$\eta'(958)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$

$\eta'(958)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
957.78 ±0.06 OUR AVERAGE					
957.793±0.054±0.036	3.9k	LIBBY	08	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$
957.9 ±0.2 ±0.6	4800	WURZINGER	96	SPEC	1.68 $pd \to {}^3\mathrm{He}\eta'$
957.46 ±0.33		DUANE	74	MMS	$\pi^- p \to n\mathrm{MM}$
958.2 ±0.5	1414	DANBURG	73	HBC	2.2 $K^- p \to \Lambda\eta'$
958 ±1	400	JACOBS	73	HBC	2.9 $K^- p \to \Lambda\eta'$
956.1 ±1.1	3415	[1] BASILE	71	CNTR	1.6 $\pi^- p \to n\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
957.5 ±0.2		BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
959 ±1	630	[2] BELADIDZE	92C	VES	36 π^- Be $\to \pi^-\eta'\eta$Be
958 ±1	340	[2] ARMSTRONG	91B	OMEG	300 $pp \to pp\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
958.2 ±0.4	622	[2] AUGUSTIN	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
957.8 ±0.2	2420	[2] AUGUSTIN	90	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
956.3 ±1.0	143	[2] GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
957.4 ±1.4	535	[3] BASILE	71	CNTR	1.6 $\pi^- p \to n\eta'$
957 ±1		RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

[1] Using all η' decays.
[2] Systematic uncertainty not estimated.
[3] Using η' decays into neutrals. Not independent of the other listed BASILE 71 η' mass measurement.

$\eta'(958)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
0.194±0.009 OUR FIT						
0.30 ±0.09 OUR AVERAGE						
0.40 ±0.22	4800	WURZINGER	96	SPEC		1.68 $pd \to {}^3\mathrm{He}\eta'$
0.28 ±0.10	1000	BINNIE	79	MMS	0	$\pi^- p \to n\mathrm{MM}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.20 ±0.04		BAI	04J	BES2		$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

$\eta'(958)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1	$\pi^+\pi^-\eta$	(43.2 ±0.7) %	
Γ_2	$\rho^0\gamma$(including non-resonant $\pi^+\ \pi^-\ \gamma$)	(29.3 ±0.5) %	
Γ_3	$\pi^0\pi^0\eta$	(21.7 ±0.8) %	
Γ_4	$\omega\gamma$	(2.75±0.22) %	
Γ_5	$\gamma\gamma$	(2.22±0.08) %	

Γ_6	$3\pi^0$		$(\ 1.68\pm0.22)\times10^{-3}$		
Γ_7	$\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$		$(\ 1.09\pm0.27)\times10^{-4}$		
Γ_8	$\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$	$<$	2.2	$\times10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_9	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		$(\ 3.6\ ^{+1.1}_{-0.9}\ )\times10^{-3}$		
Γ_{10}	$\pi^0\rho^0$	$<$	4	%	90%
Γ_{11}	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$<$	2.4	$\times10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{12}	$\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$	$<$	2.5	$\times10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{13}	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ neutrals	$<$	1	%	95%
Γ_{14}	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$	$<$	1.9	$\times10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{15}	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)2\pi^0$	$<$	1	%	95%
Γ_{16}	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$<$	5	$\times10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{17}	$\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$		$(\ 2.4\ ^{+1.3}_{-1.0}\ )\times10^{-3}$		
Γ_{18}	γe^+e^-	$<$	9	$\times10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{19}	$\pi^0\gamma\gamma$	$<$	8	$\times10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{20}	$4\pi^0$	$<$	5	$\times10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{21}	e^+e^-	$<$	2.1	$\times10^{-7}$	90%
Γ_{22}	invisible	$<$	9	$\times10^{-4}$	90%

Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P), Lepton family number (LF) violating modes

