

**$f_0(1370)$**

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

See also the mini-reviews on scalar mesons under  $f_0(600)$  (see the index for the page number) and on non- $q\bar{q}$  candidates in PDG 06, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

### $f_0(1370)$ T-MATRIX POLE POSITION

Note that  $\Gamma \approx 2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s_{\text{pole}}})$ .

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>(1200–1500)–<math>i</math>(150–250) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$(1290 \pm 50) - i(170^{+20}_{-40})$	<sup>1</sup> ANISOVICH	09	RVUE $0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
$(1373 \pm 15) - i(137 \pm 10)$	<sup>2</sup> BARGIOTTI	03	OBLX $\bar{p}p$
$(1302 \pm 17) - i(166 \pm 18)$	<sup>3</sup> BARBERIS	00C	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_S$
$(1312 \pm 25 \pm 10) - i(109 \pm 22 \pm 15)$	BARBERIS	99D	OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^-$
$(1406 \pm 19) - i(80 \pm 6)$	<sup>4</sup> KAMINSKI	99	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \sigma\sigma$
$(1300 \pm 20) - i(120 \pm 20)$	ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE Compilation
$(1290 \pm 15) - i(145 \pm 15)$	BARBERIS	97B	OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$(1548 \pm 40) - i(560 \pm 40)$	BERTIN	97C	OBLX $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$(1380 \pm 40) - i(180 \pm 25)$	ABELE	96B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 K_L^0 K_L^0$
$(1300 \pm 15) - i(115 \pm 8)$	BUGG	96	RVUE
$(1330 \pm 50) - i(150 \pm 40)$	<sup>5</sup> AMSLER	95B	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
$(1360 \pm 35) - i(150-300)$	<sup>5</sup> AMSLER	95C	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
$(1390 \pm 30) - i(190 \pm 40)$	<sup>6</sup> AMSLER	95D	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
1346 – $i$ 249	<sup>7,8</sup> JANSSEN	95	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
1214 – $i$ 168	<sup>8,9</sup> TORNQVIST	95	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
1364 – $i$ 139	AMSLER	94D	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
$(1365^{+20}_{-55}) - i(134 \pm 35)$	ANISOVICH	94	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta$
$(1340 \pm 40) - i(127^{+30}_{-20})$	<sup>10</sup> BUGG	94	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta\eta\pi^0, \eta\pi^0 \pi^0$
$(1430 \pm 5) - i(73 \pm 13)$	<sup>11</sup> KAMINSKI	94	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
1420 – $i$ 220	<sup>12</sup> AU	87	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$

<sup>1</sup> Another pole is found at  $(1510 \pm 130) - i(800^{+100}_{-150})$  MeV.

<sup>2</sup> Coupled channel analysis of  $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, K^+ K^- \pi^0$ , and  $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$ .

<sup>3</sup> Average between  $\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$  and  $2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ .

<sup>4</sup> T-matrix pole on sheet – – –.

<sup>5</sup> Supersedes ANISOVICH 94.

<sup>6</sup> Coupled-channel analysis of  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta$ , and  $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$  on sheet IV. Demonstrates explicitly that  $f_0(600)$  and  $f_0(1370)$  are two different poles.

<sup>7</sup> Analysis of data from FALVARD 88.

<sup>8</sup> The pole is on Sheet III. Demonstrates explicitly that  $f_0(600)$  and  $f_0(1370)$  are two different poles.

<sup>9</sup> Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

<sup>10</sup> Reanalysis of ANISOVICH 94 data.

<sup>11</sup> T-matrix pole on sheet III.

<sup>12</sup> Analysis of data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74, BECKER 79, and CASON 83.

## $f_0(1370)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS OR K-MATRIX POLE PARAMETER

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID  
**1200 to 1500 OUR ESTIMATE**

### $\pi\pi$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1400 ± 40		<sup>13</sup> AUBERT	09L BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
1470 <sup>+</sup> <sub>-</sub> $\frac{6+72}{7-255}$		<sup>14</sup> UEHARA	08A BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
1259 ± 55	2.6k	BONVICINI	07 CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1309 ± 1 ± 15		<sup>15</sup> BUGG	07A RVUE	0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
1449 ± 13	4286	<sup>16</sup> GARMASH	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1350 ± 50		ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
1265 ± 30 <sup>+</sup> <sub>-</sub> $\frac{20}{35}$		ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
1434 ± 18 ± 9	848	AITALA	01A E791	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1308 ± 10		BARBERIS	99B OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
1315 ± 50		BELLAZZINI	99 GAM4	450 $pp \rightarrow p p \pi^0 \pi^0$
1315 ± 30		ALDE	98 GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1280 ± 55		BERTIN	98 OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1186		<sup>17,18</sup> TORNQVIST	95 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
1472 ± 12		ARMSTRONG	91 OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow p p \pi\pi, p p K\bar{K}$
1275 ± 20		BREAKSTONE	90 SFM	62 $pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
1420 ± 20		AKESSON	86 SPEC	63 $pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
1256		FROGGATT	77 RVUE	$\pi^+ \pi^-$ channel

<sup>13</sup> Breit-Wigner mass.

