

***N(1710) P<sub>11</sub>*** $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$  Status: \*\*\*

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

The various partial-wave analyses do not agree very well.

***N(1710) BREIT-WIGNER MASS***

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1680 to 1740 (<math>\approx 1710</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1717 $\pm$ 28	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
1700 $\pm$ 50	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1723 $\pm$ 9	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1752 $\pm$ 3	PENNER 02C	DPWA	Multichannel
1699 $\pm$ 65	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
1720 $\pm$ 10	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1766 $\pm$ 34	<sup>1</sup> BATINIC 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
1706	CUTKOSKY 90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1692	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1730	SAXON 80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1690	BAKER 79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$
1650 to 1680	BAKER 78	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1721	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1625 $\pm$ 10	<sup>2</sup> BAKER 77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1650	<sup>2</sup> BAKER 77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1720	<sup>3</sup> LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1670	KNASEL 75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1710	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

***N(1710) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH***

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>50 to 250 (<math>\approx 100</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
480 $\pm$ 230	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
93 $\pm$ 30	CUTKOSKY 90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
90 $\pm$ 30	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
120 $\pm$ 15	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
386 $\pm$ 59	PENNER 02C	DPWA	Multichannel
143 $\pm$ 100	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
105 $\pm$ 10	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
185 $\pm$ 61	BATINIC 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
540	BELL 83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
200	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
550	SAXON 80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

97	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$
90 to 150	BAKER	78	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
167	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
160± 6	<sup>2</sup> BAKER	77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
95	<sup>2</sup> BAKER	77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
120	<sup>3</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
174	KNASEL	75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
75	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

---

**N(1710) POLE POSITION****REAL PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1670 to 1770 (<math>\approx 1720</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1690	<sup>5</sup> HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1698	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1690±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1679	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
1770	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1636	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1708 or 1712	<sup>6</sup> LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1720 or 1711	<sup>3</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

**-2×IMAGINARY PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>80 to 380 (<math>\approx 230</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
200	<sup>5</sup> HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
88	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
80±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
132	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
378	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
544	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
17 or 22	<sup>6</sup> LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
123 or 115	<sup>3</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

---

**N(1710) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE****MODULUS |r|**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
15	HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
9	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
8±2	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
37	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
149	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

**PHASE  $\theta$** 

<i>VALUE</i> ( $^{\circ}$ )	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>
-167	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$175 \pm 35$	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
-167	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
149	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

 **$N(1710)$  DECAY MODES**

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 N\pi$	10–20 %
$\Gamma_2 N\eta$	( $6.2 \pm 1.0$ ) %
$\Gamma_3 N\omega$	( $13.0 \pm 2.0$ ) %
$\Gamma_4 \Lambda K$	5–25 %
$\Gamma_5 \Sigma K$	
$\Gamma_6 N\pi\pi$	40–90 %
$\Gamma_7 \Delta\pi$	15–40 %
$\Gamma_8 \Delta(1232)\pi$ , <i>P</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_9 N\rho$	5–25 %
$\Gamma_{10} N\rho$ , <i>S</i> =1/2, <i>P</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_{11} N\rho$ , <i>S</i> =3/2, <i>P</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_{12} N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$	10–40 %
$\Gamma_{13} p\gamma$	0.002–0.05%
$\Gamma_{14} p\gamma$ , helicity=1/2	0.002–0.05%
$\Gamma_{15} n\gamma$	0.0–0.02%
$\Gamma_{16} n\gamma$ , helicity=1/2	0.0–0.02%

 **$N(1710)$  BRANCHING RATIOS**

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<i>VALUE</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>
<b>0.10 to 0.20 OUR ESTIMATE</b>	
0.09 $\pm$ 0.04	MANLEY 92 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.20 $\pm$ 0.04	CUTKOSKY 80 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.12 $\pm$ 0.04	HOEHLER 79 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>	
0.14 $\pm$ 0.08	PENNER 02C DPWA Multichannel
0.27 $\pm$ 0.13	VRANA 00 DPWA Multichannel
0.08 $\pm$ 0.14	BATINIC 95 DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$ , $N\eta$

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.062±0.010 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.36 ± 0.11	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel
0.06 ± 0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.16 ± 0.10	BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N\eta$  $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.22	BAKER	79	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$
+0.383	FELTESSE	75	DPWA Soln A; see BAKER 79

 $\Gamma(N\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_3/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.13±0.02</b>			
PENNER	02C	DPWA	Multichannel

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Lambda K$  $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>+0.12 to +0.18 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
+0.16	BELL	83	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
+0.14	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.12	<sup>7</sup> BAKER	78	DPWA See SAXON 80
-0.05±0.03	<sup>2</sup> BAKER	77	IPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
-0.10	<sup>2</sup> BAKER	77	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
0.10	KNASEL	75	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

 $\Gamma(\Lambda K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_4/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.05±0.03</b>			
0.05±0.02	SHKLYAR	05	DPWA Multichannel
0.1 ± 0.1	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel
	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

 $\Gamma(\Sigma K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.07±0.07	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Sigma K$  $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.034	LIVANOS	80	DPWA $\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$
0.075 to 0.203	<sup>8</sup> DEANS	75	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase

ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the  $\Delta(1620)$   $S_{31}$  coupling to  $\Delta(1232)\pi$ .

