Quark and Lepton Compositeness, Searches for

SEARCHES FOR QUARK AND LEPTON COMPOSITENESS

Revised 2001 by K. Hagiwara (KEK), and K. Hikasa and M. Tanabashi (Tohoku University).

If quarks and leptons are made of constituents, then at the scale of constituent binding energies, there should appear new interactions among quarks and leptons. At energies much below the compositeness scale (Λ), these interactions are suppressed by inverse powers of Λ. The dominant effect should come from the lowest dimensional interactions with four fermions (contact terms), whose most general chirally invariant form reads [1]

$$L = \frac{g^2}{2\Lambda^2}\Big[\eta_{LL}\,\overline{\psi}_L\,\gamma_\mu\,\psi_L\,\overline{\psi}_L\,\gamma^\mu\,\psi_L + \eta_{RR}\,\overline{\psi}_R\,\gamma_\mu\,\psi_R\,\overline{\psi}_R\,\gamma^\mu\,\psi_R$$
$$+2\eta_{LR}\,\overline{\psi}_L\,\gamma_\mu\,\psi_L\,\overline{\psi}_R\,\gamma^\mu\,\psi_R\Big]\ . \qquad (1)$$

Chiral invariance provides a natural explanation why quark and lepton masses are much smaller than their inverse size Λ. We may determine the scale Λ unambiguously by using the above form of the effective interactions; the conventional method [1] is to fix its scale by setting $g^2/4\pi = g^2(\Lambda)/4\pi = 1$ for the new strong interaction coupling and by setting the largest magnitude of the coefficients $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ to be unity. In the following, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda &= \Lambda^{\pm}_{LL} \ \text{ for } \ (\eta_{LL}, \eta_{RR}, \eta_{LR}) = (\pm 1, 0, 0)\ ,\\
\Lambda &= \Lambda^{\pm}_{RR} \ \text{ for } \ (\eta_{LL}, \eta_{RR}, \eta_{LR}) = (0, \pm 1, 0)\ ,\\
\Lambda &= \Lambda^{\pm}_{VV} \ \text{ for } \ (\eta_{LL}, \eta_{RR}, \eta_{LR}) = (\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)\ ,\\
\Lambda &= \Lambda^{\pm}_{AA} \ \text{ for } \ (\eta_{LL}, \eta_{RR}, \eta_{LR}) = (\pm 1, \pm 1, \mp 1)\ , \qquad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

as typical examples. Such interactions can arise by constituent interchange (when the fermions have common constituents, *e.g.*, for $ee \to ee$) and/or by exchange of the binding quanta (whenever binding quanta couple to constituents of both particles).

Another typical consequence of compositeness is the appearance of excited leptons and quarks (ℓ^* and q^*). Phenomenologically, an excited lepton is defined to be a heavy lepton which shares leptonic quantum number with one of the existing leptons (an excited quark is defined similarly). For example, an excited electron e^* is characterized by a nonzero transition-magnetic coupling with electrons. Smallness of the lepton mass and the success of QED prediction for g–2 suggest chirality conservation, *i.e.*, an excited lepton should not couple to both left- and right-handed components of the corresponding lepton.

Excited leptons may be classified by SU(2)×U(1) quantum numbers. Typical examples are:

1. Sequential type

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu^* \\ \ell^* \end{pmatrix}_L , \qquad [\nu^*_R] , \qquad \ell^*_R .$$

   ν^*_R is necessary unless ν^* has a Majorana mass.

2. Mirror type

$$[\nu^*_L] , \qquad \ell^*_L , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \nu^* \\ \ell^* \end{pmatrix}_R .$$

3. Homodoublet type

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu^* \\ \ell^* \end{pmatrix}_L , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \nu^* \\ \ell^* \end{pmatrix}_R .$$

Similar classification can be made for excited quarks.