<sup>14</sup> Breit-Wigner mass. May also be the  $f_0(1500)$ .

<sup>15</sup> Reanalysis of ABELE 96C data.

<sup>16</sup> Also observed by GARMASH 07 in  $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  decays. Supersedes GARMASH 05.

<sup>17</sup> Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

<sup>18</sup> Also observed by ASNER 00 in  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$  decays

### $K\bar{K}$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1440 ± 6	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1391 ± 10	TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
1440 ± 50	BOLONKIN 88	SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1463 ± 9	ETKIN 82B	MPS	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$
1425 ± 15	WICKLUND 80	SPEC	6 $\pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
~ 1300	POLYCHRO... 79	STRC	7 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$

### 4π MODE 2(ππ)<sub>S</sub>+ρρ

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1395 ± 40		ABELE	01	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
1374 ± 38		AMSLER	94	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
1345 ± 12		ADAMO	93	OBLX $\bar{n}p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1386 ± 30		GASPERO	93	DBC 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
~ 1410	5751	<sup>19</sup> BETTINI	66	DBC 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$

<sup>19</sup> ρρ dominant.

### ηη MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1262 <sup>+51+82</sup> <sub>-78-103</sub>	<sup>20</sup> UEHARA	10A BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\eta$
1430	AMSLER	92 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta$
1220 ± 40	ALDE	86D GAM4	100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow n2\eta$

<sup>20</sup> Breit-Wigner mass. May also be the  $f_0(1500)$ .

### COUPLED CHANNEL MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1306 ± 20	<sup>21</sup> ANISOVICH	03 RVUE	

<sup>21</sup> K-matrix pole from combined analysis of  $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$ ,  $\pi^-p \rightarrow K\bar{K}n$ ,  $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$ ,  $\pi^0\eta\eta$ ,  $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$ ,  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ ,  $K^+K^-\pi^0$ ,  $K_S^0K_S^0\pi^0$ ,  $K^+K_S^0\pi^-$  at rest,  $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$ ,  $K_S^0K^-\pi^0$ ,  $K_S^0K_S^0\pi^-$  at rest.

### f<sub>0</sub>(1370) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
-------------	-------------

#### 200 to 500 OUR ESTIMATE

### ππ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
300 ± 80		<sup>22</sup> AUBERT	09L BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
90 <sup>+2+50</sup> <sub>-1-22</sub>		<sup>23</sup> UEHARA	08A BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
298 ± 21	2.6k	BONVICINI	07 CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$
126 ± 25	4286	<sup>24</sup> GARMASH	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^+\pi^-$
265 ± 40		ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-$
350 ± 100 <sup>+105</sup> <sub>-60</sub>		ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$
173 ± 32 ± 6	848	AITALA	01A E791	$D_S^+ \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$
222 ± 20		BARBERIS	99B OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p_S p_f \pi^+\pi^-$

255 ± 60	BELLAZZINI	99	GAM4	450	$pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0\pi^0$
190 ± 50	ALDE	98	GAM4	100	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n$
323 ± 13	BERTIN	98	OBLX	0.05–0.405	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
350	<sup>25,26</sup> TORNQVIST	95	RVUE		$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
195 ± 33	ARMSTRONG	91	OMEG	300	$pp \rightarrow pp\pi\pi, ppK\bar{K}$
285 ± 60	BREAKSTONE	90	SFM	62	$pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-$
460 ± 50	AKESSON	86	SPEC	63	$pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-$
~ 400	<sup>27</sup> FROGGATT	77	RVUE		$\pi^+\pi^-$ channel

<sup>22</sup> The systematic errors are not reported.

<sup>23</sup> Breit-Wigner width. May also be the  $f_0(1500)$ .

<sup>24</sup> Also observed by GARMASH 07 in  $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$  decays. Supersedes GARMASH 05.

<sup>25</sup> Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

<sup>26</sup> Also observed by ASNER 00 in  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\nu_\tau$  decays

<sup>27</sup> Width defined as distance between 45 and 135° phase shift.

