



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^-)$$

## $K_S^0$ MEAN LIFE

For earlier measurements, beginning with BOLDT 58B, see our 1986 edition, Physics Letters **170B** 130 (1986).

OUR FIT is described in the note on “CP violation in  $K_L^0$  decays” in the  $K_L^0$  Particle Listings. The result labeled “OUR FIT Assuming CPT” [“OUR FIT Not assuming CPT”] includes all measurements except those with the comment “Not assuming CPT” [“Assuming CPT”]. Measurements with neither comment do not assume CPT and enter both fits.

| VALUE ( $10^{-10}$ s)   | EVTS           | DOCUMENT ID                   | TECN | COMMENT  |
|---|----------------|-------------------------------|------|--|
| <b>0.8953 ± 0.0005</b>  | <b>OUR FIT</b> |                               |      | Error includes scale factor of 1.1. Assuming CPT |
| <b>0.8958 ± 0.0005</b>  | <b>OUR FIT</b> |                               |      | Not assuming CPT                                 |
| 0.8965 ± 0.0007   |                | <sup>1,2</sup> ALAVI-HARATI03 | KTEV | Assuming CPT                                     |
| 0.8958 ± 0.0013   |                | <sup>2,3</sup> ALAVI-HARATI03 | KTEV | Not assuming CPT                                 |
| 0.89598 ± 0.00048 ± 0.00051   | 16M            | LAI                           | 02C  | NA48   |
| 0.8971 ± 0.0021   |                | BERTANZA                      | 97   | NA31   |
| 0.8941 ± 0.0014 ± 0.0009  |                | SCHWINGEN...95                | E773 | Assuming CPT                                     |
| 0.8929 ± 0.0016   |                | GIBBONS                       | 93   | E731 Assuming CPT                                |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • |                |                               |      |  |
| 0.8920 ± 0.0044   | 214k           | GROSSMAN                      | 87   | SPEC   |
| 0.905 ± 0.007   |                | <sup>4</sup> ARONSON          | 82B  | SPEC   |
| 0.881 ± 0.009   | 26k            | ARONSON                       | 76   | SPEC   |
| 0.8926 ± 0.0032 ± 0.0002  |                | <sup>5</sup> CARITHERS        | 75   | SPEC   |
| 0.8937 ± 0.0048   | 6M             | GEWENIGER                     | 74B  | ASPK   |
| 0.8958 ± 0.0045   | 50k            | <sup>6</sup> SKJEGGEST...72   | HBC  |  |
| 0.856 ± 0.008   | 19994          | <sup>7</sup> DONALD           | 68B  | HBC  |
| 0.872 ± 0.009   | 20000          | <sup>7,8</sup> HILL           | 68   | DBC  |

<sup>1</sup> This ALAVI-HARATI 03 fit has  $\Delta m$  and  $\tau_S$  free but constrains  $\phi_{+-}$  to the Superweak value, i.e. assumes CPT. This  $\tau_S$  value is correlated with their  $\Delta m = m_{K_L^0} - m_{K_S^0}$  measurement in the  $K_L^0$  listings. The correlation coefficient  $\rho(\tau_S, \Delta m) = -0.396$ .

<sup>2</sup> The two ALAVI-HARATI 03 values use the same data. The first enters the “assuming CPT” fit and the second enters the “not assuming CPT” fit.

<sup>3</sup> This ALAVI-HARATI 03 fit has  $\Delta m$ ,  $\phi_{+-}$ , and  $\tau_{K_S}$  free. See  $\phi_{+-}$  in the “ $K_L$  CP violation” section for correlation information.

<sup>4</sup> ARONSON 82 find that  $K_S^0$  mean life may depend on the kaon energy.

<sup>5</sup> CARITHERS 75 measures the  $\Delta m$  dependence of the total decay rate (inverse mean life) to be  $\Gamma(K_S^0) = [(1.122 \pm 0.004) + 0.16(\Delta m - 0.5348)/\Delta m] 10^{10}/s$ , or, in terms of mean life, CARITHERS 75 measures  $\tau_S = (0.8913 \pm 0.0032) - 0.238 [\Delta m - 0.5348] (10^{-10} s)$ . We have adjusted the measurement to use our best values of  $(\Delta m = 0.5292 \pm 0.0009) (10^{10} \hbar s^{-1})$ . Our first error is their experiment’s error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

<sup>6</sup> HILL 68 has been changed by the authors from the published value  $(0.865 \pm 0.009)$  because of a correction in the shift due to  $\eta_{+-}$ . SKJEGGESTAD 72 and HILL 68 give detailed discussions of systematics encountered in this type of experiment.

<sup>7</sup> Pre-1971 experiments are excluded from the average because of disagreement with later more precise experiments.

<sup>8</sup> HILL 68 has been changed by the authors from the published value ( $0.865 \pm 0.009$ ) because of a correction in the shift due to  $\eta_{+-}$ . SKJEGGESTAD 72 and HILL 68 give detailed discussions of systematics encountered in this type of experiment.

## $K_S^0$ DECAY MODES

| Mode   | Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )              | Confidence level |
|--|---|------------------|
| <b>Hadronic modes</b>  |   |                  |
| $\Gamma_1$ $\pi^0 \pi^0$   | $(30.69 \pm 0.05) \%$                       |                  |
| $\Gamma_2$ $\pi^+ \pi^-$   | $(69.20 \pm 0.05) \%$                       |                  |
| $\Gamma_3$ $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$   | $(3.5^{+1.1}_{-0.9}) \times 10^{-7}$        |                  |
| <b>Modes with photons or <math>\ell\bar{\ell}</math> pairs</b>                         |   |                  |
| $\Gamma_4$ $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$  | [a,b] $(1.79 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$      |                  |
| $\Gamma_5$ $\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$   | $(4.69 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-5}$            |                  |
| $\Gamma_6$ $\pi^0 \gamma \gamma$   | [b] $(4.9 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-8}$          |                  |
| $\Gamma_7$ $\gamma \gamma$   | $(2.71 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-6}$            |                  |
| <b>Semileptonic modes</b>  |   |                  |
| $\Gamma_8$ $\pi^\pm e^\mp \nu_e$   | [c] $(7.04 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$        |                  |
| $\Gamma_9$ $\pi^\pm \mu^\mp \nu_\mu$   | [c,d] $(4.69 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4}$      |                  |
| <b>CP violating (CP) and <math>\Delta S = 1</math> weak neutral current (S1) modes</b> |   |                  |
| $\Gamma_{10}$ $3\pi^0$   | CP $< 1.2 \times 10^{-7}$                   | 90%              |
| $\Gamma_{11}$ $\mu^+ \mu^-$  | S1 $< 3.2 \times 10^{-7}$                   | 90%              |
| $\Gamma_{12}$ $e^+ e^-$  | S1 $< 1.4 \times 10^{-7}$                   | 90%              |
| $\Gamma_{13}$ $\pi^0 e^+ e^-$  | S1 [b] $(3.0^{+1.5}_{-1.2}) \times 10^{-9}$ |                  |
| $\Gamma_{14}$ $\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$  | S1 $(2.9^{+1.5}_{-1.2}) \times 10^{-9}$     |                  |

[a] Most of this radiative mode, the low-momentum  $\gamma$  part, is also included in the parent mode listed without  $\gamma$ 's.

