5 \text{ keV}$
<4 \times 10 ⁻⁹	9	⁶¹ DAVOUDIASL	09	ASTR	Earth cooling
$< 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$	⁸ 66	⁶² NI	94		Induced magnetism
		⁶² CHUI	93		Induced magnetism
$< 3.6 \times 10^{-1}$	⁷ 66	63 PAN	92		Torsion pendulum
$< 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$	8 95	⁶² BOBRAKOV	91		Induced magnetism
$<1.9 \times 10^{-6}$	66	⁶⁴ WINELAND	91	NMR	
<7 \times 10 ⁻	⁷ 66	⁶³ RITTER	90		Torsion pendulum
$< 6.6 \times 10^{-2}$		⁶² VOROBYOV	88		Induced magnetism
1					

- ¹ APRILE 22 extend APRILE 19D to lower masses by removing the background of ionization signals correlated with high-energy events. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0}=0.1$ keV. See their Fig. 15 for mass-dependent limits.
- 2 APRILE 22B is an update of APRILE 20, and set the limit, $g_{Aee} \lesssim 9 \times 10^{-15} \text{--}3 \times 10^{-13}$. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0} = 2$ keV. They exclude the XENON1T excess found in APRILE 20. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- ³ APRILE 22B is an update of APRILE 20. They exclude the XENON1T excess found in APRILE 20. The quoted limit holds for small $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$. See their Fig. 6 for correlation between g_{Aee} and $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$.
- 4 DESSERT 22 is an update of DESSERT 19. They used the Chandra observation of the magnetic white dwarf RE J0317-853 to look for converted X-rays in the magnetosphere from axions produced in the core through electron bremsstrahlung. They obtained the limit, $g_{A\,e\,e}\cdot G_{A\,\gamma\,\gamma} < 1.3\times 10^{-25}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ at 95% CL for $m_{A^0} \lesssim 10^{-5}~{\rm eV}.$ See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 5 IKEDA 22 look for magnons excited by dark matter axions, using data taken with a hybrid quantum system consisting of a superconducting qubit and a spherical ferrimagnetic crystal. The quoted limit assumes the local dark matter density $\rho_{A}=0.45~\text{GeV/cm}^3$ and the velocity v=220~km/sec. See their Fig. 4 for the limits.
- ⁶ LANGHOFF 22 set limits by considering the freeze-in production of axions coupled to electrons without anomalous coupling to photons. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0}=15$ MeV for the reheating temperature equal to 5 MeV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 7 WANG 22C use the spin-amplifier based on hyperpolarized 129 Xe to set limits on the product of the axion couplings to electrons and nucleons as $g_{A\,e\,e}\,g_{A\,n\,n} < 4\times 10^2$ (95 % CL) at $m_{A^0} = 0.1$ meV. Here $g_{A\,n\,n}$ is the dimensionless axion-neutron coupling. See their Fig. 4 for the mass-dependent limits.

- 8 XIAO 22 extend XIAO 21 in the list of photon coupling limits by including the production of axions from Compton and bremsstrahlung processes, and set limits on the product of the axion couplings to electrons and photons as $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ g_{Aee} $< 0.4–2.8 \times 10^{-24}$ GeV $^{-1}$ (95 % CL) for m_{A^0} $< 3.5 \times 10^{-11}$ eV. See their Fig. 5 for the limits. They are comparable to those of DESSERT 19 and more restrictive than the CAST bounds of BARTH 13.
- ⁹ CALORE 21 consider the production of axions from Galactic and extragalactic SNe via nucleon-nucleon bremsstrahlung and their subsequent decay into electron-positron pairs, and exclude the range of $g_{A\,e\,e} \simeq 10^{-19}$ – 10^{-11} at $g_{A\,p\,p} = 10^{-9}$ for $m_{A^0} = 3$ –30 MeV. See their Fig. 7 for the limits.
- 10 LUCENTE 21 study the axion production in a supernova via electron-proton bremsstrahlung and electron-positron fusion, and exclude the range of $g_{A\,e\,e} \simeq 10^{-10} 10^{-8}$ for $m_{A^0} = 1$ –160 MeV. The quoted limit is at $m_{A^0} = 1$ 20 MeV. See their Fig. 12 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 11 AGOSTINI 20 is analogous to AHMED 09A. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0}=150$ keV. Their limits in their Fig. 3 were later found to be incorrect due to an error of their Eqs. (1) and (2). See Fig. 3 in AGOSTINI 22A for the corrected limits.
- 12 AMARAL 20 use a second-generation SuperCDMS high-voltage eV-resolution detector to set limits on dark-matter axion absorption. The quoted limit is for $m_{{\cal A}^0} \simeq 17$ eV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'} = 0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- APRILE 20 is an update of APRILE 17B where they look for an absorption signal of axion dark matter. They obtained the limit, $g_{A\,e\,e}\lesssim 2\times 10^{-14}$ – 1×10^{-12} at 90%CL for $m_{A^0}=1$ –200 keV. They also found an excess over known backgrounds, which favors the mass $m_{A^0}=2.3\pm 0.2$ keV with a 3 σ significance. See their Fig. 10 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁴ APRILE 20 look for solar axions from the ABC interactions, the Primakoff conversion, and the 14.4 keV M1 transition of ⁵⁷Fe, and set limits on g_{Aee} , $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$, g_{ANN} , and their products. An excess is observed at low energies between 2 and 3 keV. See their Fig.8 for correlation between the couplings. The quoted limit applies to the case of vanishing $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and g_{ANN} .
- 15 ARALIS 20 is analogous to AHMED 09A. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0}=0.3$ keV. The limits at masses above 3 keV in their Fig. 9 was later found to be incorrect due to an error in their analysis. See Fig. 2 in ARALIS 21 for the corrected limits.
- 16 CAPOZZI 20 obtains a limit on the axion-electron coupling from the brightness of the tip of the red-giant branch in ω Centauri. A similar limit of $< 1.6 \times 10^{-13}$ is obtained in NGC 4258.
- 17 CRESCINI 20 is an update of CRESCINI 18. They assume a local axion dark matter density, $\rho_A=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}.$ See their Fig.4 for the limits.
- 18 GHOSH 20A study thermal production of axion via coupling to leptons in the early universe and estimate its contribution to $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$. The quoted limit is for $\Delta N_{\rm eff} < 0.5$. See their Fig. 7 for their mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁹ STRANIERO 20 is analogous to CAPOZZI 20, with 22 galactic globular clusters used to derive the limit.
- 20 WANG 20A is an update of LIU 17A. See their Fig. 9.
- ²¹ WANG 20A is an update of LIU 17A. They assume a local axion dark matter density, ρ_A = 0.3 GeV/cm³. See their Fig. 10 for limits between 0.185 $< m_{A^0} <$ 10 keV.
- ²² ADHIKARI 19B is analogous to LIU 17A.
- ²³APRILE 19D is analogous to APRILE 17B, but they use only ionization signals. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\Delta0}=0.7$ keV. See their Fig. 5(e) for mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁴ DESSERT 19 used the Suzaku observations of a magnetic white dwarf (RE J0317-853) to look for X-ray signatures converted from axions in the surrounding magnetic fields.

- They obtained the limit, $g_{Aee} \cdot G_{A\gamma\gamma} < 1.6 \times 10^{-24} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ at 95%CL for $m_{A^0} \lesssim 10^{-5}$ eV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁵ TERRANO 19 look for the axion-induced oscillating magnetic field acting on the electron spin, using data taken with a rotating torsion pendulum containing polarized electrons. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0}=10^{-23}$ – 10^{-18} eV and assumes a local axion dark matter density, $\rho_A=0.45$ GeV/cm³. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 26 ABE 18F is an update of ABE 14F. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\mbox{$\cal A$}^0}=60$ keV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁷ ARMENGAUD 18 is analogous to LIU 17A.
- ²⁸ ARMENGAUD 18 is analogous to AHMED 09A. See the left panel of Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁹ CRESCINI 18 look for collective excitations of the electron spins caused by dark matter axions. The quoted limit assumes the local dark matter density, $\rho_A = 0.45 \text{ GeV/cm}^3$.
- 30 FICEK 18 use the measurements of the hyperfine structure of antiprotonic helium to constrain a dipole-dipole potential between electron and antiproton. See their Fig. 3 for limits on various spin- and velocity-dependent potentials.
- 31 ABGRALL 17 is analogous to AHMED 09A using the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR. See their Fig. 2 for limits between 6 keV $< m_{\Delta0}~<$ 97 keV.
- 32 AKERIB 17B is analogous to LIU 17A.
- 33 AKERIB 17B is analogous to AHMED 09A. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 34 APRILE 17B is analogous to AHMED 09A. They found a bug in their code and needed to correct the limits in Fig. 7 of APRILE 14B. See their Fig. 1 for the corrected limits between 1 keV $< m_{\Delta0} <$ 40 keV.
- 35 FICEK 17 look for spin-dependent interactions between electrons by comparing precision spectroscopic measurements in 4 He with theoretical calculations. See their Fig. 1 for limits up to $m_{\Delta0}=10$ keV.
- $^{36}\,\text{FU}$ 17A is analogous to LIU 17A. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{
 m 37}\,{\rm FU}$ 17A is analogous to AHMED 09A. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 38 LIU 17A is analogous to AHMED 09A. See their Fig. 9 for limits between 0.25 keV < $m_{\it A0}$ $\,<$ 20 keV.
- 39 LIU 17A look for solar axions produced from Compton, bremsstrahlung, atomic-recombination and deexcitation channels, and set a limit for $m_{A^0} < 1$ keV.
- 40 LUO 17 use a recent measurement of the dipole-dipole interaction between two iron atoms at the nanometer scale and set a limit for $m_{A^0} < 1$ keV. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴¹ BATTICH 16 is analogous to CORSICO 16 and used the pulsating DB white dwarf PG 1351+489.
- ⁴² CORSICO 16 studied the cooling rate of the pulsating DA white dwarf L19-2 based on an asteroseismic model.
- 43 YOON 16 look for solar axions with the axio-electric effect in CsI(TI) crystals and set a limit for $m_{\Delta0}~<1~{\rm keV}.$
- ⁴⁴ TERRANO 15 used a torsion pendulum and rotating attractor with 20-pole electron-spin distributions. See their Fig. 4 for a mass-dependent limit up to $m_{A0}=500~\mu \text{eV}$.
- 45 ABE 14F set limits on the axioelectric effect in the XMASS detector assuming the pseudoscalar constitutes all the local dark matter. See their Fig. 3 for limits between $m_{A^0} = 40$ –120 keV.
- $^{
 m 46}$ APRILE 14B look for solar axions using the XENON100 detector.
- ⁴⁷ APRILE 14B is analogous to AHMED 09A. Their Fig. 7 was later found to be incorrect due to a bug in their code. See Fig. 1 in APRILE 17B for the corrected limits.
- 48 DERBIN 14 is an update of DERBIN 13 with a BGO scintillating bolometer. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.

- ⁴⁹ MILLER-BERTOLAMI 14 studied the impact of axion emission on white dwarf cooling in a self-consistent way.
- 50 ABE 13D is analogous to DERBIN 12, using the XMASS detector.
- 51 ARMENGAUD 13 is similar to AALSETH 11. See their Fig. 10 for limits between 3 keV $< m_{A0} <$ 100 keV.
- ⁵² ARMENGAUD 13 is similar to DERBIN 12, and take account of axio-recombination and axio-deexcitation effects. See their Fig. 12 for mass-dependent limits.
- 53 BARTH 13 search for solar axions produced by axion-electron coupling, and obtained the limit, $g_{A\,e\,e}\cdot G_{A\,\gamma\,\gamma}<~8.1\times 10^{-23}~\text{GeV}^{-1}$ at 95%CL.
- ⁵⁴ DERBIN 13 looked for 5.5 MeV solar axions produced in $pd \rightarrow {}^{3}$ He A^{0} in a BGO detector through the axioelectric effect. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵⁵ HECKEL 13 studied the influence of 2 or 4 stationary sources each containing 6.0×10^{24} polarized electrons, on a rotating torsion pendulum containing 9.8×10^{24} polarized electrons. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 56 VIAUX 13A constrain axion emission using the observed brightness of the tip of the red-giant branch in the globular cluster M5.
- ⁵⁷ CORSICO 12 attributed the excessive cooling rate of the pulsating white dwarf R548 to emission of axions with $g_{Aee} \simeq 4.8 \times 10^{-13}$.
- ⁵⁸ DERBIN 12 look for solar axions with the axio-electric effect in a Si(Li) detector. The solar production is based on Compton and bremsstrahlung processes.
- 59 AALSETH 11 is analogous to AHMED 09A. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 60 AHMED 09A assume keV-mass pseudoscalars are the local dark matter and constrain the axio-electric effect in the CDMS detector. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{61}\,\mathrm{DAVOUDIASL}$ 09 use geophysical constraints on Earth cooling by axion emission.
- ⁶² These experiments measured induced magnetization of a bulk material by the spindependent potential generated from other bulk material with aligned electron spins, where the magnetic field is shielded with superconductor. The sign of the limit set by CHUI 93 is opposite to that of the axion-mediated dipole-dipole potential.
- 63 These experiments used a torsion pendulum to measure the potential between two bulk matter objects where the spins are polarized but without a net magnetic field in either of them. The limits reflect the corrected sign of the dipole-dipole potential.
- 64 WINELAND 91 looked for an effect of bulk matter with aligned electron spins on atomic hyperfine splitting using nuclear magnetic resonance.

Invisible A⁰ (Axion) Limits from Nucleon Coupling

Limits are for the axion mass in eV.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	e followin	g data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
< 0.016	95	¹ BUSCHMANN	22	ASTR	Neutron star cooling
<320	90	² GAVRILYUK		CNTR	Solar axion
		³ SCHULTHESS	22		Neutron EDM
		⁴ AYBAS	21	CASP	Nucleon EDM
		⁵ BHUSAL	21		Solar axion
		⁶ JIANG	21	NMR	Axion dark matter
		⁷ ROUSSY	21		Molecular EDM
		⁸ ZHANG			Neutron star inspiral
< 24	90	⁹ ABDELHAME.			Solar axion
		¹⁰ ABDELHAME.	.20	CNTR	Solar axion
		¹¹ APRILE	20	XE1T	Solar axion
		¹² KLIMCHITSK.	20		Casimir effect
< 7.3	90	¹³ WANG	20A	CDEX	Solar axion
https://pdg.lbl.gov		Page 43		Creat	red: 5/31/2023 09:12

< 0.03		¹⁴ LEINSON	19	ASTR	Neutron star cooling
$< 9.6 \times 10^{-3}$	95	¹⁵ LLOYD	19	ASTR	γ -rays from NS
		¹⁶ SMORRA	19		\overline{p} g-factor
		¹⁷ WU	19	NMR	Axion dark matter
< 65	95	¹⁸ AKHMATOV	18		Solar axion
< 6.6	90	¹⁹ ARMENGAUD		EDE3	Solar axion
< 0.085	90	²⁰ BEZNOGOV	18		Neutron star cooling
< 12.7	95	²¹ GAVRILYUK	18		Solar axion
< 0.01		²² HAMAGUCHI	18		Neutron star cooling
		²³ ABEL	17		Neutron EDM
< 93	90	²⁴ ABGRALL	17	HPGE	Solar axion
< 4	90	²⁵ FU	17A	PNDX	Solar axion
		²⁶ KLIMCHITSK	.17A		Casimir effect
<177	90	²⁷ LIU	17A	CDEX	Solar axion
< 0.079	95	²⁸ BERENJI	16	ASTR	γ -rays from NS
<100	95	²⁹ GAVRILYUK	15	CNTR	Solar axion
		³⁰ KLIMCHITSK	.15		Casimir-less
		³¹ BEZERRA	14		Casimir effect
		³² BEZERRA	14A		Casimir effect
		³³ BEZERRA	14 B		Casimir effect
		³⁴ BEZERRA	14 C		Casimir effect
		³⁵ BLUM	14	COSM	⁴ He abundance
		³⁶ LEINSON	14	ASTR	Neutron star cooling
<250	95	³⁷ ALESSANDRIA	13	CNTR	Solar axion
<155	90	³⁸ ARMENGAUD	13	EDEL	Solar axion
$< 8.6 \times 10^{3}$	90	³⁹ BELLI	12	CNTR	Solar axion
$< 1.4 \times 10^4$	90	⁴⁰ BELLINI	12 B	BORX	Solar axion
<145	95	⁴¹ DERBIN	11	CNTR	Solar axion
		⁴² BELLINI	80	CNTR	Solar axion
		⁴³ ADELBERGER	07		Test of Newton's law

 $^{^1}$ BUSCHMANN 22 studied the axion emission from five neutron stars with ages $\sim 10^5 - 10^6$ years, comparing the simulation with axions to age and luminosity measurements. The mass bound assumes the KSVZ axion model with C $_p = -0.47$ and C $_n = -0.02$. See their Fig. 3 for the limits on the DFSZ axion model.

 $^{^2}$ GAVRILYUK 22 look for solar axions from the ABC interactions with the experimental setup similar to GAVRILYUK 15. The mass bound assumes the KSVZ axion model, S=0.5, and $m_u/m_d=0.56.$

 $^{^3}$ SCHULTHESS 22 look for a time-oscillating neutron EDM caused by the coupling between axion dark matter and gluons, using a Ramsey-type apparatus for a cold neutron beam. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the range of $m_{A^0}=10^{-19}$ –4 $\times\,10^{-12}$ eV.

 $^{^4}$ AYBAS 21 limits the axion couplings to the nucleon EDM and the nucleons as $g_{A\,N\,\gamma} < 9.5 \times 10^{-4}~{\rm GeV}^{-2}$ and $g_{A\,N\,N}/2m_N < 0.28~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ (95 % CL) for $m_{A^0} = 162$ –166 neV, based on a measurement of $^{207}{\rm Pb}$ solid-state NMR in a polarized ferroelecrtric crystal. Here m_N is the nucleon mass and $g_{A\,N\,N}$ is the dimensionless axion-nucleon coupling. They assume that axions make up all the dark matter with $\rho_A \simeq 0.46~{\rm GeV/cm}^3$. See their Fig. 3 for the limits.

 $^{^5}$ BHUSAL 21 looked for 5.5 MeV solar axions produced by $pd \to ^3 {\rm He}\,A^0$ through the axion-induced dissociation of deuterons by using SNO data, and set a limit on the isovector axion-nucleon coupling, $|g_{aN}^3| < 2 \times 10^{-5}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$, which is equivalent to $|g_{Ann}-g_{App}| < 4 \times 10^{-5}$ in terms of the dimensionless axion-nucleon couplings.

