$\rho_3(1690)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(3^{--})$

$\rho_3(1690)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
1688.8±2.1 OUR AVERAGE	Includes data from the 5 datablocks that follow this one.

2π MODE

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1686± 4 OUR AVERAGE						
1677±14		EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG	−	12 $\pi^- p \to 2\pi p$
1679±11	476	BALTAY	78B	HBC	0	15 $\pi^+ p \to \pi^+\pi^- n$
1678±12	175	[1] ANTIPOV	77	CIBS	0	25 $\pi^- p \to p 3\pi$
1690± 7	600	[1] ENGLER	74	DBC	0	6 $\pi^+ n \to \pi^+\pi^- p$
1693± 8		[2] GRAYER	74	ASPK	0	17 $\pi^- p \to \pi^+\pi^- n$
1678±12		MATTHEWS	71C	DBC	0	7 $\pi^+ N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1734±10		[3] CORDEN	79	OMEG		12–15 $\pi^- p \to n 2\pi$
1692±12		[2,4] ESTABROOKS	75	RVUE		17 $\pi^- p \to \pi^+\pi^- n$
1737±23		ARMENISE	70	DBC	0	9 $\pi^+ N$
1650±35	122	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \to N 2\pi$
1687±21		STUNTEBECK	70	HDBC	0	8 $\pi^- p$, 5.4 $\pi^+ d$
1683±13		ARMENISE	68	DBC	0	5.1 $\pi^+ d$
1670±30		GOLDBERG	65	HBC	0	6 $\pi^+ d$, 8 $\pi^- p$

[1] Mass errors enlarged by us to $\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.
[2] Uses same data as HYAMS 75.
[3] From a phase shift solution containing a $f_2'(1525)$ width two times larger than the $K\overline{K}$ result.
[4] From phase-shift analysis. Error takes account of spread of different phase-shift solutions.

$K\overline{K}$ AND $K\overline{K}\pi$ MODES

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1696± 4 OUR AVERAGE						
1699± 5		ALPER	80	CNTR	0	62 $\pi^- p \to K^+ K^- n$
1698±12	6k	[5,6] MARTIN	78D	SPEC		10 $\pi p \to K_S^0 K^- p$
1692± 6		BLUM	75	ASPK	0	18.4 $\pi^- p \to n K^+ K^-$
1690±16		ADERHOLZ	69	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \to K\overline{K}\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1694± 8		[7] COSTA...	80	OMEG		10 $\pi^- p \to K^+ K^- n$

[5] From a fit to $J^P = 3^-$ partial wave.
[6] Systematic error on mass scale subtracted.
[7] They cannot distinguish between $\rho_3(1690)$ and $\omega_3(1670)$.

$(4\pi)^\pm$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.						
1686± 5 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
1694± 6		[8] EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG	−	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1665±15	177	BALTAY	78B	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1670±10		THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p$
1687±20		CASON	73	HBC	−	8,18.5 $\pi^- p$
1685±14		[9] CASON	73	HBC	−	8,18.5 $\pi^- p$
1680±40	144	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow N4\pi$
1689±20	102	[9] BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow N2\rho$
1705±21		CASO	70	HBC	−	11.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\rho 2\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1718±10		[10] EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG	−	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1673± 9		[11] EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG	−	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1733± 9	66	[9] KLIGER	74	HBC	−	4.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1630±15		HOLMES	72	HBC	+	10–12 $K^+ p$
1720±15		BALTAY	68	HBC	+	7, 8.5 $\pi^+ p$

[8] From $\rho^- \rho^0$ mode, not independent of the other two EVANGELISTA 81 entries.
[9] From $\rho^\pm \rho^0$ mode.
[10] From $a_2(1320)^- \pi^0$ mode, not independent of the other two EVANGELISTA 81 entries.
[11] From $a_2(1320)^0 \pi^-$ mode, not independent of the other two EVANGELISTA 81 entries.

$\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					
1681± 7 OUR AVERAGE					
1670±25	[12] ALDE	95	GAM2		38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$
1690±15	EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG	−	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi p$
1666±14	GESSAROLI	77	HBC		11 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi p$
1686± 9	THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1654±24	BARNHAM	70	HBC	+	10 $K^+ p \rightarrow \omega\pi X$

[12] Supersedes ALDE 92C.