Γ_{23}	$\pi^+\pi^-$	P,CP		$<$	2.9	$\times10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{24}	$\pi^0\pi^0$	P,CP		$<$	1.0	$\times10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{25}	$\pi^0e^+e^-$	C	[a]	$<$	1.4	$\times10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{26}	ηe^+e^-	C	[a]	$<$	2.4	$\times10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{27}	3γ	C		$<$	1.0	$\times10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{28}	$\mu^+\mu^-\pi^0$	C	[a]	$<$	6.0	$\times10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{29}	$\mu^+\mu^-\eta$	C	[a]	$<$	1.5	$\times10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{30}	$e\mu$	LF		$<$	4.7	$\times10^{-4}$	90%

[a] C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, a partial width, 2 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 13 branching ratios uses 39 measurements and one constraint to determine 9 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 29.6$ for 31 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\left\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \right\rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i, including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	1							
x_3	−76	−57						
x_4	−19	−23	6					
x_5	−33	−25	36	−1				
x_6	−23	−17	28	1	10			
x_9	−1	−5	−7	−2	−4	−2		
x_{17}	−4	−6	−5	−2	−3	−2	0	
Γ	28	6	−23	4	−74	−6	4	4
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_9	x_{17}

	Mode	Rate (MeV)
Γ_1	$\pi^+\pi^-\eta$	0.084 ±0.005
Γ_2	$\rho^0\gamma$(including non-resonant $\pi^+\ \pi^-\ \gamma$)	0.0568 ±0.0030
Γ_3	$\pi^0\pi^0\eta$	0.0421 ±0.0023
Γ_4	$\omega\gamma$	0.0053 ±0.0005
Γ_5	$\gamma\gamma$	0.00429±0.00014
Γ_6	$3\pi^0$	$(3.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$
Γ_9	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(7.0\ ^{+2.1}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{17}	$\pi^+\pi^- e^+ e^-$	$(4.7\ ^{+2.5}_{-1.9}) \times 10^{-4}$

$\eta'(958)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_5

VALUE (keV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
4.29±0.14 OUR FIT					
4.28±0.19 OUR AVERAGE					
4.17±0.10±0.27	2000	[4] ACCIARRI	98Q	L3	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
4.53±0.29±0.51	266	KARCH	92	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
3.61±0.13±0.48		[5] BEHREND	91	CELL	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta'(958)$
4.6 ±1.1 ±0.6	23	BARU	90	MD1	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

$4.57 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.44$		BUTLER	90	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta'(958)$
$5.08 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.71$	547	[6] ROE	90	ASP	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-2\gamma$
$3.8 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.6$	34	AIHARA	88C	TPC	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$4.9 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$	136	[7] WILLIAMS	88	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-2\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$4.7 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$	143	[8] GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
4.0 ± 0.9		[9] BARTEL	85E	JADE	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-2\gamma$

[4] No non-resonant $\pi^+\pi^-$ contribution found.
[5] Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \to \rho(770)\gamma) = (30.2 \pm 1.3)\%$.
[6] Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \to \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$.
[7] Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \to \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$.
[8] Superseded by BUTLER 90.
[9] Systematic error not evaluated.

$\eta'(958)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(total)$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into $\gamma\gamma$ and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel(i) in the $\gamma\gamma$ annihilation.

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_5\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.26 ± 0.04 OUR FIT				
1.26 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
$1.09 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.13$		BEHREND	91 CELL	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\rho(770)^0\gamma$
$1.35 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.21$		AIHARA	87 TPC	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
$1.13 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.13$	867	ALBRECHT	87B ARG	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
$1.53 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.21$		ALTHOFF	84E TASS	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
$1.14 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.11$	243	BERGER	84B PLUT	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
$1.73 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.35$	95	JENNI	83 MRK2	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
$1.49 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.027$	213	BARTEL	82B JADE	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\rho\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.85 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.24$	43	BEHREND	83B	CELL	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\rho\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_5\Gamma_3/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.93 ± 0.05 OUR FIT			
$0.92 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.11$	[10] KARCH	92 CBAL	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.95 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.08$	[11] KARCH	90	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$1.00 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.10$	[11,12] ANTREASYAN	87	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$

[10] Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta \to \gamma\gamma) = (39.21 \pm 0.34)\%$. Supersedes ANTREASYAN 87 and KARCH 90.
[11] Superseded by KARCH 92.
[12] Using $BR(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (38.9 \pm 0.5)\%$.