- 6 JIANG 21 use the spin-amplifier based on hyperpolarized 129 Xe gas to set limits on the axion couplings to nucleons as $g_{A\,N\,N}/2m_N < 3.2\times 10^{-9}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ (95 % CL) at $m_{A^0}=52.94~{\rm feV}$, and comparable limits in the mass range of 8.3–744 feV. Here m_N is the nucleon mass and $g_{A\,N\,N}$ is the dimensionless axion-nucleon coupling. They assume that axions make up all the dark matter with $\rho_A\simeq 0.4~{\rm GeV/cm}^3$. See their Fig. 4b for the limits.
- ⁷ROUSSY 21 look for a time-oscillating EDM of molecular ions HfF⁺ induced by axion dark matter couplings to gluons. See their Fig. 3 for limits in the range of $m_{A^0} = 10^{-22} 10^{-15}$ eV.
- 8 ZHANG 21B use the gravitational waves from the binary neutron star inspiral GW170817 to look for a type of axion whose mass is suppressed due to cancellation with additional contributions. They exclude $1.6\times10^{16}~< f_A~<~10^{18}~{\rm GeV}$ at 3 σ for $m_{A^0}~\lesssim~10^{-13}$ eV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁹ABDELHAMEED 20 look for the resonant excitation of 169 Tm (8.41 keV) by solar axions produced via the Primakoff effect. The mass bound assumes the KSVZ axion model, S=0.5, and $m_u/m_d=0.56$. They set a limit on the product of axion couplings to photons and nucleons as $G_{A\gamma\gamma} \cdot g_{App} < 1.44 \times 10^{-14} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ (90 % CL).
- 10 ABDELHAMEED 20 look for the resonant excitation of 169 Tm (8.41 keV) by solar axions produced via the axion-electron coupling. They set a limit on the product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons as $g_{A\,e\,e}$. $g_{A\,p\,p} < 2.81 \times 10^{-16}$ (90 % CL).
- ¹¹ APRILE 20 look for solar axions from the ABC interactions, the Primakoff conversion, and the 14.4 keV M1 transition of ⁵⁷Fe. An excess is observed at low energies between 2 and 3 keV. See their Fig.8 for correlation between the couplings.
- 12 KLIMCHITSKAYA 20 use the measurement of the Casimir force between a Au-coated microsphere and a SiC plate to constrain the force due to two-axion exchange for 17.8 $\,< m_{A0} \,< 100$ eV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 13 WANG 20A is an update of LIU 17A. The limit assumes the DFSZ axion. See their Fig. 7 for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- 14 LEINSON 19 is analogous to BEZNOGOV 18, but estimating the axion luminosity based on the Tolman's analytic solution to the Einstein equations of spherical fluids in hydrostatic equilibrium. The dimensionless axion-neutron coupling is constrained as $g_{Ann} < 1.0 \times 10^{-10}$.
- 15 LLOYD 19 is analogous to BERENJI 16. They highlight that the limit obtained with this technique strongly depends on the assumed NS core temperature.
- 16 SMORRA 19 look for spin-precession effects from ultra-light axion dark matter in the \overline{p} spin-flip resonance data. Assuming $\rho_A=0.4~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$, they constrain the dimensionless axion-antiproton coupling as $g_{A\overline{p}\overline{p}}<2$ –9 at 95% CL for $m_{A^0}=2\times 10^{-23}$ –4 $\times 10^{-17}$ eV. See the right panel of their Fig. 3.
- 17 WU 19 look for axion-induced time-oscillating features of the NMR spectrum of acetonitrile-2- 13 C. Assuming C $_p$ = C $_n$ and ρ_A = 0.4 GeV/cm 3 , they constrain the dimensionless axion-nucleon coupling as g_{ANN} < 6 \times 10 $^{-5}$ for m_{A^0} = 10^{-21} –1.3 \times 10 $^{-17}$ eV. Note that the limits for m_{A^0} < 10 $^{-21}$ eV in their Fig. 3(a) should be weaker than those for heavier masses. See ADELBERGER 19 and WU 19C on this issue.
- 18 AKHMATOV 18 is an update of GAVRILYUK 15.
- ARMENGAUD 18 is analogous to ALESSANDRIA 13. The quoted limit assumes the DFSZ axion model. See their Fig. 4 for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- ²⁰ BEZNOGOV 18 constrain the axion-neutron coupling by assuming that thermal evolution of the hot neutron star HESS J1731-347 is dominated by the lowest possible neutrino emission. The quoted limit assumes the KSVZ axion with the effective Peccei-Quinn

- charge of the neutron C $_n=-0.02$. The dimensionless axion-neutron couling is constrained as $g_{Ann}~<2.8\times10^{-10}$.
- ²¹ GAVRILYUK 18 look for the resonant excitation of 83 Kr (9.4 keV) by solar axions produced via the Primakoff effect. The mass bound assumes $m_u/m_d=0.56$ and S=0.5.
- 22 HAMAGUCHI 18 studied the axion emission from the neutron star in Cassiopeia A based on the minimal cooling scenario which explains the observed rapid cooling rate. The quoted limit corresponds to $f_A > 5 \times 10^8$ GeV obtained for the KSVZ axion with C $_p = -0.47$ and C $_n = -0.02$.
- ²³ ABEL 17 look for a time-oscillating neutron EDM and an axion-wind spin-precession effect respectively induced by axion dark matter couplings to gluons and nucleons. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the range of $m_{A^0} = 10^{-24}$ – 10^{-17} eV.
- 24 ABGRALL 17 limit assumes the hadronic axion model used in ALESSANDRIA 13. See their Fig. 4 for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- 25 FU 17A look for the 14.4 keV 57 Fe solar axions. The limit assumes the DFSZ axion model. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits on the axion-electron coupling. Notice that in this figure the DFSZ and KSVZ lines should be interchanged.
- $^{26}\,\text{KLIMCHITSKAYA}$ 17A use the differential measurement of the Casimir force between a Ni-coated sphere and Au and Ni sectors of the structured disc to constrain the axion coupling to nucleons for 2.61 meV $< m_{A^0} < 0.9$ eV. See their Figs. 1 and 2 for mass dependent limits.
- ²⁷ LIU 17 is analogous to ALESSANDRIA 13. The limit assumes the hadronic axion model. See their Fig. 6(b) for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- $^{28}\,\mathrm{BERENJI}$ 16 used the Fermi LAT observations of neutron stars to look for photons from axion decay. They assume the effective Peccei-Quinn charge of the neutron C $_n=0.1$ and a neutron-star core temperature of 20 MeV.
- ²⁹ GAVRILYUK 15 look for solar axions emitted by the M1 transition of 83 Kr (9.4 keV). The mass bound assumes $m_{\mu}/m_d=0.56$ and S=0.5.
- 30 KLIMCHITSKAYA 15 use the measurement of differential forces between a test mass and rotating source masses of Au and Si to constrain the force due to two-axion exchange for $1.7 \times 10^{-3} < m_{A^0} < 0.9$ eV. See their Figs. 1 and 2 for mass dependent limits.
- 31 BEZERRA 14 use the measurement of the thermal Casimir-Polder force between a Bose-Einstein condensate of 87 Rb atoms and a SiO $_2$ plate to constrain the force mediated by exchange of two pseudoscalars for 0.1 meV $< m_{A^0} < 0.3$ eV. See their Fig. 2 for the mass-dependent limit on pseudoscalar coupling to nucleons.
- 32 BEZERRA 14A is analogous to BEZERRA 14. They use the measurement of the Casimir pressure between two Au-coated plates to constrain pseudoscalar coupling to nucleons for $1\times 10^{-3}~{\rm eV} < m_{\varDelta 0} < 15~{\rm eV}.$ See their Figs. 1 and 2 for the mass-dependent limit.
- 33 BEZERRA 14B is analogous to BEZERRA 14. BEZERRA 14B use the measurement of the normal and lateral Casimir forces between sinusoidally corrugated surfaces of a sphere and a plate to constrain pseudoscalar coupling to nucleons for 1 eV $< m_{\mbox{$A^0$}} < 20$ eV. See their Figs. 1–3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 34 BEZERRA 14C is analogous to BEZERRA 14. They use the measurement of the gradient of the Casimir force between Au- and Ni-coated surfaces of a sphere and a plate to constrain pseudoscalar coupling to nucleons for $3\times 10^{-5}~{\rm eV} < m_{\mbox{A_{0}}} < 1~{\rm eV}.$ See their Figs. 1, 3, and 4 for the mass-dependent limits.
- ³⁵ BLUM 14 studied effects of an oscillating strong *CP* phase induced by axion dark matter on the primordial ⁴He abundance. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 36 LEINSON 14 attributes the excessive cooling rate of the neutron star in Cassiopeia A to axion emission from the superfluid core, and found $C_n^2 m_{A^0}^2 \simeq 5.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}^2$, where C_n is the effective Peccei-Quinn charge of the neutron.

- ³⁷ ALESSANDRIA 13 used the CUORE experiment to look for 14.4 keV solar axions produced from the M1 transition of thermally excited ⁵⁷Fe nuclei in the solar core, using the axio-electric effect. The limit assumes the hadronic axion model. See their Fig. 4 for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- ³⁸ ARMENGAUD 13 is analogous to ALESSANDRIA 13. The limit assumes the hadronic axion model. See their Fig. 8 for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- ³⁹ BELLI 12 looked for solar axions emitted by the M1 transition of 7 Li* (478 keV) after the electron capture of 7 Be, using the resonant excitation 7 Li in the LiF crystal. The mass bound assumes $m_u/m_d=0.55$, $m_u/m_s=0.029$, and the flavor-singlet axial vector matrix element S=0.4.
- 40 BELLINI 12B looked for 5.5 MeV solar axions produced in the $pd \rightarrow {}^{3}$ He A^{0} . The limit assumes the hadronic axion model. See their Figs. 6 and 7 for mass-dependent limits on productsof axion couplings to photons, electrons, and nucleons.
- ⁴¹ DERBIN 11 looked for solar axions emitted by the M1 transition of thermally excited 57 Fe nuclei in the Sun, using their possible resonant capture on 57 Fe in the laboratory. The mass bound assumes $m_u/m_d=0.56$ and the flavor-singlet axial vector matrix element $S=3F-D~\simeq~0.5$.
- 42 BELLINI 08 consider solar axions emitted in the M1 transition of 7 Li* (478 keV) and look for a peak at 478 keV in the energy spectra of the Counting Test Facility (CTF), a Borexino prototype. For $m_{A^0} < 450$ keV they find mass-dependent limits on products of axion couplings to photons, electrons, and nucleons.
- ⁴³ ADELBERGER 07 use precision tests of Newton's law to constrain a force contribution from the exchange of two pseudoscalars. See their Fig. 5 for limits on the pseudoscalar coupling to nucleons, relevant for $m_{\Delta0}$ below about 1 meV.

Axion Limits from T-violating Medium-Range Forces

The limit is for the coupling $g=g_p$ g_s in a T-violating potential between nucleons, nucleon and electron, or electrons of the form $V=\frac{g\hbar^2}{8\pi m_p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\hat{r}})$ $(\frac{1}{r^2}+\frac{1}{\lambda r})$ $e^{-r/\lambda}$, where g_s and g_p are dimensionless scalar and pseudoscalar coupling constants, m_p is the fermion mass with the pseudoscalar coupling (whereas the mass m_s of the fermion with the scalar coupling does not explicitly appear), and $\lambda=\hbar/(m_Ac)$ is the range of the force.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
·	•	for av		fits, limits, etc. • • •
o o o vve do not ase	_		reruges,	ints, inints, etc. o o
	$^{ m 1}$ CRESCINI	22	SQID	paramagnetic GSO crystal
	² FENG	22	NMR	polarized 129 Xe and 131 Xe
	³ AFACH	21	GNME	Optical magnetometers
	⁴ DZUBA	18	THEO	atomic EDM
	⁵ STADNIK	18	THEO	atomic and molecular EDMs
	⁶ CRESCINI	17	SQID	paramagnetic GSO crystal
	⁷ AFACH	15		ultracold neutrons
	⁸ STADNIK	15	THEO	nucleon spin contributions for nuclei
	⁹ TERRANO	15		torsion pendulum
	¹⁰ BULATOWICZ	13	NMR	polarized ¹²⁹ Xe and ¹³¹ Xe
	¹¹ CHU	13		polarized ³ He
	¹² TULLNEY	13	SQID	polarized ³ He and ¹²⁹ Xe
	¹³ RAFFELT	12		stellar energy loss
	¹⁴ HOEDL	11		torsion pendulum
	¹⁵ PETUKHOV	10		polarized ³ He

¹⁶ SEREBROV	10		ultracold neutrons
¹⁷ IGNATOVICH	09	RVUE	ultracold neutrons
¹⁸ SEREBROV	09	RVUE	ultracold neutrons
¹⁹ BAESSLER	07		ultracold neutrons
²⁰ HECKEL	06		torsion pendulum
21 NI	99		paramagnetic Tb F ₃
²² POSPELOV	98	THEO	neutron EDM
²³ YOUDIN	96		
²⁴ RITTER	93		torsion pendulum
²⁵ VENEMA	92		nuclear spin-precession frequencies
²⁶ WINELAND	91	NMR	

- 1 CRESCINI 22 is an update of CRESCINI 17, and find $g_p^e g_s^N \leq 5.7 \times 10^{-32}$ and $g_p^e g_s^e \leq 1.6 \times 10^{-31}$ for $\lambda \gtrsim 10$ cm at 95% CL. See their Fig. 4 for limits as a function of λ .
- ² FENG 22 look for changes of the ratio of precession frequencies between ¹²⁹Xe and ¹³¹Xe when a BGO crystal is positioned near the atomic cell. They find $g_p^n g_s^N < 2 \times 10^{-20}$ –3 \times 10⁻²⁴ for $\lambda = 0.11$ –0.55 mm. See their Fig. 4 for limits as a function of λ .
- 3 AFACH 21 look for axion domain walls coupled to atomic spins by using the global network of optical magnetometers. Assuming that the axion domain walls make up all dark matter, they exclude the effective decay constant below 4 \times 10 GeV for m_{A^0} in the range of 10^{-15} – 10^{-11} eV. See their Fig. 4 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 4 DZUBA 18 used atomic EDM measurements to derive limits on the product of the pseudoscalar coupling to nucleon and the scalar coupling to electron, which improved on the laboratory bounds for $m_{A0} > 0.01$ eV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵ STADNIK 18 used atomic and molecular EDM experiments to derive limits on the product of the pseudoscalar couplings to electron and the scalar coupling to nucleon and electron. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits, which improved on the laboratory bounds for $m_{A0} > 0.01$ eV.
- ⁶ CRESCINI 17 use the QUAX- g_pg_s experiment to look for variation of a paramagnetic GSO crystal magnetization when rotating lead disks are positioned near the crystal, and find $g=g_p^eg_s^N<4.3\times10^{-30}$ for $\lambda=0.1$ –0.2 m at 95% CL. See their Fig. 6 for _limits as a function of λ .
- ⁷ AFACH 15 look for a change of spin precession frequency of ultracold neutrons when a magnetic field with opposite directions is applied, and find $g < 2.2 \times 10^{-27} \; (\text{m}/\lambda)^2$ at 95% CL for 1 $\mu\text{m} < \lambda < 5$ mm. See their Fig. 3 for their limits.
- 8 STADNIK 15 studied proton and neutron spin contributions for nuclei and derive the limits $g<~10^{-28}$ – 10^{-23} for $\lambda~>~3\times10^{-4}$ m using the data of TULLNEY 13. See their Figs. 1 and 2 for λ -dependent limits.
- 9 TERRANO 15 used a torsion pendulum and rotating attractor, and derived a restrictive limit on the product of the pseudoscalar coupling to electron and the scalar coupling to nucleons, $g<9\times10^{-29}$ –5 \times 10^{-26} for $m_{\mbox{$A^0$}}<1.5$ –400 $\mu{\rm eV}$. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 10 BULATOWICZ 13 looked for NMR frequency shifts in polarized 129 Xe and 131 Xe when a zirconia rod is positioned near the NMR cell, and find $g < 1 \times 10^{-19}$ – 1×10^{-24} for $\lambda = 0.01$ –1 cm. See their Fig. 4 for their limits.
- 11 CHU 13 look for a shift of the spin precession frequency of polarized 3 He in the presence of an unpolarized mass, in analogy to YOUDIN 96. See Fig. 3 for limits on g in the approximate m_{A0} range 0.02–2 meV.

- 12 TULLNEY 13 look for a shift of the precession frequency difference between the colocated 3 He and 129 Xe in the presence an unpolarized mass, and derive limits g $< 3 \times 10^{-29}$ – $2 \times$ 10^{-22} for $\lambda > 3 \times 10^{-4}$ m. See their Fig. 3 for λ -dependent limits.
- $^{13}\,\mathrm{RAFFELT}$ 12 show that the pseudoscalar couplings to electron and nucleon and the scalar coupling to nucleon are individually constrained by stellar energy-loss arguments and searches for anomalous monopole-monopole forces, together providing restrictive constraints on g. See their Figs. 2 and 3 for results.
- $^{14}\mathsf{HOEDL}\ 11$ use a novel torsion pendulum to study the force by the polarized electrons of an external magnet. In their Fig. 3 they show restrictive limits on g in the approximate $m_{\Delta 0}$ range 0.03–10 meV.
- ¹⁵ PETUKHOV 10 use spin relaxation of polarized ³He and find $g < 3 \times 10^{-23} \; (\text{cm}/\lambda)^2$ at 95% CL for the force range $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ –1 cm.
- $^{16}\,\mathsf{SEREBROV}$ 10 use spin precession of ultracold neutrons close to bulk matter and find $g<2\times10^{-21}~({\rm cm}/\lambda)^2$ at 95% CL for the force range $\lambda=10^{-4}-1$ cm. 17 IGNATOVICH 09 use data on depolarization of ultracold neutrons in material traps.
- They show λ -dependent limits in their Fig. 1.
- ¹⁸ SEREBROV 09 uses data on depolarization of ultracold neutrons stored in material traps and finds $g < 2.96 \times 10^{-21}~(\text{cm}/\lambda)^2$ for the force range $\lambda = 10^{-3}$ –1 cm and $g < 3.9 \times 10^{-22}~(\text{cm}/\lambda)^2$ for $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ – 10^{-3} cm, each time at 95% CL, significantly improving on BAESSLER 07.
- 19 BAESSLER 07 use the observation of quantum states of ultracold neutrons in the Earth's gravitational field to constrain g for an interaction range 1 μ m-a few mm. See their Fig. 3 for results.
- 20 HECKEL 06 studied the influence of unpolarized bulk matter, including the laboratory's surroundings or the Sun, on a torsion pendulum containing about 9×10^{22} polarized electrons. See their Fig. 4 for limits on g as a function of interaction range.
- 21 NI 99 searched for a $\,$ 7 -violating medium-range force acting on paramagnetic Tb $\,$ F $_{3}$ salt. See their Fig. 1 for the result.
- 22 POSPELOV 98 studied the possible contribution of T-violating Medium-Range Force to the neutron electric dipole moment, which is possible when axion interactions violate CP. The size of the force among nucleons must be smaller than gravity by a factor of 2×10^{-10} (1 cm/ λ_A), where $\lambda_A = \hbar/m_A c$.
- 23 YOUDIN 96 compared the precession frequencies of atomic 199 Hg and Cs when a large mass is positioned near the cells, relative to an applied magnetic field. See Fig. 3 for their limits.
- 24 RITTER 93 studied the influence of bulk mass with polarized electrons on an unpolarized torsion pendulum, providing limits in the interaction range from 1 to 100 cm.
- ²⁵ VENEMA 92 looked for an effect of Earth's gravity on nuclear spin-precession frequencies of 199 Hg and 201 Hg atoms.
- ²⁶ WINELAND 91 looked for an effect of bulk matter with aligned electron spins on atomic hyperfine resonances in stored ${}^{9}\text{Be}^{+}$ ions using nuclear magnetic resonance.

Hidden Photons: Kinetic Mixing Parameter Limits

Limits are on the kinetic mixing parameter χ which is defined by the Lagrangian

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} F'_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\chi}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m^2}{2} A'_{\mu} A'^{\mu},$$

where A_{μ} and A'_{μ} are the photon and hidden-photon fields with field strengths $F_{\mu\nu}$ and $F'_{\mu\nu}$, respectively, and $m_{\gamma'}$ is the hidden-photon mass.

