

$\eta_c(2S)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$$

Quantum numbers are quark model predictions.


$\eta_c(2S)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3638 ± 4	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.		
3645.0 ± 5.5 ^{+4.9} _{-7.8}	121 ± 27	AUBERT	05C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi c\bar{c}$
3642.9 ± 3.1 ± 1.5	61	ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
3630.8 ± 3.4 ± 1.0	112 ± 24	AUBERT	04D BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
3654 ± 6 ± 8	39 ± 11	CHOI	02 BELL	$B \rightarrow K K_S K^- \pi^+$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
3639 ± 7	98 ± 52	¹ AUBERT	06E BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_{c\bar{c}}$
3630 ± 8	164	² ABE	04G BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(c\bar{c})$
3622 ± 12	42	² ABE,K	02 BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + X$
3594 ± 5		³ EDWARDS	82C CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

¹ From the fit of the kaon momentum spectrum. Systematic errors not evaluated.

² From a fit of the J/ψ recoil mass spectrum. Systematic errors not estimated.

³ Assuming mass of $\psi(2S) = 3686$ MeV.



$\eta_c(2S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
14 ± 7 OUR AVERAGE					
6.3 ± 12.4 ± 4.0		61	ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
17.0 ± 8.3 ± 2.5		112 ± 24	AUBERT	04D BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<23	90	98 ± 52	⁴ AUBERT	06E BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \chi_{c\bar{c}}$
22 ± 14		121 ± 27	AUBERT	05C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi c\bar{c}$
<55	90	39 ± 11	⁵ CHOI	02 BELL	$B \rightarrow K K_S K^- \pi^+$
<8.0	95		⁶ EDWARDS	82C CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
⁴ From the fit of the kaon momentum spectrum. Systematic errors not evaluated.					
⁵ For a mass value of 3654 ± 6 MeV					
⁶ For a mass value of 3594 ± 5 MeV					

$\eta_c(2S)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 hadrons	
Γ_2 $K\bar{K}\pi$	seen
Γ_3 $p\bar{p}$	
Γ_4 $\gamma\gamma$	seen

$\eta_c(2S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_4
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.3 ± 0.6	⁷ ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	
⁷ They measure $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S)\gamma\gamma) B(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (0.18 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.02) \Gamma(\eta_c(1S)\gamma\gamma) B(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)$. The value for $\Gamma(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ is derived assuming that the branching fractions for $\eta_c(2S)$ and $\eta_c(1S)$ decays to $K_S K\pi$ are equal and using $\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 7.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 2.3$ keV.				

$\eta_c(2S) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁸)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_3\Gamma_4/\Gamma^2$
$\Gamma(p\bar{p}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$					
< 5.6	90	^{8,9,10} AMBROGIANI 01	E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 8.0	90	^{8,9,11} AMBROGIANI 01	E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	
< 12.0	90	^{9,11} AMBROGIANI 01	E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	
⁸ Including the measurements of of ARMSTRONG 95F in the AMBROGIANI 01 analysis.					
⁹ For a total width $\Gamma=5$ MeV.					
¹⁰ For the resonance mass region 3589–3599 MeV/ c^2 .					
¹¹ For the resonance mass region 3575–3660 MeV/ c^2 .					

$\eta_c(2S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS $\Gamma(\text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
not seen	ABREU	980 DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ +hadrons
seen	¹² EDWARDS	82C CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	39 ± 11	¹³ CHOI	02 BELL	$B \rightarrow K K_S K^- \pi^+$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<0.01	90	LEE	85 CBAL	$\psi' \rightarrow \text{photons}$
¹² For a mass value of 3594 ± 5 MeV				
¹³ For a mass value of 3654 ± 6 MeV				

 $\eta_c(2S)$ REFERENCES

AUBERT	06E	PRL 96 052002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	05C	PR D72 031101R	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ABE	04G	PR D70 071102	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ASNER	04	PRL 92 142001	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	04D	PRL 92 142002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ABE,K	02	PRL 89 142001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
CHOI	02	PRL 89 102001	S.-K. Choi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AMBROGIANI	01	PR D64 052003	M. Ambrogiani <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)
ABREU	98O	PL B441 479	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	95F	PR D52 4839	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
LEE	85	SLAC 282	R.A. Lee	(SLAC)
EDWARDS	82C	PRL 48 70	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

BADALIAN	03	PR D67 071901	A.M. Badalian, B.L.G. Bakker	
EICHTEN	02	PRL 89 162002	E.J. Eichten, K. Lane, C. Quigg	
ACCIARRI	99T	PL B461 155	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
OREGLIA	82	PR D25 2259	M.J. Oreglia <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CIT, HARV+)
PORTER	81	SLAC Summer Inst. 355	F.C. Porter <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)
BARTEL	78B	PL 79B 492	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, HEIDP)