[b] See the Particle Listings below for the energy limits used in this measurement.

[c] The value is for the sum of the charge states or particle/antiparticle states indicated.

[d] Not a measurement. Calculated as  $0.666 \cdot B(\pi^\pm e^\mp \nu_e)$ .

## CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 4 branching ratios uses 5 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 0.1$  for 2 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ . The fit constrains the  $x_i$  whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

|       |       |       |       |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $x_2$ | -100  |       |       |
| $x_8$ | -6    | 3     |       |
| $x_9$ | -6    | 3     | 100   |
|       | $x_1$ | $x_2$ | $x_8$ |

## $K_S^0$ DECAY RATES

### $\Gamma(\pi^\pm e^\mp \nu_e)$

$\Gamma_8$

| VALUE ( $10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---------------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|
|---------------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|                 |    |                            |      |   |
|-----------------|----|----------------------------|------|---|
| 8.1 $\pm$ 1.6   | 75 | <sup>9</sup> AKHMETSHIN 99 | CMD2 | Tagged $K_S^0$ using $\phi \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$ |
| 7.50 $\pm$ 0.08 |    | <sup>10</sup> PDG          | 98   |   |
| seen            |    | BURGUN                     | 72   | HBC $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 p \pi^+$                 |
| 9.3 $\pm$ 2.5   |    | AUBERT                     | 65   | HLBC $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ , CP cons. not assumed   |

<sup>9</sup> AKHMETSHIN 99 is from a measured branching ratio  $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi e \nu_e) = (7.2 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$  and  $\tau_{K_S^0} = (0.8934 \pm 0.0008) \times 10^{-10}$  s. Not independent of measured branching ratio.

<sup>10</sup> PDG 98 from  $K_L^0$  measurements, assuming that  $\Delta S = \Delta Q$  in  $K^0$  decay so that  $\Gamma(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^\pm e^\mp \nu_e) = \Gamma(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^\pm e^\mp \nu_e)$ .

### $\Gamma(\pi^\pm \mu^\mp \nu_\mu)$

$\Gamma_9$

| VALUE ( $10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) | DOCUMENT ID |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
|---------------------------------|-------------|

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|                 |        |    |
|-----------------|--------|----|
| 5.25 $\pm$ 0.07 | 11 PDG | 98 |
|-----------------|--------|----|

<sup>11</sup> PDG 98 from  $K_L^0$  measurements, assuming that  $\Delta S = \Delta Q$  in  $K^0$  decay so that  $\Gamma(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^\pm \mu^\mp \nu_\mu) = \Gamma(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^\pm \mu^\mp \nu_\mu)$ .

## $K_S^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

### Hadronic modes

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE      EVTS      DOCUMENT ID      TECN

**0.3069 ± 0.0005 OUR FIT**

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|               |      |          |    |      |
|---------------|------|----------|----|------|
| 0.335 ± 0.014 | 1066 | BROWN    | 63 | HLBC |
| 0.288 ± 0.021 | 198  | CHRETIEN | 63 | HLBC |
| 0.30 ± 0.035  |      | BROWN    | 61 | HLBC |

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

VALUE      EVTS      DOCUMENT ID      TECN      COMMENT

**0.6920 ± 0.0005 OUR FIT**

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|               |      |       |    |     |                                   |
|---------------|------|-------|----|-----|-----------------------------------|
| 0.670 ± 0.010 | 3447 | DOYLE | 69 | HBC | $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$ |
|---------------|------|-------|----|-----|-----------------------------------|

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)$

$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$

VALUE      EVTS      DOCUMENT ID      TECN      COMMENT

**2.255 ± 0.005 OUR FIT**

**2.2549 ± 0.0054**

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|                          |      |                         |     |      |  |
|--------------------------|------|-------------------------|-----|------|--|
|                          |      | <sup>12</sup> AMBROSINO | 06C | KLOE |  |
| 2.2555 ± 0.0012 ± 0.0054 |      | <sup>13</sup> AMBROSINO | 06C | KLOE |  |
| 2.236 ± 0.003 ± 0.015    | 766k | <sup>13</sup> ALOISIO   | 02B | KLOE |  |
| 2.11 ± 0.09              | 1315 | EVERHART                | 76  | WIRE | $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$      |
| 2.169 ± 0.094            | 16k  | COWELL                  | 74  | OSPK | $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$      |
| 2.16 ± 0.08              | 4799 | HILL                    | 73  | DBC  | $K^+ d \rightarrow K^0 p p$            |
| 2.22 ± 0.10              | 3068 | <sup>14</sup> ALITTI    | 72  | HBC  | $K^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p K^0$        |
| 2.22 ± 0.08              | 6380 | MORSE                   | 72B | DBC  | $K^+ n \rightarrow K^0 p$              |
| 2.10 ± 0.11              | 701  | <sup>15</sup> NAGY      | 72  | HLBC | $K^+ n \rightarrow K^0 p$              |
| 2.22 ± 0.095             | 6150 | <sup>16</sup> BALTAY    | 71  | HBC  | $K p \rightarrow K^0 \text{ neutrals}$ |
| 2.282 ± 0.043            | 7944 | <sup>17</sup> MOFFETT   | 70  | OSPK | $K^+ n \rightarrow K^0 p$              |
| 2.12 ± 0.17              | 267  | <sup>15</sup> BOZOKI    | 69  | HLBC |  |
| 2.285 ± 0.055            | 3016 | <sup>17</sup> GOBBI     | 69  | OSPK | $K^+ n \rightarrow K^0 p$              |
| 2.10 ± 0.06              | 3700 | MORFIN                  | 69  | HLBC | $K^+ n \rightarrow K^0 p$              |

<sup>12</sup> This result combines AMBROSINO 06C KLOE 2001-02 data with ALOISIO 02B KLOE 2000 data.  $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  fully inclusive.