D<u>OCUMENT ID</u> TECN COMMENT CL%

• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

Created: 5/31/2023 09:12

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 49

< 2	\times 10 ^{-15}	90	³ APRILE	22	XE1T	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.9 \text{ keV}$
< 2	$\times10^{-15}$	90	⁴ APRILE	22		$m_{\gamma'} = 0.01 - 0.4 \text{ keV}$
< 5	$\times10^{-17}$	90	⁵ APRILE	22B		$m_{\gamma'}^{\ \ \ \ \ } = 1$ –39,44–140 keV
< 1	$\times 10^{-2}$	90	⁶ BATTAGLIERI			$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=$ 3–100 MeV
$(4.6 \begin{array}{c} +0.5 \\ -0.4 \end{array})$	\times 10 ⁻¹⁵	68	⁷ BOLTON	22	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=$ (8.4 \pm 0.6) $ imes$
< 1	× 10 ⁻¹³	90	⁸ CERVANTES	22	ORPH	$m_{\gamma'}^{-14} = 65.5 - 69.3 \ \mu eV$
< 1	\times 10 ⁻¹²	90	⁹ CHILES	22		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'} = 0.7-0.8 \text{ eV}$
< 8.7	\times 10 ⁻¹¹		¹⁰ HOCHBERG	22	SNSP	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 0.73-30 \text{ eV}$
			¹¹ LEES	22	BABR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 1 \times 10^{-3} - 3.16$
< 7.97	\times 10 ⁻⁹	95	¹² LU	22	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}$
< 6.86	× 10 ⁻¹¹	90	¹³ MANENTI	22	MDHI	$m_{\gamma'} \sim 0$ % 20 $m_{\gamma'} = 1.61 \text{ eV}$
< 3	\times 10 ⁻²	95	¹⁴ THOMAS	22		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'}=$ 1–80 GeV
			¹⁵ TUMASYAN		CMS	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'} = 4$ –62.5 GeV
			¹⁶ TUMASYAN		CMS	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'} = 0.6$ –49 GeV
			17 _{WU}	22A	PPTA	$m_{\gamma'} \lesssim 10^{-23} \text{eV}$
< 8	$\times10^{-6}$	90	¹⁸ ANDREEV	21	NA64	$m_{\gamma'} = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ –1 GeV
< 2.3	$\times10^{-4}$	90	¹⁹ ANDREEV	21A	NA64	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.1 - 0.35 \text{ GeV}$
< 1.6	$\times10^{-4}$	95	²⁰ BI	21	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{\ \ \ \ \ }=0.03$ –0.06 eV
< 3	$\times10^{-5}$	90	²¹ CAZZANIGA	21	NA64	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=10 ext{-}390\; ext{MeV}$
< 1.68	$\times10^{-15}$	90	²² DIXIT	21	CNTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=24.86~\mu \mathrm{eV}$
< 2	$\times10^{-16}$	90	²³ GHOSH	21	RVUE	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=$ 2–30 μ eV
< 1.8	$\times10^{-13}$		²⁴ GODFREY	21		$m_{\gamma'} =$
< 3	× 10 ⁻¹²	95	²⁵ KOPYLOV	21A	CNTR	$m_{\gamma'} = 9$ –40 eV
< 2	× 10 ⁻²	95	²⁶ KRIBS	21	0.1	$m_{\gamma'} \lesssim 10 \text{ GeV}$
< Z	× 10	33	²⁷ SCHMIDT		THEO	$m_{\gamma'} \sim 10 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{\gamma'} < 0.6 \text{ GeV}$
< 3	× 10 ⁻⁸	90	²⁸ TSAI			$m_{\gamma'} = 0.78 \text{ GeV}$
< 1	× 10 ⁻⁴	90	²⁹ AAIJ			$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'}=$ 214 MeV
			30 AAIJ			$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'}=218315 \text{ MeV}$
			0.1			$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'}=0.2$ –2.1 GeV
< 4.1	\times 10 ⁻¹²	90	³² AGOSTINI			$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'} = 60 \text{ keV} - 1 \text{ MeV}$
< 3.3	\times 10 ⁻¹⁴		³³ AMARAL			$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'}=1.2$ –50 eV
< 1.2	$\times10^{-14}$		³⁴ AN	20		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 200 \text{ eV}$
< 6.72	\times 10 ^{-13}		35 ANDRIANAV		FUNK	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma}=1.95 ext{}8.55 \text{ eV}$
< 1	\times 10 ^{-16}	90	³⁶ APRILE	20		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 1$ –200 keV
< 9	\times 10 ^{-16}	90	³⁷ ARALIS	20		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 0.04-500 \text{ keV}$
< 3	$\times10^{-5}$	90	³⁸ ARGUELLES			$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma}=0.01~{\sf GeV}$
						I

< 7	$\times 10^{-14}$	90	³⁹ ARNAUD	20	EDEL	$m_{\gamma'}^{}=$ 1–40 eV
< 8.2	$\times10^{-5}$	90	⁴⁰ BANERJEE	20	NA64	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=1.5$ –24 MeV
< 7	\times 10 ⁻¹⁵	90	⁴¹ BARAK	20	SENS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 1.2 12.8 \text{ eV}$
			⁴² KRASNIKOV	20	RVUE	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=16.7~{\sf MeV}$
< 1.4	$\times 10^{-14}$	90	⁴³ SHE	20	CDEX	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 10 300 \text{ eV}$
< 1.3	\times 10 ⁻¹⁵	90	⁴⁴ SHE	20	CDEX	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 0.1$ –4 keV
< 1	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	⁴⁵ SIRUNYAN	20AG	CMS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 11.5 - 75 \text{ GeV},$
< 4.3	× 10 ⁻¹⁰	95	⁴⁶ TOMITA	20		110–200 GeV
< 4.5	× 10	90	TOMITA	20		$m_{\gamma^\prime}^{}= \ 115.79115.85~\mu \mathrm{eV}$
< 9	$\times 10^{-16}$	90	⁴⁷ WANG	20A	CDEX	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.185 - 10 \text{ keV}$
			⁴⁸ AABOUD	19 G	ATLS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=20$ –60 GeV
< 6	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	⁴⁹ ABLIKIM	19A	BES3	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 0.01-2.4 \text{ GeV}$
< 3.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	⁵⁰ ABLIKIM	19н	BES3	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 0.1 - 2.1 \text{ GeV}$
< 8	$\times 10^{-15}$	90	⁵¹ AGUILAR-AR	. 19A	DAMC	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 1.2 30 \text{ eV}$
< 9	\times 10 ⁻¹⁷	90	⁵² APRILE	19 D	XE1T	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 0.186-5 \text{ keV}$
< 7.5	\times 10 ⁻⁶	90	⁵³ BANERJEE	19	NA64	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=$ 1–200 MeV
< 2	\times 10 ⁻¹¹		⁵⁴ BHOONAH	19	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 10^{-22} - 10^{-10}$
< 5	× 10 ⁻¹²	95	⁵⁵ BRUN	10	СППК	['] eV
< 4.4	× 10 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	56 CORTINA-GIL	19	SHUK	$m_{\gamma'} = 20.8-28.3 \ \mu \text{eV}$
< 4.4	× 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10	90 95	57 DANILOV	19 19	NA62	$m_{\gamma'} = 60-110 \text{ MeV}$
< 6	× 10 × 10 ⁻⁹	95 95	58 HOCHBERG	19	TEXO	$m_{\gamma'} = 20 \text{ eV} - 1 \text{ MeV}$
< 0 < 1	× 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10	95 95	⁵⁹ KOPYLOV		CNTD	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.8-4 \text{ eV}$
< 1.5	× 10 × 10 ⁻⁹	90	60 KOVETZ	19 19	COSM	$m_{\gamma'} = 9-40 \text{ eV}$ $m_{\gamma'} = 10^{-23} \text{ m}^{-13}$
< 1.5				19	COSIVI	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 10^{-23} - 10^{-13}$ eV
< 3	\times 10 ⁻¹⁴	95	⁶¹ NGUYEN	19	WDMX	$m_{\gamma'} = 6 \text{ neV} - 2.07$
~ 1 E	× 10 ⁻¹⁴	00	⁶² ABE	10-	VMAC	μeV
< 4.5	× 10 ⁻³		63 ADRIAN	18F		$m_{\gamma'} = 40-120 \text{ keV}$
< 2.5	× 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10	95 90	64 ANASTASI	18	HPS	$m_{\gamma'} = 19-81 \text{ MeV}$
< 4.4 < 4	× 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10	90	65 ARMENGAUD		KLOE	$m_{\gamma'} = 519-987 \text{ MeV}$
< 4	× 10	90	66 BANERJEE	18	EDE3 NA64	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.8-500 \text{ keV}$
~ 1 O	× 10 ⁻⁵	90	67 BANERJEE		NA64	$m_{\gamma'} = 1$ –23 MeV
< 1.8 < 1	× 10 × 10 ⁻⁸	90	68 KNIRCK	18	IVAU4	$m_{\gamma'} = 1-100 \text{ MeV}$ $m_{\gamma'} = 0.67-0.02 \text{ meV}$
	× 10 × 10 ⁻¹⁴	90	69 ABGRALL	17	HPGE	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.67 - 0.92 \text{ meV}$
< 3.1	× 10 × 10 ⁻⁴		70 ABLIKIM			$m_{\gamma'} = 11.8 \text{ keV}$
< 6	× 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10		71 ANGLOHER		BES3	$m_{\gamma'} = 1.5 - 3.4 \text{ GeV}$
< 7	× 10 -4	90	72 BANERJEE	17 17	CRES	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.3-0.7 \text{ keV}$ $m_{\gamma'} = 0.002-0.4 \text{ GeV}$
< 1.2	× 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10	90	73 CHANG	17 17	NA64	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.002 - 0.4 \text{ GeV}$
< 2	× 10 -1		CHANG	17	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{}=15{ m MeV}$

< 4.5	$\times10^{-3}$	90	⁷⁴ DUBININA	17	EMUL	$m_{\gamma'}=1.1$ –24 MeV
< 4	$\times 10^{-4}$	90	⁷⁵ LEES			$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=4.7~{\rm GeV}$
			⁷⁶ AAD		ATLS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=0.1$ –2 GeV
< 4.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	90	⁷⁷ ANASTASI	16	KLOE	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 527-987 \text{ MeV}$
< 1.7	$\times 10^{-6}$	95	⁷⁸ KHACHATRY.	16	CMS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=2~{\sf GeV}$
< 4	\times 10 ⁻²	95	⁷⁹ AAD	15 CE	ATLS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=15$ –55 GeV
< 1.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	⁸⁰ ADARE	15		$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 30-90 \text{ MeV}$
			⁸¹ AN	15A		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=$ 12 eV - 40 keV
			⁸² ANASTASI	15	KLOE	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=2m_{\mu}^{}$ - $1~{\sf GeV}$
< 1.7	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	⁸³ ANASTASI	15A	KLOE	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=$ 5–320 MeV
< 4.2	\times 10 ⁻⁴	90	⁸⁴ BATLEY	15A	NA48	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=36~{ m MeV}$
			⁸⁵ JAEGLE	15	BELL	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.1$ –3.5 GeV
< 3	$\times 10^{-13}$		⁸⁶ KAZANAS	15	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=2m_{e}^{}-100~\mathrm{MeV}$
< 6	$\times 10^{-12}$		⁸⁷ SUZUKI	15		$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 1.9$ –4.3 eV
< 2.3	$\times 10^{-13}$	99.7	⁸⁸ VINYOLES	15	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=8~\mathrm{eV}$
< 2	$\times 10^{-13}$		⁸⁹ ABE	14F	XMAS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 40-120 \text{ keV}$
< 1.8	\times 10 ⁻³	90	⁹⁰ AGAKISHIEV	14	HDES	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=63~{ m MeV}$
< 9.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	90	⁹¹ BABUSCI	14		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=969~{ m MeV}$
			⁹² BATELL	14		$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 10^{-3} 1 \text{ GeV}$
< 1.3	$\times 10^{-7}$	95	⁹³ BLUEMLEIN	14		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=0.6~{\sf GeV}$
< 3	$\times 10^{-18}$		⁹⁴ FRADETTE	14		$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 50-300 \; { m MeV}$
< 3.5	$\times 10^{-4}$	90	⁹⁵ LEES	14 J		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=0.2~{\sf GeV}$
< 9	$\times 10^{-4}$		⁹⁶ MERKEL	14	A1	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=$ 40–300 MeV
< 3	$\times 10^{-15}$		⁹⁷ AN	13 B	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=2 \text{ keV}$
< 7	$\times10^{-14}$		⁹⁸ AN	13 C		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=100~{ m eV}$
< 8	$\times 10^{-4}$		⁹⁹ DIAMOND	13		$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 30-250 \text{ MeV}$
< 2		90	¹⁰⁰ GNINENKO	13	BDMP	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=$ 25–120 MeV
< 2.2	$\times10^{-13}$		¹⁰¹ HORVAT	13		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=230 \text{ eV}$
< 8.06	$\times10^{-5}$	95	¹⁰² INADA	13		$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 0.04 \text{ eV} - 26 \text{ keV}$
< 2	\times 10 ⁻¹⁰		¹⁰³ MIZUMOTO	13		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=1$ eV
< 1.7	\times 10 ⁻⁷		¹⁰⁴ PARKER	13	LSW	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=53~\mu \mathrm{eV}$
< 5.32	\times 10 ⁻¹⁵		¹⁰⁵ PARKER	13		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=53~\mu \mathrm{eV}$
< 1	$\times10^{-15}$		¹⁰⁶ REDONDO	13	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=2 \text{ keV}$
< 8	\times 10 ⁻⁸	90	¹⁰⁷ GNINENKO	12A		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=$ 1–135 MeV
< 1	$\times 10^{-7}$	90				$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=$ 1–500 MeV
< 1	$\times 10^{-3}$		¹⁰⁹ ABRAHAMY			$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 175–250 \text{ MeV}$
< 9	$\times 10^{-8}$	95	¹¹⁰ BLUEMLEIN	11	BDMP	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=70~{ m MeV}$
						1

$$<$$
 1 \times 10⁻⁷ 111 BJORKEN 09 BDMP $m_{\gamma'}=$ 2–400 MeV $<$ 5 \times 10⁻⁹ 112 BJORKEN 09 ASTR $m_{\gamma'}=$ 2–50 MeV

- ¹ AAD 22J look for exotic decays of the SM-like Higgs boson, $H \to \gamma' \gamma' \to 4\ell$ and $H \to Z\gamma' \to 4\ell$, and set limits on the kinetic mixing and the Higgs portal coupling. See their Figs. 19 and 20 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 2 AAD 22S look for decays of a Higgs boson into γ and γ' , and set the upper limit on the branching ratio at 0.018 (95% CL) for the 125 GeV Higgs boson. For the quoted mass range, the signal acceptance changes by less than 1%.
- 3 APRILE 22 is analogous to AN 20, and set limits $\chi < 3 \times 10^{-13}~({\rm eV}/m_{\gamma'})$ for $m_{\gamma'} < 3~{\rm eV}$ (90% C.L.). For $m_{\gamma'} > 3~{\rm eV}$, see their Fig. 16 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴ APRILE 22 extend APRILE 19 to lower masses by removing the background of ionization signals correlated with high-energy events. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=0.09$ keV. See their Fig. 15 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵ APRILE 22B is an update of APRILE 20, and set limits $\chi \lesssim 5 \times 10^{-17}$ –2 $\times 10^{-13}$. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=1$ keV. They exclude the XENON1T excess found in APRILE 20. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- 6 BATTAGLIERI 22 is analogous to BATELL 14, and derived limits from the electron beam dump experiment at Jefferson Lab (BDX-MINI). Limits at the level of $7\times 10^{-5}-1\times 10^{-2}$ are obtained for the dark matter mass $m_{\gamma'}/3$ and the hidden gauge coupling $\alpha_D=0.1$. See their Fig. 11.
- 7 BOLTON 22 use the Ly- α forest at z $\simeq 0.1$ as a calorimeter for heating in the intergalactic medium by the resonant conversion of hidden photon dark matter to photons, which is assumed to be responsible for the tension between the predicted and observed Ly- α absorption linewidths.
- 8 CERVANTES 22 use a dielectrically loaded Fabry-Perot open cavity to look for hidden photon dark matter. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=$ 0.45 GeV/cm 3 is assumed. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 9 CHILES 22 look for hidden photon dark matter by using a layered dielectric target and a superconducting nanowire single-photon detector. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.4~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 10 HOCHBERG 22 update HOCHBERG 19. The quoted limit applies to $m_{{\cal A}^0} \simeq 11$ eV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹¹LEES 22 look for a hidden fermion-fermion bound state decaying into three hidden photons, which subsequently decay into e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$, or $\pi^+\pi^-$. For the bound-state mass in the range of 0.05–9.5 GeV, limits at the level of 5×10^{-5} – 1×10^{-3} are obtained. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹² LU 22 derive the limit by studying the effect of photons oscillating into hidden photons on the surface luminosity of the neutron star RX J1856.6-3754.
- 13 MANENTI 22 look for hidden photon dark matter by using a multilayer dielectric haloscope. Limits between 6.86×10^{-11} and 5×10^{-8} are obtained for $m_{\gamma^\prime}\simeq 1.1$ –3.1 eV. See their Fig. 11 for mass-dependent limits.
- 14 THOMAS 22 improved KRIBS 21 by taking account of the changes in the parton distribution functions due to the inclusion of dark photons. The quoted limit is at $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 4$ GeV. Limits in the range of 3×10^{-2} –9 $\times 10^{-2}$ are obtained for $m_{\gamma'} = 1$ –80 GeV. See their Fig. 1 for the limits.
- ¹⁵ TUMASYAN 22AH look for exotic decays of the SM-like Higgs boson, $H \to Z \gamma' \to 4\ell$, and set limits on the Higgs portal coupling. See their Fig. 6 for the limits.

- ¹⁶ TUMASYAN 22N look for exotic decays of the SM-like Higgs boson, $H \to \gamma' \gamma'$ ($\gamma' \to \mu^+ \mu^-$), and set limits on the branching fraction product. See their Fig. 7 for massand lifetime-dependent limits.
- 17 WU 22A look for direction-dependent oscillations in the gravitational potential generated by ultralight hidden photon dark matter, and set a bound on its local density as $\rho_{\gamma'}\lesssim 5~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ for $m_{\gamma'}\lesssim 10^{-23}~{\rm eV}$ at 95% CL.
- 18 ANDREEV 21 is analogous to BANERJEE 18A. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=1$ MeV. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 19 ANDREEV 21A extends the limits of BANERJEE 19 by taking account of production through the resonant annihilation of secondary positrons with atomic electrons. The quoted limit is at $m_{\gamma'}=0.23$ GeV, assuming the fermion dark matter of mass $m_{\gamma'}/3$ and the hidden gauge coupling $\alpha_D=0.1.$ See their Fig.3 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{20}\, \text{BI}\, 21$ look for the gamma-ray spectral attenuation due to scattering with hidden photons constituting all dark matter, using the measurements of sub-PeV gamma-rays from the Crab Nebula by the Tibet AS γ and HAWC experiments, together with MAGIC and HEGRA gamma-ray data. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 21 CAZZANIGA 21 look for semi-visible decays of hidden photons, $\gamma' \to \chi_1 \chi_2$ ($\chi_2 \to \chi_1 \, e^+ \, e^-$), where χ_1 and χ_2 are hidden fermions. They exclude $3 \times 10^{-5} \lesssim \chi \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-2}$ assuming the hidden gauge coupling $\alpha_D = 0.1$, and the fermion masses $m_{\chi_1} = m_{\gamma'}/3$, $(m_{\chi_2} m_{\chi_1})/m_{\chi_1} = 0.4$. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 22 DIXIT 21 look for hidden photon dark matter by using a superconducting transmon qubit dispersively coupled to a high Q storage cavity. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=$ 0.4 GeV/cm 3 is assumed. See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ²³ GHOSH 21 use existing haloscope axion search limits to set limits on hidden photon dark matter, considering the polarization of hidden photons. The quoted limit is at $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 3$ μeV . See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁴GODFREY 21 look for hidden photon dark matter by using a wideband antenna, and set 5σ limits on χ . The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.38~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their updated Fig. 12 in arXiv:2101.02805v4 for mass-dependent limits in the range of $m_{\gamma'}=0.207-1.24~\mu{\rm eV}$.
- ²⁵ KOPYLOV 21A is an update of KOPYLOV 19, but use Ne gas instead of Ar. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=12$ eV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁶ KRIBS 21 used the HERA data on neutral current deep inelastic *ep* scattering to derive the limits, which become weaker for heavier masses. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits
- ²⁷ SCHMIDT 21 use the microscopic Parton-Hadron-String Dynamics approach to extract limits by comparing the theoretically calculated dilepton spectra with the HADES data on the search for $\gamma' \rightarrow e^+e^-$. See their Fig. 5 for the mass-dependent limits for various allowed surplus of the hidden photon contribution over the standard model yield.
- 28 TSAI 21 update the limits from the CHARM and NuCal experiments, taking account of additional production channels from proton bremsstrahlung and η meson decays, respectively. Limits between 3×10^{-8} and 1×10^{-4} are obtained for $0.01 < m_{\gamma'} < 0.8$ GeV (see their Fig. 1).
- AAIJ 20C look for hidden photons produced from the pp collision in the decay channel $\gamma' \to \mu^+\mu^-$. For prompt decaying hidden photons, limits at the level of 10^{-4} – 10^{-3} are obtained for $m_{\gamma'}=0.214$ –30 GeV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 30 AAIJ 20C look for hidden photons produced from the $p\,p$ collision in the decay channel $\gamma'\to\,\mu^+\,\mu^-$. For hidden photons with lifetimes of order ps, limits at the level of 10^{-5} are obtained for $m_{\gamma'}=218-315$ MeV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