Excited fermions can be pair produced via their gauge couplings. The couplings of excited leptons with Z are listed

	Sequential type	Mirror type	Homodoublet type
V^{ℓ^*}	$-\frac{1}{2}+2\sin^2\theta_W$	$-\frac{1}{2}+2\sin^2\theta_W$	$-1+2\sin^2\theta_W$
A^{ℓ^*}	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$+\frac{1}{2}$	0
$V^{\nu_D^*}$	$+\frac{1}{2}$	$+\frac{1}{2}$	$+1$
$A^{\nu_D^*}$	$+\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0
$V^{\nu_M^*}$	0	0	—
$A^{\nu_M^*}$	$+1$	-1	—

in the following table (for notation see Eq. (1) in "Standard Model of Electroweak Interactions"):

Here ν_D^* (ν_M^*) stands for Dirac (Majorana) excited neutrino. The corresponding couplings of excited quarks can be easily obtained. Although form factor effects can be present for the gauge couplings at $q^2 \neq 0$, they are usually neglected.

In addition, transition magnetic type couplings with a gauge boson are expected. These couplings can be generally parameterized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = {} & \frac{\lambda_\gamma^{(f^*)} e}{2m_{f^*}}\,\overline{f}^*\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\eta_L\tfrac{1-\gamma_5}{2}+\eta_R\tfrac{1+\gamma_5}{2})f F_{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{\lambda_Z^{(f^*)} e}{2m_{f^*}}\,\overline{f}^*\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\eta_L\tfrac{1-\gamma_5}{2}+\eta_R\tfrac{1+\gamma_5}{2})f Z_{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{\lambda_W^{(\ell^*)} g}{2m_{\ell^*}}\,\overline{\ell}^*\sigma^{\mu\nu}\tfrac{1-\gamma_5}{2}\nu W_{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{\lambda_W^{(\nu^*)} g}{2m_{\nu^*}}\,\overline{\nu}^*\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\eta_L\tfrac{1-\gamma_5}{2}+\eta_R\tfrac{1+\gamma_5}{2})\ell\, W_{\mu\nu}^\dagger \\ & + \text{h.c.}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $g = e/\sin\theta_W$, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the photon field strength, $Z_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu Z_\nu - \partial_\nu Z_\mu$, *etc.* The normalization of the coupling is chosen such that

$$\max(|\eta_L|, |\eta_R|) = 1 \ .$$

Chirality conservation requires

$$\eta_L \eta_R = 0 \ . \tag{4}$$

Some experimental analyses assume the relation $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$, which violates chiral symmetry. We encode the results of such analyses if the crucial part of the cross section is proportional to the factor $\eta_L^2 + \eta_R^2$ and the limits can be reinterpreted as those for chirality conserving cases $(\eta_L, \eta_R) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ after rescaling λ.

These couplings in Eq. (3) can arise from SU(2)×U(1)-invariant higher-dimensional interactions. A well-studied model is the interaction of homodoublet type ℓ^* with the Lagrangian [2,3]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \overline{L}^* \sigma^{\mu\nu} (g f \tfrac{\tau^a}{2} W^a_{\mu\nu} + g' f' Y B_{\mu\nu}) \tfrac{1-\gamma_5}{2} L + \text{h.c.} \ , \tag{5}$$

where L denotes the lepton doublet (ν, ℓ), Λ is the compositeness scale, g, g' are SU(2) and U(1)$_Y$ gauge couplings, and $W^a_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ are the field strengths for SU(2) and U(1)$_Y$ gauge fields. The same interaction occurs for mirror-type excited leptons. For sequential-type excited leptons, the ℓ^* and ν^* couplings become unrelated, and the couplings receive the extra suppression of $(250\,\text{GeV})/\Lambda$ or m_{L^*}/Λ. In any case, these couplings satisfy the relation

$$\lambda_W = -\sqrt{2} \sin^2\theta_W (\lambda_Z \cot\theta_W + \lambda_\gamma) \ . \tag{6}$$

Additional coupling with gluons is possible for excited quarks:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\Lambda}\overline{Q}^{*}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\left(g_s f_s \tfrac{\lambda^a}{2} G^a_{\mu\nu} + g\, f \tfrac{\tau^a}{2} W^a_{\mu\nu} + g'\, f' Y B_{\mu\nu}\right) \times \tfrac{1-\gamma_5}{2} Q + \text{h.c.}\ , \tag{7}$$

where Q denotes a quark doublet, g_s is the QCD gauge coupling, and $G^a_{\mu\nu}$ the gluon field strength.

