



$$J = \frac{1}{2}$$

μ MASS (atomic mass units u)

The muon's mass is obtained from the muon-electron mass ratio as determined from the measurement of Zeeman transition frequencies in muonium ($\mu^+ e^-$ atom). Since the electron's mass is most accurately known in u, the muon's mass is also most accurately known in u. The conversion factor to MeV has approximately the same relative uncertainty as the mass of the muon in u. In this datablock we give the result in u, and in the following datablock in MeV.

<u>VALUE (u)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.1134289256 ± 0.0000000029	MOHR	08	RVUE 2006 CODATA value
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.1134289264 ± 0.0000000030	MOHR	05	RVUE 2002 CODATA value
0.1134289168 ± 0.0000000034	¹ MOHR	99	RVUE 1998 CODATA value
0.113428913 ± 0.0000000017	² COHEN	87	RVUE 1986 CODATA value

¹ MOHR 99 make use of other 1998 CODATA entries below.

² COHEN 87 make use of other 1986 CODATA entries below.

μ MASS

2006 CODATA (MOHR 08) gives the conversion factor from u (atomic mass units, see the above datablock) to MeV as 931.494 028 (23). Earlier values use the then-current conversion factor. The conversion error contributes significantly to the uncertainty of the masses given below.

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
105.6583668 ± 0.0000038	MOHR	08	RVUE	2006 CODATA value
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
105.6583692 ± 0.0000094	MOHR	05	RVUE	2002 CODATA value
105.6583568 ± 0.0000052	MOHR	99	RVUE	1998 CODATA value
105.658353 ± 0.000016	³ COHEN	87	RVUE	1986 CODATA value
105.658386 ± 0.000044	⁴ MARIAM	82	CNTR +	
105.65836 ± 0.00026	⁵ CROWE	72	CNTR	
105.65865 ± 0.00044	⁶ CRANE	71	CNTR	

³ Converted to MeV using the 1998 CODATA value of the conversion constant, 931.494013 ± 0.000037 MeV/u.

⁴ MARIAM 82 give $m_\mu/m_e = 206.768259(62)$.

⁵ CROWE 72 give $m_\mu/m_e = 206.7682(5)$.

⁶ CRANE 71 give $m_\mu/m_e = 206.76878(85)$.

μ MEAN LIFE τ

Measurements with an error $> 0.001 \times 10^{-6}$ s have been omitted.

<u>VALUE (10^{-6} s)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.197019\pm0.000021	OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
2.197013 \pm 0.000021 \pm 0.000011	CHITWOOD	07	CNTR	+ Surface μ^+ at PSI
2.197078 \pm 0.000073	BARDIN	84	CNTR	+
2.197025 \pm 0.000155	BARDIN	84	CNTR	-
2.19695 \pm 0.00006	GIOVANETTI	84	CNTR	+
2.19711 \pm 0.00008	BALANDIN	74	CNTR	+
2.1973 \pm 0.0003	DUCLOS	73	CNTR	+

 $\tau_{\mu^+}/\tau_{\mu^-}$ MEAN LIFE RATIO

A test of *CPT* invariance.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.000024\pm0.000078	BARDIN	84	CNTR
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.0008 \pm 0.0010	BAILEY	79	CNTR Storage ring
1.000 \pm 0.001	MEYER	63	CNTR Mean life μ^+ / μ^-

 $(\tau_{\mu^+} - \tau_{\mu^-}) / \tau_{\text{average}}$

A test of *CPT* invariance. Calculated from the mean-life ratio, above.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
$(2\pm 8) \times 10^{-5}$	OUR EVALUATION

 μ/p MAGNETIC MOMENT RATIO

This ratio is used to obtain a precise value of the muon mass and to reduce experimental muon Larmor frequency measurements to the muon magnetic moment anomaly. Measurements with an error > 0.00001 have been omitted. By convention, the minus sign on this ratio is omitted. CODATA values were fitted using their selection of data, plus other data from multiparameter fits.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.183345137\pm0.000000085	MOHR	08	RVUE	2006 CODATA value
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3.183345118 \pm 0.000000089	MOHR	05	RVUE	2002 CODATA value
3.18334513 \pm 0.00000039	LIU	99	CNTR	+ HFS in muonium
3.18334539 \pm 0.00000010	MOHR	99	RVUE	1998 CODATA value
3.18334547 \pm 0.00000047	COHEN	87	RVUE	1986 CODATA value
3.1833441 \pm 0.0000017	KLEMPPT	82	CNTR	+ Precession strob

3.1833461	± 0.0000011	MARIAM	82	CNTR	+	HFS splitting
3.1833448	± 0.0000029	CAMANI	78	CNTR	+	See KLEMPT 82
3.1833403	± 0.0000044	CASPERSON	77	CNTR	+	HFS splitting
3.1833402	± 0.0000072	COHEN	73	RVUE		1973 CODATA value
3.1833467	± 0.0000082	CROWE	72	CNTR	+	Precession phase