- ³¹ ABLIKIM 20AB search for $J/\psi \to \eta' \gamma' (\gamma' \to \gamma \pi^0)$, and set the upper limit on the product branching fraction of order 10⁻⁷. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 32 AGOSTINI 20 is analogous to ABE 14F. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=150$ keV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. Their limits in their Fig. 3 were later found to be incorrect due to an error of their Eqs. (1) and (2). See Fig. 3 in AGOSTINI 22A for the corrected limits.
- 33 AMARAL 20 use a second-generation SuperCDMS high-voltage eV-resolution detector to set limits on dark-matter dark photon absorption. The quoted limit is for $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 17$ eV.
 - The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=$ 0.3 GeV/cm 3 is assumed. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 34 AN 20 updates the direct detection limit of AN 13C on solar flux of hidden photons; $\chi < 1.6 \times 10^{-12}~({\rm eV}/m_{\gamma'})$ for $m_{\gamma'} < 6~{\rm eV}$ (90% C.L.). For $m_{\gamma'} > 6~{\rm eV}$, see their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 35 ANDRIANAVALOMAHEFA 20 is analogous to SUZUKI 15, but uses a mirror that is about one order of magnitude larger than in similar studies in the past. Limits at the level of 10^{-12} are obtained for $m_{\gamma^\prime}=2.5\text{--}7$ eV. See their Fig.23 and Table III for mass-dependent limits.
- 36 APRILE 20 is analogous to ABE 14F, and set limits $\chi \lesssim 10^{-16} 10^{-12}$. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'} = 1$ keV. They also found an excess over known backgrounds, which favors the mass $m_{\gamma'} = 2.3 \pm 0.2$ keV with a 3 σ significance. See their Fig. 10 for mass-dependent limits.
- 37 ARALIS 20 is analogous to ABE 14F. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=0.1$ keV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. The limits at masses above 3 keV in their Fig. 10 was later found to be incorrect due to an error in their analysis. See Fig. 3 in ARALIS 21 for the corrected limits.
- 38 ARGUELLES 20 examine hidden-photon production in atmospheric cosmic-ray showers and its decay in IceCube and Super-Kamiokande. The quoted limit assumes a lifetime of $c\tau=0.1$ km. See their Fig. 16 for mass- and lifetime-dependent limits.
- 39 ARNAUD 20 look for the absorption signal of hidden photon dark matter in a Ge detector. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 9$ eV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'} = 0.3 \; \text{GeV/cm}^3$ is assumed. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 40 BANERJEE 20 is an update of BANERJEE 18. They exclude $8.2\times10^{-5}\lesssim\chi\lesssim1\times10^{-2}$ for $m_{\gamma'}=1.5$ –24 MeV. In particular, they exclude $\chi=1.2\times10^{-4}$ –6.8 $\times10^{-4}$ for the 16.7 MeV gauge boson. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 41 BARAK 20 is analogous to AGUILAR-AREVALO 19A, and look for hidden photon dark matter by using the Skipper CCD. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=12.8$ eV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴² KRASNIKOV 20 showed that the limit of BANERJEE 20 combined with the measured anomalous magnetic moment of the electron exclude the 16.7 MeV gauge boson suggested by the ATOMKI (KRASZNAHORKAY 16) experiment if it has pure vector or axial-vector interactions.
- 43 SHE 20 look for solar hidden photons. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=180$ eV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 44 SHE 20 look for hidden photon dark matter and set limits $\chi < 1.3 \times 10^{-15} 2.8 \times 10^{-14}$ for the quoted mass range. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'} = 0.3 \; \text{GeV/cm}^3$ is assumed. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- 45 SIRUNYAN 20AQ look for a narrow resonance decaying into a pair of muons. For $m_{\gamma'}$ < 45 GeV, they use dedicated high-rate dimuon triggers to reduce the muon transverse momentum thresholds. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=$ 50 GeV, and limits of order

- 10^{-3} are obtained for the quoted mass range. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 46 TOMITA 20 look for hidden photon dark matter using a planar metal plate and cryogenic receiver and set limits $\chi < 1.8\text{--}4.3 \times 10^{-10}$ for the quoted mass range. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'} = 0.39 \; \text{GeV/cm}^3$ is assumed. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 47 WANG 20A is analogous to ABE 14F. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=185$ eV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 11 for mass-dependent limits
- ⁴⁸ AABOUD 19G look for $h \to \gamma' \gamma'$ ($\gamma' \to \mu^+ \mu^-$) and exclude a kinetic mixing around 10^{-9} – 10^{-8} for B($h \to \gamma' \gamma'$) = 0.01 and 0.1. See their Fig. 9 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴⁹ ABLIKIM 19A look for $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma' \eta \ (\gamma' \rightarrow e^+e^-)$. Limits between 6×10^{-3} and 5×10^{-2} are obtained (see their Fig. 8).
- ⁵⁰ ABLIKIM 19H look for $J/\psi \to \gamma' \eta'$ ($\gamma' \to e^+ e^-$). Limits between 3.4×10^{-3} and 2.6×10^{-2} are obtained. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 51 AGUILAR-AREVALO 19A look for the absorption signal of hidden photon dark matter by using a CCD. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=17$ eV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3$ GeV/cm 3 is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵² APRILE 19D is analogous to ABE 14F. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=0.7$ keV. See their Fig. 5(f) for mass-dependent limits.
- 53 BANERJEE 19 is an update of BANERJEE 18A. The quoted limit is at $m_{\gamma'}=1$ MeV. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 54 BHOONAH 19 examine heating of Galactic Center gas clouds by hidden photon dark matter. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 10^{-12}$ eV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 55 BRUN 19 is analogous to SUZUKI 15. The limit is derived under an assumption that hidden photons constitute the local dark matter density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$.
- 56 CORTINA-GIL 19 look for an invisible hidden photon in the reaction $K^+\to\pi^+\pi^0$ $(\pi^0\to\gamma\gamma')$. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=62.5$ –65 MeV. See their Figs. 6 and 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵⁷ DANILOV 19 examined the hidden photon production in nuclear reactors, correctly taking account of the effective photon mass in the reactor and detector. The limit gets weaker for $m_{\gamma'}$ less than the effective photon mass in proportion to $1/m_{\gamma'}^2$. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{58}\,\text{HOCHBERG}$ 19 look for the absorption signal of hidden photon dark matter by using superconducting-nanowire single-photon detectors. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}\simeq$
 - 1 eV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=$ 0.3 ${\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 59 KOPYLOV 19 look for hidden-photon dark matter using a counter with an aluminum cathode and derive limits assuming it constitute all the local dark matter. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=12$ eV. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 60 KOVETZ 19 examine heating of the early Universe plasma by hidden photon dark matter, and derive the limits by requiring that the cosmic mean 21 cm brightness temperature relative to the CMB temperature satisfy T $_{21} > -100$ mK. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\sim \prime} \simeq~2 \times 10^{-14}\,$ eV. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 61 NGUYEN 19 look for hidden photon dark matter with a resonant cavity, and set limits $\sim 10^{-12}$ for $m_{\gamma'}=0.2$ –2.07 $\mu \rm eV$. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=1.3~\mu \rm eV$. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~\rm GeV/cm^3$ is assumed. See their Fig. 19 for mass-dependent limits.

- 62 ABE 18F is an update of ABE 14F. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 40$ keV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁶³ ADRIAN 18 look for a hidden photon resonance in the reaction $e^-Z \rightarrow e^-Z\gamma'$ ($\gamma' \rightarrow e^+e^-$). The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=$ 40 MeV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits
- ⁶⁴ ANASTASI 18B look for a hidden photon resonance in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \gamma' \gamma$ ($\gamma' \to \mu^+\mu^-$). The quoted limit is obtained by combining the result of ANASTASI 16 and it applies to $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 519$ –987 MeV. See their Fig. 9 for mass-dependent limits.
- 65 ARMENGAUD 18 is analogous to ABE 14F. The quoted limits applies to $m_{\gamma'}=1.6$ keV. See the right panel of Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 66 BANERJEE 18 look for hidden photons produced in the reaction $e^-Z \rightarrow e^-Z\gamma'$ ($\gamma' \rightarrow e^+e^-$), and exclude $9.2 \times 10^{-5} \lesssim \chi \lesssim 1 \times 10^{-2}$ for $m_{\gamma'} = 1$ –23 MeV. They also set a limit on the electron coupling to a 16.7 MeV gauge boson suggested by the ATOMKI (KRASZNAHORKAY 16) experiment. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 67 BANERJEE 18A look for invisible decays of hidden photons produced in the reaction $e^- \, Z \to \ e^- \, Z \gamma'$. The quoted limit is at $m_{\gamma'} = 1$ MeV. See their Fig. 15 for mass-dependent limits.
- 68 KNIRCK 18 is analogous to SUZUKI 15. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 69 ABGRALL 17 is analogous to ABE 14F using the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR. See their Fig. 3 for limits between 6 keV $< m_{\gamma'} <$ 97 keV.
- 70 ABLIKIM 17AA look for $e^+\,e^-\to \gamma\gamma'$ $(\gamma'\to e^+\,e^-$ or $\mu^+\,\mu^-)$. Limits between 10^{-3} and 10^{-4} are obtained (see their Fig. 3).
- 71 ANGLOHER 17 is analogous to ABE 14F. The quoted limit is at $m_{\gamma'}=$ 0.7 keV. See their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁷²BANERJEE 17 look for invisible decays of hidden photons produced in the reaction $e^-Z \rightarrow e^-Z\gamma'$. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=2$ MeV. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 73 CHANG 17 examine the hidden photon emission from SN1987A, including the effects of finite temperature and density on χ and obtain limits χ ($m_{\gamma'}/\text{MeV}) \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-9}$ for $m_{\gamma'} < 15$ MeV and $\chi \lesssim 10^{-9}$ for $m_{\gamma'} = 15$ –120 MeV.
- ⁷⁴ DUBININA 17 look for $\mu^+ \to e^+ \overline{\nu}_\mu \nu_e \gamma' \ (\gamma' \to e^+ e^-)$ in a nuclear photoemulsion. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'} = 1.1$ MeV. Limits between 4.5×10^{-3} and 10^{-2} are obtained (see their Fig. 3).
- ⁷⁵ LEES 17E look for invisible decays of hidden photons produced in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \gamma \gamma'$. See their Fig. 5 for limits in the mass range $m_{\gamma'} \leq 8$ GeV.
- AAD 16AG look for hidden photons promptly decaying into collimated electrons and/or muons, assuming that they are produced in the cascade decays of squarks or the Higgs boson. See their Fig. 10 and Fig.13 for their limits on the cross section times branching fractions.
- 77 ANASTASI 16 look for the decay $\gamma' \to \pi^+\pi^-$ in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \gamma\gamma'$. Limits between 4.3×10^{-3} and 4.4×10^{-4} are obtained for 527 $< m_{\gamma'} < 987$ MeV (see their Fig. 9).
- ⁷⁸ KHACHATRYAN 16 look for $\gamma' \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ in a dark SUSY scenario where the SM-like Higgs boson decays into a pair of the visible lightest neutralinos with mass 10 GeV, both of which decay into γ' and a hidden neutralino with mass 1 GeV. See the right panel in their Fig. 2.
- 79 AAD 15CD look for $H\to Z\gamma'\to 4\ell$ with the ATLAS detector at LHC and find $\chi<4$ –17 \times 10 $^{-2}$ for $m_{\gamma'}=$ 15–55 GeV. See their Fig. 6.

- ⁸⁰ ADARE 15 look for a hidden photon in π^0 , $\eta^0 \to \gamma e^+ e^-$ at the PHENIX experiment. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 81 AN 15A derived limits from the absence of ionization signals in the XENON10 and XENON100 experiments, assuming hidden photons constitute all the local dark matter. Their best limit is $\chi < 1.3 \times 10^{-15}$ at $m_{\gamma'} = 18$ eV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits
- 82 ANASTASI 15 look for a production of a hidden photon and a hidden Higgs boson with the KLOE detector at DA Φ NE, where the hidden photon decays into a pair of muons and the hidden Higgs boson lighter than $m_{\gamma'}$ escape detection. See their Figs. 6 and 7 for mass-dependent limits on a product of the hidden fine structure constant and the kinetic mixing.
- ⁸³ ANASTASI 15A look for the decay $\gamma' \to e^+e^-$ in the reaction $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\gamma$. Limits between 1.7×10^{-3} and 1×10^{-2} are obtained for $m_{\gamma'} = 5$ –320 MeV (see their Fig. 7).
- ⁸⁴ BATLEY 15A look for $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma'$ ($\gamma' \to e^+ e^-$) at the NA48/2 experiment. Limits between 4.2×10^{-4} and 8.8×10^{-3} are obtained for $m_{\gamma'} = 9$ –120 MeV (see their Fig. 4).
- ⁸⁵ JAEGLE 15 look for the decay $\gamma' \to e^+ e^-$, $\mu^+ \mu^-$, or $\pi^+ \pi^-$ in the dark Higgstrahlung channel, $e^+ e^- \to \gamma' H'$ ($H' \to \gamma' \gamma'$) at the BELLE experiment. They set limits on a product of the branching fraction and the Born cross section as well as a product of the hidden fine structure constant and the kinetic mixing. See their Figs. 3 and 4.
- 86 KAZANAS 15 set limits by studying the decay of hidden photons $\gamma' \to e^+e^-$ inside and near the progenitor star of SN1987A. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- 87 SUZUKI 15 looked for hidden-photon dark matter with a dish antenna and derived limits assuming they constitute all the local dark matter. Their limits are $\chi < 6 \times 10^{-12}$ for $m_{\gamma'} = 1.9$ –4.3 eV. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 88 VINYOLES 15 performed a global fit analysis based on helioseismology and solar neutrino observations, and set the limits $\chi m_{\gamma'} < 1.8 \times 10^{-12}$ eV for $m_{\gamma'} = 3 \times 10^{-5}$ –8 eV. See their Fig. 11.
- 89 ABE 14F look for the photoelectric-like interaction in the XMASS detector assuming the hidden photon constitutes all the local dark matter. Limits between 2×10^{-13} and 1×10^{-12} are obtained, where the relation $\chi^2=\alpha'/\alpha$ is used to translate the original bound on the ratio of the hidden and EM fine-structure constants. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 90 AGAKISHIEV 14 look for hidden photons $\gamma' \to e^+ \, e^-$ at the HADES experiment, and set limits on χ for $m_{\gamma'} =$ 0.02–0.6 GeV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 91 BABUSCI 14 look for the decay $\gamma' \to \mu^+\mu^-$ in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$. Limits between 4×10^{-3} and 9.0×10^{-4} are obtained for 520 MeV $< m_{\gamma'} < 980$ MeV (see their Fig. 7).
- ⁹² BATELL 14 derived limits from the electron beam dump experiment at SLAC (E-137) by searching for events with recoil electrons by sub-GeV dark matter produced from the decay of the hidden photon. Limits at the level of 10^{-4} – 10^{-1} are obtained for $m_{\gamma'} = 10^{-3}$ –1 GeV, depending on the dark matter mass and the hidden gauge coupling (see their Fig. 2).
- 93 BLUEMLEIN 14 analyzed the beam dump data taken at the U-70 accelerator to look for γ' -bremsstrahlung and the subsequent decay into muon pairs and hadrons. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent excluded region.
- 94 FRADETTE 14 studied effects of decay of relic hidden photons on BBN and CMB to set constraints on very small values of the kinetic mixing. See their Figs. 4 and 7 for mass-dependent excluded regions.

- ⁹⁵ LEES 14J look for hidden photons in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \gamma\gamma'$ ($\gamma' \to e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$). Limits at the level of 10^{-4} – 10^{-3} are obtained for 0.02 GeV $< m_{\gamma'} < 10.2$ GeV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 96 MERKEL 14 look for $\dot{\gamma}' \to e^+e^-$ at the A1 experiment at the Mainz Microtron (MAMI). See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 97 AN 13B examined the stellar production of hidden photons, correcting an important error of the production rate of the longitudinal mode which now dominates. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits based on solar energy loss.
- 98 AN 13C use the solar flux of hidden photons to set a limit on the atomic ionization rate in the XENON10 experiment. They find $\chi~m_{\gamma^\prime}~<~3\times 10^{-12}$ eV for $m_{\gamma^\prime}<1$ eV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 99 DIAMOND 13 analyzed the beam dump data taken at the SLAC millicharge experiment to constrain a hidden photon invisibly decaying into lighter long-lived particles, which undergo elastic scattering off nuclei in the detector. Limits between $8\times 10^{-4} 2\times 10^{-2}$ are obtained. The quoted limit is applied when the dark gauge coupling is set equal to the electromagnetic coupling. See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 100 GNINENKO 13 used the data taken at the SINDRUM experiment to constrain the decay, $\pi^0 \to ~\gamma \gamma' ~(\gamma' \to ~e^+ \,e^-)$ to derive limits. See their Fig. 2 for their mass-dependent excluded region.
- 101 HORVAT 13 look for hidden-photo-electric effect in HPGe detectors induced by solar hidden photons. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- $102\,\mathrm{INADA}$ 13 search for hidden photons using an intense X-ray beamline at SPring-8. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- $103\,\mathrm{MIZUMOTO}$ 13 look for solar hidden photons. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 104 PARKER 13 look for hidden photons using a cryogenic resonant microwave cavity. See their Fig.5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 105 PARKER 13 derived a limit for the hidden photon CDM with a randomly oriented hidden photon field.
- 106 REDONDO 13 examined the solar emission of hidden photons including the enhancement factor for the longitudinal mode pointed out by AN 13B, and also updated stellar-energy loss arguments. See their Fig.3 for mass-dependent limits, including a review of the currently best limits from other arguments.
- ¹⁰⁷ GNINENKO 12A obtained bounds on B($\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma'$) · B($\gamma' \to e^+ e^-$) from the NOMAD and PS191 neutrino experiments, and derived limits between 8 × 10⁻⁸-2 × 10⁻⁴. See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent excluded regions.
- 108 GNINENKO 12B used the data taken at the CHARM experiment to constrain the decay, $\eta(\eta')\to~\gamma\gamma'~(\gamma'\to~e^+e^-),$ and derived limits between 1×10^{-7} –1 $\times10^{-4}.$ See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent excluded region.
- 109 ABRAHAMYAN 11 look for $\gamma' \to e^+e^-$ in the electron-nucelon fixed-target experiment at the Jefferson Laboratory (APEX). See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹¹⁰ BLUEMLEIN 11 analyzed the beam dump data taken at the U-70 accelerator to look for $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma' \ (\gamma' \to e^+ e^-)$. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹¹¹ BJORKEN 09 analyzed the beam dump data taken at E137, E141, and E774 to constrain a hidden photon produced by bremsstrahlung, subsequently decaying into e^+e^- , and derived limits between 10^{-7} and 10^{-2} . See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent excluded region.
- 112 BJORKEN 09 required the energy loss in the γ' emission from the core of SN1987A not to exceed 10^{53} erg/s, and derived limits between 5 \times 10 $^{-9}$ and 2 \times 10 $^{-6}$. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent excluded region.

