



$$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{3}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ****$$

The unambiguous discovery in both production and decay was by BARNES 64. The quantum numbers follow from the assignment of the particle to the baryon decuplet. DEUTSCHMANN 78 and BAUBILLIER 78 rule out $J = 1/2$ and find consistency with $J = 3/2$. AUBERT, BE 06 finds from the decay angular distributions of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- K^+$ and $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- K^+$ that $J = 3/2$; this depends on the spins of the Ξ_c^0 and Ω_c^0 being $J = 1/2$, their supposed values.

We have omitted some results that have been superseded by later experiments. See our earlier editions.

Ω^- MASS

The fit assumes the Ω^- and $\bar{\Omega}^+$ masses are the same, and averages them together.

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1672.45 ± 0.29 OUR FIT				
1672.43 ± 0.32 OUR AVERAGE				
1673 ± 1	100	HARTOUNI	85 SPEC	80–280 GeV $K_L^0 C$
1673.0 ± 0.8	41	BAUBILLIER	78 HBC	8.25 GeV/c $K^- p$
1671.7 ± 0.6	27	HEMINGWAY	78 HBC	4.2 GeV/c $K^- p$
1673.4 ± 1.7	4	¹ DIBIANCA	75 DBC	4.9 GeV/c $K^- d$
1673.3 ± 1.0	3	PALMER	68 HBC	$K^- p$ 4.6, 5 GeV/c
1671.8 ± 0.8	3	SCHULTZ	68 HBC	$K^- p$ 5.5 GeV/c
1674.2 ± 1.6	5	SCOTTER	68 HBC	$K^- p$ 6 GeV/c
1672.1 ± 1.0	1	² FRY	55 EMUL	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1671.43 ± 0.78	13	³ DEUTSCH...	73 HBC	$K^- p$ 10 GeV/c
1671.9 ± 1.2	6	³ SPETH	69 HBC	See DEUTSCHMANN 73
1673.0 ± 8.0	1	ABRAMS	64 HBC	$\rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^0$
1670.6 ± 1.0	1	² FRY	55B EMUL	
1615	1	⁴ EISENBERG	54 EMUL	

¹ DIBIANCA 75 gives a mass for each event. We quote the average.

² The FRY 55 and FRY 55B events were identified as Ω^- by ALVAREZ 73. The masses assume decay to ΛK^- at rest. For FRY 55B, decay from an atomic orbit could Doppler shift the K^- energy and the resulting Ω^- mass by several MeV. This shift is negligible for FRY 55 because the Ω decay is approximately perpendicular to its orbital velocity, as is known because the Λ strikes the nucleus (L. Alvarez, private communication 1973). We have calculated the error assuming that the orbital n is 4 or larger.

³ Excluded from the average; the Ω^- lifetimes measured by the experiments differ significantly from other measurements.

⁴ The EISENBERG 54 mass was calculated for decay in flight. ALVAREZ 73 has shown that the Ω interacted with an Ag nucleus to give $K^- \Xi \text{Ag}$.

$\bar{\Omega}^+$ MASS

The fit assumes the Ω^- and $\bar{\Omega}^+$ masses are the same, and averages them together.

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1672.45 ± 0.29 OUR FIT				
1672.5 ± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE				
1672 ± 1	72	HARTOUNI	85 SPEC	80–280 GeV $K_L^0 C$
1673.1 ± 1.0	1	FIRESTONE	71B HBC	12 GeV/c $K^+ d$

$$(m_{\Omega^-} - m_{\bar{\Omega}^+}) / m_{\Omega^-}$$

A test of *CPT* invariance.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
(−1.44 ± 7.98) × 10^{−5}	CHAN	98 E756	p Be, 800 GeV

 Ω^- MEAN LIFE

Measurements with an error $> 0.1 \times 10^{-10}$ s have been omitted. The fit assumes the Ω^- and $\bar{\Omega}^+$ mean lives are the same, and averages them together.

<u>VALUE (10^{−10} s)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.821 ± 0.011 OUR FIT				
0.821 ± 0.011 OUR AVERAGE				
0.817 ± 0.013 ± 0.018	6934	CHAN	98 E756	p Be, 800 GeV
0.811 ± 0.037	1096	LUK	88 SPEC	p Be 400 GeV
0.823 ± 0.013	12k	BOURQUIN	84 SPEC	SPS hyperon beam
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.822 ± 0.028	2437	BOURQUIN	79B SPEC	See BOURQUIN 84

 $\bar{\Omega}^+$ MEAN LIFE

The fit assumes the Ω^- and $\bar{\Omega}^+$ mean lives are the same, and averages them together.