It should be noted that the electromagnetic radiative decay of $\ell^*(\nu^*)$ is forbidden if $f = -f'$ ($f = f'$). These two possibilities ($f = f'$ and $f = -f'$) are investigated in many analyses of the LEP experiments above the Z pole.

Several different conventions are used by LEP experiments on Z pole to express the transition magnetic couplings. To facilitate comparison, we re-express these in terms of λ_Z and λ_γ using the following relations and taking $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$. We assume chiral couplings, *i.e.*, $|c| = |d|$ in the notation of Ref. 2.

1. ALEPH (charged lepton and neutrino)

$$\lambda_Z^{\text{ALEPH}} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_Z \quad \text{(1990 papers)} \tag{8a}$$

$$\frac{2c}{\Lambda} = \frac{\lambda_Z}{m_{\ell^*}[\text{or } m_{\nu^*}]} \quad (\text{for } |c| = |d|) \tag{8b}$$

2. ALEPH (quark)

$$\lambda_u^{\text{ALEPH}} = \frac{\sin\theta_W\cos\theta_W}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{3}\sin^2\theta_W + \frac{8}{9}\sin^4\theta_W}}\,\lambda_Z = 1.11\lambda_Z \tag{9}$$

3. L3 and DELPHI (charged lepton)

$$\lambda^{\text{L3}} = \lambda_Z^{\text{DELPHI}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\cot\theta_W - \tan\theta_W}\,\lambda_Z = -1.10\lambda_Z \tag{10}$$

4. L3 (neutrino)

$$f_Z^{\rm L3} = \sqrt{2}\lambda_Z \tag{11}$$

5. OPAL (charged lepton)

$$\frac{f^{\rm OPAL}}{\Lambda} = -\frac{2}{\cot\theta_W - \tan\theta_W}\,\frac{\lambda_Z}{m_{\ell^*}} = -1.56\,\frac{\lambda_Z}{m_{\ell^*}} \tag{12}$$

6. OPAL (quark)

$$\frac{f^{\rm OPAL}c}{\Lambda} = \frac{\lambda_Z}{2m_{q^*}} \quad (\text{for } |c| = |d|) \tag{13}$$

7. DELPHI (charged lepton)

$$\lambda_\gamma^{\rm DELPHI} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,\lambda_\gamma \tag{14}$$

If leptons are made of color triplet and antitriplet constituents, we may expect their color-octet partners. Transitions between the octet leptons (ℓ_8) and the ordinary lepton (ℓ) may take place via the dimension-five interactions

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\Lambda}\sum_\ell \left\{ \overline{\ell}_8^{\alpha}\, g_S\, F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}\, \sigma^{\mu\nu} \left(\eta_L\, \ell_L + \eta_R\, \ell_R\right) + h.c.\right\} \tag{15}$$

where the summation is over charged leptons and neutrinos. The leptonic chiral invariance implies $\eta_L\, \eta_R = 0$ as before.

References

1. E.J. Eichten, K.D. Lane, and M.E. Peskin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 811 (1983).
2. K. Hagiwara, S. Komamiya, and D. Zeppenfeld, Z. Phys. **C29**, 115 (1985).
3. N. Cabibbo, L. Maiani, and Y. Srivastava, Phys. Lett. **139B**, 459 (1984).

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(eeee)$

Limits are for $\Lambda_{LL}^{\pm}$ only. For other cases, see each reference.