### $K\bar{K}$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
121 ± 15	VLADIMIRSK..06	SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
55 ± 26	TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
250 ± 80	BOLONKIN 88	SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
118 <sup>+138</sup> <sub>-16</sub>	ETKIN 82B	MPS	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$
160 ± 30	WICKLUND 80	SPEC	6 $\pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
~ 150	POLYCHRO... 79	STRC	7 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$

### 4π MODE 2(ππ)<sub>S</sub>+ρρ

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
275 ± 55		ABELE 01	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
375 ± 61		AMSLER 94	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- 3\pi^0$
398 ± 26		ADAMO 93	OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
310 ± 50		GASPERO 93	DBC	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
~ 90	5751	<sup>28</sup> BETTINI 66	DBC	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
<sup>28</sup> ρρ dominant.				

### ηη MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
484 <sup>+246+246</sup> <sub>-170-263</sub>	<sup>29</sup> UEHARA 10A	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\eta$
250	AMSLER 92	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta$
320 ± 40	ALDE 86D	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2\eta$
<sup>29</sup> Breit-Wigner width. May also be the $f_0(1500)$ .			

**COUPLED CHANNEL MODE**

VALUE (MeV)                      DOCUMENT ID                      TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

147<sup>+30</sup><sub>-50</sub>                                      30 ANISOVICH    03    RVUE

<sup>30</sup> K-matrix pole from combined analysis of  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$ ,  $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$ ,  
 $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ ,  $\pi^0 \eta \eta$ ,  $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ ,  $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ ,  $K^+ K^- \pi^0$ ,  $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$ ,  
 $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$  at rest,  $\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$ ,  $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$ ,  $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$  at rest.

**$f_0(1370)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $\pi \pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2$ $4\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3$ $4\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_4$ $2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_5$ $\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_6$ $\rho \rho$	dominant
$\Gamma_7$ $2(\pi\pi)_S$ -wave	seen
$\Gamma_8$ $\pi(1300)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_9$ $a_1(1260)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{10}$ $\eta \eta$	seen
$\Gamma_{11}$ $K \bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_{12}$ $K \bar{K} n \pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{13}$ $6\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{14}$ $\omega \omega$	not seen
$\Gamma_{15}$ $\gamma \gamma$	seen
$\Gamma_{16}$ $e^+ e^-$	not seen

**$f_0(1370)$  PARTIAL WIDTHS**

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$   $\Gamma_{15}$   
 See  $\gamma\gamma$  widths under  $f_0(600)$  and MORGAN 90.

$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$   $\Gamma_{16}$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<20	90	VOROBYEV 88	ND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$

**$f_0(1370)$   $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$**

$\Gamma(\eta\eta) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{10}\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

121<sup>+133+169</sup><sub>-53-106</sub>                                      31 UEHARA    10A    BELL    10.6  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \eta$

<sup>31</sup> Including interference with the  $f_2'(1525)$  (parameters fixed to the values from the 2008 edition of this review, PDG 08) and  $f_2(1270)$ . May also be the  $f_0(1500)$ .

## $f_0(1370)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

### $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.26 \pm 0.09$	BUGG	96	RVUE
$< 0.15$	<sup>32</sup> AMSLER	94	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0$
$< 0.06$	GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

<sup>32</sup> Using AMSLER 95B ( $3\pi^0$ ).

### $\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$> 0.72$	GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

### $\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ $\Gamma_3/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
seen	ABELE	96	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$
$0.068 \pm 0.005$	<sup>33</sup> GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

<sup>33</sup> Model-dependent evaluation.

### $\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ $\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_4/(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.420 \pm 0.014$	<sup>34</sup> GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+3\pi^-$

<sup>34</sup> Model-dependent evaluation.

### $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_5/(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.512 \pm 0.019$	<sup>35</sup> GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

<sup>35</sup> Model-dependent evaluation.

### $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ $\Gamma_6/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.26 \pm 0.07$	ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$

### $\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{\text{S-wave}})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ $\Gamma_7/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$5.6 \pm 2.6$	<sup>36</sup> ABELE	01	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^-4\pi^0 p$

<sup>36</sup> From the combined data of ABELE 96 and ABELE 96C.

### $\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(4\pi)$

$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.51±0.09	ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$

### $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})$

$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_7$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
large	BARBERIS	00C	450 $p p \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_S$
1.6 ±0.2	AMSLER	94	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
~ 0.65	GASPERO	93	DBC 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

### $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

$\Gamma_8/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.17±0.06	ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$

### $\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

$\Gamma_9/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.06±0.02	ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$

### $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

$\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_{10}/(\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$(28 \pm 11) \times 10^{-3}$	<sup>37</sup> ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC Combined fit
$(4.7 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-3}$	BARBERIS	00E	450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \eta \eta p_S$

<sup>37</sup> From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0.  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ ), GAMS ( $\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n, \eta \eta n, \eta \eta' n$ ), and BNL ( $\pi p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$ ) data.