<u>VALUE (10^{−10} s)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.821 ± 0.011 OUR FIT				
0.823 ± 0.031 ± 0.022	1801	CHAN	98 E756	p Be, 800 GeV

$$(\tau_{\Omega^-} - \tau_{\bar{\Omega}^+}) / \tau_{\Omega^-}$$

A test of *CPT* invariance. Our calculation, from the preceding two data blocks.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
−0.002 ± 0.040 OUR ESTIMATE	

Ω^- MAGNETIC MOMENT

<u>VALUE (μ_N)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-2.02 \pm 0.05				OUR AVERAGE
-2.024 \pm 0.056	235k	WALLACE	95	SPEC Ω^- 300–550 GeV
-1.94 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.14	25k	DIEHL	91	SPEC Spin-transfer production

 Ω^- DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1	ΛK^-	(67.8 \pm 0.7) %	
Γ_2	$\Xi^0 \pi^-$	(23.6 \pm 0.7) %	
Γ_3	$\Xi^- \pi^0$	(8.6 \pm 0.4) %	
Γ_4	$\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	(4.3 $^{+3.4}_{-1.3}$) $\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_5	$\Xi(1530)^0 \pi^-$	(6.4 $^{+5.1}_{-2.0}$) $\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_6	$\Xi^0 e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	(5.6 \pm 2.8) $\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_7	$\Xi^- \gamma$	< 4.6 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Delta S = 2$ forbidden (S_2) modes			
Γ_8	$\Lambda \pi^-$	S_2 < 2.9 $\times 10^{-6}$	90%

 Ω^- BRANCHING RATIOS

The BOURQUIN 84 values (which include results of BOURQUIN 79B, a separate experiment) are much more accurate than any other results, and so the other results have been omitted.

$\Gamma(\Lambda K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.678 \pm 0.007	14k	BOURQUIN	84	SPEC SPS hyperon beam	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.686 \pm 0.013	1920	BOURQUIN	79B	SPEC See BOURQUIN 84	
$\Gamma(\Xi^0 \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_2/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.236 \pm 0.007	1947	BOURQUIN	84	SPEC SPS hyperon beam	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.234 \pm 0.013	317	BOURQUIN	79B	SPEC See BOURQUIN 84	
$\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_3/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.086 \pm 0.004	759	BOURQUIN	84	SPEC SPS hyperon beam	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.080 \pm 0.008	145	BOURQUIN	79B	SPEC See BOURQUIN 84	

$\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.3^{+3.4}_{-1.3}$	4	BOURQUIN 84	SPEC	SPS hyperon beam

$\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^0 \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$6.4^{+5.1}_{-2.0}$	4	⁵ BOURQUIN 84	SPEC	SPS hyperon beam

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 20 1 BOURQUIN 79B SPEC See BOURQUIN 84

⁵The same 4 events as in the previous mode, with the isospin factor to take into account $\Xi(1530)^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \pi^0$ decays included.

$\Gamma(\Xi^0 e^- \bar{\nu}_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.6 ± 2.8	14	BOURQUIN 84	SPEC	SPS hyperon beam

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 10 3 BOURQUIN 79B SPEC See BOURQUIN 84

$\Gamma(\Xi^- \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 4.6	90	0	ALBUQUERQ..94	E761	Ω^- 375 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<22 90 9 BOURQUIN 84 SPEC SPS hyperon beam

<31 90 0 BOURQUIN 79B SPEC See BOURQUIN 84

$\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

$\Delta S=2$. Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.9	90	WHITE 05	HYCP	p Cu, 800 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 190 90 BOURQUIN 84 SPEC SPS hyperon beam

<1300 90 BOURQUIN 79B SPEC See BOURQUIN 84

Ω^- DECAY PARAMETERS

α FOR $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Lambda K^-$

Some early results have been omitted.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0180 ± 0.0024 OUR AVERAGE				

+0.0207 ± 0.0051 ± 0.0081 960k ⁶CHEN 05 HYCP p Cu, 800 GeV

+0.0178 ± 0.0019 ± 0.0016 4.5M ⁶LU 05A HYCP p Cu, 800 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

−0.028 ± 0.047 6953 CHAN 98 E756 p Be, 800 GeV

−0.034 ± 0.079 1743 LUK 88 SPEC p Be 400 GeV

−0.025 ± 0.028 12k BOURQUIN 84 SPEC SPS hyperon beam

⁶The results of CHEN 05 and LU 05A are from different experimental runs.