Λ_{LL}^{+}(TeV)	Λ_{LL}^{-}(TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>8.3	**>10.3**	95	[1] BOURILKOV	01	RVUE	$E_{\rm cm}$= 192–208 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>3.8	>5.6	95	ABBIENDI	00R	OPAL	E_{cm}= 189 GeV
>4.4	>5.4	95	ABREU	00S	DLPH	E_{cm}= 183–189 GeV
>4.3	>4.9	95	ACCIARRI	00P	L3	E_{cm}= 130–189 GeV
>3.5	>3.2	95	BARATE	00I	ALEP	E_{cm}= 130–183 GeV
>6.0	>7.7	95	[2] BOURILKOV	00	RVUE	E_{cm}= 183–189 GeV
>3.1	>3.8	95	ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	E_{cm}= 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV
>2.2	>2.8	95	ABREU	99A	DLPH	E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV
>2.7	>2.4	95	ACCIARRI	98J	L3	E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV
>3.0	>2.5	95	ACKERSTAFF	98V	OPAL	E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV
>2.4	>2.2	95	ACKERSTAFF	97C	OPAL	E_{cm}= 130–136, 161 GeV
>1.7	>2.3	95	ARIMA	97	VNS	E_{cm}= 57.77 GeV
>1.6	>2.0	95	[3] BUSKULIC	93Q	ALEP	E_{cm}=88.25–94.25 GeV
>1.6		95	[3,4] BUSKULIC	93Q	RVUE	
	>2.2	95	BUSKULIC	93Q	RVUE	
	>3.6	95	[5] KROHA	92	RVUE	
>1.3		95	[5] KROHA	92	RVUE	
>0.7	>2.8	95	BEHREND	91C	CELL	E_{cm}=35 GeV
>1.3	>1.3	95	KIM	89	AMY	E_{cm}=50–57 GeV
>1.4	>3.3	95	[6] BRAUNSCH...	88	TASS	E_{cm}=12–46.8 GeV
>1.0	>0.7	95	[7] FERNANDEZ	87B	MAC	E_{cm}=29 GeV
>1.1	>1.4	95	[8] BARTEL	86C	JADE	E_{cm}=12–46.8 GeV
>1.17	>0.87	95	[9] DERRICK	86	HRS	E_{cm}=29 GeV
>1.1	>0.76	95	[10] BERGER	85B	PLUT	E_{cm}=34.7 GeV

[1] A combined analysis of the data from ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, and OPAL.

[2] A combined analysis of the data from ALEPH, L3, and OPAL.

[3] BUSKULIC 93Q uses the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

[4] This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data re-analyzed by KROHA 92.

[5] KROHA 92 limit is from fit to BERGER 85B, BARTEL 86C, DERRICK 86B, FERNANDEZ 87B, BRAUNSCHWEIG 88, BEHREND 91B, and BEHREND 91C. The fit gives $\eta/\Lambda^2_{LL} = +0.230 \pm 0.206$ TeV^{-2}.

[6] BRAUNSCHWEIG 88 assumed $m_Z = 92$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$.

[7] FERNANDEZ 87B assumed $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.22$.

[8] BARTEL 86C assumed $m_Z = 93$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

[9] DERRICK 86 assumed $m_Z = 93$ GeV and $g^2_V = (-1/2+2\sin^2\theta_W)^2 = 0.004$.

[10] BERGER 85B assumed $m_Z = 93$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(ee\mu\mu)$

Limits are for $\Lambda^{\pm}_{LL}$ only. For other cases, see each reference.

Λ^{+}_{LL}(TeV)	Λ^{-}_{LL}(TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>6.6	**> 6.3**	95	ABREU	00S	DLPH	E_{cm}= 183–189 GeV
> 8.5	>3.8	95	ACCIARRI	00P	L3	E_{cm}= 130–189 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>7.3	>4.6	95		ABBIENDI	00R	OPAL	E_{cm}= 189 GeV
>4.0	>4.7	95		BARATE	00I	ALEP	E_{cm}= 130–183 GeV
>4.5	>4.3	95		ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	E_{cm}= 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV
>3.4	>2.7	95		ABREU	99A	DLPH	E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV
>3.6	>2.4	95		ACCIARRI	98J	L3	E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV
>2.9	>3.4	95		ACKERSTAFF	98V	OPAL	E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV
>3.1	>2.0	95		MIURA	98	VNS	E_{cm}= 57.77 GeV
>2.4	>2.9	95		ACKERSTAFF	97C	OPAL	E_{cm}= 130–136, 161 GeV
>1.7	>2.2	95	[11]	VELISSARIS	94	AMY	E_{cm}=57.8 GeV
>1.3	>1.5	95	[11]	BUSKULIC	93Q	ALEP	E_{cm}=88.25–94.25 GeV
>2.6	>1.9	95	[11,12]	BUSKULIC	93Q	RVUE	
>2.3	>2.0	95		HOWELL	92	TOPZ	E_{cm}=52–61.4 GeV
	>1.7	95	[13]	KROHA	92	RVUE	
>2.5	>1.5	95		BEHREND	91C	CELL	E_{cm}=35–43 GeV
>1.6	>2.0	95	[14]	ABE	90I	VNS	E_{cm}=50–60.8 GeV
>1.9	>1.0	95		KIM	89	AMY	E_{cm}=50–57 GeV
>2.3	>1.3	95		BRAUNSCH...	88D	TASS	E_{cm}=30–46.8 GeV
>4.4	>2.1	95	[15]	BARTEL	86C	JADE	E_{cm}=12–46.8 GeV
>2.9	>0.86	95	[16]	BERGER	85	PLUT	E_{cm}=34.7 GeV