REFERENCES FOR Searches for Axions (A^0) and Other Very Light Bosons

AAD				
AAD	22J	JHEP 2203 041	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	22S	EPJ C82 105	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
ADE	22	PR D105 022006	P.A.R. Ade <i>et al.</i>	(BICEP/Keck Collab.)
ADHIKARI	22C	PR D105 052007	S. Adhikari <i>et al.</i>	(GLUEX Collab.)
AGOSTINI	22	JCAP 2212 012	M. Agostini <i>et al.</i>	(GERDA Collab.)
AGOSTINI	22A	PRL 129 089901	M. Agostini <i>et al.</i>	(GERDA Collab.)
ALESINI	22	PR D106 052007	D. Alesini <i>et al.</i>	(QUAX Collab.)
APRILE	22	PR D106 022001	E. Aprile <i>et al.</i>	(XENON1T Collab.)
APRILE	22B	PRL 129 161805	E. Aprile <i>et al.</i>	(XENONnT Collab.)
ARNQUIST BATTAGLIERI	22 22	PRL 129 081803 PR D106 072011	I.J. Arnquist <i>et al.</i> M. Battaglieri <i>et al.</i>	(MAJORANA Collab.) (BDX-MINI Collab.)
BATTYE	22	PR D105 L021305	R.A. Battye <i>et al.</i>	(BDX-WINT COLLAD.)
BERNAL	22	PRL 129 231301	J.L. Bernal, G. Sato-Poli	to, M. Kamionkowski (JHU)
BOLTON	22	PRL 129 211102	J.S. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	to, IVI. Railliotikowski (3110)
BUSCHMANN	22	PRL 128 091102	M. Buschmann <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN, MICH, UCB+)
CALORE	22	PR D105 063028		APTH, STOH, BARI, WROC+)
CAPUTO	22	PRL 128 221103	A. Caputo et al.	2, 3 . 3, 2, 11
CASTILLO	22	JCAP 2206 014	A. Castillo <i>et al.</i>	
CERVANTES	22	PRL 129 201301	R. Cervantes et al.	(ADMX Collab.)
CHANG	22	PRL 129 111802	H. Chang et al.	(TASEH Collab.)
CHILES	22	PRL 128 231802	J. Chiles et al.	,
COLOMA	22A	JHEP 2207 138	P. Coloma et al.	(IFT, CNYIT, ICC, ICREA+)
CRESCINI	22	PR D105 022007	N. Crescini et al.	,
DEROCCO	22	PRL 129 101101	W. DeRocco et al.	
DESSERT	22	PRL 128 071102	C. Dessert, A.J. Long, B	B.R. Safdi (MICH, UCB+)
DESSERT	22A	PR D105 103034	C. Dessert, D. Dunsky, E	B.R. Safdi (UCB, LBL+)
ECKNER	22	PR D106 083020	C. Eckner, F. Calore	(LAPTH)
FENG	22	PRL 128 231803	YK. Feng et al.	
FERGUSON	22	PR D106 042011	K.R. Ferguson et al.	(SPT-3G Collab.)
FOSTER	22	PRL 129 251102	J.W. Foster et al.	
GAVRILYUK	22	JETPL 116 11	Yu.M. Gavrilyuk <i>et al.</i>	
HOCHBERG	22	PR D106 112005	Y. Hochberg <i>et al.</i>	(SNSPD Collab.)
IKEDA	22	PR D105 102004	T. Ikeda <i>et al.</i>	,
JIA	22	PRL 128 081804	S. Jia et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
KIRITA	22	JHEP 2210 176	Y. Kirita <i>et al.</i>	(SAPPHIRES Collab.)
LAGUE	22	JCAP 2201 049	A. Lague <i>et al.</i>	
LANGHOFF				. N. D. II. (IICD.)
	22	PRL 129 241101	K. Langhoff, N.J. Outme	
LEE	22	PRL 128 241805	Y. Lee et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.)
LEE LEES	22 22	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES	22 22 22B	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI	22 22 22B 22	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI	22 22 22B 22 22C	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU	22 22 22B 22 22C 22C	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LU LUCENTE	22 22 22B 22 22C 22C 22	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI	22 22 22B 22 22C 22C 22 22	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.)
LEE LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS	22 22 22B 22 22C 22 22 22 22	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS	22 22 22B 22 22C 22 22 22 22 22	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) ng, A.G. Williams (ADLD)
LEE LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS	22 22 22B 22 22C 22 22 22 22 22	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) ng, A.G. Williams (ADLD) (CMS Collab.)
LEE LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN	22 22 22B 22 22C 22 22 22 22 22 22 22AH 22N	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) ng, A.G. Williams (ADLD) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
LEE LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN	22 22 22B 22 22C 22 22 22 22 22 22 22AH	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) ng, A.G. Williams (ADLD) (CMS Collab.)
LEE LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN	22 22 22B 22 22C 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) ng, A.G. Williams (ADLD) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
LEE LEES LI LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG	22 22 22B 22C 22C 22 22 22 22 22 22AH 22N 22R 22C	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (BERN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU	22 22 22B 22C 22C 22 22 22 22 22AH 22N 22R 22C 22A	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 L081101	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y.M. Wu et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (BERN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU XIAO	22 22 22B 22C 22C 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 2	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 L081101 PR D106 123019	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y.M. Wu et al. M. Xiao et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU XIAO YOON	22 22 22B 22C 22C 22 22 22 22 22A 22R 22C 22A 22C 22A 22 22A 22	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 L081101 PR D106 123019 PR D106 092007	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y. Wang et al. Y.M. Wu et al. M. Xiao et al. H. Yoon et al. C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, QG. YF. Zhou et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU XIAO YOON YUAN ZHOU AAD	22 22 22B 22C 22C 22 22 22 22 22A 22R 22C 22A 22 22 22A 22 22A 22 22F	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 123019 PR D106 123019 PR D106 092007 PR D106 083006 PR D106 083006 PR D103 112006	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y. M. Wu et al. M. Xiao et al. H. Yoon et al. C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, QG. YF. Zhou et al. G. Aad et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (BERN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU XIAO YOON YUAN ZHOU AAD AAD	22 22 22B 22C 22 22 22 22 22 22 22A 22R 22C 22A 22 22 22 22A 22 21F 21K	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 L081101 PR D106 L081101 PR D106 092007 PR D106 023020 PR D106 083006 PR D103 112006 JHEP 2102 226	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y.M. Wu et al. M. Xiao et al. H. Yoon et al. C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, QG. YF. Zhou et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU XIAO YOON YUAN ZHOU AAD AAD AAD AAD	22 22 22B 22C 22C 22 22 22 22 22A 22R 22C 22A 22 22 22A 22 22A 22 22F	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 L081101 PR D106 123019 PR D106 092007 PR D106 023020 PR D106 083006 PR D103 112006 JHEP 2102 226 JHEP 2102 226 JHEP 2103 243	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y. Wang et al. Y.M. Wu et al. M. Xiao et al. H. Yoon et al. C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, QG. YF. Zhou et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (GERN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (PPTA Collab.) . Huang (MeerKAT-Axion Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU XIAO YOON YUAN ZHOU AAD AAD AAD AAD AIso	22 22 22B 22C 22C 22 22 22 22 22 22AH 22N 22R 22C 22A 22 22 21F 21K 21N	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 L081101 PR D106 123019 PR D106 092007 PR D106 092007 PR D106 033006 PR D103 112006 JHEP 2102 226 JHEP 2103 243 JHEP 2103 (errat.)	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y.M. Wu et al. M. Xiao et al. H. Yoon et al. C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, QG. YF. Zhou et al. G. Aad et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (GMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU XIAO YOON YUAN ZHOU AAD AAD AAD AAD AAD AAD AIso ABRATENKO	22 22 22B 22C 22C 22 22 22 22 22A 22N 22R 22C 22A 22C 22A 21F 21K 21N	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 L081101 PR D106 123019 PR D106 092007 PR D106 092007 PR D106 083006 PR D103 112006 JHEP 2102 226 JHEP 2103 243 JHEP 2111 050 (errat.)	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y. Wang et al. Y. Wang et al. H. Yoon et al. C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, QG. YF. Zhou et al. G. Aad et al. P. Abratenko et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (EBRN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU XIAO YOON YUAN ZHOU AAD AAD AAD AAD AAD AAD AIso ABRATENKO ADE	22 22 22B 22C 22C 22 22 22 22 22A 22N 22R 22C 22A 22C 22A 22C 22A 22C 21F 21K 21N	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 123019 PR D106 123019 PR D106 092007 PR D106 092007 PR D106 083006 PR D103 112006 JHEP 2102 226 JHEP 2103 243 JHEP 2111 050 (errat.) PRL 127 151803 PR D103 042002	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y. Wang et al. Y.M. Wu et al. M. Xiao et al. H. Yoon et al. C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, QG. YF. Zhou et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. P. Abratenko et al. P. Abratenko et al. P.A.R. Ade et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (EBRN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (BICEP/Keck Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU XIAO YOON YUAN ZHOU AAD AAD AAD AAD AAD AAD ABRATENKO ADE AFACH	22 22 22B 22 22C 22 22 22 22 22A 22N 22R 22C 22A 22C 22A 22C 22A 22C 21F 21K 21N 21 21	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 L081101 PR D106 123019 PR D106 092007 PR D106 092007 PR D106 033020 PR D106 083006 PR D103 112006 JHEP 2103 243 JHEP 2111 050 (errat.) PRL 127 151803 PR D103 042002 NATP 17 1396	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y. Wang et al. M. Xiao et al. H. Yoon et al. C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, QG. YF. Zhou et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. P. Abratenko et al. P.A.R. Ade et al. S. Afach et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (BERN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (BICEP/Keck Collab.) (GNOME Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU XIAO YOON YUAN ZHOU AAD AAD AAD AAD AAD ABRATENKO ADE AFACH AGUILAR-AR	22 22 22B 22 22C 22 22 22 22 22A 22N 22R 22C 22A 22C 22A 22C 22A 22C 21F 21K 21N 21 21	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 L081101 PR D106 123019 PR D106 092007 PR D106 092007 PR D106 093020 PR D106 083006 PR D103 112006 JHEP 2102 226 JHEP 2103 243 JHEP 2111 050 (errat.) PRL 127 151803 PR D103 042002 NATP 17 1396 PR D103 052006	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y. Wang et al. Y.M. Wu et al. M. Xiao et al. H. Yoon et al. C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, QG. YF. Zhou et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. P. Abratenko et al. P.AR. Ade et al. S. Afach et al. S. Afach et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (BERN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (BICEP/Keck Collab.) (GNOME Collab.) (FIENU Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU XIAO YOON YUAN ZHOU AAD AAD AAD ABRATENKO ADE AFACH AGUILAR-AR ALESINI	22 22 22B 22C 22C 22 22 22 22 22A 22R 22C 22A 22C 22A 22 21F 21K 21N 21 21 21 21 21	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 L081101 PR D106 123019 PR D106 092007 PR D106 092007 PR D106 093020 PR D106 083006 PR D103 112006 JHEP 2102 226 JHEP 2103 243 JHEP 2111 050 (errat.) PRL 127 151803 PR D103 042002 NATP 17 1396 PR D103 052006 PR D103 102004	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y. Wang et al. Y.M. Wu et al. M. Xiao et al. H. Yoon et al. C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, QG. YF. Zhou et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. P. Abratenko et al. P. Arache et al. S. Afach et al. S. Afach et al. A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al. D. Alesini et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (EBRN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (BICEP/Keck Collab.) (GNOME Collab.) (PIENU Collab.) (QUAX Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU XIAO YOON YUAN ZHOU AAD AAD AAD AAD AAD AAD AAD AAD AAD AA	22 22 22B 22C 22C 22 22 22 22 22 22 22AH 22N 22C 22A 22 21F 21N 21N 21 21 21 21 21 21	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 L081101 PR D106 123019 PR D106 092007 PR D106 092007 PR D106 033006 PR D103 112006 JHEP 2102 226 JHEP 2103 243 JHEP 2111 050 (errat.) PRL 127 151803 PR D103 042002 NATP 17 1396 PR D103 102004 PR D103 102004 PR D103 102004	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y. Wang et al. Y.M. Wu et al. M. Xiao et al. H. Yoon et al. C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, QG. YF. Zhou et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. P. Abratenko et al. P.A.R. Ade et al. S. Afach et al. A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al. D. Alesini et al. S. Al Kharusi et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (EBRN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (BICEP/Keck Collab.) (BICEP/Keck Collab.) (GNOME Collab.) (PIENU Collab.) (QUAX Collab.) (EXO-200 Collab.)
LEE LEES LEES LI LI LU LUCENTE MANENTI SCHULTHESS THOMAS TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN TUMASYAN WANG WU XIAO YOON YUAN ZHOU AAD AAD AAD ABRATENKO ADE AFACH AGUILAR-AR ALESINI	22 22 22B 22C 22C 22 22 22 22 22A 22R 22C 22A 22C 22A 22 21F 21K 21N 21 21 21 21 21	PRL 128 241805 PRL 128 021802 PRL 128 131802 PL B829 137047 CP C46 085105 PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101 PR D105 052010 PRL 129 191801 PR D105 L031901 EPJ C82 290 JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087 PRL 129 051801 PR D106 L081101 PR D106 123019 PR D106 092007 PR D106 092007 PR D106 093020 PR D106 083006 PR D103 112006 JHEP 2102 226 JHEP 2103 243 JHEP 2111 050 (errat.) PRL 127 151803 PR D103 042002 NATP 17 1396 PR D103 052006 PR D103 102004	Y. Lee et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. J.P. Lees et al. HJ. Li HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente et al. L. Manenti et al. I. Schulthess et al. A.W. Thomas, X.G. War A. Tumasyan et al. A. Tumasyan et al. Y. Wang et al. Y. Wang et al. Y.M. Wu et al. M. Xiao et al. H. Yoon et al. C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, QG. YF. Zhou et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. P. Abratenko et al. P. Arache et al. S. Afach et al. S. Afach et al. A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al. D. Alesini et al.	(CAPP18T Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BABAR Collab.) (BNORM) Yin (MuDHI Collab.) (BERN, ILLG) (EBRN, ILLG) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (BICEP/Keck Collab.) (GNOME Collab.) (PIENU Collab.) (QUAX Collab.)

ANDREEV	21B	PR D104 L111102	Yu.M. Andreev et al.	(NA64 Collab.)
ARALIS	21	PR D103 039901 (errat.)	T. Aralis <i>et al.</i>	(SuperCDMS Collab.)
AYBAS	21	PRL 126 141802	D. Aybas et al.	(CASPEr Collab.)
BANIK	21	JCAP 2110 043	N. Banik <i>et al.</i>	
BARTRAM	21A	PRL 127 261803	C. Bartram et al.	(ADMX Collab.)
BASU	21	PRL 126 191102	A. Basu <i>et al.</i>	(BIEL, NAGO)
BAUMHOLZ	21	JCAP 2105 004	S. Baumholzer, V. Brdar, E	. Morgante (MÀINZ, FNAL+)
BHUSAL	21	PRL 126 091601	A. Bhusal, N. Houston, T.	
BI	21	PR D103 043018	XJ. Bi et al.	(BHEP, TSIN)
CALORE	21	PR D104 043016	F. Calore et al.	` (HEID)
CARRA	21	PR D104 092005	S. Carra et al.	()
CAZZANIGA	21	EPJ C81 959	C. Cazzaniga et al.	(NA64 Collab.)
CORTINA-GIL	21	PL B816 136259	E. Cortina Gil <i>et al.</i>	(NA62 Collab.)
CORTINA-GIL	21A	JHEP 2103 058	E. Cortina Gil <i>et al.</i>	(NA62 Collab.)
CORTINA-GIL	21C	JHEP 2102 201	E. Cortina Gil et al.	(NA62 Collab.)
CROON	21	JHEP 2101 107	D. Croon et al.	(TRIU, WASH, MIT, FNAL)
DEVLIN	21	PRL 126 041301	J.A. Devlin <i>et al.</i>	(BASE Collab.)
			A.V. Dixit <i>et al.</i>	
DIXIT	21	PRL 126 141302		(CHIC, RUTG, UCB+)
DOLAN	21	JHEP 2103 190 (errat.)	M.J. Dolan <i>et al.</i>	(MELB, BRCO, DESY)
DOLAN	21A	JCAP 2109 010	M.J. Dolan, F.J. Hiskens, F	R.R. Volkas (MELB)
FUJIKURA	21	PR D104 123012	K. Fujikura <i>et al.</i>	
GHOSH	21	PR D104 092016	S. Ghosh <i>et al.</i>	(HCD CCHC CTAN)
GODFREY	21	PR D104 012013	B. Godfrey et al.	(UCD, CSUS, STAN)
GRAMOLIN	21	NATP 17 79	A.V. Gramolin et al.	(SHAFT Collab.)
GUO	21	CP C45 025105	JG. Guo <i>et al.</i>	(BHEP)
HOMMA	21	JHEP 2112 108	K. Homa et al.	(SAPPHIRES Collab.)
JIANG	21	NATP 17 1402	M. Jiang et al.	
KOPYLOV	21A	PPN 52 31	A.V. Kopylov, I.V. Orekhov	
KRIBS	21	PRL 126 011801	G.D. Kribs, D. McKeen, N.	
KWON	21	PRL 126 191802	O. Kwon <i>et al.</i>	(CAPP-ACTION Collab.)
LI	21B	PR D103 083003	HJ. Li <i>et al.</i>	(BHEP)
LLOYD	21	PR D103 023010	S.J. Lloyd et al.	(DURH, OKLA)
LUCENTE	21	PR D104 103007	G. Lucente, P. Carenza	(BARI)
MARTINCAM	. 21	PR D103 L121301	J.M. Camalich et al.	
MELCON	21	JHEP 2110 075	A.A. Melcon et al.	(CAST-RADES Collab.)
NG	21	PRL 126 151102	K.K.Y. Ng et al.	(MIT, ANIK, UTRE, LEUV)
PARK	21	JHEP 2104 191	SH. Park et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
REGIS	21	PL B814 136075	M. Regis et al.	(MUSE Collab.)
ROGERS	21	PRL 126 071302	K.K. Rogers, H.V. Peiris	(STOH, LOUC)
ROUSSY	21	PRL 126 171301	T.S. Roussy et al.	(COLO, MAINZ)
SALEMI	21	PRL 127 081801	C.P. Salemi et al.	(ABRACADABRA Collab.)
SCHMIDT	21	PR D104 015008	I. Schmidt et al.	(FRAN, GSI, +)
THOMSON	21	PRL 126 081803	C.A. Thomson et al.	(WAUS)
Also		PRL 127 019901 (errat.)		(WAUS)
TSAI	21	PRL 126 181801	YD. Tsai, P. deNiverville,	
TSUKADA	21	PR D103 083005	L. Tsukada <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, TOKY, WATER)
XIAO	21	PRL 126 031101	M. Xiao <i>et al.</i>	(NOWN, TOTAL, WATER)
YUAN	21	JCAP 2103 018	GW. Yuan et al.	(CST)
ZHANG	21B	PRL 127 161101	J. Zhang et al.	(631)
AAIJ		JHEP 2010 156	R. Aaij et al.	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	20C	PRL 124 041801	R. Aaij et al.	(LHCb Collab.)
ABDELHAME		EPJ C80 376	A.H. Abdelhameed <i>et al.</i>	(Effeb collab.)
ABE	20J	PL B815 136174	T. Abe, K. Hamaguchi, N.	Nagata (TOKY)
ABLIKIM		PR D102 052005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
			F. Abudinen <i>et al.</i>	
ABUDINEN	20	PRL 125 161806		(BELLE II Collab.) (GERDA Collab.)
AGOSTINI	20	PRL 125 011801	M. Agostini <i>et al.</i>	`. ;
AGUILAR-AR		PR D101 052014	A. Aguilar-Arevalo <i>et al.</i> D.W. Amaral <i>et al.</i>	(PIENU Collab.)
AMARAL	20	PR D102 091101		(SuperCDMS Collab.)
ANDDIANAV	20	PR D102 115022	H. An et al.	(VIEN, MINN, VICT, TSIN)
ANDRIANAV	20	PR D102 042001	A. Andrianavalomahefa et a	
APRILE	20	PR D102 072004	E. Aprile <i>et al.</i>	(XENON Collab.)
ARALIS	20	PR D101 052008	T. Aralis <i>et al.</i>	(SuperCDMS Collab.)
Also	20	PR D103 039901 (errat.)		(SuperCDMS Collab.)
ARGUELLES	20	JHEP 2002 190	C. Arguelles <i>et al.</i>	(MIT, VALE)
ARNAUD	20	PRL 125 141301	Q. Arnaud et al.	(EDELWEISS Collab.)
BALDINI	20	EPJ C80 858	A.M. Baldini et al.	(MEG Collab.)
BANERJEE	20	PR D101 071101	D. Banerjee <i>et al.</i>	(NA64 Collab.)
BANERJEE	20A	PRL 125 081801	D. Banerjee <i>et al.</i>	(NA64 Collab.)
BARAK	20	PRL 125 171802	L. Barak <i>et al.</i>	(SENSEI Collab.)
BRAINE	20	PRL 124 101303	T. Braine <i>et al.</i>	(ADMX Collab.)
BUEHLER	20	JCAP 2009 027	R. Buehler <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, MADU)