$\bar{\alpha}$ FOR $\bar{\Omega}^+ \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}K^+$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-0.0181 \pm 0.0028 \pm 0.0026$	1.89M	LU	06	HYCP p Cu, 800 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$+0.017 \pm 0.077$	1823	CHAN	98	E756 p Be, 800 GeV

 $(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})/(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})$ in $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Lambda K^-$, $\bar{\Omega}^+ \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}K^+$ Zero if CP is conserved.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-0.016 \pm 0.092 \pm 0.089$	⁷ LU	06	HYCP p Cu, 800 GeV

⁷ This value uses the results of CHEN 05, LU 05A, and LU 06. α FOR $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^0 \pi^-$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$+0.09 \pm 0.14$	1630	BOURQUIN	84	SPEC SPS hyperon beam

 α FOR $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^0$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$+0.05 \pm 0.21$	614	BOURQUIN	84	SPEC SPS hyperon beam

 Ω^- REFERENCES

We have omitted some papers that have been superseded by later experiments. See our earlier editions.

AUBERT,BE	06	PRL 97 112001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LU	06	PRL 96 242001	L.C. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL HyperCP Collab.)
CHEN	05	PR D71 051102R	Y.C. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL HyperCP Collab.)
LU	05A	PL B617 11	L.C. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL HyperCP Collab.)
WHITE	05	PRL 94 101804	C.G. White <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL HyperCP Collab.)
CHAN	98	PR D58 072002	A.W. Chan <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E756 Collab.)
WALLACE	95	PRL 74 3732	N.B. Wallace <i>et al.</i>	(MINN, ARIZ, MICH+)
ALBUQUERQ...	94	PR D50 R18	I.F. Albuquerque <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E761 Collab.)
DIEHL	91	PRL 67 804	H.T. Diehl <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, FNAL, MICH+)
LUK	88	PR D38 19	K.B. Luk <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, WISC, MICH, MINN)
HARTOUNI	85	PRL 54 628	E.P. Hartouni <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ILL, FNAL)
BOURQUIN	84	NP B241 1	M.H. Bourquin <i>et al.</i>	(BRIS, GEVA, HEIDP+)
Also		PL 87B 297	M.H. Bourquin <i>et al.</i>	(BRIS, GEVA, HEIDP+)
BOURQUIN	79B	PL 88B 192	M.H. Bourquin <i>et al.</i>	(BRIS, GEVA, HEIDP+)
BAUBILLIER	78	PL 78B 342	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
DEUTSCH...	78	PL 73B 96	M. Deuschmann <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, CERN+)
HEMINGWAY	78	NP B142 205	R.J. Hemingway <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ZEEM, NIJM+)
DIBIANCA	75	NP B98 137	F.A. Dibianca, R.J. Endorf	(CMU)
ALVAREZ	73	PR D8 702	L.W. Alvarez	(LBL)
DEUTSCH...	73	NP B61 102	M. Deuschmann <i>et al.</i>	(ABCLV Collab.)
FIRESTONE	71B	PRL 26 410	I. Firestone <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
SPEETH	69	PL 29B 252	R. Speth <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN, LOIC+)
PALMER	68	PL 26B 323	R.B. Palmer <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA)
SCHULTZ	68	PR 168 1509	P.F. Schultz <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, ANL, NWES+)
SCOTTER	68	PL 26B 474	D. Scotter <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, GLAS, LOIC+)
ABRAMS	64	PRL 13 670	G.S. Abrams <i>et al.</i>	(UMD, NRL)
BARNES	64	PRL 12 204	V.E. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
FRY	55	PR 97 1189	W.F. Fry, J. Schneps, M.S. Swami	(WISC)
FRY	55B	NC 2 346	W.F. Fry, J. Schneps, M.S. Swami	(WISC)
EISENBERG	54	PR 96 541	Y. Eisenberg	(CORN)