[11] BUSKULIC 93Q and VELISSARIS 94 use the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

[12] This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data reanalyzed by KROHA 92.

[13] KROHA 92 limit is from fit to BARTEL 86C, BEHREND 87C, BRAUNSCHWEIG 88D, BRAUNSCHWEIG 89C, ABE 90I, and BEHREND 91C. The fit gives $\eta/\Lambda^2_{LL} = -0.155 \pm 0.095$ TeV^{-2}.

[14] ABE 90I assumed m_Z =91.163 GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.231$.

[15] BARTEL 86C assumed $m_Z = 93$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

[16] BERGER 85 assumed $m_Z = 93$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(ee\tau\tau)$

Limits are for $\Lambda^{\pm}_{LL}$ only. For other cases, see each reference.

Λ^+_{LL}(TeV)	Λ^-_{LL}(TeV)	CL%		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>3.9	**> 6.5**	95		ABBIENDI	00R	OPAL	E_{cm}= 189 GeV
> 5.4	>4.7	95		ACCIARRI	00P	L3	E_{cm}= 130–189 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •							
>5.2	>5.4	95		ABREU	00S	DLPH	E_{cm}= 183–189 GeV
>3.9	>3.7	95		BARATE	00I	ALEP	E_{cm}= 130–183 GeV
>3.8	>4.0	95		ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	E_{cm}= 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV
>2.8	>2.6	95		ABREU	99A	DLPH	E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV
>2.4	>2.8	95		ACCIARRI	98J	L3	E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV
>2.3	>3.7	95		ACKERSTAFF	98V	OPAL	E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV
>1.9	>3.0	95		ACKERSTAFF	97C	OPAL	E_{cm}= 130–136, 161 GeV
>1.4	>2.0	95	[17]	VELISSARIS	94	AMY	E_{cm}=57.8 GeV

>1.0	>1.5	95	[17] BUSKULIC	93Q	ALEP	E_{cm}=88.25–94.25 GeV
>1.8	>2.3	95	[17,18] BUSKULIC	93Q	RVUE	
>1.9	>1.7	95	HOWELL	92	TOPZ	E_{cm}=52–61.4 GeV
>1.9	>2.9	95	[19] KROHA	92	RVUE	
>1.6	>2.3	95	BEHREND	91C	CELL	E_{cm}=35–43 GeV
>1.8	>1.3	95	[20] ABE	90I	VNS	E_{cm}=50–60.8 GeV
>2.2	>3.2	95	[21] BARTEL	86	JADE	E_{cm}=12–46.8 GeV

[17] BUSKULIC 93Q and VELISSARIS 94 use the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

[18] This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data reanalyzed by KROHA 92.

[19] KROHA 92 limit is from fit to BARTEL 86C BEHREND 89B, BRAUNSCHWEIG 89C, ABE 90I, and BEHREND 91C. The fit gives η/Λ^2_{LL} = +0.095 ± 0.120 TeV^{-2}.

[20] ABE 90I assumed m_Z =91.163 GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W$ = 0.231.

[21] BARTEL 86 assumed m_Z = 93 GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W$ = 0.217.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\ell\ell\ell\ell)$

Lepton universality assumed. Limits are for $\Lambda^{\pm}_{LL}$ only. For other cases, see each reference.

