$\Lambda(1670)$ S_{01}

$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ Status: ****

The measurements of the mass, width, and elasticity published before 1974 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They were last listed in our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

$\Lambda(1670)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1660 to 1680 (≈ 1670) OUR ESTIMATE				
1677.5 ± 0.8	[1] GARCIA-REC...	03	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
1673 ± 2	MANLEY	02	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
1670.8 ± 1.7	KOISO	85	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Sigma\pi$
1667 ± 5	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
1671 ± 3	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
1670 ± 5	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
1675 ± 2	HEPP	76B	DPWA	$K^- N \to \Sigma\pi$
1679 ± 1	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Sigma\pi$
1665 ± 5	PREVOST	74	DPWA	$K^- N \to \Sigma(1385)\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1668.9 ± 2.0	ABAEV	96	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Lambda\eta$
1664	[2] MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel

$\Lambda(1670)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
25 to 50 (≈ 35) OUR ESTIMATE				
29.2 ± 1.4	[1] GARCIA-REC...	03	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
23 ± 6	MANLEY	02	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
34.1 ± 3.7	KOISO	85	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Sigma\pi$
29 ± 5	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
29 ± 5	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
45 ± 10	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
46 ± 5	HEPP	76B	DPWA	$K^- N \to \Sigma\pi$
40 ± 3	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Sigma\pi$
19 ± 5	PREVOST	74	DPWA	$K^- N \to \Sigma(1385)\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
21.1 ± 3.6	ABAEV	96	DPWA	$K^- p \to \Lambda\eta$
12	[2] MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel

Λ(1670) DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\overline{K}$	20–30 %
Γ_2	$\Sigma\pi$	25–55 %
Γ_3	$\Lambda\eta$	10–25 %
Γ_4	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$	

The above branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Λ(1670) BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.20 to 0.30 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.37±0.07	MANLEY	02	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
0.18±0.03	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
0.17±0.03	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \to \overline{K}N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.20±0.03	GOPAL	77	DPWA	See GOPAL 80
0.15	[2] MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel

$\Gamma(\Lambda\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.30±0.08	ABAEV	96	DPWA	$K^-p \to \Lambda\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(1670) \to \Sigma\pi$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.38±0.03	MANLEY	02	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
−0.26±0.02	KOISO	85	DPWA	$K^-p \to \Sigma\pi$
−0.31±0.03	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
−0.29±0.03	HEPP	76B	DPWA	$K^-N \to \Sigma\pi$
−0.23±0.03	LONDON	75	HLBC	$K^-p \to \Sigma^0\pi^0$
−0.27±0.02	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^-p \to \Sigma\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.13	[2] MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(1670) \to \Lambda\eta$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.24±0.04	MANLEY	02	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
+0.20±0.05	BAXTER	73	DPWA	$K^-p \to$ neutrals
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.24	KIM	71	DPWA	K-matrix analysis
0.26	ARMENTEROS	69C	HBC	
0.20 or 0.23	BERLEY	65	HBC	

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\overline{K} \to \Lambda(1670) \to \Sigma(1385)\pi$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.17 ± 0.06	MANLEY	02	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
-0.18 ± 0.05	PREVOST	74	DPWA	$K^- N \to \Sigma(1385)\pi$

$\Lambda(1670)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] GARCIA-RECIO 03 gives pole, not Breit-Wigner, parameters, but the narrow width of the $\Lambda(1670)$ means there will be little difference.

[2] MARTIN 77 obtains identical resonance parameters from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.

$\Lambda(1670)$ REFERENCES

GARCIA-REC...	03	PR D67 076009	C. Garcia-Recio *et al.*	(GRAN, VALE)
MANLEY	02	PRL 88 012002	D.M. Manley *et al.*	(BNL Crystal Ball Collab.)
ABAEV	96	PR C53 385	V.V. Abaev, B.M.K. Nefkens	(UCLA)
KOISO	85	NP A433 619	H. Koiso *et al.*	(TOKY, MASA)
PDG	82	PL 111B	M. Roos *et al.*	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL) IJP
ALSTON-...	78	PR D18 182	M. Alston-Garnjost *et al.*	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
Also	77	PRL 38 1007	M. Alston-Garnjost *et al.*	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal *et al.*	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
MARTIN	77	NP B127 349	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock, R.G. Moorhouse	(LOUC+) IJP
Also	77B	NP B126 266	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC)
Also	77C	NP B126 285	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC) IJP
HEPP	76B	PL 65B 487	V. Hepp *et al.*	(CERN, HEIDH, MPIM) IJP
LONDON	75	NP B85 289	G.W. London *et al.*	(BNL, CERN, EPOL+)
KANE	74	LBL-2452	D.F. Kane	(LBL) IJP
PREVOST	74	NP B69 246	J. Prevost *et al.*	(SACL, CERN, HEID)
BAXTER	73	NP B67 125	D.F. Baxter *et al.*	(OXF) IJP
KIM	71	PRL 27 356	J.K. Kim	(HARV) IJP
Also	70	Duke Conf. 161 Hyperon Resonances, 1970	J.K. Kim	(HARV) IJP
ARMENTEROS	69C	Lund Paper 229 Values are quoted in LEVI-SETTI 69.	R. Armenteros *et al.*	(CERN, HEID, SACL) IJP
BERLEY	65	PRL 15 641	D. Berley *et al.*	(BNL) IJP