<sup>13</sup> Includes radiative decays  $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ .

<sup>14</sup> The directly measured quantity is  $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-/\text{all } K^0 = 0.345 \pm 0.005$ .

<sup>15</sup> NAGY 72 is a final result which includes BOZOKI 69.

<sup>16</sup> The directly measured quantity is  $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-/\text{all } \bar{K}^0 = 0.345 \pm 0.005$ .

<sup>17</sup> MOFFETT 70 is a final result which includes GOBBI 69.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_3/\Gamma$

VALUE (units  $10^{-7}$ )    EVTS    DOCUMENT ID    TECN    COMMENT

**$3.5^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$  OUR AVERAGE**

|                             |      |    |        |     |      |
|-----------------------------|------|----|--------|-----|------|
| $4.7^{+2.2+1.7}_{-1.7-1.5}$ |      | 18 | BATLEY | 05  | NA48 |
| $2.5^{+1.3+0.5}_{-1.0-0.6}$ | 500k | 19 | ADLER  | 97B | CPLR |
| $4.8^{+2.2\pm 1.1}_{-1.6}$  |      | 20 | ZOU    | 96  | E621 |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|                             |  |    |         |     |                        |
|-----------------------------|--|----|---------|-----|------------------------|
| $4.1^{+2.5+0.5}_{-1.9-0.6}$ |  | 21 | ADLER   | 96E | CPLR Sup. by ADLER 97B |
| $3.9^{+5.4+0.9}_{-1.8-0.7}$ |  | 22 | THOMSON | 94  | E621 Sup. by ZOU 96    |

<sup>18</sup> BATLEY 05 is obtained by measuring the interference parameters in  $K_S, K_L \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ :  $\text{Re}(\lambda) = 0.038 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.006$  and  $\text{Im}(\lambda) = -0.013 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.004$ ; the correlation coeff. between  $\text{Re}(\lambda)$  and  $\text{Im}(\lambda)$  is 0.66 (statistical only).

<sup>19</sup> ADLER 97B find the  $CP$ -conserving parameters  $\text{Re}(\lambda) = (28 \pm 7 \pm 3) \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $\text{Im}(\lambda) = (-10 \pm 8 \pm 2) \times 10^{-3}$ . They estimate  $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$  from  $\text{Re}(\lambda)$  and the  $K_L^0$  decay parameters. See also ANGELOPOULOS 98C.

<sup>20</sup> ZOU 96 is from the the measured quantities  $|\rho_{+-0}| = 0.039^{+0.009}_{-0.006} \pm 0.005$  and  $\phi_\rho = (-9 \pm 18)^\circ$ .

<sup>21</sup> ADLER 96E is from the measured quantities  $\text{Re}(\lambda) = 0.036 \pm 0.010^{+0.002}_{-0.003}$  and  $\text{Im}(\lambda)$  consistent with zero. Note that the quantity  $\lambda$  is the same as  $\rho_{+-0}$  used in other footnotes.

<sup>22</sup> THOMSON 94 calculates this branching ratio from their measurements  $|\rho_{+-0}| = 0.035^{+0.019}_{-0.011} \pm 0.004$  and  $\phi_\rho = (-59 \pm 48)^\circ$  where  $|\rho_{+-0}|e^{i\phi_\rho} = A(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, I=2)/A(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ .

———— Modes with photons or  $\ell\bar{\ell}$  pairs ————

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2$

VALUE (units  $10^{-3}$ )    EVTS    DOCUMENT ID    TECN    COMMENT

**$2.59 \pm 0.08$  OUR AVERAGE**

|                 |      |    |         |    |                                    |
|-----------------|------|----|---------|----|------------------------------------|
| $2.56 \pm 0.09$ | 1286 |    | RAMBERG | 93 | E731 $p_\gamma > 50 \text{ MeV}/c$ |
| $2.68 \pm 0.15$ |      | 23 | TAUREG  | 76 | SPEC $p_\gamma > 50 \text{ MeV}/c$ |
| $7.10 \pm 0.22$ | 3723 |    | RAMBERG | 93 | E731 $p_\gamma > 20 \text{ MeV}/c$ |
| $3.0 \pm 0.6$   | 29   | 24 | BOBISUT | 74 | HLBC $p_\gamma > 40 \text{ MeV}/c$ |
| $2.8 \pm 0.6$   |      | 25 | BURGUN  | 73 | HBC $p_\gamma > 50 \text{ MeV}/c$  |

<sup>23</sup> TAUREG 76 find direct emission contribution  $< 0.06$ , CL = 90%.

<sup>24</sup> BOBISUT 74 not included in average because  $p_\gamma$  cut differs. Estimates direct emission contribution to be 0.5 or less, CL = 95%.

<sup>25</sup> BURGUN 73 estimates that direct emission contribution is  $0.3 \pm 0.6$ .

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

| VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ ) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID          | TECN     | COMMENT        |
|--------------------------|------|----------------------|----------|----------------|
| <b>4.69±0.30</b>         | 676  | <sup>26</sup> LAI    | 03C NA48 | 1998+1999 data |
| 4.71±0.23±0.22           | 620  | <sup>26,27</sup> LAI | 03C NA48 | 1999 data      |
| 4.5 ±0.7 ±0.4            | 56   | LAI                  | 00B NA48 | 1998 data      |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •  
<sup>26</sup> Uses normalization  $\text{BR}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \cdot \text{BR}(\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (1.505 \pm 0.047) \times 10^{-3}$  from our 2000 Edition.  
<sup>27</sup> Second error is  $0.16(\text{sys}) \pm 0.15(\text{norm})$  combined in quadrature.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_6/\Gamma$

| VALUE (units $10^{-8}$ ) | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID       | TECN     | COMMENT                          |
|--------------------------|-----|------|-------------------|----------|----------------------------------|
| <b>4.9±1.6±0.9</b>       |     | 17   | <sup>28</sup> LAI | 04 NA48  | $m_{\gamma\gamma}^2/m_K^2 > 0.2$ |
| <33                      | 90  |      | LAI               | 03B NA48 | $m_{\gamma\gamma}^2/m_K^2 > 0.2$ |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •  
<sup>28</sup> Spectrum also measured and found consistent with the one generated by a constant matrix element.