CALORE	20	PR D102 123005	F. Calore <i>et al.</i> (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +)
CAPOZZI	20	PR D102 083007	F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt (MPIM)
CARENZA	20	PL B809 135709	P. Carenza et al.
CRESCINI	20	PRL 124 171801	N. Crescini et al. (QUAX Collab.)
CRISOSTO	20	PRL 124 241101	N. Crisosto <i>et al.</i> (ADMX SLIC Collab.)
DARLING	20	PRL 125 121103	J. Darling (COLO)
DARLING	20A	APJ 900 L28	J. Darling (COLO)
DENT	20A	PRL 125 131805	J.B. Dent et al.
DEPTA	20	JCAP 2005 009	P.F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, K. Schmidt-Hoberg (DESY)
DESSERT	20A	PRL 125 261102 EPJ C80 259	C. Dessert, J.W. Foster, B.R. Safdi (MICH)
ESTEBAN FOSTER	20 20		I. Esteban <i>et al.</i> J.W. Foster <i>et al.</i> (MICH, ILL, TOKY+)
GAO	20	PRL 125 171301 PRL 125 131806	
GAVELA	20	PRL 124 051802	C. Gao <i>et al.</i> (FNAL, EFI, CHIC, ANL+) M.B. Gavela <i>et al.</i>
GHOSH	20A	JCAP 2010 060	D. Ghosh, D. Sachdeva
IRSIC	20	PR D101 123518	V. Irsic, H. Xiao, M. McQuinn
JEONG	20	PRL 125 221302	J. Jeong et al.
KENNEDY	20	PRL 125 201302	C.J. Kennedy <i>et al.</i> (COLO, STAN)
KLIMCHITSK		PR D101 056013	G.L. Klimchitskaya, P. Kuusk, V.M. Mostepanenko
KOROCHKIN	20	JCAP 2003 064	A. Korochkin, A. Neronov, D. Semikoz
KRASNIKOV	20	MPL A35 2050116	N.V. Krasnikov
LEE	20A	PRL 124 101802	S .Lee <i>et al.</i> (CULTASK Collab.)
LUCENTE	20A	JCAP 2012 008	G. Lucente et al.
MEYER	20	PRL 124 231101	M. Meyer, T. Petrushevska (Fermi-LAT Collab.)
Also	_0		M. Meyer, T. Petrushevska (Fermi-LAT Collab.)
PODDAR	20	PR D101 083007	T.K. Poddar, S. Mohanty, S. Jana
SCHUTZ	20	PR D101 123026	K. Schutz (MIT)
SHE	20	PRL 124 111301	Z. She <i>et al.</i> (CDEX Collab.)
SIRUNYAN		PRL 124 131802	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i> (CMS Collab.)
STRANIERO	20	AA 644 A166	O. Straniero et al. (SASSO, BGNA, GRAN)
SUN	20	PR D101 063020	L. Sun, R. Brito, M. Isi (CIT, ROMAI, MIT)
TOMITA	20	JCAP 2009 012	N. Tomita et al.
WANG	20A	PR D101 052003	Y. Wang et al. (CDEX Collab.)
YAMAMOTO	20	JCAP 2002 011	R. Yamamoto et al.
AABOUD	19G	PR D99 012001	M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19A	PR D99 012006	M. Ablikim et al. (BESIII Collab.)
Also		PR D104 099901 (errat.)	M. Ablikim et al. (BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19H	PR D99 012013 `	M. Ablikim et al. (BESIII Collab.)
ADELBERGER	19	PRL 123 169001	E.G. Adelberger, W.A. Terrano (WASH, PRIN)
ADHIKARI	19B	ASP 114 101	P. Adhikari <i>et al.</i> (COSINE-100 Collab.)
AGUILAR-AR	19A	PRL 123 181802	A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al. (DAMIC Collab.)
AHN	19	PRL 122 021802	J.K. Ahn <i>et al.</i> (KOTO Collab.)
ALESINI	19	PR D99 101101	D. Alesini et al. (QUAX Collab.)
ALONI	19	PRL 123 071801	D. Aloni et al. (REHO, MIT, CERN, HAIF)
APRILE	19	PRL 122 071301	E. Aprile <i>et al.</i> (XENON1T Collab.)
APRILE	19D	PRL 123 251801	E. Aprile <i>et al.</i> (XENON1T Collab.)
ARNOLD	19	EPJ C79 440	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i> (NEMO-3 Collab.)
BANERJEE	19	PRL 123 121801	D. Banerjee <i>et al.</i> (NA64 Collab.)
BHOONAH	19	PR D100 023001	A. Bhoonah <i>et al.</i>
BRUN	19	PRL 122 201801	P. Brun, L. Chevalier, C. Flouzat (SACL)
CAPUTO	19	PR D100 063515	A. Caputo et al.
CORTINA-GIL	19	JHEP 1905 182	E. Cortina Gil et al. (NA62 Collab.)
DANILOV	19	PRL 122 041801	M. Danilov, S. Demidov, D. Gorbunov (LEBD, INRM+)
DAVOUDIASL	19	PRL 123 021102	H. Davoudiasl, P.B. Denton (BNL)
DESSERT	19	PRL 123 061104	C. Dessert, A.J. Long, B.R. Safdi (MICH)
FEDDERKE	19	PR D100 015040	M.A. Fedderke, P.W. Graham, S. Rajendran (STAN+)
FUJITA	19	PRL 122 191101	T. Fujita, R. Tazaki, K. Toma (KYOT, GEVA, TOHO)
HOCHBERG	19	PRL 123 151802	Y. Hochberg et al. (HEBR, MIT, NIST)
IVANOV	19	JCAP 1902 059	M.M. Ivanov et al. A. Konylov I. Orokhov V. Potukhov (INPM)
KOPYLOV KOVETZ	19 19	JCAP 1907 008 PR D99 123511	A. Kopylov, I. Orekhov, V. Petukhov (INRM) E.D. Kovetz, I. Cholis, D.E. Kaplan (JHU)
LEINSON	19	JCAP 1911 031	E.D. Kovetz, I. Cholis, D.E. Kaplan (JHU) L.B. Leinson
LIANG	19	JCAP 1911 031 JCAP 1906 042	Y-F. Liang <i>et al.</i>
LLOYD	19	PR D100 063005	S.J. Lloyd <i>et al.</i>
MARSH	19	PRL 123 051103	D.J.E. Marsh, J.C. Niemeyer (GOET)
NGUYEN	19	JCAP 1910 014	L.H. Nguyen, A. Lobanov, D. Horns (WISPDMX Collab.)
OUELLET	19A	PRL 122 121802	J.L. Ouellet <i>et al.</i> (ABRACADABRA Collab.)
PALOMBA	19	PRL 123 171101	C. Palomba et al.
SIRUNYAN		PL B796 131	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i> (CMS Collab.)
SMORRA	19	NAT 575 310	C. Smorra et al.
TERRANO	19	PRL 122 231301	W. Terrano <i>et al.</i> (WASH)

VVII	19	PRL 122 191302	T. Wu et al.	(CASPEr-ZULF Collab.)
WU WU	19C	PRL 123 169002	T. Wu et al.	(CASPEr-ZULF Collab.)
ABE	18F	PL B787 153	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(XMASS Collab.)
ADRIAN	18	PR D98 091101	P.H. Adrian et al.	(HPS Collab.)
AKHMATOV	18	PPN 49 599	Z.A. Akhmatov et al.	,
ANASTASI	18B	PL B784 336	A. Anastasi et al.	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
ARMENGAUD	18	PR D98 082004	E. Armengaud et al.	(EDELWEISS-III Collab.)
ARNOLD	18	EPJ C78 821	R. Arnold et al.	(NEMO-3 Collab.)
BANERJEE	18	PRL 120 231802	D. Banerjee <i>et al.</i>	(NA64 Collab.)
BANERJEE BEZNOGOV	18A 18	PR D97 072002 PR C98 035802	D. Banerjee <i>et al.</i> M.V. Beznogov <i>et al.</i>	(NA64 Collab.)
BOUTAN	18	PRL 121 261302	C. Boutan <i>et al.</i>	(ADMX Collab.)
CHANG	18	JHEP 1809 051	J.C. Chang, R. Essig, S.D.	
CRESCINI	18	EPJ C78 703	N. Crescini <i>et al.</i>	(QUAX Collab.)
DU	18	PRL 120 151301	N. Du et al.	(ADMX Collab.)
DZUBA	18	PR D98 035048	V.A. Dzuba <i>et al.</i>	
FICEK	18	PRL 120 183002	F. Ficek <i>et al.</i>	
FORTIN	18	JHEP 1806 048	JF. Fortin, K. Sinha	(LAVL, OKLA)
GAVRILYUK	18	JETPL 107 589	Yu.M. Gavrilyuk <i>et al.</i>	
HAMAGUCHI KNIRCK	18 18	PR D98 103015 JCAP 1811 031	K. Hamaguchi <i>et al.</i> S. Knirck <i>et al.</i>	
STADNIK	18	PRL 120 013202	Y.V. Stadnik, V.A. Dzuba,	VV Flambaum
YAMAJI	18	PL B782 523	T. Yamaji et al.	(TOKY, RIKEN, KEK)
ZHANG	18	PR D97 063009	C. Zhang et al.	(10111, 1111211, 11211)
ZHONG	18	PR D97 092001	L. Zhong et al.	(HAYSTAC Collab.)
AAIJ	17AQ	PR D95 071101	R. Aaij et al.	(LHCb Collab.)
ABEL	17	PR X7 041034	C. Abel <i>et al</i> .	(nEDM Collab.)
ABGRALL	17	PRL 118 161801	N. Abgrall et al.	(MAJORANA Collab.)
ABLIKIM		PL B774 252	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ADE AHN	17 17	PR D96 102003	P.A.R. Ade <i>et al.</i> J.K. Ahn <i>et al.</i>	(BICEP2/Keck Array Collab.) (KOTO Collab.)
AKERIB	17B	PTEP 2017 021C01 PRL 118 261301	D.S. Akerib <i>et al.</i>	(LUX Collab.)
ANASTASSO		NATP 13 584	V. Anastassopoulos <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)
ANGLOHER	17	EPJ C77 299	G. Angloher <i>et al.</i>	(CRESST-II Collab.)
APRILE	17B	PR D95 029904	E. Aprile <i>et al.</i>	(XENON100 Collab.)
BANERJEE	17	PRL 118 011802	D. Banerjee et al.	(NA64 Collab.)
BATLEY	17	PL B769 67	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>	(NA48/2 Collab.)
BRANCA	17	PRL 118 021302	A. Branca <i>et al.</i>	(AURIGA Collab.)
BRUBAKER CHANG	17 17	PRL 118 061302	B.M. Brubaker et al.	(YALE, UCB, NIST+)
CHOI	17	JHEP 1701 107 PR D96 061102	J.H. Chang, R. Essig, S.D. J. Choi <i>et al.</i>	McDermott (STON) (CAPP-ACTION Collab.)
CRESCINI	17	PL B773 677	N. Crescini <i>et al.</i>	(QUAX-gpgs Collab.)
DAIDO	17	PL B772 127	R. Daido, F. Takahashi	(doint ghas commun)
DOLAN	17	JHEP 1712 094	M.J. Dolan et al.	
Also		JHEP 2103 190 (errat.)	M. I. Dalamarkari	
DUBININA		,	M.J. Dolan <i>et al.</i>	(MELB, BRCO, DESY)
FICEIC	17	PAN 80 461	V.V. Dubinina et al.	(MELB, BRCO, DESY)
FICEK	17	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505	V.V. Dubinina <i>et al.</i> F. Ficek <i>et al.</i>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
FU	17 17A	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806	V.V. Dubinina <i>et al.</i> F. Ficek <i>et al.</i> C. Fu <i>et al.</i>	(MELB, BRCO, DESY) (PandaX-II Collab.)
FU INADA	17 17A 17	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK	17 17A 17 17A	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko
FU INADA	17 17A 17	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI	17 17A 17 17A 17	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES	17 17A 17 17A 17A 17	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO MARSH	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO MARSH TIWARI	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17 17	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036 PR D95 023005	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al. P. Tiwari	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO MARSH TIWARI AAD	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17 17 17 17	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036 PR D95 023005 JHEP 1602 062	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al. P. Tiwari G. Aad et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.) (Technion) (ATLAS Collab.)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO MARSH TIWARI AAD ABLIKIM	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17 17 17 16AG 16E	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036 PR D95 023005 JHEP 1602 062 PR D93 052005	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al. P. Tiwari G. Aad et al. M. Ablikim et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.) (Technion) (ATLAS Collab.) (BESIII Collab.)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO MARSH TIWARI AAD ABLIKIM AJELLO	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17 17 17 17	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036 PR D95 023005 JHEP 1602 062	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al. P. Tiwari G. Aad et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.) (Technion) (ATLAS Collab.)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO MARSH TIWARI AAD ABLIKIM	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17 17 17 16AG 16E 16	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036 PR D95 023005 JHEP 1602 062 PR D93 052005 PRL 116 161101	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al. P. Tiwari G. Aad et al. M. Ablikim et al. M. Ajello et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.) (Technion) (ATLAS Collab.) (BESIII Collab.) (Fermi-LAT Collab.)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO MARSH TIWARI AAD ABLIKIM AJELLO ANASTASI BATTICH BERENJI	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17 17 17 16AG 16E 16	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036 PR D95 023005 JHEP 1602 062 PR D93 052005 PRL 116 161101 PL B757 356 JCAP 1608 062 PR D93 045019	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al. P. Tiwari G. Aad et al. M. Aplikim et al. M. Ajello et al. A. Anastasi et al. T. Battich et al. B. Berenji et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.) (Technion) (ATLAS Collab.) (BESIII Collab.) (Fermi-LAT Collab.)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO MARSH TIWARI AAD ABLIKIM AJELLO ANASTASI BATTICH BERENJI CORSICO	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17 17 16AG 16E 16 16 16	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036 PR D95 023005 JHEP 1602 062 PR D93 052005 PRL 116 161101 PL B757 356 JCAP 1608 062 PR D93 045019 JCAP 1607 036	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al. P. Tiwari G. Aad et al. M. Aplikim et al. M. Ajello et al. A. Anastasi et al. T. Battich et al. B. Berenji et al. A.H. Corsico et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.) (Technion) (ATLAS Collab.) (BESIII Collab.) (Fermi-LAT Collab.) (KLOE-2 Collab.)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO MARSH TIWARI AAD ABLIKIM AJELLO ANASTASI BATTICH BERENJI CORSICO DELLA-VALLE	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17 17 16AG 16E 16 16 16 16	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036 PR D95 023005 JHEP 1602 062 PR D93 052005 PRL 116 161101 PL B757 356 JCAP 1608 062 PR D93 045019 JCAP 1607 036 EPJ C76 24	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al. P. Tiwari G. Aad et al. M. Ablikim et al. M. Ajello et al. A. Anastasi et al. T. Battich et al. B. Berenji et al. A.H. Corsico et al. F. Della Valle et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.) (Technion) (ATLAS Collab.) (BESIII Collab.) (Fermi-LAT Collab.) (KLOE-2 Collab.)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LIU LUO MARSH TIWARI AAD ABLIKIM AJELLO ANASTASI BATTICH BERENJI CORSICO DELLA-VALLE HOSKINS	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17 17 16AG 16E 16 16 16 16 16	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036 PR D95 023005 JHEP 1602 062 PR D93 052005 PRL 116 161101 PL B757 356 JCAP 1608 062 PR D93 045019 JCAP 1607 036 EPJ C76 24 PR D94 082001	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al. P. Tiwari G. Aad et al. M. Ablikim et al. M. Ajello et al. A. Anastasi et al. T. Battich et al. B. Berenji et al. A.H. Corsico et al. F. Della Valle et al. J. Hoskins et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.) (Technion) (ATLAS Collab.) (BESIII Collab.) (Fermi-LAT Collab.) (KLOE-2 Collab.) (PVLAS Collab.) (ADMX Collab.)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO MARSH TIWARI AAD ABLIKIM AJELLO ANASTASI BATTICH BERENJI CORSICO DELLA-VALLE HOSKINS JAECKEL	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17 17 16AG 16E 16 16 16 16 16 16	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036 PR D95 023005 JHEP 1602 062 PR D93 052005 PRL 116 161101 PL B757 356 JCAP 1608 062 PR D93 045019 JCAP 1607 036 EPJ C76 24 PR D94 082001 PL B753 482	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al. P. Tiwari G. Aad et al. M. Ajello et al. A. Anastasi et al. T. Battich et al. B. Berenji et al. A. H. Corsico et al. F. Della Valle et al. J. Hoskins et al. J. Jaeckel, M. Spannowsky	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.) (Technion) (ATLAS Collab.) (BESIII Collab.) (Fermi-LAT Collab.) (KLOE-2 Collab.) (PVLAS Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (HEID, DURH)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO MARSH TIWARI AAD ABLIKIM AJELLO ANASTASI BATTICH BERENJI CORSICO DELLA-VALLE HOSKINS JAECKEL KHACHATRY	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17 17 17 16AG 16E 16 16 16 16 16 16	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036 PR D95 023005 JHEP 1602 062 PR D93 052005 PRL 116 161101 PL B757 356 JCAP 1608 062 PR D93 045019 JCAP 1607 036 EPJ C76 24 PR D94 082001 PL B753 482 PL B752 146	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al. P. Tiwari G. Aad et al. M. Apillo et al. A. Anastasi et al. T. Battich et al. B. Berenji et al. A.H. Corsico et al. F. Della Valle et al. J. Hoskins et al. J. Jaeckel, M. Spannowsky V. Khachatryan et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.) (Technion) (ATLAS Collab.) (BESIII Collab.) (Fermi-LAT Collab.) (KLOE-2 Collab.) (PVLAS Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (HEID, DURH) (CMS Collab.)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO MARSH TIWARI AAD ABLIKIM AJELLO ANASTASI BATTICH BERENJI CORSICO DELLA-VALLE HOSKINS JAECKEL	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17 17 17 16AG 16E 16 16 16 16 16 16	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036 PR D95 023005 JHEP 1602 062 PR D93 052005 PRL 116 161101 PL B757 356 JCAP 1608 062 PR D93 045019 JCAP 1607 036 EPJ C76 24 PR D94 082001 PL B753 482	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al. P. Tiwari G. Aad et al. M. Ablikim et al. M. Apillo et al. A. Anastasi et al. T. Battich et al. B. Berenji et al. A.H. Corsico et al. F. Della Valle et al. J. Hoskins et al. J. Jaeckel, M. Spannowsky V. Khachatryan et al. A.J. Krasznahorkay et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.) (Technion) (ATLAS Collab.) (BESIII Collab.) (Fermi-LAT Collab.) (KLOE-2 Collab.) (PVLAS Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (HEID, DURH)
FU INADA KLIMCHITSK KOHRI LEES LIU LIU LUO MARSH TIWARI AAD ABLIKIM AJELLO ANASTASI BATTICH BERENJI CORSICO DELLA-VALLE HOSKINS JAECKEL KHACHATRY KRASZNAHO	17 17A 17 17A 17 17E 17 17A 17 17 16AG 16E 16 16 16 16 16 16	PAN 80 461 PR A95 032505 PRL 119 181806 PRL 118 071803 PR D95 123013 PR D96 051701 PRL 119 131804 PL B766 117 PR D95 052006 PR D96 055028 JCAP 1712 036 PR D95 023005 JHEP 1602 062 PR D93 052005 PRL 116 161101 PL B757 356 JCAP 1608 062 PR D93 045019 JCAP 1607 036 EPJ C76 24 PR D94 082001 PL B753 482 PL B752 146 PRL 116 042501	V.V. Dubinina et al. F. Ficek et al. C. Fu et al. T. Inada et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. M. K. Kohri, H. Kodama J.P. Lees et al. XH. Liu S.K. Liu et al. P. Luo et al. M.C.D. Marsh et al. P. Tiwari G. Aad et al. M. Ablikim et al. M. Apillo et al. A. Anastasi et al. T. Battich et al. B. Berenji et al. A.H. Corsico et al. F. Della Valle et al. J. Hoskins et al. J. Jaeckel, M. Spannowsky V. Khachatryan et al. A.J. Krasznahorkay et al.	(PandaX-II Collab.) Mostepanenko (KEK, KYOT) (BABAR Collab.) (TINT) (CDEX Collab.) (Technion) (ATLAS Collab.) (BESIII Collab.) (Fermi-LAT Collab.) (KLOE-2 Collab.) (PVLAS Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (HEID, DURH) (CMS Collab.) (HINR, ANIK+)

