

$\phi(1680)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

$\phi(1680)$ MASS

e^+e^- PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1680±20 OUR ESTIMATE				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1674±12± 6	6.2k	¹ LEES	14H BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
1733±10±10		² LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1689± 7±10	4.8k	³ SHEN	09 BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1709±20±43		⁴ AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1623±20	948	⁵ AKHMETSHIN	03 CMD2	$1.05\text{--}1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
~ 1500		⁶ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, \omega \pi^+ \pi^-,$ $K^+ K^-$
~ 1900		⁷ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1700±20		⁸ CLEGG	94 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K \pi$
1657±27	367	BISELLO	91C DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1655±17		⁹ BISELLO	88B DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
1680±10		¹⁰ BUON	82 DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1677±12		¹¹ MANE	82 DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K \pi$

¹ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

² Using events with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.

³ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

⁴ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

⁵ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

⁶ Using data from IVANOV 81, BARKOV 87, BISELLO 88B, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.

⁷ Using the data from BISELLO 91C.

⁸ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.

⁹ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$ assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitation.

¹⁰ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.

¹¹ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1753± 3	¹² LINK	02K FOCS	$20\text{--}160 \gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$
1726±22	¹² BUSENITZ	89 TPS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
1760±20	¹² ATKINSON	85C OMEG	$20\text{--}70 \gamma p \rightarrow K\bar{K}X$
1690±10	¹² ASTON	81F OMEG	$25\text{--}70 \gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$

¹² We list here a state decaying into $K^+ K^-$ possibly different from $\phi(1680)$.

$\rho\bar{\rho}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1700±8	¹³ AMSLER	06	CBAR 0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
¹³ Could also be $\rho(1700)$.			

 $\phi(1680)$ WIDTH **e^+e^- PRODUCTION**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
150±50 OUR ESTIMATE				This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
165±38± 70	6.2k	¹⁴ LEES	14H	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
300±15± 37		¹⁵ LEES	12F	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
211±14± 19	4.8k	¹⁶ SHEN	09	BELL $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
322±77±160		¹⁷ AUBERT	08S	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
139±60	948	¹⁸ AKHMETSHIN	03	CMD2 $1.05\text{--}1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
300±60		¹⁹ CLEGG	94	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K \pi$
146±55	367	BISELLO	91C	DM2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
207±45		²⁰ BISELLO	88B	DM2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
185±22		²¹ BUON	82	DM1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
102±36		²² MANE	82	DM1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K \pi$

¹⁴ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

¹⁵ Using events with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.

¹⁶ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

¹⁷ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

¹⁸ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

¹⁹ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.

²⁰ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$

²¹ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.

²² Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
122±63	²³ LINK	02K	FOCS 20–160 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$
121±47	²³ BUSENITZ	89	TPS $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
80±40	²³ ATKINSON	85C	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K\bar{K}X$
100±40	²³ ASTON	81F	OMEG 25–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$

²³ We list here a state decaying into $K^+ K^-$ possibly different from $\phi(1680)$.

$\rho\bar{\rho}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
143 ± 24	²⁴ AMSLER	06	CBAR $0.9 \bar{\rho}\rho \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
²⁴ Could also be $\rho(1700)$.			

$\phi(1680)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	dominant
Γ_2 $K_S^0 K \pi$	seen
Γ_3 $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_4 $K_L^0 K_S^0$	
Γ_5 $e^+ e^-$	seen
Γ_6 $\omega \pi \pi$	not seen
Γ_7 $\phi \pi \pi$	
Γ_8 $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
Γ_9 $\eta \phi$	seen
Γ_{10} $\eta \gamma$	seen
Γ_{11} $K^+ K^- \pi^0$	

$\phi(1680) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into e^+e^- and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel (I) in e^+e^- annihilation. We list only data that have not been used to determine the partial width $\Gamma(I)$ or the branching ratio $\Gamma(I)/\text{total}$.

$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_4\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$14.3 \pm 2.4 \pm 6.2$	6.2k	²⁵ LEES	14H	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
²⁵ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.				

$\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.2 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.3$	LEES	12F	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

$\phi(1680) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

This combination of a branching ratio into channel (i) and branching ratio into e^+e^- is directly measured and obtained from the cross section at the peak. We list only data that have not been used to determine the branching ratio into (i) or e^+e^- .

$$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.131 ± 0.059	948	²⁶ AKHMETSHIN 03	CMD2	$1.05-1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
-------------------	-----	-----------------------------	------	--

²⁶ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known. Recalculated by us.

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.15 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.01$		²⁷ AUBERT 08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma +$
--------------------------	--	--------------------------	------	---

3.29 ± 1.57	367	²⁸ BISELLO 91C	DM2	$1.35-2.40 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
-----------------	-----	---------------------------	-----	--

²⁷ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

²⁸ Recalculated by us with the published value of $B(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)$.

$$\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.86 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.21$	4.8k	²⁹ SHEN 09	BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
--------------------------	------	-----------------------	------	--

²⁹ Multiplied by 3/2 to take into account the $\phi\pi^0\pi^0$ mode. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6)\%$.

$$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.43 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.09$	³⁰ AUBERT 08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta\gamma$
--------------------------	--------------------------	------	--

³⁰ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

$\phi(1680)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(K_S^0 K\pi) \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

dominant	MANE 82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
----------	---------	-----	--

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.07 ± 0.01	BUON 82	DM1	e^+e^-
-----------------	---------	-----	----------

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)$	Γ_6/Γ_1			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.10	BUON	82	DM1	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$	Γ_9/Γ			
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	35	³¹ ACHASOV	14	SND 1.15–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

³¹From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)$	Γ_9/Γ_1			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

≈ 0.37 ³²AUBERT 08S BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

³²From the fit including data from AUBERT 07AK.

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$	Γ_{10}/Γ			
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	35	³³ ACHASOV	14	SND 1.15–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

³³From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

$\phi(1680)$ REFERENCES

ACHASOV	14	PR D90 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LEES	14H	PR D89 092002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab)
LEES	12F	PR D86 012008	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
SHEN	09	PR D80 031101	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(CBAR Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03	PL B551 27	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
Also		PAN 65 1222	E.V. Anashkin, V.M. Aulchenko, R.R. Akhmetshin	
LINK	02K	PL B545 50	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO	91C	ZPHY C52 227	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BUSENITZ	89	PR D40 1	J.K. Busenitz <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, FNAL)
BISELLO	88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 46 132.		
ATKINSON	85C	ZPHY C27 233	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BUON	82	PL 118B 221	J. Buon <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
MANE	82	PL 112B 178	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
ASTON	81F	PL 104B 231	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
MANE	81	PL 99B 261	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)