$\eta'(958)$ DECAY PARAMETERS

$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 = |1 + \alpha y|^2 + cx + dx^2$

α decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.059 ± 0.011 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.			
$-0.072 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.006$	7k	[13] AMELIN	05A	VES	28 $\pi^- A \to \eta' \pi^- A^*$
-0.021 ± 0.025	6.7k	[14] BRIERE	00	CLEO	10.6 $e^+ e^- \to$ hadrons
-0.058 ± 0.013		[15,16] ALDE	86	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \to n\eta 2\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
-0.08 ± 0.03		[15,16] KALBFLEISCH	74	RVUE	$\eta' \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[13] This is a real part of α while $\text{Im}(\alpha) = 0.0 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.0$.
[14] Assuming $\text{Im}(\alpha) = 0$, $c = 0$, and $d = 0$.
[15] May not necessarily be the same for $\eta' \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta' \to \eta\pi^0\pi^0$.
[16] Assuming $\text{Im}(\alpha) = 0$, $c = 0$.



$\eta'(958) \to \eta\pi\pi$ DECAY PARAMETERS

$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 \propto 1 + a\,Y + b\,Y^2 + c\,X + d\,X^2$

X and Y are Dalitz variables and a, b, c, and d are real-valued parameters.
May be different for $\eta'(958) \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta'(958) \to \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decays.

a decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$-0.066 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.003$	15k	[17] BLIK	09	GAM4	32.5 $\pi^- p \to \eta' n$
$-0.127 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.008$	20k	[18] DOROFEEV	07	VES	27 $\pi^- p \to \eta' n$, $\pi^- A \to \eta'\pi^- A^*$

[17] From $\eta' \to \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decay. Parameters a, b, c, d are strongly correlated.
[18] From $\eta' \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ decay.

b decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$-0.063 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.004$	15k	[19] BLIK	09	GAM4	32.5 $\pi^- p \to \eta' n$
$-0.106 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.014$	20k	[20] DOROFEEV	07	VES	27 $\pi^- p \to \eta' n$, $\pi^- A \to \eta'\pi^- A^*$

[19] From $\eta' \to \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decay. Parameters a, b, c, d are strongly correlated.
[20] From $\eta' \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ decay.

c decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$-0.107 \pm 0.096 \pm 0.003$	15k	[21] BLIK	09	GAM4	32.5 $\pi^- p \to \eta' n$
$0.015 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.014$	20k	[22] DOROFEEV	07	VES	27 $\pi^- p \to \eta' n$, $\pi^- A \to \eta'\pi^- A^*$

[21] From $\eta' \to \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decay. Parameters a, b, c, d are strongly correlated.
[22] From $\eta' \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ decay.

d decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.018 \pm 0.078 \pm 0.006$	15k	[23] BLIK	09	GAM4	32.5 $\pi^- p \to \eta' n$
$-0.082 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.008$	20k	[24] DOROFEEV	07	VES	27 $\pi^- p \to \eta' n$, $\pi^- A \to \eta'\pi^- A^*$

[23] From $\eta' \to \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decay. Parameters a, b, c, d are strongly correlated. If $c \equiv 0$ from Bose-Einstein symmetry, $d = -0.067 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.003$.
[24] From $\eta' \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ decay.

$\eta'(958)$ β PARAMETER
$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 = (1 + 2\beta Z)$

See the "Note on η Decay Parameters" in our 1994 edition Physical Review **D50** 1173 (1994), p. 1454.

β decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.46 ± 0.22 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
-0.59 ± 0.18	235	BLIK	08	GAMS	32 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$-0.1\ \pm0.3$		ALDE	87B	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n3\pi^0$

$\eta'(958)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.432 ± 0.007 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.424\pm0.011\pm0.004$	1.2k	[25] PEDLAR	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

[25] Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{charged decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $0.286\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.1237 ± 0.0020 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.123\ \pm0.014$	107	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$
$0.10\ \pm0.04$	10	LONDON	66	HBC	2.24 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$
$0.07\ \pm0.04$	7	BADIER	65B	HBC	3 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{neutral decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $0.714\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.309 ± 0.005 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.314 ± 0.026	281	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.293 ± 0.006 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.287\pm0.007\pm0.004$	0.2k	[26] PEDLAR	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
0.329 ± 0.033	298	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$
$0.2\ \ \pm0.1$	20	LONDON	66	HBC	2.24 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
$0.34\ \pm0.09$	35	BADIER	65B	HBC	3 $K^- p$

[26] Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.678±0.017 OUR FIT				
0.683±0.020 OUR AVERAGE				
0.677±0.024±0.011	PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$J/\psi \to \eta'\gamma$
0.69 ±0.03	ABLIKIM	06E	BES2	$J/\psi \to \eta'\gamma$

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{neutral decay}))$ $\Gamma_2/0.714\Gamma_1$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.949±0.024 OUR FIT					
0.97 ±0.09 OUR AVERAGE					
0.70 ±0.22		AMSLER	04B	CBAR	0 $\overline{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta$
1.07 ±0.17		BELADIDZE	92C	VES	36 π^- Be $\to \pi^-\eta'\eta$ Be
0.92 ±0.14	473	DANBURG	73	HBC	2.2 $K^-p \to \Lambda X^0$
1.11 ±0.18	192	JACOBS	73	HBC	2.9 $K^-p \to \Lambda X^0$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.217±0.008 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.235±0.013±0.004	3.2k	[27] PEDLAR	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$

[27] Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta(3\pi^0\text{decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $0.321\Gamma_3/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0697±0.0026 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.11 ±0.06	4	BENSINGER	70	DBC	2.2 $\pi^+ d$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.502±0.026 OUR FIT				
0.555±0.043±0.013	PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$J/\psi \to \eta'\gamma$

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi\pi\eta)$ $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_3)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.451±0.012 OUR FIT				
0.43 ±0.02 ±0.02	BARBERIS	98C	OMEG	450 $pp \to p_f\eta' p_s$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.31 ±0.15	DAVIS	68	HBC	5.5 K^-p

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0275±0.0023 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.0234±0.0030±0.0004	70	[28] PEDLAR	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$

[28] Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.064±0.005 OUR FIT					
0.055±0.007±0.001		PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$J/\psi \to \eta'\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.068±0.013	68	ZANFINO	77	ASPK	8.4 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_4/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.126±0.011 OUR FIT				
0.147±0.016	ALDE	87B	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \to n4\gamma$

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/[\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)+\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)+\Gamma(\omega\gamma)]$ $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.433±0.012 OUR FIT				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.25 ±0.14	DAUBER	64	HBC	1.95 $K^- p$

$[\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta(\text{charged decay}))+\Gamma(\omega(\text{charged decay})\gamma)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(0.286\Gamma_3+0.89\Gamma_4)/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0865±0.0032 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.045 ±0.029	42	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\text{neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(0.714\Gamma_1+0.286\Gamma_3+0.89\Gamma_4)/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.395±0.004 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.4 ±0.1	39	LONDON	66	HBC	2.24 $K^- p \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-$ neutrals
0.35 ±0.06	33	BADIER	65B	HBC	3 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.22±0.08 OUR FIT					
2.00±0.15 OUR AVERAGE					
$1.98^{+0.31}_{-0.27}\pm0.07$	114	[29] WICHT	08	BELL	$B^\pm \to K^\pm\gamma\gamma$
2.00±0.18		[30] STANTON	80	SPEC	8.45 $\pi^- p \to n\pi^+\pi^- 2\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.25±0.16±0.03	0.3k	[31] PEDLAR	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$
1.8 ±0.2	6000	[32] APEL	79	NICE	15–40 $\pi^- p \to n2\gamma$
2.5 ±0.7		DUANE	74	MMS	$\pi^- p \to n$MM
1.71±0.33	68	DALPIAZ	72	CNTR	1.6 $\pi^- p \to nX^0$
$2.0\ ^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$	31	HARVEY	71	OSPK	3.65 $\pi^- p \to nX^0$

[29] WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\text{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \eta' K^+)] = (1.40^{+0.16+0.15}_{-0.15-0.12}) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $\text{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \eta' K^+) = (7.06 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-5}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[30] Includes APEL 79 result.