$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ MODE

(For difficulties with MMS experiments, see the $a_2(1320)$ mini-review in the 1973 edition.)

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					
1682±12 OUR AVERAGE					
1685±10±20	AMELIN	00	VES		37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
1680±15	FUKUI	88	SPEC	0	8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1700±47	[13] ANDERSON	69	MMS	−	16 $\pi^- p$ backward
1632±15	[13,14] FOCACCI	66	MMS	−	7–12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p$MM
1700±15	[13,14] FOCACCI	66	MMS	−	7–12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p$MM
1748±15	[13,14] FOCACCI	66	MMS	−	7–12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p$MM

[13] Seen in 2.5–3 GeV/c $\overline{p}p$. $2\pi^+2\pi^-$, with 0, 1, 2 $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs in ρ band not seen by OREN 74 (2.3 GeV/c $\overline{p}p$) with more statistics. (Jan. 1976)

[14] Not seen by BOWEN 72.

$\rho_3(1690)$ WIDTH

2π, $K\overline{K}$, AND $K\overline{K}\pi$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*

161±10 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 5 datablocks that follow this one. Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.



$\rho_3(1690)$ width, 2π, $K\overline{K}$, and $K\overline{K}\pi$ modes (MeV)

2π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					
186±14 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.				
220±29		DENNEY 83	LASS		10 $\pi^+ N$
246±37		EVANGELISTA 81	OMEG	$-$	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\pi p$
116±30	476	BALTAY 78B	HBC	0	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$
162±50	175	[15] ANTIPOV 77	CIBS	0	25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
167±40	600	ENGLER 74	DBC	0	6 $\pi^+ n \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- p$
200±18		[16] GRAYER 74	ASPK	0	17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$
156±36		MATTHEWS 71C	DBC	0	7 $\pi^+ N$
171±65		ARMENISE 70	DBC	0	9 $\pi^+ d$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
322±35		[17] CORDEN 79	OMEG		12–15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi$
240±30		[16,18] ESTABROOKS 75	RVUE		17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$
180±30	122	BARTSCH 70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow N 2\pi$

267^{+72}_{-46}	STUNTEBECK	70	HDBC	0	$8\ \pi^- p$, $5.4\ \pi^+ d$
188 ± 49	ARMENISE	68	DBC	0	$5.1\ \pi^+ d$
180 ± 40	GOLDBERG	65	HBC	0	$6\ \pi^+ d$, $8\ \pi^- p$

[15] Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

[16] Uses same data as HYAMS 75 and BECKER 79.

[17] From a phase shift solution containing a $f'_2(1525)$ width two times larger than the $K\overline{K}$ result.

[18] From phase-shift analysis. Error takes account of spread of different phase-shift solutions.



$\rho_3(1690)$ width, 2π mode (MeV)

$K\overline{K}$ AND $K\overline{K}\pi$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.						
204±18 OUR AVERAGE						
199 ± 40	6000	[19] MARTIN	78D	SPEC		$10\ \pi p \to K^0_S K^- p$
205 ± 20		BLUM	75	ASPK	0	$18.4\ \pi^- p \to n K^+ K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
$219\pm\ 4$		ALPER	80	CNTR	0	$62\ \pi^- p \to K^+ K^- n$
186 ± 11		[20] COSTA...	80	OMEG		$10\ \pi^- p \to K^+ K^- n$
112 ± 60		ADERHOLZ	69	HBC	+	$8\ \pi^+ p \to K\overline{K}\pi$

[19] From a fit to $J^P = 3^-$ partial wave.

[20] They cannot distinguish between $\rho_3(1690)$ and $\omega_3(1670)$.

$(4\pi)^{\pm}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.						
129±10 OUR AVERAGE						
123±13		[21] EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG	−	12 $\pi^- p \to p4\pi$
105±30	177	BALTAY	78B	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \to p4\pi$
169^{+70}_{-48}		CASON	73	HBC	−	8,18.5 $\pi^- p$
135±30	144	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \to N4\pi$
160±30	102	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \to N2\rho$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
230±28		[22] EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG	−	12 $\pi^- p \to p4\pi$
184±33		[23] EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG	−	12 $\pi^- p \to p4\pi$
150	66	[24] KLIGER	74	HBC	−	4.5 $\pi^- p \to p4\pi$
106±25		THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p$
125^{+83}_{-35}		[24] CASON	73	HBC	−	8,18.5 $\pi^- p$
130±30		HOLMES	72	HBC	+	10–12 $K^+ p$
180±30	90	[24] BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \to N a_2 \pi$
100±35		BALTAY	68	HBC	+	7, 8.5 $\pi^+ p$

