

N(1710) P_{11}

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ***$$

Most of the results published before 1975 were last included in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982). Some further obsolete results published before 1984 were last included in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

The latest GWU analysis (ARNDT 06) finds no evidence for this resonance.

N(1710) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1680 to 1740 (\approx 1710) OUR ESTIMATE			
1725 \pm 25	ANISOVICH 10	DPWA	Multichannel
1717 \pm 28	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1700 \pm 50	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1723 \pm 9	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1729 \pm 16	¹ BATINIC 10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
1752 \pm 3	PENNER 02C	DPWA	Multichannel
1699 \pm 65	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
1720 \pm 10	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1706	CUTKOSKY 90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1730	SAXON 80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1720	² LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1710	³ LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1710) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
50 to 250 (\approx 100) OUR ESTIMATE			
200 \pm 35	ANISOVICH 10	DPWA	Multichannel
480 \pm 230	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
93 \pm 30	CUTKOSKY 90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
90 \pm 30	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
120 \pm 15	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
180 \pm 17	¹ BATINIC 10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
386 \pm 59	PENNER 02C	DPWA	Multichannel
143 \pm 100	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
105 \pm 10	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
540	BELL 83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
550	SAXON 80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
120	² LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
75	³ LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1710) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1670 to 1770 (\approx 1720) OUR ESTIMATE			
1708 \pm 18	ANISOVICH 10	DPWA	Multichannel
1690	⁴ HOEHLER 93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1698	CUTKOSKY 90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1690 \pm 20	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1711 \pm 15	¹ BATINIC 10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
1679	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
1770	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1636	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1708 or 1712	⁵ LONGACRE 78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1720 or 1711	² LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

–2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
80 to 380 (\approx 230) OUR ESTIMATE			
200 \pm 20	ANISOVICH 10	DPWA	Multichannel
200	⁴ HOEHLER 93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
88	CUTKOSKY 90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
80 \pm 20	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
174 \pm 16	¹ BATINIC 10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
132	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
378	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
544	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
17 or 22	⁵ LONGACRE 78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
123 or 115	² LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1710) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
15	HOEHLER 93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
9	CUTKOSKY 90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
8 \pm 2	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
24	¹ BATINIC 10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
37	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
149	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE ($^\circ$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
–167	CUTKOSKY 90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
175 \pm 35	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

20	¹ BATINIC	10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
-167	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
149	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

N(1710) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $N\pi$	10–20 %
Γ_2 $N\eta$	(6.2±1.0) %
Γ_3 $N\omega$	(13.0±2.0) %
Γ_4 ΛK	5–25 %
Γ_5 ΣK	
Γ_6 $N\pi\pi$	40–90 %
Γ_7 $\Delta\pi$	15–40 %
Γ_8 $\Delta(1232)\pi, P\text{-wave}$	
Γ_9 $N\rho$	5–25 %
Γ_{10} $N\rho, S=1/2, P\text{-wave}$	
Γ_{11} $N\rho, S=3/2, P\text{-wave}$	
Γ_{12} $N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$	10–40 %
Γ_{13} $p\gamma$	0.002–0.05%
Γ_{14} $p\gamma, \text{helicity}=1/2$	0.002–0.05%
Γ_{15} $n\gamma$	0.0–0.02%
Γ_{16} $n\gamma, \text{helicity}=1/2$	0.0–0.02%

N(1710) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

0.10 to 0.20 OUR ESTIMATE

0.12±0.06	ANISOVICH	10	DPWA Multichannel
0.09±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
0.20±0.04	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.12±0.04	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.22±0.24	¹ BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
0.14±0.08	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel
0.27±0.13	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

0.062±0.010 OUR AVERAGE

0.36 ±0.11	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel
0.06 ±0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.06 ±0.08	¹ BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
------------	----------------------	----	--------------------------------------

$\Gamma(N\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_3/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.13±0.02	PENNER	02C	DPWA	Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Lambda K$				$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
+0.12 to +0.18 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.16	BELL	83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
+0.14	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

$\Gamma(\Lambda K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_4/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.05±0.03	SHKLYAR	05	DPWA	Multichannel
0.05±0.02	PENNER	02C	DPWA	Multichannel
0.1 ±0.1	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel

$\Gamma(\Sigma K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_5/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.07±0.07	PENNER	02C	DPWA	Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Sigma K$				$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.034	LIVANOS	80	DPWA	$\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620) S_{31}$ coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi, P\text{-wave}$				$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_8)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
±0.16 to ±0.22 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.21±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
−0.17	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.20	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_8/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.39±0.08	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N\rho, S=1/2, P\text{-wave}$				$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{10})^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
±0.09 to ±0.19 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.05±0.06	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
+0.19	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
−0.20	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(N\rho, S=1/2, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{10}/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.17±0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N\rho, S=3/2, P\text{-wave}$				
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
+0.31	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$				
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
±0.14 to ±0.22 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.04±0.05	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
-0.26	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
-0.28	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
$\Gamma(N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.01±0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel

N(1710) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

Papers on γN amplitudes predating 1981 may be found in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

N(1710) → pγ, helicity-1/2 amplitude A_{1/2}

VALUE (GeV ^{-1/2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
+0.009±0.022 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.025±0.010	ANISOVICH	10	DPWA	Multichannel
0.007±0.015	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.006±0.018	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.028±0.009	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.044	PENNER	02D	DPWA	Multichannel
-0.037±0.002	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

N(1710) → nγ, helicity-1/2 amplitude A_{1/2}

VALUE (GeV ^{-1/2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
-0.002±0.014 OUR ESTIMATE				
-0.002±0.015	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.000±0.018	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.001±0.003	FUJII	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
-0.024	PENNER	02D	DPWA	Multichannel
0.052±0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(1710) \quad \gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ AMPLITUDES

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $p\gamma \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ (M_{1-} amplitude)

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
--------------------------	-------------	------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

– 10.6 ± 0.4	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
– 7.21	TANABE	89	DPWA

$p\gamma \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ phase angle θ (M_{1-} amplitude)

VALUE (degrees)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-----------------	-------------	------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

215 ± 3	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
176.3	TANABE	89	DPWA

N(1710) FOOTNOTES

- ¹ BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second P_{11} state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.
- ² LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- ³ From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- ⁴ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
- ⁵ LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

N(1710) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

ANISOVICH	10	EPJ A44 203	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
BATINIC	10	PR C82 038203	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	(ZAGR)
ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
SHKLYAR	05	PR C72 015210	V. Shklyar, H. Lenske, U. Mosel	(GIES)
PENNER	02C	PR C66 055211	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
PENNER	02D	PR C66 055212	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, BRCO)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also		PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CUTKOSKY	90	PR D42 235	R.E. Cutkosky, S. Wang	(CMU)
WORKMAN	90	PR C42 781	R.L. Workman	(VPI)
TANABE	89	PR C39 741	H. Tanabe, M. Kohno, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)
Also		NC 102A 193	M. Kohno, H. Tanabe, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)

BELL	83	NP B222 389	K.W. Bell <i>et al.</i>	(RL) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also		NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO, OSAK)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	P. Livanos <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	R.S. Longacre, J. Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also		NP B108 365	J. Dolbeau <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC) IJP