[31] Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

[32] Data is included in STANTON 80 evaluation.

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_5/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0512±0.0023 OUR FIT				
0.053 ±0.004 ±0.001	PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$ Γ_5/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0756±0.0034 OUR FIT				
0.080 ±0.008	ABLIKIM	06E	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_5/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.102±0.004 OUR FIT				
0.105±0.010 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.9.			
0.091±0.009	AMSLER	93	CBAR	0.0 $\overline{p}p$
0.112±0.002±0.006	ALDE	87B	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta(\text{neutral decay}))$ $\Gamma_5/0.714\Gamma_3$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.143±0.006 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.188±0.058	16	APEL	72	OSPK	3.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$

$\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(0.714\Gamma_3+0.09\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.180±0.006 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.185±0.022	535	BASILE	71	CNTR	1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$
0.189±0.026	123	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_6/Γ_3

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
78±10 OUR FIT					
78±10 OUR AVERAGE					
86±19	235	BLIK	08	GAMS	32 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
74±15		ALDE	87B	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$
75±18		BINON	84	GAM2	30–40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_7/Γ_5

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.9±1.2	33	VIKTOROV	80	CNTR	25,33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\mu\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<2.4	90	[33] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$

[33] Not independent of measured value of Γ_8/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_8/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.5	90	[34] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$

[34] NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta)]$ / $[B(\eta \to 2\gamma)] < 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = 39.31 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.36^{+0.11}_{-0.09}$ OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.37^{+0.11}_{-0.09} \pm 0.04$		[35] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$
<9	95	DANBURG	73	HBC	2.2 $K^- p \to \Lambda X^0$
<5	90	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

[35] Not independent of measured value of Γ_9/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$8.3\ ^{+2.5}_{-2.1}$ OUR FIT					
$8.25^{+2.49}_{-2.12} \pm 0.04$	20	[36] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$

[36] NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta)]$ / $[B(\eta \to 2\gamma)] = (21^{+6}_{-5} \pm 2) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (39.31 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\rho^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.04	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC	2.7 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 2.4	90	[37] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$
<100	90	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

[37] Not independent of measured value of Γ_{11}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{11}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.6	90	[38] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$

[38] NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta)]$ / $[B(\eta \to 2\gamma)]$ $< 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = 39.31 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<27	90	[39] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$

[39] Not independent of measured value of Γ_{12}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{12}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<6	90	[40] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$

[40] NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta)]$ / $[B(\eta \to 2\gamma)]$ $< 15 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = 39.31 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	95	DANBURG	73	HBC	2.2 $K^- p \to \Lambda X^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.002	90	[41] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

[41] Not independent of measured value of Γ_{14}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{14}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	[42] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$

[42] NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta)]$ / $[B(\eta \to 2\gamma)]$ $< 11 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = 39.31 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	95	KALBFLEISCH	64B	HBC	$K^- p \to \Lambda 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$+MM
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.01	90	LONDON	66	HBC	Compilation

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.53	90	[43] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$
<5	95	KALBFLEISCH	64B	HBC	$K^- p \to \Lambda 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

[43] Not independent of measured value of Γ_{16}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{16}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.2	90	[44] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$

[44] NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta)]$ / $[B(\eta \to 2\gamma)]$ $< 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = 39.31 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.4^{+1.3}_{-1.0}$ OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$2.5^{+1.2}_{-0.9} \pm 0.5$		[45] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$
<6	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC	2.7 $K^- p$

[45] Not independent of measured value of Γ_{17}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{17}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.6 $^{+3.0}_{-2.2}$ OUR FIT					
$5.50^{+2.99}_{-2.29} \pm 0.03$	8	[46] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$

[46] NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta)]$ / $[B(\eta \to 2\gamma)] = (14^{+7}_{-5} \pm 3) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = (39.31 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\gamma e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.9	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO	10.6 $e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_{19}/Γ_3