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

| VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ ) | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID        | TECN     | COMMENT |
|--------------------------|-----|------|--------------------|----------|---------|
| <b>2.713±0.063±0.005</b> |     | 7.5k | <sup>29</sup> LAI  | 03 NA48  |         |
| 2.58 ±0.36 ±0.22         |     | 149  | LAI                | 00 NA48  |         |
| 2.2 ±1.1                 |     | 16   | <sup>30</sup> BARR | 95B NA31 |         |
| 2.4 ±0.9                 |     | 35   | <sup>31</sup> BARR | 95B NA31 |         |
| < 13                     | 90  |      | BALATS             | 89 SPEC  |         |
| 2.4 ±1.2                 |     | 19   | BURKHARDT          | 87 NA31  |         |
| <133                     | 90  |      | BARMIN             | 86B XEBC |         |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •  
<sup>29</sup> LAI 03 reports  $[B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)] / [B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0)] = (8.84 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-6}$ . We multiply by our best value  $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0) = (30.69 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.  
<sup>30</sup> BARR 95B result is calculated using  $B(K_L \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (5.86 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$ .  
<sup>31</sup> BARR 95B quotes this as the combined BARR 95B + BURKHARDT 87 result after rescaling BURKHARDT 87 to use same branching ratios and lifetimes as BARR 95B.

————— Semileptonic modes —————

$\Gamma(\pi^\pm e^\mp \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$

| VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )      | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID             | TECN     | COMMENT   |
|-------------------------------|------|-------------------------|----------|---|
| <b>7.04 ±0.08 OUR FIT</b>     |      |                         |          |   |
| <b>7.04 ±0.08 OUR AVERAGE</b> |      |                         |          |   |
| 7.046±0.18±0.16               | 32   | BATLEY                  | 07D NA48 | $K^0(\bar{K}^0)(t) \rightarrow \pi e \nu$           |
| 7.05 ±0.09                    | 13k  | <sup>33</sup> AMBROSINO | 06E KLOE | Not fitted  |
| 6.91 ±0.34±0.15               | 624  | <sup>34</sup> ALOISIO   | 02 KLOE  | Tagged $K_S^0$ using $\phi \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$ |
| 7.2 ±1.4                      | 75   | AKHMETSHIN              | 99 CMD2  | Tagged $K_S^0$ using $\phi \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$ |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<sup>32</sup> Reconstructed from  $K^0(\bar{K}^0)(t) \rightarrow \pi e \nu$  distributions using PDG values of  $B(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi e \nu) = 0.4053 \pm 0.0015$ ,  $\tau_L = (5.114 \pm 0.021) \times 10^{-8}$  s and  $\tau_S = (0.8958 \pm 0.0005) \times 10^{-10}$  s.

<sup>33</sup> Obtained by imposing  $\sum_i B(K_S^0 \rightarrow i) = 1$ , where  $i$  runs over all the four branching ratios  $\pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $\pi^0 \pi^0$ ,  $\pi e \nu$ , and  $\pi \mu \nu$ . Input value of  $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) / B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0)$  from AMBROSINO 06C is used. To derive  $\Gamma(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu \nu) / \Gamma(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ e \nu)$ , lepton universality is assumed, radiative corrections from ANDRE 04 are used, and phase space integrals are taken from KTeV, ALEXOPOULOS 04A. This branching fraction enters our fit via their  $\Gamma(\pi^\pm e^\mp \nu_e) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)$  branching ratio measurement.

<sup>34</sup> Uses the PDG 00 value for  $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$ .

### $\Gamma(\pi^\pm \mu^\mp \nu_\mu) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_9 / \Gamma$

The PDG 06 value below has not been measured but is computed to be 0.666 times the  $K_S \rightarrow \pi^\pm e^\mp \nu_e$  branching fraction. It is included in the fit that constrains the four branching ratios  $\pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $\pi^0 \pi^0$ ,  $\pi e \nu$ , and  $\pi \mu \nu$  to sum to 1. This treatment, used by AMBROSINO 06E, is preferable to our previous practice of constraining the  $\pi^+ \pi^-$  and  $\pi^0 \pi^0$  modes to sum to 1. The 0.666 factor is obtained from AMBROSINO 06E and assumes lepton universality, radiative corrections from ANDRE 04, and phase space integrals from KTeV, ALEXOPOULOS 04A.

| <u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>COMMENT</u>                           |
|---|--------------------|--|
| <b>4.69 ± 0.06 OUR FIT</b>                |                    |  |
| <b>4.691 ± 0.001 ± 0.056</b>              | <sup>35</sup> PDG  | 06 calculated from $\pi^\pm e^\mp \nu_e$ |

<sup>35</sup> The PDG 06 value is computed to be  $B_{\text{PDG06}}(\pi \mu \nu) = 0.666 B_{\text{FIT}}(\pi e \nu)$ . The first error specifies the arbitrarily small error,  $0.001 \times 10^{-4}$ , on  $B_{\text{PDG06}}(\pi \mu \nu)$  for fixed  $B_{\text{FIT}}(\pi e \nu)$ . The second error is that due to the uncertainty in  $B_{\text{FIT}}(\pi e \nu)$ .

### $\Gamma(\pi^\pm e^\mp \nu_e) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

$\Gamma_8 / \Gamma_2$

| <u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> |
|---|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| <b>10.18 ± 0.12 OUR FIT</b>               |             |                    |             |
| <b>10.19 ± 0.11 ± 0.07</b>                | 13k         | AMBROSINO 06E      | KLOE        |

## CP violating (CP) and $\Delta S = 1$ weak neutral current (S1) modes

### $\Gamma(3\pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Violates  $CP$  conservation.

$\Gamma_{10} / \Gamma$

| <u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-7}</math>)</u>                                     | <u>CL%</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u>        | <u>TECN</u> |
|---|------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| <b>&lt; 1.2</b>   | 90         | 37.8M       | AMBROSINO 05B             | KLOE        |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • |            |             |                           |             |
| < 7.4   | 90         | 4.9M        | <sup>36</sup> LAI         | 05A NA48    |
| < 140   | 90         | 7M          | ACHASOV                   | 99D SND     |
| < 190   | 90         | 17300       | <sup>37</sup> ANGELOPO... | 98B CPLR    |
| < 370   | 90         |             | BARMIN                    | 83 HLBC     |

<sup>36</sup> LAI 05A value is obtained from their bound on  $|\eta_{000}|$  (not assuming  $CPT$ ) and  $B(K_L^0 \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = 0.211 \pm 0.003$ , and PDG 04 values for  $K_L^0$  and  $K_S^0$  lifetimes. If  $CPT$  is assumed then  $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow 3\pi^0)_{CPT} < 2.3 \times 10^{-7}$  at 90% CL

<sup>37</sup> ANGELOPOULOS 98B is from  $\text{Im}(\eta_{000}) = -0.05 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05$ , assuming  $\text{Re}(\eta_{000}) = \text{Re}(\epsilon) = 1.635 \times 10^{-3}$  and using the value  $B(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0) = 0.2112 \pm 0.0027$ .

