

**$\rho(1700)$** 

$$J^{PC} = 1^{+}(1^{-}-)$$

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

 **$\rho(1700)$  MASS** **$\eta\rho^0$  AND  $\pi^+\pi^-$  MODES**VALUE (MeV)DOCUMENT ID**1720±20 OUR ESTIMATE** **$\eta\rho^0$  MODE**VALUE (MeV)DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

1740±20	ANTONELLI	88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1701±15	<sup>1</sup> FUKUI	88	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming  $\rho^+ f_0(1370)$  decay mode interferes with  $a_1(1260)^+\pi$  background. From a two Breit-Wigner fit.

 **$\pi\pi$  MODE**VALUE (MeV)EVTSDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

1728 ±17 ±89	5.4M	<sup>2,3</sup> FUJIKAWA	08	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1780 <sup>+37</sup> <sub>-29</sub>		<sup>4</sup> ABELE	97	CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
1719 ±15		<sup>4</sup> BERTIN	97C	OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1730 ±30		CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1768 ±21		BISELLO	89	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1745.7±91.9		DUBNICKA	89	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1546 ±26		GESHKEN...	89	RVUE	
1650		<sup>5</sup> ERKAL	85	RVUE	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \gamma\pi$
1550 ±70		ABE	84B	HYBR	20 $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-p$
1590 ±20		<sup>6</sup> ASTON	80	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p2\pi$
1600 ±10		<sup>7</sup> ATIYA	79B	SPEC	50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C2\pi$
1598 <sup>+24</sup> <sub>-22</sub>		BECKER	79	ASPK	17 $\pi^-p$ polarized
1659 ±25		<sup>5</sup> LANG	79	RVUE	
1575		<sup>5</sup> MARTIN	78C	RVUE	17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
1610 ±30		<sup>5</sup> FROGGATT	77	RVUE	17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
1590 ±20		<sup>8</sup> HYAMS	73	ASPK	17 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$

<sup>2</sup>  $|F_\pi(0)|^2$  fixed to 1.

<sup>3</sup> From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

<sup>4</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>5</sup> From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.

<sup>6</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

<sup>7</sup> An additional 40 MeV uncertainty in both the mass and width is present due to the choice of the background shape.

<sup>8</sup> Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

## $\pi\omega$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1550 to 1620	<sup>9</sup> ACHASOV	00I SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1580 to 1710	<sup>10</sup> ACHASOV	00I SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1710±90	ACHASOV	97 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$

<sup>9</sup>Taking into account both  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  contributions. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and of EDWARDS 00A on  $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ .  $\rho(1450)$  mass and width fixed at 1400 MeV and 500 MeV respectively.

<sup>10</sup>Taking into account the  $\rho(1700)$  contribution only. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and of EDWARDS 00A on  $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ .

## $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1740.8±22.2	27k	<sup>11</sup> ABELE	99D CBAR	±	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
1582 ±36	1600	CLELAND	82B SPEC	±	50 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$

<sup>11</sup>K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be  $\omega(1650)$  or  $\phi(1680)$ .

## $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1851 <sup>+27</sup> <sub>-24</sub>		ACHASOV	97 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1570±20		<sup>12</sup> CORDIER	82 DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1520±30		<sup>13</sup> ASTON	81E OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1654±25		<sup>14</sup> DIBIANCA	81 DBC	$\pi^+d \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1666±39		<sup>12</sup> BACCI	80 FRAG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1780	34	KILLIAN	80 SPEC	11 $e^-p \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1500		<sup>15</sup> ATIYA	79B SPEC	50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C4\pi^\pm$
1570±60	65	<sup>16</sup> ALEXANDER	75 HBC	7.5 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1550±60		<sup>13</sup> CONVERSI	74 OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1550±50	160	SCHACHT	74 STRC	5.5–9 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1450±100	340	SCHACHT	74 STRC	9–18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1430±50	400	BINGHAM	72B HBC	9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$

<sup>12</sup>Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with model dependent width.

<sup>13</sup>Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

<sup>14</sup>One peak fit result.

<sup>15</sup>Parameters roughly estimated, not from a fit.

<sup>16</sup>Skew mass distribution compensated by Ross-Stodolsky factor.

## $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1660±30	ATKINSON	85B OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p$

### $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ AND $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ MODES

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1730 \pm 34$	<sup>17</sup> FRABETTI	04	E687 $\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- p$
$1783 \pm 15$	CLEGG	90	RVUE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

<sup>17</sup> From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.