Λ^+_{LL}(TeV)	Λ^-_{LL}(TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>7.3	**> 7.8**	95	ABREU	00S	DLPH	E_{cm}= 183–189 GeV
> 9.0	>5.2	95	ACCIARRI	00P	L3	E_{cm}= 130–189 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
			[22] BABICH	03	RVUE	
>6.4	>7.2	95	ABBIENDI	00R	OPAL	E_{cm}= 189 GeV
>5.3	>5.5	95	BARATE	00I	ALEP	E_{cm}= 130–183 GeV
>5.2	>5.3	95	ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	E_{cm}= 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV
>4.4	>4.2	95	ABREU	99A	DLPH	E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV
>4.0	>3.1	95	[23] ACCIARRI	98J	L3	E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV
>3.4	>4.4	95	ACKERSTAFF	98V	OPAL	E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV
>2.7	>3.8	95	ACKERSTAFF	97C	OPAL	E_{cm}= 130–136, 161 GeV
>3.0	>2.3	95	[23,24] BUSKULIC	93Q	ALEP	E_{cm}=88.25–94.25 GeV
>3.5	>2.8	95	[24,25] BUSKULIC	93Q	RVUE	
>2.5	>2.2	95	[26] HOWELL	92	TOPZ	E_{cm}=52–61.4 GeV
>3.4	>2.7	95	[27] KROHA	92	RVUE	

[22] BABICH 03 obtain a bound -0.175 TeV^{-2} <$1/\Lambda^2_{LL}$ < 0.095 TeV^{-2} (95%CL) in a model independent analysis allowing all of Λ_{LL}, Λ_{LR}, Λ_{RL}, Λ_{RR} to coexist.

[23] From $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$, and $\tau^+\tau^-$.

[24] BUSKULIC 93Q uses the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

[25] This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data reanalyzed by KROHA 92.

[26] HOWELL 92 limit is from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $\tau^+\tau^-$.

[27] KROHA 92 limit is from fit to most PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data. The fit gives η/Λ^2_{LL} = −0.0200 ± 0.0666 TeV^{-2}.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(eeqq)$

Limits are for $\Lambda^{\pm}_{LL}$ only. For other cases, see each reference.