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$   
 Test for  $\Delta S = 1$  weak neutral current. Allowed by first-order weak interaction combined with electromagnetic interaction.

| <u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-5}</math>)</u> | <u>CL%</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> |
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|
| <b>&lt;0.032</b>                          | 90         | GJESDAL 73         | ASPK        |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|      |    |           |      |
|------|----|-----------|------|
| <0.7 | 90 | HYAMS 69B | OSPK |
|------|----|-----------|------|

$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$   
 Test for  $\Delta S = 1$  weak neutral current. Allowed by first-order weak interaction combined with electromagnetic interaction.

| <u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-7}</math>)</u> | <u>CL%</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|
| <b>&lt; 1.4</b>                           | 90         |             | ANGELOPO... 97     | CPLR        |                |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|      |    |   |           |      |                  |
|------|----|---|-----------|------|------------------|
| < 28 | 90 | 0 | BLICK 94  | CNTR | Hyperon facility |
| <100 | 90 |   | BARMIN 86 | XEBC |                  |

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$   
 Test for  $\Delta S = 1$  weak neutral current. Allowed by first-order weak interaction combined with electromagnetic interaction.

| <u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-9}</math>)</u>     | <u>CL%</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u>   | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u>               |
|---|------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| <b><math>3.0^{+1.5}_{-1.2} \pm 0.2</math></b> |            | 7           | <sup>38</sup> BATLEY | 03 NA48     | $m_{ee} > 0.165 \text{ GeV}$ |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|        |    |   |            |      |  |
|--------|----|---|------------|------|--|
| < 140  | 90 |   | LAI 01     | NA48 |  |
| < 1100 | 90 | 0 | BARR 93B   | NA31 |  |
| <45000 | 90 |   | GIBBONS 88 | E731 |  |

<sup>38</sup> BATLEY 03 extrapolate also to the full kinematical region using a constant form factor and a vector matrix element. The resulting branching ratio is  $(5.8^{+2.9}_{-2.4}) \times 10^{-9}$ .

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$   
 Test for  $\Delta S = 1$  weak neutral current. Allowed by first-order weak interaction combined with electromagnetic interaction.

| <u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-9}</math>)</u>     | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u>       | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u>      |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| <b><math>2.9^{+1.5}_{-1.2} \pm 0.2</math></b> | 6           | <sup>39</sup> BATLEY 04A | NA48        | NA48/1 $K_S^0$ beam |

<sup>39</sup> Background estimate is  $0.22^{+0.18}_{-0.11}$  events. Branching ratio assumes a vector matrix element and unit form factor.

## $K_S^0$ FORM FACTORS

For discussion, see note on  $K_{\ell 3}$  form factors in the  $K^\pm$  section of the Particle Listings above. Because the semileptonic branching fraction is smaller in  $K_S^0$  than  $K_L^0$  by the ratio of the mean lives, the  $K_S^0$  semileptonic form factor has so far been measured only in the  $K_{e3}$  mode using the linear



expansion  $f_+(t) = f_+(0) (1 + \lambda_+ t / m_{\pi^+}^2)$ , which gives the vector form factor  $f_+(t)$  relative to its value at  $t = 0$ .

## $\lambda_+$ (LINEAR ENERGY DEPENDENCE OF $f_+$ IN $K_{e3}^0$ DECAY)

| <u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> |
|---|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| <b>3.39 ± 0.41</b>                        | 15k         | AMBROSINO 06E      | KLOE        |

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

## CP-VIOLATION PARAMETERS IN $K_S^0$ DECAY

$$A_S = [ \Gamma(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e) - \Gamma(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e) ] / \text{SUM}$$

Such asymmetry violates *CP*. If *CPT* is assumed then  $A_S = 2 \text{Re}(\epsilon)$ .

| <u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> |
|---|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| <b>1.5 ± 9.6 ± 2.9</b>                    | 13k         | AMBROSINO 06E      | KLOE        |

## PARAMETERS FOR $K_S^0 \rightarrow 3\pi$ DECAY

$$\text{Im}(\eta_{+-0})^2 = \Gamma(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, \text{CP-violating}) / \Gamma(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$$

*CPT* assumed valid (i.e.  $\text{Re}(\eta_{+-0}) \simeq 0$ ).

| <u>VALUE</u> | <u>CL%</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> |
|--------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
|--------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|       |    |     |                      |         |
|-------|----|-----|----------------------|---------|
| <0.23 | 90 | 601 | <sup>40</sup> BARMIN | 85 HLBC |
| <0.12 | 90 | 384 | METCALF              | 72 ASPK |

<sup>40</sup> BARMIN 85 find  $\text{Re}(\eta_{+-0}) = (0.05 \pm 0.17)$  and  $\text{Im}(\eta_{+-0}) = (0.15 \pm 0.33)$ . Includes events of BALDO-CEOLIN 75.

$$\text{Im}(\eta_{+-0}) = \text{Im}(A(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, \text{CP-violating}) / A(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0))$$

| <u>VALUE</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|
|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|

**$-0.002 \pm 0.009$**  <sup>+0.002</sup> <sub>-0.001</sub> 500k <sup>41</sup> ADLER 97B CPLR

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|                              |      |                     |          |                   |
|------------------------------|------|---------------------|----------|-------------------|
| $-0.002 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.003$ | 137k | <sup>42</sup> ADLER | 96D CPLR | Sup. by ADLER 97B |
| $-0.015 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.025$ | 272k | <sup>43</sup> ZOU   | 94 SPEC  |                   |

<sup>41</sup> ADLER 97B also find  $\text{Re}(\eta_{+-0}) = -0.002 \pm 0.007$  <sup>+0.004</sup> <sub>-0.001</sub>. See also ANGELOPOULOS 98C.