### $\rho(1700)$ WIDTH

#### $\eta\rho^0$ AND $\pi^+\pi^-$ MODES

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
--------------------	--------------------

**$250 \pm 100$  OUR ESTIMATE**

#### $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$150 \pm 30$	ANTONELLI	88	DM2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$282 \pm 44$	<sup>18</sup> FUKUI	88	SPEC $8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

<sup>18</sup> Assuming  $\rho^+ f_0(1370)$  decay mode interferes with  $a_1(1260)^+ \pi$  background. From a two Breit-Wigner fit.

#### $\pi\pi$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$164 \pm 21$	$\frac{+89}{-26}$	5.4M	<sup>19,20</sup> FUJIKAWA	08	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
$275 \pm 45$			<sup>21</sup> ABELE	97	CBAR	$\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
$310 \pm 40$			<sup>21</sup> BERTIN	97C	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$400 \pm 100$			CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
$224 \pm 22$			BISELLO	89	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
$242.5 \pm 163.0$			DUBNICKA	89	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
$620 \pm 60$			GESHKEN...	89	RVUE	
$< 315$			<sup>22</sup> ERKAL	85	RVUE	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow \gamma \pi$
$280 \pm 30$	$-80$		ABE	84B	HYBR	$20 \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- p$
$230 \pm 80$			<sup>23</sup> ASTON	80	OMEG	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow p 2\pi$
$283 \pm 14$			<sup>24</sup> ATIYA	79B	SPEC	$50 \gamma C \rightarrow C 2\pi$
$175 \pm 98$	$-53$		BECKER	79	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p$ polarized
$232 \pm 34$			<sup>22</sup> LANG	79	RVUE	
$340$			<sup>22</sup> MARTIN	78C	RVUE	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
$300 \pm 100$			<sup>22</sup> FROGGATT	77	RVUE	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
$180 \pm 50$			<sup>25</sup> HYAMS	73	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$

<sup>19</sup>  $|F_\pi(0)|^2$  fixed to 1.

<sup>20</sup> From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

<sup>21</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>22</sup> From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.

<sup>23</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

<sup>24</sup> An additional 40 MeV uncertainty in both the mass and width is present due to the choice of the background shape.

<sup>25</sup> Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

## **$K\bar{K}$ MODE**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$187.2 \pm 26.7$	27k	<sup>26</sup> ABELE	99D	CBAR	$\pm$ 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
$265 \pm 120$	1600	CLELAND	82B	SPEC	$\pm$ 50 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$

<sup>26</sup> K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be  $\omega(1650)$  or  $\phi(1680)$ .

## **$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ MODE**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$510 \pm 40$		<sup>27</sup> CORDIER	82	DM1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$400 \pm 50$		<sup>28</sup> ASTON	81E	OMEG 20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$400 \pm 146$		<sup>29</sup> DIBIANCA	81	DBC $\pi^+ d \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$700 \pm 160$		<sup>27</sup> BACCI	80	FRAG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
100	34	KILLIAN	80	SPEC 11 $e^- p \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
600		<sup>30</sup> ATIYA	79B	SPEC 50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C4\pi^\pm$
$340 \pm 160$	65	<sup>31</sup> ALEXANDER	75	HBC 7.5 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$360 \pm 100$		<sup>28</sup> CONVERSI	74	OSPK $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$400 \pm 120$	160	<sup>32</sup> SCHACHT	74	STRC 5.5-9 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$850 \pm 200$	340	<sup>32</sup> SCHACHT	74	STRC 9-18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$650 \pm 100$	400	BINGHAM	72B	HBC 9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$

<sup>27</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with model-dependent width.

<sup>28</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

<sup>29</sup> One peak fit result.

<sup>30</sup> Parameters roughly estimated, not from a fit.

<sup>31</sup> Skew mass distribution compensated by Ross-Stodolsky factor.

<sup>32</sup> Width errors enlarged by us to  $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$ ; see the note with the  $K^*(892)$  mass.

## **$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$ MODE**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$300 \pm 50$	ATKINSON	85B	OMEG 20-70 $\gamma p$

## $\omega\pi^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
350 to 580	<sup>33</sup> ACHASOV	00i SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
490 to 1040	<sup>34</sup> ACHASOV	00i SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
<sup>33</sup> Taking into account both $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ contributions. Using the data of ACHASOV 00i on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$ and of EDWARDS 00A on $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ . $\rho(1450)$ mass and width fixed at 1400 MeV and 500 MeV respectively.			
<sup>34</sup> Taking into account the $\rho(1700)$ contribution only. Using the data of ACHASOV 00i on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$ and of EDWARDS 00A on $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ .			