[21] From $\rho^- \rho^0$ mode, not independent of the other two EVANGELISTA 81 entries.
[22] From $a_2(1320)^- \pi^0$ mode, not independent of the other two EVANGELISTA 81 entries.
[23] From $a_2(1320)^0 \pi^-$ mode, not independent of the other two EVANGELISTA 81 entries.
[24] From $\rho^{\pm} \rho^0$ mode.

$\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					
190±40 OUR AVERAGE					
230±65	[25] ALDE	95	GAM2		38 $\pi^- p \to \omega\pi^0 n$
190±65	EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG	−	12 $\pi^- p \to \omega\pi p$
160±56	GESSAROLI	77	HBC		11 $\pi^- p \to \omega\pi p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
89±25	THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p$
130^{+73}_{-43}	BARNHAM	70	HBC	+	10 $K^+ p \to \omega\pi X$

[25] Supersedes ALDE 92C.

$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ MODE

(For difficulties with MMS experiments, see the $a_2(1320)$ mini-review in the 1973 edition.)

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					
126±40 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8.					
220±30±50	AMELIN	00	VES		37 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
106±27	FUKUI	88	SPEC	0	8.95 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

195	[26] ANDERSON	69	MMS	–	16 $\pi^- p$ backward
$<$ 21	[26,27] FOCACCI	66	MMS	–	7–12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p$MM
$<$ 30	[26,27] FOCACCI	66	MMS	–	7–12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p$MM
$<$ 38	[26,27] FOCACCI	66	MMS	–	7–12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p$MM

[26] Seen in 2.5–3 GeV/c $\overline{p}p$. $2\pi^+2\pi^-$, with 0, 1, 2 $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs in ρ^0 band not seen by OREN 74 (2.3 GeV/c $\overline{p}p$) with more statistics. (Jan. 1979)

[27] Not seen by BOWEN 72.

$\rho_3(1690)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor
Γ_1	4π	(71.1 ± 1.9) %	
Γ_2	$\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(67 ± 22) %	
Γ_3	$\omega\pi$	(16 ± 6) %	
Γ_4	$\pi\pi$	(23.6 ± 1.3) %	
Γ_5	$K\overline{K}\pi$	(3.8 ± 1.2) %	
Γ_6	$K\overline{K}$	(1.58 ± 0.26) %	1.2
Γ_7	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	seen	
Γ_8	$\rho(770)\eta$	seen	
Γ_9	$\pi\pi\rho$	seen	
	Excluding 2ρ and $a_2(1320)\pi$.		
Γ_{10}	$a_2(1320)\pi$	seen	
Γ_{11}	$\rho\rho$	seen	
Γ_{12}	$\phi\pi$		
Γ_{13}	$\eta\pi$		
Γ_{14}	$\pi^\pm 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-\pi^0$		

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 5 branching ratios uses 10 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 =$ 14.7 for 7 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\left\langle\delta x_i \delta x_j\right\rangle/(\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_4	−77		
x_5	−74	17	
x_6	−15	2	0
	x_1	x_4	x_5

$\rho_3(1690)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.236±0.013 OUR FIT					
0.243±0.013 OUR AVERAGE					
$0.259^{+0.018}_{-0.019}$	BECKER	79	ASPK	0	17 $\pi^- p$ polarized
0.23 ±0.02	CORDEN	79	OMEG		12–15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi$
0.22 ±0.04	[28] MATTHEWS	71C	HDBC	0	7 $\pi^+ n \rightarrow \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.245±0.006	[29] ESTABROOKS	75	RVUE		17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$

[28] One-pion-exchange model used in this estimation.
[29] From phase-shift analysis of HYAMS 75 data.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ — Γ_4/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.35±0.11	CASON	73	HBC	−	8,18.5 $\pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.2	HOLMES	72	HBC	+	10–12 $K^+ p$
<0.12	BALLAM	71B	HBC	−	16 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.332±0.026 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
0.30 ±0.10	BALTAY	78B	HBC	0	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 4\pi$