<sup>42</sup> The ADLER 96D fit also yields  $\text{Re}(\eta_{+-0}) = 0.006 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.001$  with a correlation +0.66 between real and imaginary parts. Their results correspond to  $|\eta_{+-0}| < 0.037$  with 90% CL.

<sup>43</sup> ZOU 94 use theoretical constraint  $\text{Re}(\eta_{+-0}) = \text{Re}(\epsilon) = 0.0016$ . Without this constraint they find  $\text{Im}(\eta_{+-0}) = 0.019 \pm 0.061$  and  $\text{Re}(\eta_{+-0}) = 0.019 \pm 0.027$ .

$$\text{Im}(\eta_{000})^2 = \Gamma(K_S^0 \rightarrow 3\pi^0) / \Gamma(K_L^0 \rightarrow 3\pi^0)$$

*CPT* assumed valid (i.e.  $\text{Re}(\eta_{000}) \simeq 0$ ). This limit determines branching ratio  $\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  above.

| VALUE | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|-------|-----|------|-------------|------|---------|
|-------|-----|------|-------------|------|---------|

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|       |    |     |                       |     |                     |
|-------|----|-----|-----------------------|-----|---------------------|
| <0.1  | 90 | 632 | <sup>44</sup> BARMIN  | 83  | HLBC                |
| <0.28 | 90 |     | <sup>45</sup> GJESDAL | 74B | SPEC Indirect meas. |

<sup>44</sup> BARMIN 83 find  $\text{Re}(\eta_{000}) = (-0.08 \pm 0.18)$  and  $\text{Im}(\eta_{000}) = (-0.05 \pm 0.27)$ . Assuming *CPT* invariance they obtain the limit quoted above.

<sup>45</sup> GJESDAL 74B uses  $K2\pi$ ,  $K_{\mu 3}$ , and  $K_{e3}$  decay results, unitarity, and *CPT*. Calculates  $|\eta_{000}| = 0.26 \pm 0.20$ . We convert to upper limit.

$$\text{Im}(\eta_{000}) = \text{Im}(A(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0) / A(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0))$$

$K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$  violates *CP* conservation, in contrast to  $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  which has a *CP*-conserving part.

| VALUE | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|-------|-----|------|-------------|------|---------|
|-------|-----|------|-------------|------|---------|

**(-0.1 ± 1.6) × 10<sup>-2</sup> OUR AVERAGE**

|                       |  |       |                           |     |                         |
|-----------------------|--|-------|---------------------------|-----|-------------------------|
| 0.000 ± 0.009 ± 0.013 |  | 4.9M  | <sup>46</sup> LAI         | 05A | NA48 Assumes <i>CPT</i> |
| -0.05 ± 0.12 ± 0.05   |  | 17300 | <sup>47</sup> ANGELOPO... | 98B | CPLR Assumes <i>CPT</i> |

<sup>46</sup> LAI 05A assumes  $\text{Re}(\eta_{000}) = \text{Re}(\epsilon) = 1.66 \times 10^{-3}$ . The equivalent limit is  $|\eta_{000}|_{\text{CPT}} < 0.025$  at 90% CL Without assuming *CPT* invariance, they obtain  $\text{Re}(\eta_{000}) = -0.002 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.015$  and  $\text{Im}(\eta_{000}) = -0.003 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.017$  with a statistical correlation coefficient of 0.77 and an overall correlation coefficient of 0.57 between imaginary and real part. The equivalent limit is  $|\eta_{000}| < 0.045$  at 90% CL

<sup>47</sup> ANGELOPOULOS 98B assumes  $\text{Re}(\eta_{000}) = \text{Re}(\epsilon) = 1.635 \times 10^{-3}$ . Without assuming *CPT* invariance, they obtain  $\text{Re}(\eta_{000}) = 0.18 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.06$  and  $\text{Im}(\eta_{000}) = 0.15 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.03$ .

$$|\eta_{000}| = |A(K_S^0 \rightarrow 3\pi^0) / A(K_L^0 \rightarrow 3\pi^0)|$$

A non-zero value violates *CP* invariance.

| VALUE | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|-------|-----|------|-------------|------|---------|
|-------|-----|------|-------------|------|---------|

**<0.018** 90 37.8M AMBROSINO 05B KLOE

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|        |    |      |     |     |      |
|--------|----|------|-----|-----|------|
| <0.045 | 90 | 4.9M | LAI | 05A | NA48 |
|--------|----|------|-----|-----|------|

## DECAY-PLANE ASYMMETRY IN $\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$ DECAYS

This is the *CP*-violating asymmetry

$$A = \frac{N_{\sin\phi\cos\phi>0.0} - N_{\sin\phi\cos\phi<0.0}}{N_{\sin\phi\cos\phi>0.0} + N_{\sin\phi\cos\phi<0.0}}$$

where  $\phi$  is the angle between the  $e^+ e^-$  and  $\pi^+ \pi^-$  planes in the  $K_S^0$  rest frame.

### *CP* asymmetry $A$ in $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$

| VALUE (%) | CL% | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|-----------|-----|------|-------------|------|---------|
|-----------|-----|------|-------------|------|---------|

**-1.1 ± 4.1** LAI 03C NA48 1998+1999 data

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

|                 |  |  |     |     |                |
|-----------------|--|--|-----|-----|----------------|
| 0.5 ± 4.0 ± 1.6 |  |  | LAI | 03C | NA48 1999 data |
|-----------------|--|--|-----|-----|----------------|