## $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ AND $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$315 \pm 100$	<sup>35</sup> FRABETTI	04 E687	$\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-p$
$285 \pm 20$	CLEGG	90 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
<sup>35</sup> From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.			

## $\rho(1700)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $4\pi$	
$\Gamma_2$ $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	large
$\Gamma_3$ $\rho\pi\pi$	dominant
$\Gamma_4$ $\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	large
$\Gamma_5$ $\rho^0\pi^0\pi^0$	
$\Gamma_6$ $\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0$	large
$\Gamma_7$ $a_1(1260)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_8$ $h_1(1170)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_9$ $\pi(1300)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{10}$ $\rho\rho$	seen
$\Gamma_{11}$ $\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{12}$ $\pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{13}$ $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	seen
$\Gamma_{14}$ $\eta\rho$	seen
$\Gamma_{15}$ $a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{16}$ $K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_{17}$ $e^+e^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{18}$ $\pi^0\omega$	seen

## $\rho(1700) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into  $e^+e^-$  and with the total width is obtained from the cross-section into channel<sub>1</sub> in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation.

### $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2.6 ± 0.2	DEL COURT	81B DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
2.83 ± 0.42	BACCI	80 FRAG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

### $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{11}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.13	<sup>36</sup> DIEKMAN	88 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
0.029 <sup>+0.016</sup> <sub>-0.012</sub>	KURDADZE	83 OLYA	0.64–1.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>36</sup> Using total width = 220 MeV.

### $\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{13}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.305 ± 0.071	<sup>37</sup> BIZOT	80 DM1	$e^+e^-$

<sup>37</sup> Model dependent.

### $\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{14}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
7 ± 3	ANTONELLI	88 DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

### $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{16}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.035 ± 0.029	<sup>38</sup> BIZOT	80 DM1	$e^+e^-$

<sup>38</sup> Model dependent.

### $\Gamma(\rho\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
3.510 ± 0.090	<sup>39</sup> BIZOT	80 DM1	$e^+e^-$

<sup>39</sup> Model dependent.

## $\rho(1700)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

### $\Gamma(\rho\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.28 \pm 0.06$	<sup>40</sup> ABELE	01B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>40</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

### $\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$

$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$\sim 1.0$		DELCOURT	81B DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$0.7 \pm 0.1$	500	SCHACHT	74 STRC	$5.5-18 \gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
0.80		<sup>41</sup> BINGHAM	72B HBC	$9.3 \gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
<sup>41</sup> The $\pi\pi$ system is in $S$ -wave.				

### $\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0)$

$\Gamma_5/\Gamma_6$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$< 0.10$	ATKINSON	85B OMEG		$20-70 \gamma p$
$< 0.15$	ATKINSON	82 OMEG 0		$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$

### $\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.16 \pm 0.05$	<sup>42</sup> ABELE	01B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>42</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

### $\Gamma(h_1(1170)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

$\Gamma_8/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.17 \pm 0.06$	<sup>43</sup> ABELE	01B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>43</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

### $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

$\Gamma_9/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.30 \pm 0.10$	<sup>44</sup> ABELE	01B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>44</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

### $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

$\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.09 \pm 0.03$	<sup>45</sup> ABELE	01B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>45</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.287^{+0.043}_{-0.042}$	BECKER	79	ASPK $17 \pi^- p$ polarized
0.15 to 0.30	<sup>46</sup> MARTIN	78C	RVUE $17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$
<0.20	<sup>47</sup> COSTA...	77B	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\pi, 4\pi$
$0.30 \pm 0.05$	<sup>46</sup> FROGGATT	77	RVUE $17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$
<0.15	<sup>48</sup> EISENBERG	73	HBC $5 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} 2\pi$
$0.25 \pm 0.05$	<sup>49</sup> HYAMS	73	ASPK $17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$

<sup>46</sup> From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.

<sup>47</sup> Estimate using unitarity, time reversal invariance, Breit-Wigner.

<sup>48</sup> Estimated using one-pion-exchange model.

<sup>49</sup> Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.13 \pm 0.05$	ASTON	80	OMEG $20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow p 2\pi$
<0.14	<sup>50</sup> DAVIER	73	STRC $6-18 \gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
<0.2	<sup>51</sup> BINGHAM	72B	HBC $9.3 \gamma p \rightarrow p 2\pi$

<sup>50</sup> Upper limit is estimate.