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$ , $P$ -wave	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_8)^{1/2} / \Gamma$		
$\text{VALUE}$	$\text{DOCUMENT ID}$	$\text{TECN}$	$\text{COMMENT}$
<b><math>\pm 0.16</math> to <math>\pm 0.22</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.21 ± 0.04	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
-0.17	<sup>3</sup> LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.20	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, P\text{-wave}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_8 / \Gamma$		
$\text{VALUE}$	$\text{DOCUMENT ID}$	$\text{TECN}$	$\text{COMMENT}$
0.39 ± 0.08	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N\rho, S=1/2$ , $P$ -wave	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{10})^{1/2} / \Gamma$		
$\text{VALUE}$	$\text{DOCUMENT ID}$	$\text{TECN}$	$\text{COMMENT}$
<b><math>\pm 0.09</math> to <math>\pm 0.19</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
+0.05 ± 0.06	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
+0.19	<sup>3</sup> LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
-0.20	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
$\Gamma(N\rho, S=1/2, P\text{-wave}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{10} / \Gamma$		
$\text{VALUE}$	$\text{DOCUMENT ID}$	$\text{TECN}$	$\text{COMMENT}$
0.17 ± 0.01	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N\rho, S=3/2$ , $P$ -wave	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{11})^{1/2} / \Gamma$		
$\text{VALUE}$	$\text{DOCUMENT ID}$	$\text{TECN}$	$\text{COMMENT}$
+0.31	<sup>3</sup> LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N(\pi\pi)^{l=0}$	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{12})^{1/2} / \Gamma$		
$\text{VALUE}$	$\text{DOCUMENT ID}$	$\text{TECN}$	$\text{COMMENT}$
<b><math>\pm 0.14</math> to <math>\pm 0.22</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
+0.04 ± 0.05	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
-0.26	<sup>3</sup> LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
-0.28	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
$\Gamma(N(\pi\pi)^{l=0}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{12} / \Gamma$		
$\text{VALUE}$	$\text{DOCUMENT ID}$	$\text{TECN}$	$\text{COMMENT}$
0.01 ± 0.01	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

### $N(1710)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

#### $N(1710) \rightarrow p\gamma$ , helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

$\text{VALUE (GeV}^{-1/2}\text{)}$	$\text{DOCUMENT ID}$	$\text{TECN}$	$\text{COMMENT}$
<b><math>+0.009 \pm 0.022</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
0.007 ± 0.015	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.006 ± 0.018	CRAWFORD 83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.028 ± 0.009	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.009 ± 0.006	ARAI 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
-0.012 ± 0.005	ARAI 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.015 ± 0.025	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.044	PENNER	02D	DPWA	Multichannel
-0.037±0.002	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.001±0.039	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.053±0.019	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

### $N(1710) \rightarrow n\gamma$ , helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>-0.002±0.014 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.002±0.015	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.000±0.018	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.001±0.003	FUJII	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.005±0.013	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.011±0.021	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
-0.017±0.020	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.024	PENNER	02D	DPWA Multichannel
0.052±0.003	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.028±0.045	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

### $N(1710) \gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ AMPLITUDES

$$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } p\gamma \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ \quad (\mathbf{M}_{1-} \text{ amplitude})$$

VALUE (units 10 $^{-3}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
-10.6 ± 0.4	WORKMAN	90
- 7.21	TANABE	89

$$p\gamma \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ \text{ phase angle } \theta \quad (\mathbf{M}_{1-} \text{ amplitude})$$

VALUE (degrees)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
215 ± 3	WORKMAN	90
176.3	TANABE	89

### $N(1710)$ FOOTNOTES

<sup>1</sup> BATINIC 95 finds a second state with a 6 MeV mass difference.

<sup>2</sup> The two BAKER 77 entries are from an IPWA using the Barrelet-zero method and from a conventional energy-dependent analysis.

<sup>3</sup> LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

<sup>4</sup> From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

<sup>5</sup> See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of  $N$  and  $\Delta$  resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of  $\pi N$  elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

- <sup>6</sup> LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.
- <sup>7</sup> The overall phase of BAKER 78 couplings has been changed to agree with previous conventions.
- <sup>8</sup> The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions.

## N(1710) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

SHKLYAR	05	PR C72 015210	V. Shklyar, H. Lenske, U. Mosel	(GIES)
PENNER	02C	PR C66 055211	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
PENNER	02D	PR C66 055212	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, BRCO)
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	(BOSK, UCLA)
Also		PR C57 1004 (erratum)	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	
HOEHLER	93	$\pi N$ Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also		PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CUTKOSKY	90	PR D42 235	R.E. Cutkosky, S. Wang	(CMU)
WORKMAN	90	PR C42 781	R.L. Workman	(VPI)
TANABE	89	PR C39 741	H. Tanabe, M. Kohno, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)
Also		NC 102A 193	M. Kohno, H. Tanabe, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)
BELL	83	NP B222 389	K.W. Bell <i>et al.</i>	(RL) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also		NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO, OSAK)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93	I. Arai	(INUS)
Also		NP B194 251	I. Arai, H. Fujii	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	P. Livanos <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	R.D. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BAKER	78	NP B141 29	R.D. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(RL, CAVE) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	I.M. Barbour, R.L. Crawford, N.H. Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
BAKER	77	NP B126 365	R.D. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL) IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	R.S. Longacre, J. Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also		NP B108 365	J. Dolbeau <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	P. Feller <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO, OSAK) IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	S.R. Deans <i>et al.</i>	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
FELTESSE	75	NP B93 242	J. Feltesse <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
KNASEL	75	PR D11 1	T.M. Knasel <i>et al.</i>	(CHIC, WUSL, OSU+) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC) IJP