### $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.35±0.13	BUGG	96	RVUE

### $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$

$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.08±0.08	ABLIKIM	05	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-, \phi K^+ K^-$
0.91±0.20	<sup>38</sup> BARGIOTTI	03	OBLX $\bar{p}p$
0.12±0.06	<sup>39</sup> ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC Combined fit
0.46±0.15±0.11	BARBERIS	99D	OMEG 450 $p p \rightarrow K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^-$

<sup>38</sup> Coupled channel analysis of  $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, K^+ K^- \pi^0$ , and  $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$ .

<sup>39</sup> From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0.  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ ), GAMS ( $\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n, \eta \eta n, \eta \eta' n$ ), and BNL ( $\pi p \rightarrow K \bar{K} n$ ) data.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}n\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.03	GASPERO 93	DBC	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(6\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.22	GASPERO 93	DBC	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.13	GASPERO 93	DBC	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow$ hadrons

**$f_0(1370)$  REFERENCES**

UEHARA 10A	PR D82 114031	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ANISOVICH 09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
AUBERT 09L	PR D79 072006	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG 08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
UEHARA 08A	PR D78 052004	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BONVICINI 07	PR D76 012001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUGG 07A	JPG 34 151	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	
GARMASH 07	PR D75 012006	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GARMASH 06	PRL 96 251803	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PDG 06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
VLADIMIRSK... 06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirov <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)
	Translated from YAF 69 515.		
ABLIKIM 05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM 05Q	PR D72 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
GARMASH 05	PR D71 092003	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ANISOVICH 03	EPJ A16 229	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BARGIOTTI 03	EPJ C26 371	M. Bargiotti <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
TIKHOMIROV 03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>	
	Translated from YAF 66 860.		
ANISOVICH 02D	PAN 65 1545	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
	Translated from YAF 65 1583.		
ABELE 01	EPJ C19 667	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE 01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AITALA 01A	PRL 86 765	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
ASNER 00	PR D61 012002	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BARBERIS 00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS 00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS 99B	PL B453 316	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
BARBERIS 99D	PL B462 462	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
BELLAZZINI 99	PL B467 296	R. Bellazzini <i>et al.</i>	
KAMINSKI 99	EPJ C9 141	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau	(CRAC, PARIN)
ALDE 98	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM4 Collab.)
Also	PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
	Translated from YAF 62 446.		
ANISOVICH 98B	SPU 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
	Translated from UFN 168 481.		
BERTIN 98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BARBERIS 97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BERTIN 97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE 96	PL B380 453	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE 96B	PL B385 425	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE 96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BUGG 96	NP B471 59	D.V. Bugg, A.V. Sarantsev, B.S. Zou	(LOQM, PNPI)
AMSLER 95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)



AMSLER	95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
JANSSEN	95	PR D52 2690	G. Janssen <i>et al.</i>	(STON, ADLD, JULI)
TORNQVIST	95	ZPHY C68 647	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
AMSLER	94	PL B322 431	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC
BUGG	94	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
KAMINSKI	94	PR D50 3145	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, J.P. Maillet	(CRAC+)
ADAMO	93	NP A558 13C	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.) JPC
GASPERO	93	NP A562 407	M. Gaspero	(ROMA1) JPC
AMSLER	92	PL B291 347	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91	ZPHY C51 351	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BREAKSTONE	90	ZPHY C48 569	A.M. Breakstone <i>et al.</i>	(ISU, BGNA, CERN+)
MORGAN	90	ZPHY C48 623	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
BOLONKIN	88	NP B309 426	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
AU	87	Translated from YAF 48 1633	K.L. Au, D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(DURH, RAL)
AKESSON	86	NP B264 154	T. Akesson <i>et al.</i>	(Axial Field Spec. Collab.)
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)
CASON	83	PR D28 1586	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
WICKLUND	80	PRL 45 1469	A.B. Wicklund <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
POLYCHRO...	79	PR D19 1317	V.A. Polychronakos <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(GLAS, NORD)
ROSSELET	77	PR D15 574	L. Rosselet <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, SACL)
GRAYER	74	NP B75 189	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
OCHS	73	Thesis	W. Ochs	(MPIM, MUNI)
BEIER	72B	PRL 29 511	E.W. Beier <i>et al.</i>	(PENN)
BETTINI	66	NC 42A 695	A. Bettini <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, PISA)