**K<sub>S</sub><sup>0</sup> REFERENCES**

|              |     |                              |  |                             |
|--------------|-----|------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| BATLEY       | 07D | PL B653 145                  | J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>                    | (CERN NA48 Collab.)         |
| AMBROSINO    | 06C | EPJ C48 767                  | F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>                   | (KLOE Collab.)              |
| AMBROSINO    | 06E | PL B636 173                  | F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>                   | (KLOE Collab.)              |
| PDG          | 06  | JPG 33 1                     | W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>                      | (PDG Collab.)               |
| AMBROSINO    | 05B | PL B619 61                   | F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>                   | (KLOE Collab.)              |
| BATLEY       | 05  | PL B630 31                   | J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>                    | (NA48 Collab.)              |
| LAI          | 05A | PL B610 165                  | A. Lai <i>et al.</i>                         | (CERN NA48 Collab.)         |
| ALEXOPOU...  | 04A | PR D70 092007                | T. Alexopoulos <i>et al.</i>                 | (FNAL KTeV Collab.)         |
| ANDRE        | 04  | hep-ph/0406006               | T. Andre                                     | (EFI)                       |
| BATLEY       | 04A | PL B599 197                  | J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>                    | (NA48 Collab.)              |
| LAI          | 04  | PL B578 276                  | A. Lai <i>et al.</i>                         | (CERN NA48 Collab.)         |
| PDG          | 04  | PL B592 1                    | S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>                    |                             |
| ALAVI-HARATI | 03  | PR D67 012005                | A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>                | (FNAL KTeV Collab.)         |
| Also         |     | PR D70 079904 (errat.)       | A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>                | (FNAL KTeV Collab.)         |
| BATLEY       | 03  | PL B576 43                   | J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>                    | (CERN NA48 Collab.)         |
| LAI          | 03  | PL B551 7                    | A. Lai <i>et al.</i>                         | (CERN NA48 Collab.)         |
| LAI          | 03B | PL B556 105                  | A. Lai <i>et al.</i>                         | (CERN NA48 Collab.)         |
| LAI          | 03C | EPJ C30 33                   | A. Lai <i>et al.</i>                         | (CERN NA48 Collab.)         |
| ALOISIO      | 02  | PL B535 37                   | A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>                     | (KLOE Collab.)              |
| ALOISIO      | 02B | PL B538 21                   | A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>                     | (KLOE Collab.)              |
| LAI          | 02C | PL B537 28                   | A. Lai <i>et al.</i>                         | (CERN NA48 Collab.)         |
| LAI          | 01  | PL B514 253                  | A. Lai <i>et al.</i>                         | (CERN NA48 Collab.)         |
| LAI          | 00  | PL B493 29                   | A. Lai <i>et al.</i>                         | (CERN NA48 Collab.)         |
| LAI          | 00B | PL B496 137                  | A. Lai <i>et al.</i>                         | (CERN NA48 Collab.)         |
| PDG          | 00  | EPJ C15 1                    | D.E. Groom <i>et al.</i>                     |                             |
| ACHASOV      | 99D | PL B459 674                  | M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>                   |                             |
| AKHMETSHIN   | 99  | PL B456 90                   | R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>                | (Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.) |
| ANGELOPO...  | 98B | PL B425 391                  | A. Angelopoulos <i>et al.</i>                | (CPLEAR Collab.)            |
| ANGELOPO...  | 98C | EPJ C5 389                   | A. Angelopoulos <i>et al.</i>                | (CPLEAR Collab.)            |
| PDG          | 98  | EPJ C3 1                     | C. Caso <i>et al.</i>                        |                             |
| ADLER        | 97B | PL B407 193                  | R. Adler <i>et al.</i>                       | (CPLEAR Collab.)            |
| ANGELOPO...  | 97  | PL B413 232                  | A. Angelopoulos <i>et al.</i>                | (CPLEAR Collab.)            |
| BERTANZA     | 97  | ZPHY C73 629                 | L. Bertanza (PISA, CERN, EDIN, MANZ, ORSAY+) |                             |
| ADLER        | 96D | PL B370 167                  | R. Adler <i>et al.</i>                       | (CPLEAR Collab.)            |
| ADLER        | 96E | PL B374 313                  | R. Adler <i>et al.</i>                       | (CPLEAR Collab.)            |
| ZOU          | 96  | PL B369 362                  | Y. Zou <i>et al.</i>                         | (RUTG, MINN, MICH)          |
| BARR         | 95B | PL B351 579                  | G.D. Barr <i>et al.</i>                      | (CERN, EDIN, MANZ, LALO+)   |
| SCHWINGEN... | 95  | PRL 74 4376                  | B. Schwingenheuer <i>et al.</i>              | (EFI, CHIC+)                |
| BLICK        | 94  | PL B334 234                  | A.M. Blick <i>et al.</i>                     | (SERP, JINR)                |
| THOMSON      | 94  | PL B337 411                  | G.B. Thomson <i>et al.</i>                   | (RUTG, MINN, MICH)          |
| ZOU          | 94  | PL B329 519                  | Y. Zou <i>et al.</i>                         | (RUTG, MINN, MICH)          |
| BARR         | 93B | PL B304 381                  | G.D. Barr <i>et al.</i>                      | (CERN, EDIN, MANZ, LALO+)   |
| GIBBONS      | 93  | PRL 70 1199                  | L.K. Gibbons <i>et al.</i>                   | (FNAL E731 Collab.)         |
| Also         |     | PR D55 6625                  | L.K. Gibbons <i>et al.</i>                   | (FNAL E731 Collab.)         |
| RAMBERG      | 93  | PRL 70 2525                  | E. Ramberg <i>et al.</i>                     | (FNAL E731 Collab.)         |
| BALATS       | 89  | SJNP 49 828                  | M.Y. Balats <i>et al.</i>                    | (ITEP)                      |
|              |     | Translated from YAF 49 1332. |  |                             |
| GIBBONS      | 88  | PRL 61 2661                  | L.K. Gibbons <i>et al.</i>                   | (FNAL E731 Collab.)         |
| BURKHARDT    | 87  | PL B199 139                  | H. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i>                   | (CERN, EDIN, MANZ+)         |
| GROSSMAN     | 87  | PRL 59 18                    | N. Grossman <i>et al.</i>                    | (MINN, MICH, RUTG)          |
| BARMIN       | 86  | SJNP 44 622                  | V.V. Barmin <i>et al.</i>                    | (ITEP)                      |
|              |     | Translated from YAF 44 965.  |  |                             |
| BARMIN       | 86B | NC 96A 159                   | V.V. Barmin <i>et al.</i>                    | (ITEP, PADO)                |
| PDG          | 86B | PL 170B 130                  | M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>             | (CERN, CIT+)                |
| BARMIN       | 85  | NC 85A 67                    | V.V. Barmin <i>et al.</i>                    | (ITEP, PADO)                |
| Also         |     | SJNP 41 759                  | V.V. Barmin <i>et al.</i>                    | (ITEP)                      |
|              |     | Translated from YAF 41 1187. |  |                             |
| BARMIN       | 83  | PL 128B 129                  | V.V. Barmin <i>et al.</i>                    | (ITEP, PADO)                |
| Also         |     | SJNP 39 269                  | V.V. Barmin <i>et al.</i>                    | (ITEP, PADO)                |
|              |     | Translated from YAF 39 428.  |  |                             |
| ARONSON      | 82  | PRL 48 1078                  | S.H. Aronson <i>et al.</i>                   | (BNL, CHIC, STAN+)          |
| ARONSON      | 82B | PRL 48 1306                  | S.H. Aronson <i>et al.</i>                   | (BNL, CHIC, PURD)           |
| Also         |     | PL 116B 73                   | E. Fischbach <i>et al.</i>                   | (PURD, BNL, CHIC)           |
| Also         |     | PR D28 476                   | S.H. Aronson <i>et al.</i>                   | (BNL, CHIC, PURD)           |
| Also         |     | PR D28 495                   | S.H. Aronson <i>et al.</i>                   | (BNL, CHIC, PURD)           |
| ARONSON      | 76  | NC 32A 236                   | S.H. Aronson <i>et al.</i>                   | (WISC, EFI, UCSD+)          |
| EVERHART     | 76  | PR D14 661                   | G.C. Everhart <i>et al.</i>                  | (PENN)                      |
| TAUREG       | 76  | PL 65B 92                    | H. Taureg <i>et al.</i>                      | (HEIDH, CERN, DORT)         |
| BALDO-...    | 75  | NC 25A 688                   | M. Baldo-Ceolin <i>et al.</i>                | (PADO, WISC)                |