YOON	16	JHEP 1606 011	Y.S. Yoon et al.	(KIMS Collab.)
AAD	15CD	PR D92 092001	G. Aad et al.	(ÀTLAS Collab.)
AAIJ		PRL 115 161802	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
				. ` '
ADARE	15	PR C91 031901	A. Adare <i>et al.</i>	(PHENIX Collab.)
AFACH	15	PL B745 58	S. Afach <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, PSI, CAEN, +)
AGOSTINI	15A	EPJ C75 416	M. Agostini <i>et al.</i>	(GERDA Collab.)
AN	15A	PL B747 331	H. An <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, VICT, VIEN)
ANASTASI	15	PL B747 365	A. Anastasi <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
ANASTASI	15A	PL B750 633	A. Anastasi <i>et al.</i>	
				(KLOE-2 Collab.)
ANASTASSO	15	PL B749 172	V. Anastassopoulos et al.	(CAST Collab.)
ARIK	15	PR D92 021101	M. Arik <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)
ARNOLD	15	PR D92 072011	R. Arnold et al.	(NÈMO-3 Collab.)
BALLOU	15	PR D92 092002	R. Ballou <i>et al.</i>	(OSQAR Collab.)
				,
BATLEY	15A	PL B746 178	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>	(NA48/2 Collab.)
BAYES	15	PR D91 052020	R. Bayes <i>et al.</i>	(TWIST Collab.)
BRAX	15	PR D92 083501	P. Brax, P. Brun, D. Wouters	(SACL, SACL5)
GAVRILYUK	15	JETPL 101 664	Yu.M. Gavrilyuk et al.	,
0, 1, 11, 2, 1, 1, 1		Translated from ZETFP		
HASEBE	15	PTEP 2015 073C01	T. Hasebe <i>et al.</i>	
				(DELLE C. II.I.)
JAEGLE	15	PRL 114 211801	I. Jaegle <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
KAZANAS	15	NP B890 17	D. Kazanas <i>et al.</i>	
KLIMCHITSK	. 15	EPJ C75 164	G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. Mostep	anenko
MILLEA	15	PR D92 023010	M. Millea, L. Knox, B. Fields	(UCD, ILL)
STADNIK	15	EPJ C75 110	Y.V. Stadnik, V.V. Flambaum	(SYDN)
SUZUKI	15	JCAP 1509 042	J. Suzuki <i>et al.</i>	
TERRANO	15	PRL 115 201801	W.A. Terrano <i>et al.</i>	(WASH)
VANTILBURG	15	PRL 115 011802	K. Van Tilburg <i>et al.</i>	, ,
VINYOLES	15	JCAP 1510 015	N. Vinyoles et al.	
				(VMACC Callah)
ABE	14F	PRL 113 121301	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(XMASS Collab.)
AGAKISHIEV	14	PL B731 265	G. Agakishiev <i>et al.</i>	(HADES Collab.)
ALBERT	14A	PR D90 092004	J.B. Albert <i>et al.</i>	(EXO-200 Collab.)
APRILE	14B	PR D90 062009	E. Aprile <i>et al.</i>	(XÈNON100 Collab.)
ARIK	14	PRL 112 091302	M. Arik <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)
				(Crist Collab.)
AYALA	14	PRL 113 191302	A. Ayala <i>et al.</i>	(((()))
BABUSCI	14	PL B736 459	D. Babusci <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
BATELL	14	PRL 113 171802	B. Batell, R. Essig, Z. Surujon	(EFI, STON)
BEZERRA	14	PR D89 035010	V.B. Bezerra et al.	,
BEZERRA	14A	EPJ C74 2859	V.B. Bezerra <i>et al.</i>	
BEZERRA	14B	PR D90 055013	V.B. Bezerra <i>et al.</i>	
BEZERRA	14C	PR D89 075002	V.B. Bezerra <i>et al.</i>	
BLUEMLEIN	14	PL B731 320	J. Bluemlein, J. Brunner	(CPPM, DESY)
BLUM	14	PL B737 30	K. Blum et al.	` (IAS, PRIN)
DELLA-VALLE		PR D90 092003	F. Della Valle <i>et al.</i>	(PVLAS Collab.)
				(I VLAS Collab.)
DERBIN	14	EPJ C74 3035	A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	
EJLLI	14	PR D90 123527	D. Ejlli	
FRADETTE	14	PR D90 035022	A. Fradette <i>et al.</i>	
LEES	14J	PRL 113 201801	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEINSON	14	JCAP 1408 031	L. Leinson	(
MERKEL				(A1 at MANAI)
	14	PRL 112 221802	H. Merkel <i>et al.</i>	(A1 at MAMI)
MILLER-BER	14	JCAP 1410 069	M.M. Miller Bertolami et al.	
PUGNAT	14	EPJ C74 3027	P. Pugnat <i>et al.</i>	(OSQAR Collab.)
REESMAN	14	JCAP 1408 021	R. Reesman et al.	` (OSU)
ABE	13D	PL B724 46	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(XMASS Collab.)
ABRAMOWSKI		PR D88 102003	A. Abramowski <i>et al.</i>	(H.E.S.S. Collab.)
ADLARSON	13	PL B726 187	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i> (WASA-at-COSY Collab.)
ALESSANDRIA	13	JCAP 1305 007	F. Alessandria <i>et al.</i>	(CUORE Collab.)
AN	13B	PL B725 190	H. An, M. Pospelov, J. Pradler	,
AN	13C	PRL 111 041302	H. An, M. Pospelov, J. Pradler	
			• •	
ARCHIDIACO		JCAP 1310 020	M. Archidiacono et al.	(EDELLA/EIGG II G II I)
ARMENGAUD	13	JCAP 1311 067	E. Armengaud <i>et al.</i>	(EDELWEISS-II Collab.)
BABUSCI	13B	PL B720 111	D. Babusci <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
BARTH	13	JCAP 1305 010	K. Barth <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)
BECK	13	PRL 111 231801	C. Beck	,
				(CDOMS Callah)
BETZ	13	PR D88 075014	M. Betz et al.	(CROWS Collab.)
	13	PRL 111 102001	M. Bulatowicz et al.	/=/= /: :-
CHU	13	PR D87 011105	PH. Chu <i>et al.</i>	(DUKE, IND, SJTU)
DERBIN	13	EPJ C73 2490	A. V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	
DIAMOND	13	PRL 111 221803	M.D. Diamond, P. Schuster	
FRIEDLAND	13	PRL 110 061101	A. Friedland, M. Giannotti, M. V	Nise
GNINENKO	13	PR D87 035030	S.N. Gninenko	(INRM)
HECKEL	13	PRL 111 151802	B. R. Heckel <i>et al.</i>	

HORVAT INADA LATTANZI MEYER MIZUMOTO PARKER REDONDO TULLNEY VIAUX	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	PL B721 220 PL B722 301 PR D88 063528 PR D87 035027 JCAP 1307 013 PR D88 112004 JCAP 1308 034 PRL 111 100801	R. Horvat et al. T. Inada et al. M. Lattanzi et al. M. Meyer, D. Horns, M. Raue T. Mizumoto et al. S. Parker et al. J. Redondo, G. Raffelt K. Tullney et al. N. Viaux et al.	
WOUTERS ABLIKIM ARCHILLI BELLI BELLINI	13 12 12 12 12 12B	PRL 111 231301 APJ 772 44 PR D85 092012 PL B706 251 PL B711 41 PR D85 092003	D. Wouters, P. Brun M. Ablikim et al. F. Archilli et al. P. Belli et al. G. Bellini et al.	(SACL) (BESIII Collab.) (KLOE-2 Collab.) (DAMA-KIEV) (Borexino Collab.)
CADAMURO CORSICO DERBIN	12 12 12 12	JCAP 1202 032 JCAP 1212 010 JETPL 95 339 Translated from ZETFP	D. Cadamuro <i>et al.</i> A.H. Corsico <i>et al.</i> A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM) (LAPL, RGSUL, WASH+) (PNPI)
GANDO GNINENKO GNINENKO PAYEZ RAFFELT	12 12A 12B 12 12	PR C86 021601 PR D85 055027 PL B713 244 JCAP 1207 041 PR D86 015001	A. Gando <i>et al.</i> S.N. Gninenko S.N. Gninenko A. Payez <i>et al.</i> G. Raffelt	(KamLAND-Zen Collab.) (INRM) (INRM) (LIEG) (MPIM)
AALSETH ABRAHAMY ARIK ARNOLD	11 11 11 11	PRL 106 131301 PRL 107 191804 PRL 107 261302	C.E. Aalseth <i>et al.</i> S. Abrahamyan <i>et al.</i> M. Arik <i>et al.</i> R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(CoGeNT Collab.) (CAST Collab.)
BLUEMLEIN CADAMURO DERBIN	11 11 11 11	PRL 107 062504 PL B701 155 JCAP 1102 003 PAN 74 596 Translated from YAF 74	J. Bluemlein, J. Brunner D. Cadamuro <i>et al.</i> A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-3 Collab.) (DESY) (MPIM, AARHUS) (PNPI)
DERBIN HOEDL HOSKINS ANDRIAMON ARGYRIADES	11A 11 11 . 10 10	PR D83 023505 PRL 106 041801 PR D84 121302 JCAP 1003 032 NP A847 168	A.V. Derbin et al. S.A. Hoedl et al. J. Hoskins et al. S. Andriamonje et al. J. Argyriades et al.	(PNPI) (WASH) (ADMX Collab.) (CAST Collab.) (NEMO-3 Collab.)
ASZTALOS EHRET HANNESTAD PETUKHOV SEREBROV	10 10 10 10 10	PRL 104 041301 PL B689 149 JCAP 1008 001 PRL 105 170401 JETPL 91 6	S.J. Asztalos <i>et al.</i> K. Ehret <i>et al.</i> S. Hannestad <i>et al.</i> A.K. Petukhov <i>et al.</i> A.P. Serebrov <i>et al.</i>	(ADMX Collab.) (ALPS Collab.)
AHMED	09A	Translated from ZETFP 9 PRL 103 141802		(CDMS Collab.)
ANDRIAMON ARGYRIADES ARIK BJORKEN	. 09 09 09 09	JCAP 0912 002 PR C80 032501 JCAP 0902 008 PR D80 075018	S. Andriamonje <i>et al.</i> J. Argyriades <i>et al.</i> E. Arik <i>et al.</i> J. Bjorken <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-3 Collab.) (CAST Collab.)
CHOU DAVOUDIASL DERBIN	09 09 09A	PRL 102 030402 PR D79 095024 PL B678 181	A.S. Chou <i>et al.</i> H. Davoudiasl, P. Huber A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	(GammeV Collab.)
GONDOLO IGNATOVICH KEKEZ SEREBROV	09 09 09 09	PR D79 107301 EPJ C64 19 PL B671 345 PL B680 423	P. Gondolo, G. Raffelt V.K. Ignatovich, Y.N. Pokotilov D. Kekez <i>et al.</i> A.P. Serebrov	(UTAH, MPIM) ski (JINR) (PNPI)
AFANASEV BELLINI CHOU FOUCHE HANNESTAD	08 08 08 08	PRL 101 120401 EPJ C54 61 PRL 100 080402 PR D78 032013 JCAP 0804 019	A. Afanasev et al. G. Bellini et al. A.S. Chou et al. M. Fouche et al. S. Hannestad et al.	(Borexino Collab.) (GammeV Collab.)
INOUE ZAVATTINI	08 08	PL B668 93 PR D77 032006	Y. Inoue et al. E. Zavattini et al.	(PVLAS Collab.)
ADELBERGER ANDRIAMON	. 07	PRL 98 131104 JCAP 0704 010	E.G. Adelberger <i>et al.</i> S. Andriamonje <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)
BAESSLER CHANG HANNESTAD JAIN LESSA	07 07 07 07 07	PR D75 075006 PR D75 052004 JCAP 0708 015 JP G34 129 PR D75 094001	S. Baessler et al. H.M. Chang et al. S. Hannestad et al. P.L. Jain, G. Singh A.P. Lessa, O.L.G. Peres	(TEXONO Collab.)
MELCHIORRI ROBILLIARD ARNOLD	07A 07 06	PR D76 041303 PRL 99 190403 NP A765 483	A. Melchiorri, O. Mena, A. Slo C. Robilliard <i>et al.</i> R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-3 Collab.)

DUFFY HECKEL ZAVATTINI	06 06 06	PR D74 012006 PRL 97 021603	L.D. Duffy et al. B.R. Heckel et al. E. Zavattini et al.	(DVI AS Collab.)
HANNESTAD ZIOUTAS	05A 05	PRL 96 110406 JCAP 0507 002 PRL 94 121301	S. Hannestad, A. Mirizzi, C K. Zioutas <i>et al.</i>	(PVLAS Collab.) G. Raffelt (CAST Collab.)
ADLER	04	PR D70 037102	S. Adler et al.	(BNL E787 Collab.)
ANISIMOVSK		PRL 93 031801	V.V. Anisimovsky et al.	(BNL E949 Collab.)
ARNOLD	04	JETPL 80 377 Translated from ZETFP 8	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i> 30 429	(NEMO-3 Collab.)
ASZTALOS	04	PR D69 011101	S.J. Asztalos <i>et al.</i>	
HOFFMANN	04	PR B70 180503	C. Hoffmann et al.	
ARNABOLDI CIVITARESE	03 03	PL B557 167 NP A729 867	C. Arnaboldi <i>et al.</i> O. Civitarese, J. Suhonen	
DANEVICH	03	PR C68 035501	F.A. Danevich et al.	
ADLER	02C	PL B537 211	S. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)
BADERT BERNABEI	02 02D	PL B542 29 PL B546 23	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i> R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
DERBIN	02	PAN 65 1302	A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	(2/
FUSHIMI	02	Translated from YAF 65 PL B531 190	1335. K. Fushimi <i>et al.</i>	(FLECANT V Collab.)
INOUE	02	PL B536 18	Y. Inoue et al.	(ELEGANT V Collab.)
MORALES	02B	ASP 16 325	A. Morales et al.	(COSME Collab.)
ADLER	01	PR D63 032004	S. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)
AMMAR ASHITKOV	01B 01	PRL 87 271801 JETPL 74 529	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i> V.D. Ashitkov <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 7	74 601.	<i>(</i>
BERNABEI	01B 01	PL B515 6	R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i> F.A. Danevich <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
DANEVICH DEBOER	01	NP A694 375 JP G27 L29	F.W.N. de Boer <i>et al.</i>	
STOICA	01	NP A694 269	S. Stoica, H.V. Klapdor-Kle	eingrothous
ALESSAND	00	PL B486 13	A. Alessandrello <i>et al.</i>	
ARNOLD ASTIER	00 00B	NP A678 341 PL B479 371	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i> P. Astier <i>et al.</i>	(NOMAD Collab.)
DANEVICH	00	PR C62 045501	F.A. Danevich et al.	(NOWIND CONS.)
MASSO	00	PR D61 011701	E. Masso	(1)5140 (5 1)
ARNOLD NI	99 99	NP A658 299 PRL 82 2439	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i> WT. Ni <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO Collab.)
SIMKOVIC	99	PR C60 055502	F. Simkovic <i>et al.</i>	
ALTEGOER	98	PL B428 197	J. Altegoer et al.	(
ARNOLD AVIGNONE	98 98	NP A636 209 PRL 81 5068	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i> F.T. Avignone <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-2 Collab.) (Solar Axion Experiment)
DIAZ	98	NP B527 44	M.A. Diaz et al.	(Solar Axion Experiment)
KIM	98	PR D58 055006	J.E. Kim	
LUESCHER	98 98	PL B434 407	R. Luescher <i>et al.</i> S. Moriyama <i>et al.</i>	
MORIYAMA MOROI	98	PL B434 147 PL B440 69	T. Moroi, H. Murayama	
POSPELOV	98	PR D58 097703	M. Pospelov	
AHMAD	97	PRL 78 618	I. Ahmad et al.	(APEX Collab.)
BORISOV DEBOER	97 97C	JETP 83 868 JP G23 L85	A.V. Borisov, V.Y. Grishinia F.W.N. de Boer <i>et al.</i>	a (MOSU)
KACHELRIESS		PR D56 1313	M. Kachelriess, C. Wilke, C	G. Wunner (BOCH)
KEIL	97	PR D56 2419	W. Keil <i>et al.</i>	(DNI 5707 C-II-L)
KITCHING LEINBERGER	97 97	PRL 79 4079 PL B394 16	P. Kitching <i>et al.</i> U. Leinberger <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.) (ORANGE Collab.)
ADLER	96	PRL 76 1421	S. Adler et al.	(BNL E787 Collab.)
AMSLER	96B	ZPHY C70 219	C. Amsler et al.	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
GANZ GUENTHER	96 96	PL B389 4 PR D54 3641	R. Ganz <i>et al.</i> M. Gunther <i>et al.</i>	(GSI, HEID, FRAN, JAGL+) (MPIK, SASSO)
KAMEL	96	PL B368 291	S. Kamel	(SHAMS)
MITSUI	96	EPL 33 111	T. Mitsui et al.	(TOKY)
YOUDIN ALTMANN	96 95	PRL 77 2170 ZPHY C68 221	A.N. Youdin <i>et al.</i> M. Altmann <i>et al.</i>	(AMHT, WASH) (TUM, LAPP, CPPM)
BASSOMPIE		PL B355 584	G. Bassompierre <i>et al.</i>	(LAPP, LCGT, LYON)
MAENO	95	PL B351 574	T. Maeno <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY)
RAFFELT SKALSEY	95 95	PR D51 1495 PR D51 6292	G. Raffelt, A. Weiss M. Skalsey, R.S. Conti	(MPIM, MPIG) (MICH)
TSUNODA	95	EPL 30 273	T. Tsunoda <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY)
ADACHI	94	PR A49 3201	S. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(TMU)
ALTHERR AMSLER	94 94B	ASP 2 175 PL B333 271	T. Altherr, E. Petitgirard, ¹ C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ASAI	94	PL B323 90	S. Asai <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY)
MEIJERDREES	94	PR D49 4937	M.R. Drees et al.	(BRCO, OREG, TRIU)

NI VO ATIYA Also ATIYA BASSOMPIE BECK CAMERON	93 93	Physica B194 153 PR C49 1551 PRL 70 2521 PRL 71 305 (erratum) PR D48 1 EPL 22 239 PRL 70 2853 PR D47 3707	W.T. Ni et al. D.T. Vo et al. M.S. Atiya et al. M.S. Atiya et al. M.S. Atiya et al. M.S. Atiya et al. M. Beck et al. R.E. Cameron et al.	(NTHU) (ISU, LBL, LLNL, UCD) (BNL E787 Collab.) (BNL E787 Collab.) (BNL E787 Collab.) (LAPP, TORI, LYON) (MPIK, KIAE, SASSO) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+)
CHANG CHUI MINOWA NG RITTER	93 93 93 93 93	PL B316 51 PRL 71 3247 PRL 71 4120 PR D48 2941 PRL 70 701	S. Chang, K. Choi T.C.P. Chui, W.T. Ni M. Minowa <i>et al.</i> K.W. Ng R.C. Ritter <i>et al.</i>	(NTHU) (TOKY) (AST)
TANAKA ALLIEGRO ATIYA BARABASH BERNATOW BLUEMLEIN HALLIN HENDERSON HICKS LAZARUS MEIJERDREES PAN	93 92 92 92 92 92 92 92C 92 92	PR D48 5412 PRL 68 278 PRL 69 733 PL B295 154 PRL 69 2341 IJMP A7 3835 PR D45 3955 PRL 69 1733 PL B276 423 PRL 69 2333 PRL 68 3845 MPL A7 1287	J. Tanaka, H. Ejiri C. Alliegro et al. M.S. Atiya et al. L.S. Barabash et al. T. Bernatowicz et al. J. Bluemlein et al. A.L. Hallin et al. S.D. Henderson et al. K.H. Hicks, D.E. Alburger D.M. Lazarus et al. R. Meijer Drees et al. S.S. Pan, W.T. Ni, S.C. Chen	(OSAK) (BNL, FNAL, PSI+) (BNL, LANL, PRIN+) (JINR, CERN, SERP+) (WUSL, TATA) (BERL, BUDA, JINR+) (PRIN) (YALE, BNL) (OHIO, BNL) (BNL, ROCH, FNAL) (SINDRUM I Collab.) (NTHU)
RUOSO SKALSEY VENEMA	92 92 92	ZPHY C56 505 PRL 68 456 PRL 68 135	G. Ruoso <i>et al.</i> (R M. Skalsey, J.J. Kolata B.J. Venema <i>et al.</i>	OCH, BNL, FNAL, TRST) (MICH, NDAM)
WANG WANG WU AKOPYAN ASAI BERSHADY BLUEMLEIN BOBRAKOV	92 92C 92 91 91 91 91	MPL A7 1497 PL B291 97 PRL 69 1729 PL B272 443 PRL 66 2440 PRL 66 1398 ZPHY C51 341 JETPL 53 294	J. Wang J. Wang X.Y. Wu et al. M.V. Akopyan et al. S. Asai et al. M.A. Bershady, M.T. Ressell, I J. Bluemlein et al. V.F. Bobrakov et al.	(ILL) (ILL) (BNL, YALE, CUNY) (INRM) (ICEPP) M.S. Turner (CHIC+) (BERL, BUDA, JINR+) (PNPI)
BROSS	91	Translated from ZETFP 5 PRL 67 2942	A.D. Bross et al.	(FNAL, ILL)
KIM RAFFELT RAFFELT RESSELL TRZASKA TSERTOS	91C 91 91B 91 91	PRL 67 3465 PRPL 198 1 PRL 67 2605 PR D44 3001 PL B269 54 PL B266 259	J.E. Kim G.G. Raffelt G. Raffelt, D. Seckel M.T. Ressell W.H. Trzaska et al. H. Tsertos et al.	(SEOUL) (MPIM) (MPIM, BART) (CHIC, FNAL) (TAMU) (ILLG, GSI)
WALKER WIDMANN WINELAND ALBRECHT	91 91 91 90E	APJ 376 51 ZPHY A340 209 PRL 67 1735 PL B246 278	T.P. Walker et al. E. Widmann et al. D.J. Wineland et al. H. Albrecht et al.	(HSCA, OSU, CHIC+) (STUT, GSI, STUTM) (NBSB) (ARGUS Collab.)
ANTREASYAN ASANUMA ATIYA ATIYA BAUER	90 90 90B 90	PL B251 204 PL B237 588 PRL 64 21 PRL 65 1188 NIM B50 300	D. Antreasyan et al. T. Asanuma et al. M.S. Atiya et al. M.S. Atiya et al. W. Bauer et al.	(Crystal Ball Collab.) (TOKY) (BNL E787 Collab.) (BNL E787 Collab.) (STUT, VILL, GSI)
BURROWS DEBOER ENGEL GNINENKO GUO	90 90 90 90 90	PR D42 3297 JP G16 L1 PRL 65 960 PL B237 287 PR D41 2924		J. Steyaert (LOUV) s (BART, LANL) (INRM) IU, LANL, FNAL, CASE+)
HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS	90 90 90D 90 90	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988	C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+)
TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS	90 90 89 89 89	PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020	M. Tsuchiaki <i>et al.</i> M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash <i>et al.</i> M. Bini <i>et al.</i> A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, R.P.	(ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) Brinkmann (ARIZ+)
Also DEBOER ERICSON	89B 89	PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507	M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van Dantz T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathiot	(FNAL, EFI) (ANIK) (CERN, IPN)