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<37	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \to n4\gamma$

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_{20}/Γ_3

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<23	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \to n8\gamma$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.1	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta$

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<9.5	90	[47] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$

[47] Not independent of measured value of Γ_{22}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_{22}/Γ_5

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<6.69	90	ABLIKIM	06Q	BES	$J/\psi \to \phi\eta'$

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{22}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.1	90	[48] NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$

[48] NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to \text{ invisible})/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta)]$ / $[B(\eta \to 2\gamma)]$ $< 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \to 2\gamma) = 39.31 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 29	90	[49] MORI	07A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \to \pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 3.3	90	[50] MORI	07A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \to \pi^+\pi^-$
<800	95	DANBURG	73	HBC	2.2 $K^-p \to \Lambda X^0$
<200	90	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7–2.7 K^-p

[49] Taking into account interference with the $\gamma\gamma \to \pi^+\pi^-$ continuum.
[50] Without interference with the $\gamma\gamma \to \pi^+\pi^-$ continuum.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_{24}/Γ_3

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<45	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2	38 $\pi^-p \to n4\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^0e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 1.4	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO	10.6 e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<13	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC	2.7 K^-p

$\Gamma(\eta e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 2.4	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO	10.6 e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<11	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC	2.7 K^-p

$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_{27}/Γ_3

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<4.6	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n3\gamma$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<6.0	90	DZHELYADIN	81	CNTR	30 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<1.5	90	DZHELYADIN	81	CNTR	30 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$

$\Gamma(e\mu)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<4.7	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO	10.6 e^+e^-

$\eta'(958)$ *C*-NONCONSERVING DECAY PARAMETER

See the note on η decay parameters in the Stable Particle Particle Listings for definition of this parameter.

DECAY ASYMMETRY PARAMETER FOR $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

VALUE	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
−0.03 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
−0.019±0.056		AIHARA	87	TPC	$2\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
−0.069±0.078	295	GRIGORIAN	75	STRC	2.1 $\pi^- p$
0.00 ±0.10	103	KALBFLEISCH	75	HBC	2.18 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.07 ±0.08	152	RITTENBERG	65	HBC	2.1–2.7 $K^- p$

$\eta'(958)$ REFERENCES

BLIK	09	PAN 72 231	A.M. Blik *et al.*	(IHEP (Protvino))
		Translated from YAF 72 258.		
NAIK	09	PRL 102 061801	P. Naik *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
PEDLAR	09	PR D79 111101	T.K. Pedlar *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
BLIK	08	PAN 71 2124	A. Blik *et al.*	(GAMS-4π Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 71 2161.		
LIBBY	08	PRL 101 182002	J. Libby *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
DOROFEEV	07	PL B651 22	V. Dorofeev *et al.*	(VES Collab.)
MORI	07A	JPSJ 76 074102	T. Mori *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06E	PR D73 052008	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06Q	PRL 97 202002	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BES Collab.)
AMELIN	05A	PAN 68 372	D.V. Amelin *et al.*	(VES Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 68 401.		
AMSLER	04B	EPJ C33 23	C. Amsler *et al.*	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)
BRIERE	00	PRL 84 26	R. Briere *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98Q	PL B418 399	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis *et al.*	(WA 102 Collab.)
WURZINGER	96	PL B374 283	R. Wurzinger *et al.*	(BONN, ORSAY, SACL+)
PDG	94	PR D50 1173	L. Montanet *et al.*	(CERN, LBL, BOST+)
AMSLER	93	ZPHY C58 175	C. Amsler *et al.*	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BELADIDZE	92C	SJNP 55 1535	G.M. Beladidze, S.I. Bityukov, G.V. Borisov	(SERP+)
		Translated from YAF 55 2748.		