|              |     |                   |                                      |                     |
|--------------|-----|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| CARITHERS    | 75  | PRL 34 1244       | W.C.J. Carithers <i>et al.</i>       | (COLU, NYU)         |
| BOBISUT      | 74  | LNC 11 646        | F. Bobisut <i>et al.</i>             | (PADO)              |
| COWELL       | 74  | PR D10 2083       | P.L. Cowell <i>et al.</i>            | (STON, COLU)        |
| GEWENIGER    | 74B | PL 48B 487        | C. Geweniger <i>et al.</i>           | (CERN, HEIDH)       |
| GJESDAL      | 74B | PL 52B 119        | S. Gjesdal <i>et al.</i>             | (CERN, HEIDH)       |
| BURGUN       | 73  | PL 46B 481        | G. Burgun <i>et al.</i>              | (SACL, CERN)        |
| GJESDAL      | 73  | PL 44B 217        | S. Gjesdal <i>et al.</i>             | (CERN, HEIDH)       |
| HILL         | 73  | PR D8 1290        | D.G. Hill <i>et al.</i>              | (BNL, CMU)          |
| ALITTI       | 72  | PL 39B 568        | J. Alitti, E. Lesquoy, A. Muller     | (SACL)              |
| BURGUN       | 72  | NP B50 194        | G. Burgun <i>et al.</i>              | (SACL, CERN, OSLO)  |
| METCALF      | 72  | PL 40B 703        | M. Metcalf <i>et al.</i>             | (CERN, IPN, WIEN)   |
| MORSE        | 72B | PRL 28 388        | R. Morse <i>et al.</i>               | (COLO, PRIN, UMD)   |
| NAGY         | 72  | NP B47 94         | E. Nagy, F. Telbisz, G. Vesztergombi | (BUDA)              |
| Also         |     | PL 30B 498        | G. Bozoki <i>et al.</i>              | (BUDA)              |
| SKJEGGEST... | 72  | NP B48 343        | O. Skjeggstad <i>et al.</i>          | (OSLO, CERN, SACL)  |
| BALTAY       | 71  | PRL 27 1678       | C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>              | (COLU)              |
| Also         |     | Thesis Nevis 187  | W.A. Cooper                          | (COLU)              |
| MOFFETT      | 70  | BAPS 15 512       | R. Moffett <i>et al.</i>             | (ROCH)              |
| BOZOKI       | 69  | PL 30B 498        | G. Bozoki <i>et al.</i>              | (BUDA)              |
| DOYLE        | 69  | Thesis UCRL 18139 | J.C. Doyle                           | (LRL)               |
| GOBBI        | 69  | PRL 22 682        | B. Gobbi <i>et al.</i>               | (ROCH)              |
| HYAMS        | 69B | PL 29B 521        | B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>             | (CERN, MPIM)        |
| MORFIN       | 69  | PRL 23 660        | J.G. Morfin, D. Sinclair             | (MICH)              |
| DONALD       | 68B | PL 27B 58         | R.A. Donald <i>et al.</i>            | (LIVP, CERN, IPNP+) |
| HILL         | 68  | PR 171 1418       | D.G. Hill <i>et al.</i>              | (BNL, CMU)          |
| AUBERT       | 65  | PL 17 59          | B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>              | (EPOL, ORSAY)       |
| BROWN        | 63  | PR 130 769        | J.L. Brown <i>et al.</i>             | (LRL, MICH)         |
| CHRETIEN     | 63  | PR 131 2208       | M. Chretien <i>et al.</i>            | (BRAN, BROW, HARV+) |
| BROWN        | 61  | NC 19 1155        | J.L. Brown <i>et al.</i>             | (MICH)              |
| BOLDT        | 58B | PRL 1 150         | E. Boldt, D.O. Caldwell, Y. Pal      | (MIT)               |

### OTHER RELATED PAPERS

|            |     |   |                                       |                     |
|------------|-----|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| LITTENBERG | 93  | ARNPS 43 729                                      | L.S. Littenberg, G. Valencia          | (BNL, FNAL)         |
|            |     | Rare and Radiative Kaon Decays                    |                                       |                     |
| BATTISTON  | 92  | PRPL 214 293                                      | R. Battiston <i>et al.</i>            | (PGIA, CERN, TRSTT) |
|            |     | Status and Perspectives of <i>K</i> Decay Physics |                                       |                     |
| TRILLING   | 65B | UCRL 16473  | G.N. Trilling                         | (LRL)               |
|            |     | Updated from 1965 Argonne Conference, page 115.   |                                       |                     |
| CRAWFORD   | 62  | CERN Conf. 827                                    | F.S. Crawford                         | (LRL)               |
| FITCH      | 61  | NC 22 1160  | V.L. Fitch, P.A. Piroué, R.B. Perkins | (PRIN+)             |
| GOOD       | 61  | PR 124 1223                                       | R.H. Good <i>et al.</i>               | (LRL)               |
| BIRGE      | 60  | Rochester Conf. 601                               | R.W. Birge <i>et al.</i>              | (LRL, WISC)         |
| MULLER     | 60  | PRL 4 418   | F. Muller <i>et al.</i>               | (LRL, BNL)          |