$\Gamma(K\overline{K})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ — Γ_6/Γ_4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.067±0.011 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.					
$\mathbf{0.118^{+0.039}_{-0.032}}$ **OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.					
$0.191^{+0.040}_{-0.037}$	GORLICH	80	ASPK	0	17,18 $\pi^- p$ polarized
0.08 ±0.03	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p$
$0.08\ ^{+0.08}_{-0.03}$	CRENNELL	68B	HBC		6.0 $\pi^- p$



$\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_4

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
0.16±0.05 OUR FIT					
0.16±0.05	[30] BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p$

[30] Increased by us to correspond to B($\rho_3(1690) \rightarrow \pi\pi$)=0.24.

$\left[\Gamma(\pi\pi\rho)+\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)+\Gamma(\rho\rho)\right]/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ $(\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10}+\Gamma_{11})/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
0.94±0.09 OUR AVERAGE					
0.96±0.21	BALTAY	78B	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p4\pi$
0.88±0.15	BALLAM	71B	HBC	−	16 $\pi^- p$
1 ±0.15	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p$
consistent with 1	CASO	68	HBC	−	11 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{11}/Γ_2

VALUE	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.12±0.11		BALTAY	78B	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p4\pi$
0.56	66	KLIGER	74	HBC	−	4.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p4\pi$
0.13±0.09		[31] THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p$
0.7 ±0.15		BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p$

[31] $\rho\rho$ and $a_2(1320)\pi$ modes are indistinguishable.

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/[\Gamma(\pi\pi\rho)+\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)+\Gamma(\rho\rho)]$ $\Gamma_{11}/(\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10}+\Gamma_{11})$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.48±0.16	CASO	68	HBC	−	11 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.66±0.08	BALTAY	78B	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \to p 4\pi$
0.36±0.14	[32] THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p$
not seen	CASON	73	HBC	−	8,18.5 $\pi^- p$
0.6 ±0.15	BARTSCH	70B	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p$
0.6	BALTAY	68	HBC	+	7,8.5 $\pi^+ p$

[32] $\rho\rho$ and $a_2(1320)\pi$ modes are indistinguishable.

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_3/Γ_2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.23±0.05 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
0.33±0.07		THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p$
0.12±0.07		BALLAM	71B	HBC	−	16 $\pi^- p$
0.25±0.10		BALTAY	68	HBC	+	7,8.5 $\pi^+ p$
0.25±0.10		JOHNSTON	68	HBC	−	7.0 $\pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.11	95	BALTAY	78B	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \to p 4\pi$
<0.09		KLIGER	74	HBC	−	4.5 $\pi^- p \to p 4\pi$

$\Gamma(\phi\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{12}/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.11	BALTAY	68	HBC	+	7,8.5 $\pi^+ p$

$\Gamma(\pi^\pm 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{14}/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.15	BALTAY	68	HBC	+	7,8.5 $\pi^+ p$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{13}/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.02	THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p$

$\Gamma(K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.0158±0.0026 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.					
0.0130±0.0024 OUR AVERAGE					
0.013 ±0.003	COSTA...	80	OMEG	0	10 $\pi^- p \to K^+ K^- n$
0.013 ±0.004	[33] MARTIN	78B	SPEC	−	10 $\pi p \to K^0_S K^- p$

[33] From $(\Gamma_4\Gamma_6)^{1/2} = 0.056 \pm 0.034$ assuming B($\rho_3(1690) \to \pi\pi$) = 0.24.

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/[\Gamma(\omega\pi)+\Gamma(\rho\rho)]$ $\Gamma_3/(\Gamma_3+\Gamma_{11})$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.22±0.08	CASON	73	HBC	−	8,18.5 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
seen	FUKUI	88	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma(\rho(770)\eta)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_8

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.5±2.0	AMELIN	00	VES	37 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