Λ^{+}_{LL}(TeV)	Λ^{-}_{LL}(TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>23.3	**>12.5**	95	[28] CHEUNG	01B	RVUE	$(eeuu)$
>11.1	**>26.4**	95	[28] CHEUNG	01B	RVUE	$(eedd)$
> 5.6	**>4.9**	95	[29] BARATE	00I	ALEP	$(eebb)$
> 1.0	**>2.1**	95	[30] ABREU	99A	DLPH	$(eecc)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 2.8	>1.6	95	[31] ADLOFF	03	H1	$(eeqq)$
> 2.7	>2.7	95	[32] ACHARD	02J	L3	$(eetc)$
> 5.5	>3.1	95	[33] ABBIENDI	00R	OPAL	$(eeqq)$
> 4.9	>6.1	95	[33] ABBIENDI	00R	OPAL	$(eeuu)$
> 5.7	>4.5	95	[33] ABBIENDI	00R	OPAL	$(eedd)$
> 4.2	>2.8	95	[34] ACCIARRI	00P	L3	$(eeqq)$
> 2.4	>1.3	95	[35] ADLOFF	00	H1	$(eeqq)$
> 5.4	>6.2	95	[36] BARATE	00I	ALEP	$(eeqq)$
			[37] BREITWEG	00B	ZEUS	
> 4.4	>2.8	95	[38] ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	$(eeqq)$
> 4.0	>4.8	95	[39] ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	$(eebb)$
> 3.3	>4.2	95	[40] ABBOTT	99D	D0	$(eeqq)$
> 2.4	>2.8	95	[30] ABREU	99A	DLPH	$(eeqq)$ (d or s quark)
> 4.4	>3.9	95	[30] ABREU	99A	DLPH	$(eebb)$
> 1.0	>2.4	95	[30] ABREU	99A	DLPH	$(eeuu)$
> 4.0	>3.4	95	[41] ZARNECKI	99	RVUE	$(eedd)$
> 4.3	>5.6	95	[41] ZARNECKI	99	RVUE	$(eeuu)$
> 3.0	>2.1	95	[42] ACCIARRI	98J	L3	$(eeqq)$
> 3.4	>2.2	95	[43] ACKERSTAFF	98V	OPAL	$(eeqq)$
> 4.0	>2.8	95	[44] ACKERSTAFF	98V	OPAL	$(eebb)$
> 9.3	>12.0	95	[45] BARGER	98E	RVUE	$(eeuu)$
> 8.8	>11.9	95	[45] BARGER	98E	RVUE	$(eedd)$
> 2.5	>3.7	95	[46] ABE	97T	CDF	$(eeqq)$ (isosinglet)
> 2.5	>2.1	95	[47] ACKERSTAFF	97C	OPAL	$(eeqq)$
> 3.1	>2.9	95	[48] ACKERSTAFF	97C	OPAL	$(eebb)$
> 7.4	>11.7	95	[49] DEANDREA	97	RVUE	$eeuu$, atomic parity violation
> 2.3	>1.0	95	[50] AID	95	H1	$(eeqq)$ (u, d quarks)
1.7	>2.2	95	[51] ABE	91D	CDF	$(eeqq)$ (u, d quarks)
> 1.2		95	[52] ADACHI	91	TOPZ	$(eeqq)$ (flavor-universal)
	>1.6	95	[52] ADACHI	91	TOPZ	$(eeqq)$ (flavor-universal)
> 0.6	>1.7	95	[53] BEHREND	91C	CELL	$(eecc)$
> 1.1	>1.0	95	[53] BEHREND	91C	CELL	$(eebb)$
> 0.9		95	[54] ABE	89L	VNS	$(eeqq)$ (flavor-universal)
	>1.7	95	[54] ABE	89L	VNS	$(eeqq)$ (flavor-universal)
> 1.05	>1.61	95	[55] HAGIWARA	89	RVUE	$(eecc)$
> 1.21	>0.53	95	[56] HAGIWARA	89	RVUE	$(eebb)$

[28] CHEUNG 01B is an update of BARGER 98E.
[29] BARATE 00I limits are from R_b and jet-charge asymmetry at 130–183 GeV.
[30] ABREU 99A limits are from flavor-tagged $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ cross section at 130–172 GeV.
[31] ADLOFF 03 limits are from the $d\sigma/dQ^2$ measurement of $e^\pm p \rightarrow e^\pm X$.
[32] ACHARD 02J limit is from the bound on the $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\overline{c}$ cross section. $\Lambda_{LL} = \Lambda_{LR} = \Lambda_{RL} = \Lambda_{RR}$ and $m_t = 175$ GeV are assumed.
[33] ABBIENDI 00R limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ cross section at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–189 GeV.
[34] ACCIARRI 00P limit is from $e^+e^- \rightarrow qq$ cross section at $\sqrt{s}$=130–189 GeV.
[35] ADLOFF 00 limits are from the Q^2 spectrum measurement of $e^+p \rightarrow e^+X$.
[36] BARATE 00I limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ cross section and jet-charge asymmetry at 130–183 GeV.
[37] BREITWEG 00B limits are from Q^2 spectrum measurement of e^+p collisions. See their Table 3 for the limits of various models.
[38] ABBIENDI 99 limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ cross section at 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV.
[39] ABBIENDI 99 limits are from R_b at 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV.
[40] ABBOTT 99D limits are from e^+e^- mass distribution in $p\overline{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-X$ at E_{cm}= 1.8 TeV.
[41] ZARNECKI 99 use data from HERA, LEP, Tevatron, and various low-energy experiments.
[42] ACCIARRI 98J limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ cross section at E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV.
[43] ACKERSTAFF 98V limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ at E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV.
[44] ACKERSTAFF 98V limits are from R_b measurements at E_{cm}= 130–172 GeV.
[45] BARGER 98E use data from HERA, LEP, Tevatron, and various low-energy experiments.
[46] ABE 97T limits are from e^+e^- mass distribution in $\overline{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-X$ at E_{cm}=1.8 TeV.
[47] ACKERSTAFF 97C limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ cross section at E_{cm} = 130–136 GeV and 161 GeV.
[48] ACKERSTAFF 97C limits are R_b measurements at E_{cm} = 133 GeV and 161 GeV.
[49] DEANDREA 97 limit is from atomic parity violation of cesium. The limit is eluded if the contact interactions are parity conserving.
[50] AID 95 limits are from the Q^2 spectrum measurement of $ep \rightarrow eX$.
[51] ABE 91D limits are from e^+e^- mass distribution in $p\overline{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-X$ at E_{cm} = 1.8 TeV.
[52] ADACHI 91 limits are from differential jet cross section. Universality of $\Lambda(eeqq)$ for five flavors is assumed.
[53] BEHREND 91C is from data at E_{cm} = 35–43 GeV.
[54] ABE 89L limits are from jet charge asymmetry. Universality of $\Lambda(eeqq)$ for five flavors is assumed.
[55] The HAGIWARA 89 limit is derived from forward-backward asymmetry measurements of D/D^* mesons by ALTHOFF 83C, BARTEL 84E, and BARINGER 88.
[56] The HAGIWARA 89 limit is derived from forward-backward asymmetry measurement of b hadrons by BARTEL 84D.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\mu\mu qq)$