<sup>51</sup>  $2\sigma$  upper limit.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$   $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.16 \pm 0.04$	<sup>52,53</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p} n \rightarrow 5\pi$

<sup>52</sup> Using ABELE 97.

<sup>53</sup>  $\omega\pi$  not included.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
possibly seen	COAN	04	CLEO $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.15 \pm 0.03$	<sup>54</sup> DELCOURT	81B	DM1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{K} K \pi$

<sup>54</sup> Assuming  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\omega$  radial excitations to be degenerate in mass.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
possibly seen		AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
<0.04		DONNACHIE 87B	RVUE	
<0.02	58	ATKINSON 86B	OMEG	$20-70 \gamma p$



$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.123±0.027	DELCOURT 82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-MM$
~ 0.1	ASTON 80	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- \text{ neutrals})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $(\Gamma_5+\Gamma_6+0.714\Gamma_{14})/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2.6±0.4	<sup>55</sup> BALLAM 74	HBC	9.3 $\gamma p$
<sup>55</sup> Upper limit. Background not subtracted.			

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	AMELIN 00	VES	37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.015±0.010		<sup>56</sup> DELCOURT 81B	DM1		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{K}K$
<0.04	95	BINGHAM 72B	HBC	0	9.3 $\gamma p$
<sup>56</sup> Assuming $\rho(1700)$ and $\omega$ radial excitations to be degenerate in mass.					

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$   $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma_{13}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.052±0.026	BUON 82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	2382	AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
seen		ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$

**$\rho(1700)$  REFERENCES**

FUJIKAWA 08	PR D78 072006	M. Fujikawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
COAN 04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FRABETTI 04	PL B578 290	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN 03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABELE 01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV 001	PL B486 29	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN 00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AMELIN 00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
EDWARDS 00A	PR D61 072003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABELE 99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE 97	PL B391 191	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV 97	PR D55 2663	N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVM)
BERTIN 97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
CLEGG 94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
CLEGG 90	ZPHY C45 677	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)

BISELLO	89	PL B220 321	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DUBNICKA	89	JPG 15 1349	S. Dubnicka <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, SLOV)
GESHKEN...	89	ZPHY C45 351	B.V. Geshkenbein	(ITEP)
ANTONELLI	88	PL B212 133	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DIEKMAN	88	PRPL 159 99	B. Diekmann	(BONN)
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
DONNACHIE	87B	ZPHY C34 257	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
ATKINSON	86B	ZPHY C30 531	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	85B	ZPHY C26 499	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ERKAL	85	ZPHY C29 485	C. Erkal, M.G. Olsson	(WISC)
ABE	84B	PRL 53 751	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	
KURDADZE	83	JETPL 37 733	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 37 613.		
ATKINSON	82	PL 108B 55	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BUON	82	PL 118B 221	J. Buon <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
CLELAND	82B	NP B208 228	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
CORDIER	82	PL 109B 129	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
DELCOURT	82	PL 113B 93	B. Delcourt <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
ASTON	81E	NP B189 15	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
DELCOURT	81B	Bonn Conf. 205	B. Delcourt	(ORSAY)
		Also		
		PL 109B 129	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
DIBIANCA	81	PR D23 595	F.A. di Bianca <i>et al.</i>	(CASE, CMU)
ASTON	80	PL 92B 215	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BACCI	80	PL 95B 139	C. Bacci <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, FRAS)
BIZOT	80	Madison Conf. 546	J.C. Bizot <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
KILLIAN	80	PR D21 3005	T.J. Killian <i>et al.</i>	(CORN)
ATIYA	79B	PRL 43 1691	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ILL, FNAL)
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
LANG	79	PR D19 956	C.B. Lang, A. Mas-Parareda	(GRAZ)
MARTIN	78C	ANP 114 1	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(CERN)
COSTA...	77B	PL 71B 345	B. Costa de Beauregard, B. Pire, T.N. Truong	(EPOL)
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(GLAS, NORD)
ALEXANDER	75	PL 57B 487	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(TELA)
BALLAM	74	NP B76 375	J. Ballam <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, MPIM)
CONVERSI	74	PL 52B 493	M. Conversi <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, FRAS)
SCHACHT	74	NP B81 205	P. Schacht <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
DAVIER	73	NP B58 31	M. Davier <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
EISENBERG	73	PL 43B 149	Y. Eisenberg <i>et al.</i>	(REHO)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
BINGHAM	72B	PL 41B 635	H.H. Bingham <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, UCB, SLAC) IGJP
JACOB	72	PR D5 1847	M. Jacob, R. Slansky	
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	