KARCH	92	ZPHY C54 33	K. Karch *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BEHREND	91	ZPHY C49 401	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	90	PR D42 10	J.E. Augustin *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)
BARU	90	ZPHY C48 581	S.E. Baru *et al.*	(MD-1 Collab.)
BUTLER	90	PR D42 1368	F. Butler *et al.*	(Mark II Collab.)
KARCH	90	PL B249 353	K. Karch *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ROE	90	PR D41 17	N.A. Roe *et al.*	(ASP Collab.)
AIHARA	88C	PR D38 1	H. Aihara *et al.*	(TPC-2γ Collab.)
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev *et al.*	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 48 436.		
WILLIAMS	88	PR D38 1365	D.A. Williams *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
AIHARA	87	PR D35 2650	H. Aihara *et al.*	(TPC-2γ Collab.) JP
ALBRECHT	87B	PL B199 457	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALDE	87B	ZPHY C36 603	D.M. Alde *et al.*	(LANL, BELG, SERP, LAPP)
ANTREASYAN	87	PR D36 2633	D. Antreasyan *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)
ALDE	86	PL B177 115	D.M. Alde *et al.*	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP)
BARTEL	85E	PL 160B 421	W. Bartel *et al.*	(JADE Collab.)
ALTHOFF	84E	PL 147B 487	M. Althoff *et al.*	(TASSO Collab.)
BERGER	84B	PL 142B 125	C. Berger	(PLUTO Collab.)
BINON	84	PL 140B 264	F.G. Binon *et al.*	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
BEHREND	83B	PL 125B 518 (erratum)	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
Also		PL 114B 378	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
JENNI	83	PR D27 1031	P. Jenni *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL)
BARTEL	82B	PL 113B 190	W. Bartel *et al.*	(JADE Collab.)
DZHELYADIN	81	PL 105B 239	R.I. Dzhelyadin *et al.*	(SERP)
STANTON	80	PL B92 353	N.R. Stanton *et al.*	(OSU, CARL, MCGI+)
VIKTOROV	80	SJNP 32 520	V.A. Viktorov *et al.*	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 32 1005.		
APEL	79	PL 83B 131	W.D. Apel, K.H. Augenstein, E. Bertolucci	(KARLK+)
BINNIE	79	PL 83B 141	D.M. Binnie *et al.*	(LOIC)
ZANFINO	77	PRL 38 930	C. Zanfino *et al.*	(CARL, MCGI, OHIO+)
GRIGORIAN	75	NP B91 232	A. Grigorian *et al.*	(+)
KALBFLEISCH	75	PR D11 987	G.R. Kalbfleisch, R.C. Strand, J.W. Chapman	(BNL+)
DUANE	74	PRL 32 425	A. Duane *et al.*	(LOIC, SHMP)
KALBFLEISCH	74	PR D10 916	G.R. Kalbfleisch	(BNL)
DANBURG	73	PR D8 3744	J.S. Danburg *et al.*	(BNL, MICH) JP
JACOBS	73	PR D8 18	S.M. Jacobs *et al.*	(BRAN, UMD, SYRA+) JP
APEL	72	PL 40B 680	W.D. Apel *et al.*	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA)
DALPIAZ	72	PL 42B 377	P.F. Dalpiaz *et al.*	(CERN)
BASILE	71	NC 3A 371	M. Basile *et al.*	(CERN, BGNA, STRB)
HARVEY	71	PRL 27 885	E.H. Harvey *et al.*	(MINN, MICH)
BENSINGER	70	PL 33B 505	J.R. Bensinger *et al.*	(WISC)
RITTENBERG	69	Thesis UCRL 18863	A. Rittenberg	(LRL) I
DAVIS	68	PL 27B 532	R. Davis *et al.*	(NWES, ANL)
LONDON	66	PR 143 1034	G.W. London *et al.*	(BNL, SYRA) IJP
BADIER	65B	PL 17 337	J. Badier *et al.*	(EPOL, SACL, AMST)
RITTENBERG	65	PRL 15 556	A. Rittenberg, G.R. Kalbfleisch	(LRL, BNL)
DAUBER	64	PRL 13 449	P.M. Dauber *et al.*	(UCLA) JP
KALBFLEISCH	64B	PRL 13 349	G.R. Kalbfleisch, O.I. Dahl, A. Rittenberg	(LRL) JP