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

μ MAGNETIC MOMENT ANOMALY

The parity-violating decay of muons in a storage ring is observed. The difference frequency ω_a between the muon spin precession and the orbital angular frequency $(e/m_\mu c)\langle B \rangle$ is measured, as is the free proton NMR frequency ω_p , thus determining the ratio $R = \omega_a/\omega_p$. Given the magnetic moment ratio $\lambda = \mu_\mu/\mu_p$ (from hyperfine structure in muonium), $(g-2)/2 = R/(\lambda - R)$.

$$\mu_\mu/(e\hbar/2m_\mu) - 1 = (g_\mu - 2)/2$$

VALUE (units 10^{-10})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
11659208.0\pm 5.4\pm3.3	BENNETT	06	MUG2	Average μ^+ and μ^-
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
11659208 \pm 6	BENNETT	04	MUG2	Average μ^+ and μ^-
11659214 \pm 8 \pm 3	BENNETT	04	MUG2	– Storage ring
11659203 \pm 6 \pm 5	BENNETT	04	MUG2	+ Storage ring
11659204 \pm 7 \pm 5	BENNETT	02	MUG2	+ Storage ring
11659202 \pm 14 \pm 6	BROWN	01	MUG2	+ Storage ring
11659191 \pm 59	BROWN	00	MUG2	+ Storage ring
11659100 \pm 110	⁷ BAILEY	79	CNTR	+ Storage ring
11659360 \pm 120	⁷ BAILEY	79	CNTR	– Storage ring
11659230 \pm 85	⁷ BAILEY	79	CNTR	\pm Storage ring
11620000 \pm 5000	CHARPAK	62	CNTR	+ Storage ring

⁷BAILEY 79 values recalculated by HUGHES 99 using the COHEN 87 μ/p magnetic moment. The improved MOHR 99 value does not change the result.

$$(g_{\mu^+} - g_{\mu^-}) / g_{\text{average}}$$

A test of *CPT* invariance.

VALUE (units 10^{-8})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
–0.11\pm0.12	BENNETT	04 MUG2
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●		
–2.6 \pm 1.6	BAILEY	79 CNTR

μ ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT (d)

A nonzero value is forbidden by both T invariance and P invariance.

VALUE (10^{-19} ecm)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
3.7 ± 3.4	⁸ BAILEY	78	CNTR \pm	Storage ring
8.6 ± 4.5	BAILEY	78	CNTR $+$	Storage rings
0.8 ± 4.3	BAILEY	78	CNTR $-$	Storage rings

⁸ This is the combination of the two BAILEY 78 results given below.

MUON-ELECTRON CHARGE RATIO ANOMALY $q_{\mu^+}/q_{e^-} + 1$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
$(1.1 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-9}$	⁹ MEYER	00	CNTR $+$	1s–2s muonium interval

⁹ MEYER 00 measure the 1s–2s muonium interval, and then interpret the result in terms of muon-electron charge ratio q_{μ^+}/q_{e^-} .

μ^- DECAY MODES

μ^+ modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$	$\approx 100\%$	
Γ_2 $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$	[a] $(1.4 \pm 0.4)\%$	
Γ_3 $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu e^+ e^-$	[b] $(3.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$	

Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes

Γ_4 $e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu$	LF	[c] < 1.2	%	90%
Γ_5 $e^- \gamma$	LF	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-11}$	90%
Γ_6 $e^- e^+ e^-$	LF	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-12}$	90%
Γ_7 $e^- 2\gamma$	LF	< 7.2	$\times 10^{-11}$	90%

[a] This only includes events with the γ energy > 10 MeV. Since the $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$ and $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$ modes cannot be clearly separated, we regard the latter mode as a subset of the former.

[b] See the Particle Listings below for the energy limits used in this measurement.

[c] A test of additive vs. multiplicative lepton family number conservation.

μ^- BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2 / Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.014 ± 0.004		CRITTENDEN 61	CNTR	γ KE > 10 MeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
	862	BOGART 67	CNTR	γ KE > 14.5 MeV
0.0033 ± 0.0013		CRITTENDEN 61	CNTR	γ KE > 20 MeV
	27	ASHKIN 59	CNTR	

$\Gamma(e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3 / Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻⁵)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.4 ± 0.2 ± 0.3	7443	¹⁰ BERTL 85	SPEC	+	SINDRUM
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.2 ± 1.5	7	¹¹ CRITTENDEN 61	HLBC	+	$E(e^+ e^-) > 10$ MeV
2	1	¹² GUREVICH 60	EMUL	+	
1.5 ± 1.0	3	¹³ LEE 59	HBC	+	

¹⁰BERTL 85 has transverse momentum cut $p_T > 17$ MeV/c. Systematic error was increased by us.

¹¹CRITTENDEN 61 count only those decays where total energy of either (e^+ , e^-) combination is >10 MeV.

¹²GUREVICH 60 interpret their event as either virtual or real photon conversion. e^+ and e^- energies not measured.

¹³In the three LEE 59 events, the sum of energies $E(e^+) + E(e^-) + E(e^+)$ was 51 MeV, 55 MeV, and 33 MeV.