FAISSNER	89	ZPHY C44 557	H. Faissner et al. (AACH3, BERL, PSI)
FOX	89	PR C39 288	J.D. Fox et al. (FSU)
-			
MAYLE	89	PL B219 515	R. Mayle <i>et al.</i> (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+)
Also		PL B203 188	R. Mayle et al. $(LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+)$
MINOWA	89	PRL 62 1091	H. Minowa <i>et al.</i> (ICEPP)
ORITO	89	PRL 63 597	S. Orito et al. (ICEPP)
PERKINS	89	PRL 62 2638	D.H. Perkins (OXF)
TSERTOS	89	PR D40 1397	H. Tsertos et al. (GSI, ILLG)
VANBIBBER	89	PR D39 2089	K. van Bibber <i>et al.</i> (LLL, TAMU, LBL)
WUENSCH	89	PR D40 3153	W.U. Wuensch <i>et al.</i> (ROCH, BNL, FNAL)
Also		PRL 59 839	S. de Panfilis <i>et al.</i> (ROCH, BNL, FNAL)
AVIGNONE	88	PR D37 618	F.T. Avignone <i>et al.</i> (PRIN, SCUC, ORNL+)
BALKE	88	PR D37 587	
			(, == , , == -, ,
BJORKEN	88	PR D38 3375	J.D. Bjorken <i>et al.</i> (FNAL, SLAC, VPI)
BLINOV	88	SJNP 47 563	A.E. Blinov et al. (NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 47	889.
BOLTON	88	PR D38 2077	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i> (LANL, STAN, CHIC+)
Also		PRL 56 2461	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i> (LANL, STAN, CHIC+)
Also		PRL 57 3241	D. Grosnick <i>et al.</i> (CHIC, LANL, STAN+)
	00		
CHANDA	88	PR D37 2714	R. Chanda, J.F. Nieves, P.B. Pal (UMD, UPR+)
CHOI	88	PR D37 3225	K. Choi et al. (JHU)
CONNELL	88	PRL 60 2242	S.H. Connell <i>et al.</i> (WITW)
DATAR	88	PR C37 250	V.M. Datar et al. (IPN)
DEBOER	88	PRL 61 1274	F.W.N. de Boer, R. van Dantzig (ANIK)
	00		
Also		PRL 62 2644 (erratum)	
Also		PRL 62 2638	D.H. Perkins (OXF)
Also		PRL 62 2639	F.W.N. de Boer, R. van Dantzig (ANIK)
DEBOER	88C	JP G14 L131	F.W.N. de Boer <i>et al.</i> (LOUV)
DOEHNER	88	PR D38 2722	J. Dohner et al. (HEIDP, ANL, ILLG)
EL-NADI	88	PRL 61 1271	M. el Nadi, O.E. Badawy (CAIR)
ENGEL	88	PR C37 731	J. Engel, P. Vogel, M.R. Zirnbauer
FAISSNER	88	ZPHY C37 231	H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> (AACH3, BERL, SIN)
HATSUDA	88B	PL B203 469	T. Hatsuda, M. Yoshimura (KEK)
LORENZ	88	PL B214 10	E. Lorenz et al. (MPIM, PSI)
MAYLE	88	PL R203 188	R Mayle et al (III CERN MINN ENAL \pm)
MAYLE	88	PL B203 188	R. Mayle <i>et al.</i> (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+)
PICCIOTTO	88	PR D37 1131	C.E. Picciotto et al. (TRIU, CNRC)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT	88 88	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793	C.E. Picciotto <i>et al.</i> (TRIU, CNRC) G. Raffelt, D. Seckel (UCB, LLL, UCSC)
PICCIOTTO	88	PR D37 1131	C.E. Picciotto et al. (TRIU, CNRC)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT	88 88	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793	C.E. Picciotto et al. (TRIU, CNRC) G. Raffelt, D. Seckel (UCB, LLL, UCSC) G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn (UCB, LLL)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE	88 88 88B 88	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134	C.E. Picciotto et al. (TRIU, CNRC) G. Raffelt, D. Seckel (UCB, LLL, UCSC) G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn (UCB, LLL) M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell (CIT)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS	88 88 88B 88	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273	C.E. Picciotto et al. (TRIU, CNRC) G. Raffelt, D. Seckel (UCB, LLL, UCSC) G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn (UCB, LLL) M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell (CIT) A. Tsertos et al. (GSI, ILLG)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS	88 88 88B 88 88	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. G.Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (UCB, LLL) (UCB, LLL) (CIT) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN	88 88 88B 88 88 88B 88B	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. G. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. G. (TRIU, CNRC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (UCB, LLL) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN	88 88 88B 88 88B 88B	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. GRIV, CNRC (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (GRON)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN	88 88 88B 88 88B 88B	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. G. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. G. (TRIU, CNRC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (UCB, LLL) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN	88 88 88B 88 88B 88B	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg (GRIU, CNRC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (GRON) (GRON) (GRON) (GRON)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts (TRIU, CNRC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (GRON) (GRON) (GRON) (BNL)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ	88 88 88B 88 88B 88B 88B 88 88	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts GRAFELL, UCSC (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (GRON) (GRON) (GRON) (GRON) (BNL) (NOVO)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN	88 88 88B 88 88B 88B 88B 88 88 87	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. G. (TRIU, CNRC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (GRON) (GRON) (GRON) (GRON) (MOVO) V.P. Druzhinin et al. (NOVO) J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner (SLAC+)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. A. Tsertos et al. G.J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. G. (TRIU, CNRC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (GRON) (BNL) (FRON) (BNL) (NOVO) (BNL) (NOVO) (NOVO) (NOVO) (NOVO) (NOVO) (NOVO) (LANL, CHIC, STAN+)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN	88 88 88B 88 88B 88B 88B 88 88 87	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. G.J. van Klinken et al. U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts J. A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner G. GRON, GSI J. A. Frieman (SLAC+) T. Goldman et al. G. (TRIU, CNRC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (GRON) (JNV) (JNV) (JNV) (JNV) (LANL, CHIC, STAN+) (JINR)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J. A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. (TRIU, CNRC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (GRON) (GRON) (GRON) U. von Wimmersperg (BNL) (NOVO) V.P. Druzhinin et al. (NOVO) J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner (SLAC+) T. Goldman et al. (JINR)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. G.J. van Klinken et al. U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts J. A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner G. GRON, GSI J. A. Frieman (SLAC+) T. Goldman et al. G. (TRIU, CNRC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (GRON) (JNV) (JNV) (JNV) (JNV) (LANL, CHIC, STAN+) (JINR)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J. A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. (TRIU, CNRC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (GRON) (GRON) (GRON) U. von Wimmersperg (BNL) (NOVO) V.P. Druzhinin et al. (NOVO) J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner (SLAC+) T. Goldman et al. (JINR)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J. A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner G.J. GKI, ILLG GRON, GSI J. Van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner T. Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. GRON, GSI J. Van Klinken (GRON) U. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts (NOVO) V.P. Druzhinin et al. J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner (SLAC+) T. Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. GJINR) 313. K. Maier et al. A.P. Mills, J. Levy (BELL)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 87	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J. A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner T. Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) J. Van Klinken (GRON) (GRON) (GRON) (GRON) (JINR) (NOVO) (NOVO) (NOVO) (SLAC+) (STAN+) (JINR) (STUT, GSI) (A.P. Mills, J. Levy (BELL) (G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. (UCB, LLL, UCSC) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (HLLG) (GRON, GSI) (ANU) (BRUL) (BRUL) (NOVO) (LANL, CHIC, STAN+) (STUT, GSI) (A.P. Mills, J. Levy (BELL) (BELL) (CSTUT, GSI) (LLL, UCB) (ELL, UCB) (ROCH, CIT+)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D. S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. A. Tsertos et al. G. Van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner G. GRON J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner J. Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. J. K. Maier et al. A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. M.S. Turner (KRUC, CNRC) (UCB, LLL, UCS, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (H.L.) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (H.L.) (GRON, GSI) (ANVO) (BRUL) (NOVO) (LANL, CHIC, STAN+) (STUT, GSI) (A.P. Mills, J. Levy (BELL) (G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. (ROCH, CIT+) (FNAL, EFI)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 759	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. G. GSI, ILLG J. van Klinken et al. G. GRON, GSI J. van Klinken G. GRON J. Van Klinken G. GRON J. Van Klinken G. GRON J. CHOL J. CHIC, STAN+ J. STAN+ J. STAN+ J. STAN+ J. CHIC, GRON J.
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER VONWIMMER.	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D. S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. A. Tsertos et al. G. Van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner G. GRON J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner J. Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. J. K. Maier et al. A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. M.S. Turner (KRUC, CNRC) (UCB, LLL, UCS, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (H.L.) (GRON, GSI) (GRON, GSI) (H.L.) (GRON, GSI) (ANVO) (BRUL) (NOVO) (LANL, CHIC, STAN+) (STUT, GSI) (A.P. Mills, J. Levy (BELL) (G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. (ROCH, CIT+) (FNAL, EFI)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 759	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. G. GSI, ILLG J. van Klinken et al. G. GRON, GSI J. van Klinken G. GRON J. Van Klinken G. GRON J. Van Klinken G. GRON J. CHOL J. CHIC, STAN+ J. STAN+ J. STAN+ J. STAN+ J. CHIC, GRON J.
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER VONWIMMER.	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 759 PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D. S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. G. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J. A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. M.S. Turner (ROCH, CIT+) M.S. Turner (ROCH, CIT+) M.S. Turner (FNAL, EFI) K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. (WITW)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 759 PRL 59 766 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. 313. K. Maier et al. A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. M.S. Turner (STUT, GSI) A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. M.S. Turner (K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 256 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner T. Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. 313. K. Maier et al. A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. W. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. A.Brieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner (SLAC+) T. Goldman et al. (STUT, GSI) A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. (STUT, GSI) (ROCH, CIT+) M.S. Turner (FNAL, EFI) (LLL, UCB) (ROCH, CIT+) M.S. Turner (FNAL, EFI) (NA3 Collab.) (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner T. Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. 313. K. Maier et al. A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. W. Von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg (BELL) G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. (KOHIC, STAN+) S.M. Korenchenko et al. (STUT, GSI) A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. (KOCH, CIT+) M.S. Turner (FNAL, EFI) K. van Bibber et al. (LLL, CIT, MIT+) U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Radier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D. S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken G. V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. T. Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. M.S. Turner K. van Bibber et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (UCB, LLL, UCS) (UCB, LLL, UCS) (UCB, LLL, UCS) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) J. LLG, (GRON, GSI) J. Van Klinken et al. (GRON, GSI) J. Van Klinken et al. (NOVO) V.P. Druzhinin et al. (NOVO) J. A. Turner (SLAC+) (LANL, CHIC, STAN+) STUTNER (STUT, GSI) (ROCH, CIT+) (FNAL, EFI) (MA3 Collab.) (CNA GIBab.) CNA Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 759 PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D. S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. G. GRON, GSI, ILLG) J. van Klinken et al. G. GRON, GSI, ILLG) J. van Klinken G. GRON, GSI, ILLG) J. A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner G. KAC+) T. Goldman et al. G. Kovenchenko et al. G. M. Korenchenko et al. G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. G. RoCH, CIT+) M.S. Turner G. KOCH, CIT+ M.S. Turner G. KOVOD M.D. Avivantal All G. Collab. M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc G. LALO D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman G. Steigman G. SINDRUM Collab.
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D. S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken G. V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. T. Goldman et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. M.S. Turner K. van Bibber et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (UCB, LLL, UCS) (UCB, LLL, UCS) (UCB, LLL, UCS) (GSI, ILLG) (GSI, ILLG) (GRON, GSI) J. LLG, (GRON, GSI) J. Van Klinken et al. (GRON, GSI) J. Van Klinken et al. (NOVO) V.P. Druzhinin et al. (NOVO) J. A. Turner (SLAC+) (LANL, CHIC, STAN+) STUTNER (STUT, GSI) (ROCH, CIT+) (FNAL, EFI) (MA3 Collab.) (CNA GIBab.) CNA Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+)
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. G. GRON, GSI J. van Klinken et al. G. GRON, GSI J. van Klinken G. SLAC+ T. Goldman et al. G.A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. G. GRUN J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. G. KOCH, CIT+ M.S. Turner G. KOCH G. TRIU M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc G. KAOLO D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman G.
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D. S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. A. Tsertos et al. G. Van Klinken et al. G. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. S.M. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner G. GRON, G. M. Korenchenko et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. M.S. Turner G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. G. Van Bibber et al. G. Very G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn C. M. S. Turner G. CHANL, CHIC, STAN+ G. GELL G. G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn C. M. Riordan et al. G. Very G. G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn C. M. Riordan et al. G. Very G. G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn C. M. Riordan et al. G. Very G. G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn C. M. Riordan et al. G. Very G. G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn C. M. Riordan et al. G. Very G. G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn C. Very G. G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn C. M. Riordan et al. G. Very G. G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn C. CHANL, CHIC, STAN+ C. JINR G. STUT, GSI) A.P. G. STUT, GSI) A. G. STUT, GSI) A.P. G. STUT, GSI) A. G. STUT, GSI A. G. STU
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (erratum)	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D. S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. G. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J. A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner G. GMN, GSI J. S.M. Korenchenko et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. W. Von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg (BELL) G.S. Turner G.LAC+ T. Goldman et al. A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. W. Von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. G.N. Brown et al. C.N. Brown et al. C.
PICCIOTTO RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE TSERTOS TSERTOS VANKLINKEN VANKLINKEN VONWIMMER. VOROBYOV DRUZHININ FRIEMAN GOLDMAN KORENCHE MAIER MILLS RAFFELT RIORDAN TURNER VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 87 8	PR D37 1131 PRL 60 1793 PR D37 549 PR D37 1134 PL B207 273 ZPHY A331 103 PL B205 223 PRL 60 2442 PRL 60 2443 PL B208 146 ZPHY C37 1 PR D36 2201 PR D36 1543 SJNP 46 192 Translated from YAF 46 ZPHY A326 527 PR D36 707 PR D36 2211 PRL 59 755 PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967	C.E. Picciotto et al. G. Raffelt, D. Seckel G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell A. Tsertos et al. J. van Klinken et al. J. van Klinken U. von Wimmersperg P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts V.P. Druzhinin et al. J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner G.M. Korenchenko et al. S.M. Korenchenko et al. J.A.P. Mills, J. Levy G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg (BLL) G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. U. von Wimmersperg (BLL) G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn E.M. Riordan et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. C.N. Brown et al. C.N.

KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV	86 86 86 86 86 86B 86B 85	NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585	H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KYOT, KEK) L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt G.G. Raffelt M.J. Savage et al. (CIT) U. Amaldi et al. (CERN) V.D. Ananev et al. (JUL1) (KYOT, KEK) (CERN) (DESY) (MPIM) (MPIM) (CIT) (CIT) (CERN) (JINR)
BALTRUSAIT BERGSMA KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE Also ALEKSEEV	85 85 84 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	Translated from YAF 41 of PRL 55 1842 PL 157B 458 NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETF 82	R.M. Baltrusaitis et al. F. Bergsma et al. D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto UCSB, WUSL T. Yamazaki et al. L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac et al. USSB, WUNL USSB, WUSL (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) J.F. Cavaignac et al. UISNG, LAPP) D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner et al. H. Faissner et al. UACH) H. Faissner et al. UANL, YALE, LBL+ C.M. Hoffman et al. J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie (FLOR) E.A. Alekseeva et al. (CHARM Collab.) (IVSB, WISL (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (ISNG, LAPP) (ISNG, LAPP) (ISNG, LAPP) (IAS, PENN) (IAS, PENN) (LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR)
ALEKSEEV	82B	JETPL 36 116	G.D. Alekseev et al. (MOSU, JINR)
ASANO BARROSO DATAR EDWARDS FETSCHER FUKUGITA FUKUGITA LEHMANN RAFFELT ZEHNDER ASANO BARROSO FAISSNER FAISSNER KIM VUILLEUMIER ZEHNDER FAISSNER JACQUES SOUKAS BECHIS CALAPRICE COTEUS DISHAW ZHITNITSKII	82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 81 81 81 81 81 81 80 80 79 79 79	Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 113B 195 PL 116B 247 PL 114B 63 PRL 48 903 JP G8 L147 PRL 48 1522 PR D26 1840 PL 115B 270 PL 119B 323 PL 110B 419 PL 107B 159 PL 106B 91 ZPHY C10 95 PL 103B 234 PL 105B 55 PL 101B 341 PL 104B 494 PL 96B 201 PR D21 1206 PRL 42 1511 PR D20 2708 PRL 42 1438 PL 85B 142 SJNP 29 517 Translated from YAF 29	Y. Asano et al. (KEK, TOKY, INUS, OSAK) A. Barroso, G.C. Branco V.M. Datar et al. (BHAB) C. Edwards et al. (Crystal Ball Collab.) W. Fetscher M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yoshimura (KEK) M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yoshimura (KEK) M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yoshimura (KEK) P. Lehmann et al. (SACL) G. Raffelt, L. Stodolsky A. Zehnder, K. Gabathuler, J.L. Vuilleumier (ETH+) Y. Asano et al. (KEK, TOKY, INUS, OSAK) A. Barroso, N.C. Mukhopadhyay (SIN) H. Faissner et al. (AACH3) H. Faissner et al. (AACH3) B.R. Kim, C. Stamm (AACH3) J.L. Vuilleumier et al. (CIT, MUNI) A. Zehnder H. Faissner et al. (RUTG, STEV, COLU) A. Soukas et al. (RUTG, STEV, COLU) A. Soukas et al. (PRIN) P. Coteus et al. (COLU, ILL, BNL) J.P. Dishaw et al. (SLAC, CIT) A.R. Zhitnitsky, Y.I. Skovpen (NOVO)
ALIBRAN ASRATYAN BELLOTTI BOSETTI DICUS DONNELLY Also Also HANSL MICELMAC MIKAELIAN SATO VYSOTSKII	78 78B 78 78C 78 78D 78D 78 78 78	PL 74B 134 PL 79B 497 PL 76B 223 PL 74B 143 PR D18 1829 PR D18 1607 PRL 37 315 PRL 33 179 PL 74B 139 LNC 21 441 PR D18 3605 PTP 60 1942 JETPL 27 502 Translated from ZETFP 2	P. Alibran et al. A.E. Asratyan et al. E. Bellotti, E. Fiorini, L. Zanotti P.C. Bosetti et al. D.A. Dicus et al. T.W. Donnelly et al. F. Reines, H.S. Gurr, H.W. Sobel H.S. Gurr, F. Reines, H.W. Sobel T. Hansl et al. G.V. Mitselmakher, B. Pontecorvo K.O. Mikaelian K. Sato M.I. Vysotsky et al. (Gargamelle Collab.) (ITEP, SERP) (MILA) (EBBC Collab.) (TEXA, VPI, STAN) (TEXA, VPI, STAN) (CUCI) (UCI) (UCI) (CDHS Collab.) (FNAL, NWES) (KYOT) (ASCI)

YANG PECCEI Also REINES GURR ANAND	78 77 76 74 53	PRL 41 523 PR D16 1791 PRL 38 1440 PRL 37 315 PRL 33 179 PRSL A22 183 OTHER	T.C. Yang R.D. Peccei, H.R. Quinn R.D. Peccei, H.R. Quinn F. Reines, H.S. Gurr, H.W. Sobel H.S. Gurr, F. Reines, H.W. Sobel B.M. Anand RELATED PAPERS	(MASA) (STAN, SLAC) (STAN, SLAC) (UCI) (UCI)
SREDNICKI	85	NP B260 689	M. Srednicki	(UCSB)
BARDEEN	78	PL 74B 229	W.A. Bardeen, SH.H. Tye	(FNAL)