

# N(1650) S<sub>11</sub>

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^-) \text{ Status: } ****$$

Most of the results published before 1975 were last included in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982). Some further obsolete results published before 1980 were last included in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

## N(1650) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1645 to 1670 (≈ 1655) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1634.7 ± 1.1	ARNDT	06	DPWA πN → πN, ηN
1659 ± 9	MANLEY	92	IPWA πN → πN & Nππ
1650 ± 30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA πN → πN
1670 ± 8	HOEHLER	79	IPWA πN → πN
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1651.2 ± 4.7	ARNDT	04	DPWA πN → πN, ηN
1665 ± 2	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel
1647 ± 20	BAI	01B	BES J/ψ → p $\bar{p}$ η
1689 ± 12	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
1677 ± 8	ARNDT	96	IPWA γN → πN
1667	ARNDT	95	DPWA πN → Nπ
1712	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	95	DPWA πN → Nπ
1669 ± 17	BATINIC	95	DPWA πN → Nπ, Nη
1713 ± 27	<sup>2</sup> BATINIC	95	DPWA πN → Nπ, Nη
1674	LI	93	IPWA γN → πN
1688	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA γN → πN
1672	MUSETTE	80	IPWA π <sup>-</sup> p → ΛK <sup>0</sup>
1680	SAXON	80	DPWA π <sup>-</sup> p → ΛK <sup>0</sup>
1700	<sup>3</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA πN → Nππ
1660	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA πN → Nππ

## N(1650) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>145 to 185 (≈ 165) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
115.4 ± 2.8	ARNDT	06	DPWA πN → πN, ηN
167.9 ± 9.4	GREEN	97	DPWA πN → πN, ηN
173 ± 12	MANLEY	92	IPWA πN → πN & Nππ
150 ± 40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA πN → πN
180 ± 20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA πN → πN
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
130.6 ± 7.0	ARNDT	04	DPWA πN → πN, ηN
138 ± 7	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel
145 <sup>+80</sup> / <sub>-45</sub>	BAI	01B	BES J/ψ → p $\bar{p}$ η
202 ± 40	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
160 ± 12	ARNDT	96	IPWA γN → πN
90	ARNDT	95	DPWA πN → Nπ

184	1	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
215 $\pm 32$		BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
279 $\pm 54$	2	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
225		LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
183		CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
179		MUSETTE	80	IPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
120		SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
170	3	LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
130	4	LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

## ***N*(1650) POLE POSITION**

### **REAL PART**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1640 to 1670 (<math>\approx 1655</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>					
1648		ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1670	5	HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1640 $\pm 20$		CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1653		ARNDT	04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1663		VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
1660 $\pm 10$	6	ARNDT	98	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1673		ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1689	1	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1657		ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1648 or 1651	7	LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1699 or 1698	3	LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

### **-2xIMAGINARY PART**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>150 to 180 (<math>\approx 165</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>					
80		ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
163	5	HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
150 $\pm 30$		CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
182		ARNDT	04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
240		VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
140 $\pm 20$	6	ARNDT	98	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
82		ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
192	1	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
160		ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
117 or 119	7	LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
174 or 173	3	LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

## ***N*(1650) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE**

### **MODULUS $|r|$**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
14		ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
39		HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
60 $\pm 10$		CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

69	ARNDT	04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
22	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
72	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
54	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

### PHASE $\theta$

VALUE ( $^\circ$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-69	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
-37	HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
-75 $\pm$ 25	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-55	ARNDT	04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
29	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
-85	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
-38	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

## N(1650) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $N\pi$	0.60 to 0.95
$\Gamma_2$ $N\eta$	3-10 %
$\Gamma_3$ $\Lambda K$	3-11 %
$\Gamma_4$ $\Sigma K$	
$\Gamma_5$ $N\pi\pi$	10-20 %
$\Gamma_6$ $\Delta\pi$	1-7 %
$\Gamma_7$ $\Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave}$	
$\Gamma_8$ $N\rho$	4-12 %
$\Gamma_9$ $N\rho, S=1/2, S\text{-wave}$	
$\Gamma_{10}$ $N\rho, S=3/2, D\text{-wave}$	
$\Gamma_{11}$ $N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$	<4 %
$\Gamma_{12}$ $N(1440)\pi$	<5 %
$\Gamma_{13}$ $p\gamma$	0.04-0.18 %
$\Gamma_{14}$ $p\gamma, \text{helicity}=1/2$	0.04-0.18 %
$\Gamma_{15}$ $n\gamma$	0.003-0.17 %
$\Gamma_{16}$ $n\gamma, \text{helicity}=1/2$	0.003-0.17 %

## N(1650) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<b>0.60 to 0.95 OUR ESTIMATE</b>				
1.0	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$	
0.735 $\pm$ 0.011	GREEN	97	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$	
0.89 $\pm$ 0.07	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \ \& \ N\pi\pi$	