$\Gamma(e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4 / Γ

Forbidden by the additive conservation law for lepton family number. A multiplicative law predicts this branching ratio to be 1/2. For a review see NEMETHY 81.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 0.012	90	¹⁴ FREEDMAN 93	CNTR	+	ν oscillation search
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 0.018	90	KRAKAUER 91B	CALO	+	
< 0.05	90	¹⁵ BERGSMA 83	CALO		$\bar{\nu}_\mu e \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_e$
< 0.09	90	JONKER 80	CALO		See BERGSMA 83
-0.001 ± 0.061		WILLIS 80	CNTR	+	
0.13 ± 0.15		BLIETSCHAU 78	HLBC	±	Avg. of 4 values
< 0.25	90	EICHTEN 73	HLBC	+	

¹⁴FREEDMAN 93 limit on $\bar{\nu}_e$ observation is here interpreted as a limit on lepton family number violation.

¹⁵BERGSMA 83 gives a limit on the inverse muon decay cross-section ratio $\sigma(\bar{\nu}_\mu e^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_e) / \sigma(\nu_\mu e^- \rightarrow \mu^- \nu_e)$, which is essentially equivalent to $\Gamma(e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ for small values like that quoted.

$\Gamma(e^- \gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5 / Γ

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-11})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 1.2	90	BROOKS	99	SPEC	+ LAMPF
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
< 1.2	90	AHMED	02	SPEC	+ MEGA
< 4.9	90	BOLTON	88	CBOX	+ LAMPF
<100	90	AZUELOS	83	CNTR	+ TRIUMF
< 17	90	KINNISON	82	SPEC	+ LAMPF
<100	90	SCHAAF	80	ELEC	+ SIN

$\Gamma(e^- e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6 / Γ

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-12})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 1.0	90	¹⁶ BELLGARDT	88	SPEC	+ SINDRUM
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
< 36	90	BARANOV	91	SPEC	+ ARES
< 35	90	BOLTON	88	CBOX	+ LAMPF
< 2.4	90	¹⁶ BERTL	85	SPEC	+ SINDRUM
<160	90	¹⁶ BERTL	84	SPEC	+ SINDRUM
<130	90	¹⁶ BOLTON	84	CNTR	LAMPF

¹⁶ These experiments assume a constant matrix element.

$\Gamma(e^- 2\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7 / Γ

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-11})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 7.2	90	BOLTON	88	CBOX	+ LAMPF
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
< 840	90	¹⁷ AZUELOS	83	CNTR	+ TRIUMF
<5000	90	¹⁸ BOWMAN	78	CNTR	DEPOMMIER 77 data

¹⁷ AZUELOS 83 uses the phase space distribution of BOWMAN 78.

¹⁸ BOWMAN 78 assumes an interaction Lagrangian local on the scale of the inverse μ mass.

LIMIT ON $\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$ CONVERSION

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

$\sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow e^- {}^{32}\text{S}) / \sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow \nu_\mu {}^{32}\text{P}^*)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 7×10^{-11}	90	BADERT...	80	STRC SIN
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
< 4×10^{-10}	90	BADERT...	77	STRC SIN

$\sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow e^- \text{Cu}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
< 1.6×10^{-8}	90	BRYMAN	72 SPEC

$\sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow e^- \text{Ti}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.3 \times 10^{-12}$	90	¹⁹ DOHMEN	93	SPEC SINDRUM II

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<4.6 \times 10^{-12}$	90	AHMAD	88	TPC TRIUMF
$<1.6 \times 10^{-11}$	90	BRYMAN	85	TPC TRIUMF

¹⁹DOHMEN 93 assumes $\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$ conversion leaves the nucleus in its ground state, a process enhanced by coherence and expected to dominate.

 $\sigma(\mu^- \text{Pb} \rightarrow e^- \text{Pb}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Pb} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.6 \times 10^{-11}$	90	HONECKER	96	SPEC SINDRUM II

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<4.9 \times 10^{-10}$	90	AHMAD	88	TPC TRIUMF
------------------------	----	-------	----	------------

 $\sigma(\mu^- \text{Au} \rightarrow e^- \text{Au}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Au} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
$<7 \times 10^{-13}$	90	BERTL	06	SPEC -	SINDRUM II

LIMIT ON $\mu^- \rightarrow e^+$ CONVERSION

Forbidden by total lepton number conservation.

 $\sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow e^+ {}^{32}\text{Si}^*) / \sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow \nu_\mu {}^{32}\text{P}^*)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<9 \times 10^{-10}$	90	BADERT...	80	STRC SIN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.5 \times 10^{-9}$	90	BADERT...	78	STRC SIN
-----------------------	----	-----------	----	----------

 $\sigma(\mu^- {}^{127}\text{I} \rightarrow e^+ {}^{127}\text{Sb}^*) / \sigma(\mu^- {}^{127}\text{I} \rightarrow \text{anything})$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3 \times 10^{-10}$	90	²⁰ ABELA	80	CNTR Radiochemical tech.