$\rho_3(1690)$ REFERENCES

AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin et al.	(VES Collab.)	
ALDE	95	ZPHY C66 379	D.M. Alde et al.	(GAMS Collab.)	JP
ALDE	92C	ZPHY C54 553	D.M. Alde et al.	(BELG, SERP, KEK, LANL+)	
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui et al.	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)	
DENNEY	83	PR D28 2726	D.L. Denney et al.	(IOWA, MICH)	
EVANGELISTA	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista et al.	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)	
ALPER	80	PL 94B 422	B. Alper et al.	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)	
COSTA...	80	NP B175 402	G. Costa de Beauregard et al.	(BARI, BONN+)	
GORLICH	80	NP B174 16	L. Gorlich et al.	(CRAC, MPIM, CERN+)	
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker et al.	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)	
CORDEN	79	NP B157 250	M.J. Corden et al.	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+)	JP
BALTAY	78B	PR D17 62	C. Baltay et al.	(COLU, BING)	
MARTIN	78B	NP B140 158	A.D. Martin et al.	(DURH, GEVA)	
MARTIN	78D	PL 74B 417	A.D. Martin et al.	(DURH, GEVA)	
ANTIPOV	77	NP B119 45	Y.M. Antipov et al.	(SERP, GEVA)	
GESSAROLI	77	NP B126 382	R. Gessaroli et al.	(BGNA, FIRZ, GENO+)	
BLUM	75	PL 57B 403	W. Blum et al.	(CERN, MPIM)	JP
ESTABROOKS	75	NP B95 322	P.G. Estabrooks, A.D. Martin	(DURH)	
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams et al.	(CERN, MPIM)	
ENGLER	74	PR D10 2070	A. Engler et al.	(CMU, CASE)	
GRAYER	74	NP B75 189	G. Grayer et al.	(CERN, MPIM)	
KLIGER	74	SJNP 19 428	G.K. Kliger et al.	(ITEP)	
		Translated from YAF 19 839.			
OREN	74	NP B71 189	Y. Oren et al.	(ANL, OXF)	
THOMPSON	74	NP B69 220	G. Thompson et al.	(PURD)	
CASON	73	PR D7 1971	N.M. Cason et al.	(NDAM)	
BOWEN	72	PRL 29 890	D.R. Bowen et al.	(NEAS, STON)	
HOLMES	72	PR D6 3336	R. Holmes et al.	(ROCH)	
BALLAM	71B	PR D3 2606	J. Ballam et al.	(SLAC)	
MATTHEWS	71C	NP B33 1	J.A.J. Matthews et al.	(TNTO, WISC)	JP
ARMENISE	70	LNC 4 199	N. Armenise et al.	(BARI, BGNA, FIRZ)	
BARNHAM	70	PRL 24 1083	K.W.J. Barnham et al.	(BIRM)	
BARTSCH	70B	NP B22 109	J. Bartsch et al.	(AACH, BERL, CERN)	
CASO	70	LNC 3 707	C. Caso et al.	(GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL)	
STUNTEBECK	70	PL 32B 391	P.H. Stuntebeck et al.	(NDAM)	
ADERHOLZ	69	NP B11 259	M. Aderholz et al.	(AACH3, BERL, CERN+)	
ANDERSON	69	PRL 22 1390	E.W. Anderson et al.	(BNL, CMU)	
ARMENISE	68	NC 54A 999	N. Armenise et al.	(BARI, BGNA, FIRZ+)	I
BALTAY	68	PRL 20 887	C. Baltay et al.	(COLU, ROCH, RUTG, YALE)	I
CASO	68	NC 54A 983	C. Caso et al.	(GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL)	
CRENNELL	68B	PL 28B 136	D.J. Crennell et al.	(BNL)	
JOHNSTON	68	PRL 20 1414	T.F. Johnston et al.	(TNTO, WISC)	IJP
FOCACCI	66	PRL 17 890	M.N. Focacci et al.	(CERN)	
GOLDBERG	65	PL 17 354	M. Goldberg et al.	(CERN, EPOL, ORSAY+)	

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

BARNETT	83B	PL 120B 455	B. Barnett *et al.*	(JHU)
EHRLICH	66	PR 152 1194	R. Ehrlich, W. Selove, H. Yuta	(PENN)
LEVRAT	66	PL 22 714	B. Levrat *et al.*	
SEGUINOT	66	PL 19 712	J. Seguinot *et al.*	
BELLINI	65	NC 40A 948	G. Bellini *et al.*	(MILA)
DEUTSCH...	65	PL 18 351	M. Deutschmann *et al.*	(AACH3, BERL, CERN)
FORINO	65	PL 19 65	A. Forino *et al.*	(BGNA, ORSAY, SACL)