Citation: C. Amsler et al. (Particle Data Group), PL **B667**, 1 (2008) and 2009 partial update for the 2010 edition (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

$\eta_c(2S)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$

Quantum numbers are quark model predictions.

$\eta_c(2S)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3637 ±4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.			
3626 ±5 ±6	311	[1] ABE 07	BELL	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi(c\overline{c})$
$3645.0 \pm 5.5 {}^{+4.9}_{-7.8}$	121 ± 27	AUBERT 05C	BABR	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi\, c\overline{c}$
3642.9±3.1±1.5	61	ASNER 04	CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \to \eta_c \to K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
3630.8±3.4±1.0	112 ± 24	AUBERT 04D	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \to \eta_c(2S) \to K\overline{K}\pi$
3654 ±6 ±8	39 ± 11	CHOI 02	BELL	$B \to K K_S K^- \pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3639 ±7	98 ± 52	[2] AUBERT 06E	BABR	$B^\pm \to K^\pm X_{c\overline{c}}$
3594 ±5		[3] EDWARDS 82C	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$

[1] From a fit of the J/ψ recoil mass spectrum. Supersedes ABE,K 02 and ABE 04G.
[2] From the fit of the kaon momentum spectrum. Systematic errors not evaluated.
[3] Assuming mass of $\psi(2S) = 3686$ MeV.



$\eta_c(2S)$ mass (MeV)

$\eta_c(2S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*CL%*	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
14 ± 7 OUR AVERAGE						
6.3±12.4±4.0		61	ASNER	04	CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \to \eta_c \to K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
17.0± 8.3±2.5		112 ± 24	AUBERT	04D	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \to \eta_c(2S) \to K\overline{K}\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<23	90	98 ± 52	[4] AUBERT	06E	BABR	$B^\pm \to K^\pm X_{c\overline{c}}$
22 ±14		121 ± 27	AUBERT	05C	BABR	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi c\overline{c}$
<55	90	39 ± 11	[5] CHOI	02	BELL	$B \to K K_S K^- \pi^+$
<8.0	95		[6] EDWARDS	82C	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \gamma X$

[4] From the fit of the kaon momentum spectrum. Systematic errors not evaluated.
[5] For a mass value of 3654 ± 6 MeV
[6] For a mass value of 3594 ± 5 MeV

$\eta_c(2S)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1	hadrons	not seen	
Γ_2	$K\overline{K}\pi$	(1.9±1.2) %	
Γ_3	$2\pi^+2\pi^-$	not seen	
Γ_4	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$	not seen	
Γ_5	$2K^+2K^-$	not seen	
Γ_6	$p\overline{p}$	not seen	
Γ_7	$\gamma\gamma$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	90%

$\eta_c(2S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ — Γ_7

VALUE (keV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.3±0.6	[7] ASNER	04	CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \to \eta_c \to K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$

[7] They measure $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)\gamma\gamma)$ B$(\eta_c(2S) \to K\overline{K}\pi) = (0.18 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.02)$ $\Gamma(\eta_c(1S)\gamma\gamma)$ B$(\eta_c(1S) \to K\overline{K}\pi)$. The value for $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \to \gamma\gamma)$ is derived assuming that the branching fractions for $\eta_c(2S)$ and $\eta_c(1S)$ decays to $K_S K\pi$ are equal and using $\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \to \gamma\gamma) = 7.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 2.3$ keV.

$\eta_c(2S)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_3\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<6.5	90	UEHARA	08	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \to \eta_c(2S) \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_4\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<5.0	90	UEHARA	08	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(2K^+2K^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_5\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.9	90	UEHARA	08	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(2S) \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)$

$\eta_c(2S)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(p\overline{p})/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_6/\Gamma \times \Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-8})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 5.6	90	[8,9,10] AMBROGIANI	01	E835	$\overline{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 8.0	90	[8,9,11] AMBROGIANI	01	E835	$\overline{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
<12.0	90	[9,11] AMBROGIANI	01	E835	$\overline{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

[8] Including the measurements of of ARMSTRONG 95F in the AMBROGIANI 01 analysis.
[9] For a total width Γ=5 MeV.
[10] For the resonance mass region 3589–3599 MeV/c^2.
[11] For the resonance mass region 3575–3660 MeV/c^2.

$\eta_c(2S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
not seen	ABREU	98O	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- +$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	[12] EDWARDS	82C	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

[12] For a mass value of 3594 ± 5 MeV

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.9±0.4±1.1	59 ± 12	[13] AUBERT	08AB	BABR	$B \rightarrow \eta_c(2S)K \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi K$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
seen	39 ± 11	[14] CHOI	02	BELL	$B \rightarrow KK_S K^-\pi^+$

[13] Derived from a measurement of $[B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c(2S)K^+) \times B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi)] / [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) \times B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi)] = (9.6^{+2.0}_{-1.9} \pm 2.5)\%$ and using $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c(2S)K^+) = (3.4 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$, and $[B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) \times B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi)] = (6.88 \pm 0.77^{+0.55}_{-0.66}) \times 10^{-5}$.
[14] For a mass value of 3654 ± 6 MeV

$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
not seen	UEHARA	08	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(2S)$

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
not seen	UEHARA	08	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \to \eta_c(2S)$

$\Gamma(2K^+2K^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
not seen	UEHARA	08	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \to \eta_c(2S)$

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
not seen	AMBROGIANI	01	E835	$\overline{p}p \to \gamma\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<0.0005	90	[15] WICHT	08	BELL	$B^\pm \to K^\pm\gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.01	90	LEE	85	CBAL	$\psi' \to$ photons

[15] WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \to \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}] \times [B(B^+ \to \eta_c(2S)K^+)] < 0.18 \times 10^{-6}$. We divide by our best value $B(B^+ \to \eta_c(2S)K^+) = 3.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

$\eta_c(2S)$ REFERENCES

AUBERT	08AB	PR D78 012006	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
UEHARA	08	EPJ C53 1	S. Uehara *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE	07	PRL 98 082001	K. Abe *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	06E	PRL 96 052002	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	05C	PR D72 031101R	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
ABE	04G	PR D70 071102	K. Abe *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
ASNER	04	PRL 92 142001	D.M. Asner *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	04D	PRL 92 142002	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
ABE,K	02	PRL 89 142001	K. Abe *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
CHOI	02	PRL 89 102001	S.-K. Choi *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
AMBROGIANI	01	PR D64 052003	M. Ambrogiani *et al.*	(FNAL E835 Collab.)
ABREU	98O	PL B441 479	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	95F	PR D52 4839	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
LEE	85	SLAC 282	R.A. Lee	(SLAC)
EDWARDS	82C	PRL 48 70	C. Edwards *et al.*	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

PENNINGTON	07A	PR D76 077502	M.R. Pennington, DJ. Wilson	
SWANSON	06	PRPL 429 243	E.S. Swanson	(PITT)
BADALIAN	03	PR D67 071901	A.M. Badalian, B.L.G. Bakker	
EICHTEN	02	PRL 89 162002	E.J. Eichten, K. Lane, C. Quigg	
ACCIARRI	99T	PL B461 155	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
OREGLIA	82	PR D25 2259	M.J. Oreglia *et al.*	(SLAC, CIT, HARV+)
PORTER	81	SLAC Summer Inst. 355	F.C. Porter *et al.*	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)
BARTEL	78B	PL 79B 492	W. Bartel *et al.*	(DESY, HEIDP)