²⁰ABELA 80 is upper limit for $\mu^- e^+$ conversion leading to particle-stable states of ¹²⁷Sb. Limit for total conversion rate is higher by a factor less than 4 (G. Backenstoss, private communication).

 $\sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow e^+ \text{Co}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow \nu_\mu \text{Ni})$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
$<2.6 \times 10^{-8}$	90	BRYMAN	72	SPEC
$<2.2 \times 10^{-7}$	90	CONFORTO	62	OSPK

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $\sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow e^+ \text{Ca}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
$<3.6 \times 10^{-11}$	90	1	^{21,22} KAULARD	98	SPEC -	SINDRUM II

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.7 \times 10^{-12}$	90	1	^{22,23} KAULARD	98	SPEC	–	SINDRUM II
$<4.3 \times 10^{-12}$	90		²³ DOHMEN	93	SPEC		SINDRUM II
$<8.9 \times 10^{-11}$	90		²¹ DOHMEN	93	SPEC		SINDRUM II
$<1.7 \times 10^{-10}$	90		²⁴ AHMAD	88	TPC		TRIUMF

²¹ This limit assumes a giant resonance excitation of the daughter Ca nucleus (mean energy and width both 20 MeV).

²² KAULARD 98 obtained these same limits using the unified classical analysis of FELDMAN 98.

²³ This limit assumes the daughter Ca nucleus is left in the ground state. However, the probability of this is unknown.

²⁴ Assuming a giant-resonance-excitation model.

LIMIT ON MUONIUM → ANTIMUONIUM CONVERSION

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

$$R_g = G_C / G_F$$

The effective Lagrangian for the $\mu^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^- e^+$ conversion is assumed to be

$$\mathcal{L} = 2^{-1/2} G_C [\bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_e] [\bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_e] + \text{h.c.}$$

The experimental result is then an upper limit on G_C/G_F , where G_F is the Fermi coupling constant.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
< 0.0030	90	1	²⁵ WILLMANN	99	SPEC	+ μ^+ at 26 GeV/c

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.14	90	1	²⁶ GORDEEV	97	SPEC	+ JINR phasotron
< 0.018	90	0	²⁷ ABELA	96	SPEC	+ μ^+ at 24 MeV
< 6.9	90		NI	93	CBOX	LAMPF
< 0.16	90		MATTHIAS	91	SPEC	LAMPF
< 0.29	90		HUBER	90B	CNTR	TRIUMF
< 20	95		BEER	86	CNTR	TRIUMF
< 42	95		MARSHALL	82	CNTR	

²⁵ WILLMANN 99 quote both probability $P_{M\bar{M}} < 8.3 \times 10^{-11}$ at 90%CL in a 0.1 T field and $R_g = G_C/G_F$.

²⁶ GORDEEV 97 quote limits on both $f = G_{MM}/G_F$ and the probability $W_{MM} < 4.7 \times 10^{-7}$ (90% CL).

²⁷ ABELA 96 quote both probability $P_{M\bar{M}} < 8 \times 10^{-9}$ at 90% CL and $R_g = G_C/G_F$.

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

μ DECAY PARAMETERS

ρ PARAMETER

(V–A) theory predicts $\rho = 0.75$.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.7509 ± 0.0010 OUR AVERAGE					
0.75080 ± 0.00032 ± 0.00100	6G	²⁸ MUSSER	05	SPEC	+ surface μ^+ at TRIUMF
0.7518 ± 0.0026		DERENZO	69	RVUE	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.72	± 0.06	± 0.08		AMORUSO	04	ICAR		Liquid Ar TPC
0.762	± 0.008		170k	²⁹ FRYBERGER	68	ASPK	+	25–53 MeV e^+
0.760	± 0.009		280k	²⁹ SHERWOOD	67	ASPK	+	25–53 MeV e^+
0.7503	± 0.0026		800k	²⁹ PEOPLES	66	ASPK	+	20–53 MeV e^+

²⁸ The quoted systematic error includes a contribution of 0.00023 (added in quadrature) from the dependence on the Michel parameter η .

²⁹ η constrained = 0. These values incorporated into a two parameter fit to ρ and η by DERENZO 69.

η PARAMETER

($V-A$) theory predicts $\eta = 0$.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTs</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.001 ± 0.024 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.0.				
0.071 ± 0.037 ± 0.005	30M	DANNEBERG	05	CNTR	+	7–53 MeV e^+
–0.007 ± 0.013	5.3M	³⁰ BURKARD	85B	FIT	+	9–53 MeV e^+
–0.12 ± 0.21	6346	DERENZO	69	HBC	+	1.6–6.8 MeV e^+

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

–0.0021 ± 0.0070 ± 0.0010	30M	³¹ DANNEBERG	05	CNTR	+	7–53 MeV e^+
–0.012 ± 0.015 ± 0.003	5.3M	³¹ BURKARD	85B	CNTR	+	9–53 MeV e^+
0.011 ± 0.081 ± 0.026	5.3M	BURKARD	85B	CNTR	+	9–53 MeV e^+
–0.7 ± 0.5	170k	³² FRYBERGER	68	ASPK	+	25–53 MeV e^+
–0.7 ± 0.6	280k	³² SHERWOOD	67	ASPK	+	25–53 MeV e^+
0.05 ± 0.5	800k	³² PEOPLES	66	ASPK	+	20–53 MeV e^+
–2.0 ± 0.9	9213	³³ PLANO	60	HBC	+	Whole spec- trum

³⁰ Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

³¹ $\alpha = \alpha' = 0$ assumed.