0.65 ±0.10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.61 ±0.04	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.000	ARNDT	04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
0.65 ±0.04	PENNER	02C	DPWA	Multichannel
0.74 ±0.02	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
0.99	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
0.27	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
0.94 ±0.07	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
0.49 ±0.21	<sup>2</sup> BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.023 ± 0.022 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 4.3.		
0.010 ± 0.006	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel
0.06 ± 0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.06 ± 0.05	BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
0.02 ± 0.03	<sup>2</sup> BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

$\Gamma(\Lambda K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_3/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.029 ± 0.004 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
0.04 ± 0.01	SHKLYAR	05	DPWA Multichannel
0.027 ± 0.004	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow \Lambda K$   $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>-0.27 to -0.17 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.22	BELL	83	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
-0.22	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow \Sigma K$   $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>-0.254</b>	LIVANOS	80	DPWA $\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the  $\Delta(1620) S_{31}$  coupling to  $\Delta(1232)\pi$ .

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave}$   $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>+0.15 to 0.23 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
+0.12 ± 0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
+0.29	<sup>3,8</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.15	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.02±0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow N\rho, S=1/2, S\text{-wave}$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_9)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>±0.03 to ±0.19 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.01±0.09	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
+0.17	<sup>3,8</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
-0.16	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(N\rho, S=1/2, S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.01±0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow N\rho, S=3/2, D\text{-wave}$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{10})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>+0.17 to +0.29 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
+0.16±0.06	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
+0.29	<sup>3,8</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(N\rho, S=3/2, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.13±0.03	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{11})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>+0.04 to +0.18 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
+0.12±0.08	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
0.00	<sup>3,8</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.25	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.01±0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow N(1440)\pi$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{12})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
+0.11±0.06	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(N(1440)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.03±0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

## **$N(1650)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES**

### **$N(1650) \rightarrow p\gamma$ , helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$**

<u>VALUE (GeV<sup>-1/2</sup>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>+0.053±0.016 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
0.069±0.005	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.033±0.015	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.050±0.010	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.065±0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.061±0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.031±0.017	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.049	PENNER	02D	DPWA Multichannel
0.068±0.003	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.091	WADA	84	DPWA Compton scattering

### **$N(1650) \rightarrow n\gamma$ , helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$**

<u>VALUE (GeV<sup>-1/2</sup>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>-0.015±0.021 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.015±0.005	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.008±0.004	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.004±0.004	FUJII	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.010±0.020	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.008±0.019	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
-0.068±0.040	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.011±0.011	TAKEDA	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
-0.011	PENNER	02D	DPWA Multichannel
-0.002±0.002	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

## **$N(1650) \quad \gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ AMPLITUDES**

### **$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $p\gamma \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ ( $E_{0+}$ amplitude)**

<u>VALUE (units 10<sup>-3</sup>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●		
7.8 ±0.3	WORKMAN	90 DPWA
8.13	TANABE	89 DPWA

### **$p\gamma \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ phase angle $\theta$ ( $E_{0+}$ amplitude)**

<u>VALUE (degrees)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●		
-107 ±3	WORKMAN	90 DPWA
-107.8	TANABE	89 DPWA

## N(1650) FOOTNOTES

- <sup>1</sup> ARNDT 95 finds two distinct states.
- <sup>2</sup> BATINIC 95 finds two distinct states. This second resonance was associated with the  $N(2090) S_{11}$ .
- <sup>3</sup> LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- <sup>4</sup> From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- <sup>5</sup> See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of  $N$  and  $\Delta$  resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of  $\pi N$  elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
- <sup>6</sup> ARNDT 98 also lists pole residues, which display more model dependence than do the associated pole positions.
- <sup>7</sup> LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.
- <sup>8</sup> LONGACRE 77 considers this coupling to be well determined.

## N(1650) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
SHKLYAR	05	PR C72 015210	V. Shklyar, H. Lenske, U. Mosel	(GIES)
ARNDT	04	PR C69 035213	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU, TRIU)
PENNER	02C	PR C66 055211	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
PENNER	02D	PR C66 055212	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
BAI	01B	PL B510 75	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
ARNDT	98	PR C58 3636	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	
GREEN	97	PR C55 R2167	A.M. Green, S. Wycech	(HELs, WINR)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, BRCO)
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	(BOSK, UCLA)
Also		PR C57 1004 (erratum)	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	
HOEHLER	93	$\pi N$ Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also		PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
WORKMAN	90	PR C42 781	R.L. Workman	(VPI)
TANABE	89	PR C39 741	H. Tanabe, M. Kohno, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)
Also		NC 102A 193	M. Kohno, H. Tanabe, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)
WADA	84	NP B247 313	Y. Wada <i>et al.</i>	(INUS)
BELL	83	NP B222 389	K.W. Bell <i>et al.</i>	(RL) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELs, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also		NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO, OSAK)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93	I. Arai	(INUS)
Also		NP B194 251	I. Arai, H. Fujii	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	P. Livanos <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
MUSETTE	80	NC 57A 37	M. Musette	(BRUX) IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP

TAKEDA	80	NP B168 17	H. Takeda <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, INUS)
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	R.S. Longacre, J. Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also		NP B108 365	J. Dolbeau <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC) IJP

---