³² ρ constrained = 0.75.

³³ Two parameter fit to ρ and η ; PLANO 60 discounts value for η .

δ PARAMETER

($V-A$) theory predicts $\delta = 0.75$.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTs</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.7495 ± 0.0012 OUR AVERAGE						
0.74964 ± 0.00066 ± 0.00112	6G	GAPONENKO	05	SPEC	+	surface μ^+ at TRIUMF
0.7486 ± 0.0026 ± 0.0028		³⁴ BALKE	88	SPEC	+	Surface μ^+ 's
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		³⁵ VOSSLER	69			
0.752 ± 0.009	490k	FRYBERGER	68	ASPK	+	25–53 MeV e^+
0.782 ± 0.031		KRUGER	61			
0.78 ± 0.05	8354	PLANO	60	HBC	+	Whole spec- trum

³⁴ BALKE 88 uses $\rho = 0.752 \pm 0.003$.

³⁵ VOSSLER 69 has measured the asymmetry below 10 MeV. See comments about radiative corrections in VOSSLER 69.

$|\xi \text{ PARAMETER} \times (\mu \text{ LONGITUDINAL POLARIZATION})|$

($V-A$) theory predicts $\xi = 1$, longitudinal polarization = 1.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

1.0007 ± 0.0035 OUR AVERAGE

1.0003 ± 0.0006 ± 0.0038		JAMIESON	06	TWST	+	surface μ^+ beam
1.0027 ± 0.0079 ± 0.0030		BELTRAMI	87	CNTR		SIN, π decay in flight

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.0013 ± 0.0030 ± 0.0053		³⁶ IMAZATO	92	SPEC	+	$K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
0.975 ± 0.015		AKHMANOV	68	EMUL		140 kG
0.975 ± 0.030	66k	GUREVICH	64	EMUL		See AKHMA-NOV 68
0.903 ± 0.027		³⁷ ALI-ZADE	61	EMUL	+	27 kG
0.93 ± 0.06	8354	PLANO	60	HBC	+	8.8 kG
0.97 ± 0.05	9k	BARDON	59	CNTR		Bromoform target

³⁶The corresponding 90% confidence limit from IMAZATO 92 is $|\xi P_\mu| > 0.990$. This measurement is of K^+ decay, not π^+ decay, so we do not include it in an average, nor do we yet set up a separate data block for K results.

³⁷Depolarization by medium not known sufficiently well.

$\xi \times (\mu \text{ LONGITUDINAL POLARIZATION}) \times \delta / \rho$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

>0.99682	90	³⁸ JODIDIO	86	SPEC	+	TRIUMF
--------------------	----	-----------------------	----	------	---	--------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.9966	90	³⁹ STOKER	85	SPEC	+	μ -spin rotation
>0.9959	90	CARR	83	SPEC	+	11 kG

³⁸JODIDIO 86 includes data from CARR 83 and STOKER 85. The value here is from the erratum.

³⁹STOKER 85 find $(\xi P_\mu \delta / \rho) > 0.9955$ and > 0.9966 , where the first limit is from new μ spin-rotation data and the second is from combination with CARR 83 data. In $V-A$ theory, $(\delta / \rho) = 1.0$.

$\xi' = \text{LONGITUDINAL POLARIZATION OF } e^+$

($V-A$) theory predicts the longitudinal polarization = ± 1 for e^\pm , respectively. We have flipped the sign for e^- so our programs can average.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

1.00 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE

0.998 ± 0.045	1M	BURKARD	85	CNTR	+	Bhabha + annihil
0.89 ± 0.28	29k	SCHWARTZ	67	OSPK	-	Moller scattering
0.94 ± 0.38		BLOOM	64	CNTR	+	Brems. transmiss.
1.04 ± 0.18		DUCLOS	64	CNTR	+	Bhabha scattering
1.05 ± 0.30		BUHLER	63	CNTR	+	Annihilation

$\xi'' \text{ PARAMETER}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

0.65 ± 0.36	326k	⁴⁰ BURKARD	85	CNTR	+	Bhabha + annihil
--------------------	------	-----------------------	----	------	---	------------------

⁴⁰BURKARD 85 measure $(\xi'' - \xi \xi') / \xi$ and ξ' and set $\xi = 1$.

TRANSVERSE e^+ POLARIZATION IN PLANE OF μ SPIN, e^+ MOMENTUM

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
7 ± 8 OUR AVERAGE					
6.3 ± 7.7 ± 3.4	30M	DANNEBERG 05	CNTR	+	7–53 MeV e^+
16 ± 21 ± 10	5.3M	BURKARD 85B	CNTR	+	Annihil 9–53 MeV

TRANSVERSE e^+ POLARIZATION NORMAL TO PLANE OF μ SPIN, e^+ MOMENTUMZero if T invariance holds.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
–2 ± 8 OUR AVERAGE					
–3.7 ± 7.7 ± 3.4	30M	DANNEBERG 05	CNTR	+	7–53 MeV e^+
7 ± 22 ± 7	5.3M	BURKARD 85B	CNTR	+	Annihil 9–53 MeV

 α/A

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.4 ± 4.3					
		⁴¹ BURKARD 85B	FIT		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
15 ± 50 ± 14	5.3M	BURKARD 85B	CNTR	+	9–53 MeV e^+
⁴¹ Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.					

 α'/A Zero if T invariance holds.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE					
– 3.4 ± 21.3 ± 4.9	30M	DANNEBERG 05	CNTR	+	7–53 MeV e^+
– 0.2 ± 4.3		⁴² BURKARD 85B	FIT		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
–47 ± 50 ± 14	5.3M	⁴³ BURKARD 85B	CNTR	+	9–53 MeV e^+
⁴² Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.					
⁴³ BURKARD 85B measure e^+ polarizations P_{T_1} and P_{T_2} versus e^+ energy.					

 β/A

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.9 ± 6.2					
		⁴⁴ BURKARD 85B	FIT		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2 ± 17 ± 6	5.3M	BURKARD 85B	CNTR	+	9–53 MeV e^+
⁴⁴ Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.					

β'/A

Zero if T invariance holds.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

1 \pm 5 OUR AVERAGE

– 0.5 \pm 7.8 \pm 1.8	30M	DANNEBERG 05	CNTR	+	7–53 MeV e^+
1.5 \pm 6.3		⁴⁵ BURKARD 85B	FIT		

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

– 1.3 \pm 3.5 \pm 0.6	30M	⁴⁶ DANNEBERG 05	CNTR	+	7–53 MeV e^+
17 \pm 17 \pm 6	5.3M	⁴⁷ BURKARD 85B	CNTR	+	9–53 MeV e^+

⁴⁵ Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

⁴⁶ $\alpha = \alpha' = 0$ assumed.

⁴⁷ BURKARD 85B measure e^+ polarizations P_{T_1} and P_{T_2} versus e^+ energy.

a/A

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the “Note on Muon Decay Parameters” above).

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---	------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<15.9	90	⁴⁸ BURKARD 85B	FIT
-------	----	---------------------------	-----

⁴⁸ Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

a'/A

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the “Note on Muon Decay Parameters” above).

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.3 \pm 4.1	⁴⁹ BURKARD 85B	FIT
---------------	---------------------------	-----

⁴⁹ Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

$(b'+b)/A$

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the “Note on Muon Decay Parameters” above).

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---	------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.04	90	⁵⁰ BURKARD 85B	FIT
-------	----	---------------------------	-----

⁵⁰ Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

c/A

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the “Note on Muon Decay Parameters” above).

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---	------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6.4	90	⁵¹ BURKARD 85B	FIT
------	----	---------------------------	-----

⁵¹ Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

c'/A

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the "Note on Muon Decay Parameters" above).

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) DOCUMENT ID TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.5 ± 2.0 ⁵²BURKARD 85B FIT

⁵²Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

$\bar{\eta}$ PARAMETER

($V-A$) theory predicts $\bar{\eta} = 0$. $\bar{\eta}$ affects spectrum of radiative muon decay.

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

0.02 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE

-0.014 ± 0.090 EICHENBER... 84 ELEC + ρ free

$+0.09 \pm 0.14$ BOGART 67 CNTR +

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.035 ± 0.098 EICHENBER... 84 ELEC + $\rho=0.75$ assumed

μ REFERENCES

MOHR	08	arXiv:0801.0028[atom-ph]	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor, D.B. Newell	(NIST)
to appear in RMP and JPCRD				
CHITWOOD	07	PRL 99 032001	D.B. Chitwood <i>et al.</i>	(MULAN Collab.)
BENNETT	06	PR D73 072003	G.W. Bennett <i>et al.</i>	(MUG-2 Collab.)
BERTL	06	EPJ C47 337	W. Bertl <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM II Collab.)
JAMIESON	06	PR D74 072007	B. Jamieson <i>et al.</i>	(TWIST Collab.)
DANNEBERG	05	PRL 94 021802	N. Danneberg <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, JAGL, PSI+)
GAPONENKO	05	PR D71 071101R	A. Gaponenko <i>et al.</i>	(TWIST Collab.)
MOHR	05	RMP 77 1	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)
MUSSER	05	PRL 94 101805	J.R. Musser <i>et al.</i>	(TWIST Collab.)
AMORUSO	04	EPJ C33 233	S. Amoruso <i>et al.</i>	(ICARUS Collab.)
BENNETT	04	PRL 92 161802	G.W. Bennett <i>et al.</i>	(Muon(g-2) Collab.)
AHMED	02	PR D65 112002	M. Ahmed <i>et al.</i>	(MEGA Collab.)
BENNETT	02	PRL 89 101804	G.W. Bennett <i>et al.</i>	(Muon(g-2) Collab.)
BROWN	01	PRL 86 2227	H.N. Brown <i>et al.</i>	(Muon(g-2) Collab.)
BROWN	00	PR D62 091101R	H.N. Brown <i>et al.</i>	(BNL/G-2 Collab.)
MEYER	00	PRL 84 1136	V. Meyer <i>et al.</i>	
BROOKS	99	PRL 83 1521	M.L. Brooks <i>et al.</i>	(MEGA/LAMPF Collab.)
HUGHES	99	RMP 71 5133	V.W. Hughes, T. Kinoshita	
LIU	99	PRL 82 711	W. Liu <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF Collab.)
MOHR	99	JPCRD 28 1713	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)
Also		RMP 72 351	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)
WILLMANN	99	PRL 82 49	L. Willmann <i>et al.</i>	
FELDMAN	98	PR D57 3873	G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins	
KAULARD	98	PL B422 334	J. Kaulard <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM-II Collab.)
GORDEEV	97	PAN 60 1164	V.A. Gordeev <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)
Translated from YAF 60 1291.				
ABELA	96	PRL 77 1950	R. Abela <i>et al.</i>	(PSI, ZURI, HEIDH, TBIL+)
HONECKER	96	PRL 76 200	W. Honecker <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM II Collab.)
DOHMEN	93	PL B317 631	C. Dohmen <i>et al.</i>	(PSI SINDRUM-II Collab.)
FREEDMAN	93	PR D47 811	S.J. Freedman <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF E645 Collab.)
NI	93	PR D48 1976	B. Ni <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF Crystal-Box Collab.)
IMAZATO	92	PRL 69 877	J. Imazato <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, INUS, TOKY+)
BARANOV	91	SJNP 53 802	V.A. Baranov <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
Translated from YAF 53 1302.				
KRAKAUER	91B	PL B263 534	D.A. Krakauer <i>et al.</i>	(UMD, UCI, LANL)
MATTHIAS	91	PRL 66 2716	B.E. Matthias <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, HEIDP, WILL+)
Also		PRL 67 932 (erratum)	B.E. Matthias <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, HEIDP, WILL+)
HUBER	90B	PR D41 2709	T.M. Huber <i>et al.</i>	(WYOM, VICT, ARIZ+)
AHMAD	88	PR D38 2102	S. Ahmad <i>et al.</i>	(TRIU, VICT, VPI, BRCO+)
Also		PRL 59 970	S. Ahmad <i>et al.</i>	(TRIU, VPI, VICT, BRCO+)
BALKE	88	PR D37 587	B. Balke <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, UCB, COLO, NWES+)
BELLGARDT	88	NP B299 1	U. Bellgardt <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM Collab.)

BOLTON	88	PR D38 2077	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, STAN, CHIC+)
Also		PRL 56 2461	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, STAN, CHIC+)
Also		PRL 57 3241	D. Grosnick <i>et al.</i>	(CHIC, LANL, STAN+)
BELTRAMI	87	PL B194 326	I. Beltrami <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
BEER	86	PRL 57 671	G.A. Beer <i>et al.</i>	(VICT, TRIU, WYOM)
JODIDIO	86	PR D34 1967	A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
Also		PR D37 237 (erratum)	A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
BERTL	85	NP B260 1	W. Bertl <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM Collab.)
BRYMAN	85	PRL 55 465	D.A. Bryman <i>et al.</i>	(TRIU, CNRC, BRCO+)
BURKARD	85	PL 150B 242	H. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
BURKARD	85B	PL 160B 343	H. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
Also		PR D24 2004	F. Corriveau <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
Also		PL 129B 260	F. Corriveau <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
STOKER	85	PRL 54 1887	D.P. Stoker <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
BARDIN	84	PL 137B 135	G. Bardin <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, CERN, BGNA, FIRZ)
BERTL	84	PL 140B 299	W. Bertl <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM Collab.)
BOLTON	84	PRL 53 1415	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, CHIC, STAN+)
EICHENBER...	84	NP A412 523	W. Eichenberger, R. Engfer, A. van der Schaff	
GIOVANETTI	84	PR D29 343	K.L. Giovanetti <i>et al.</i>	(WILL)
AZUELOS	83	PRL 51 164	G. Azuelos <i>et al.</i>	(MONT, TRIU, BRCO)
Also		PRL 39 1113	P. Depommier <i>et al.</i>	(MONT, BRCO, TRIU+)
BERGSMAS	83	PL 122B 465	F. Bergsma <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM Collab.)
CARR	83	PRL 51 627	J. Carr <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
KINNISON	82	PR D25 2846	W.W. Kinnison <i>et al.</i>	(EFI, STAN, LANL)
Also		PRL 42 556	J.D. Bowman <i>et al.</i>	(LASL, EFI, STAN)
KLEMPPT	82	PR D25 652	E. Klempt <i>et al.</i>	(MANZ, ETH)
MARIAM	82	PRL 49 993	F.G. Mariam <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, HEIDH, BERN)
MARSHALL	82	PR D25 1174	G.M. Marshall <i>et al.</i>	(BRCO)
NEMETHY	81	CNPP 10 147	P. Nemethy, V.W. Hughes	(LBL, YALE)
ABELA	80	PL 95B 318	R. Abela <i>et al.</i>	(BASL, KARLK, KARLE)
BADERT...	80	LNC 28 401	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	(BERN)
Also		NP A377 406	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	(BERN)
JONKER	80	PL 93B 203	M. Jonker <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM Collab.)
SCHAAF	80	NP A340 249	A. van der Schaaf <i>et al.</i>	(ZURI, ETH+)
Also		PL 72B 183	H.P. Povel <i>et al.</i>	(ZURI, ETH, SIN)
WILLIS	80	PRL 44 522	S.E. Willis <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, LBL, LASL+)
Also		PRL 45 1370	S.E. Willis <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, LBL, LASL+)
BAILEY	79	NP B150 1	J.M. Bailey	(CERN, DARE, MANZ)
BADERT...	78	PL 79B 371	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	(BERN)
BAILEY	78	JPG 4 345	J.M. Bailey (DARE, BERN, SHEF, MANZ, RMCS+)	
Also		NP B150 1	J.M. Bailey	(CERN, DARE, MANZ)
BLIETSCHAU	78	NP B133 205	J. Blietschau <i>et al.</i>	(Gargamelle Collab.)
BOWMAN	78	PRL 41 442	J.D. Bowman <i>et al.</i>	(LASL, IAS, CMU+)
CAMANI	78	PL 77B 326	M. Camani <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, MANZ)
BADERT...	77	PRL 39 1385	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	(BERN)
CASPERSON	77	PRL 38 956	D.E. Casperson <i>et al.</i>	(BERN, HEIDH, LASL+)
DEPOMMIER	77	PRL 39 1113	P. Depommier <i>et al.</i>	(MONT, BRCO, TRIU+)
BALANDIN	74	JETP 40 811	M.P. Balandin <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
		Translated from ZETF 67 1631.		
COHEN	73	JPCRD 2 664	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
DUCCLOS	73	PL 47B 491	J. Duclos, A. Magnon, J. Picard	(SACL)
EICHTEN	73	PL 46B 281	T. Eichten <i>et al.</i>	(Gargamelle Collab.)
BRYMAN	72	PRL 28 1469	D.A. Bryman <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
CROWE	72	PR D5 2145	K.M. Crowe <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, WASH)
CRANE	71	PRL 27 474	T. Crane <i>et al.</i>	(YALE)
DERENZO	69	PR 181 1854	S.E. Derenzo	(EFI)
VOSSLER	69	NC 63A 423	C. Vossler	(EFI)
AKHMANOV	68	SJNP 6 230	V.V. Akhmanov <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)
		Translated from YAF 6 316.		
FRYBERGER	68	PR 166 1379	D. Fryberger	(EFI)
BOGART	67	PR 156 1405	E. Bogart <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
SCHWARTZ	67	PR 162 1306	D.M. Schwartz	(EFI)
SHERWOOD	67	PR 156 1475	B.A. Sherwood	(EFI)
PEOPLES	66	Nevis 147 unpub.	J. Peoples	(COLU)
BLOOM	64	PL 8 87	S. Bloom <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
DUCCLOS	64	PL 9 62	J. Duclos <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
GUREVICH	64	PL 11 185	I.I. Gurevich <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)
BUHLER	63	PL 7 368	A. Buhler-Broglin <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
MEYER	63	PR 132 2693	S.L. Meyer <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
CHARPAK	62	PL 1 16	G. Charpak <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)

CONFORTO	62	NC 26 261	G. Conforto <i>et al.</i>	(INFN, ROMA, CERN)
ALI-ZADE	61	JETP 13 313	S.A. Ali-Zade, I.I. Gurevich, B.A. Nikolsky	
		Translated from ZETF 40	452.	
CRITTENDEN	61	PR 121 1823	R.R. Crittenden, W.D. Walker, J. Ballam	(WISC+)
KRUGER	61	UCRL 9322 unpub.	H. Kruger	(LRL)
GUREVICH	60	JETP 10 225	I.I. Gurevich, B.A. Nikolsky, L.V. Surkova	(ITEP)
		Translated from ZETF 37	318.	
PLANO	60	PR 119 1400	R.J. Plano	(COLU)
ASHKIN	59	NC 14 1266	J. Ashkin <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
BARDON	59	PRL 2 56	M. Bardon, D. Berley, L.M. Lederman	(COLU)
LEE	59	PRL 3 55	J. Lee, N.P. Samios	(COLU)