Λ^+_{LL}(TeV)	Λ^-_{LL}(TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 2.9	**> 4.2**	95	[57] ABE	97T	CDF	$(\mu\mu qq)$ (isosinglet)
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>1.4	>1.6	95	ABE	92B	CDF	$(\mu\mu qq)$ (isosinglet)

[57] ABE 97T limits are from $\mu^+\mu^-$ mass distribution in $\overline{p}p \to \mu^+\mu^- X$ at E_{cm}=1.8 TeV.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\ell\nu\ell\nu)$

VALUE (TeV)	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
>3.10	90	[58] JODIDIO	86	SPEC	$\Lambda^{\pm}_{LR}(\nu_\mu \nu_e \mu e)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
>3.8		[59] DIAZCRUZ	94	RVUE	$\Lambda^{+}_{LL}(\tau\nu_\tau e\nu_e)$
>8.1		[59] DIAZCRUZ	94	RVUE	$\Lambda^{-}_{LL}(\tau\nu_\tau e\nu_e)$
>4.1		[60] DIAZCRUZ	94	RVUE	$\Lambda^{+}_{LL}(\tau\nu_\tau \mu\nu_\mu)$
>6.5		[60] DIAZCRUZ	94	RVUE	$\Lambda^{-}_{LL}(\tau\nu_\tau \mu\nu_\mu)$

[58] JODIDIO 86 limit is from $\mu^+ \to \overline{\nu}_\mu e^+ \nu_e$. Chirality invariant interactions $L = (g^2/\Lambda^2)$ $[\eta_{LL}\ (\overline{\nu}_{\mu L}\gamma^\alpha \mu_L)\ (\overline{e}_L\gamma_\alpha \nu_{eL}) + \eta_{LR}\ (\overline{\nu}_{\mu L}\gamma^\alpha \nu_{eL}\ (\overline{e}_R\gamma_\alpha \mu_R)]$ with $g^2/4\pi = 1$ and $(\eta_{LL},\eta_{LR}) = (0,\pm1)$ are taken. No limits are given for $\Lambda^{\pm}_{LL}$ with $(\eta_{LL},\eta_{LR}) = (\pm1,0)$. For more general constraints with right-handed neutrinos and chirality nonconserving contact interactions, see their text.

[59] DIAZCRUZ 94 limits are from $\Gamma(\tau \to e\nu\nu)$ and assume flavor-dependent contact interactions with $\Lambda(\tau\nu_\tau e\nu_e) \ll \Lambda(\mu\nu_\mu e\nu_e)$.

[60] DIAZCRUZ 94 limits are from $\Gamma(\tau \to \mu\nu\nu)$ and assume flavor-dependent contact interactions with $\Lambda(\tau\nu_\tau \mu\nu_\mu) \ll \Lambda(\mu\nu_\mu e\nu_e)$.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(e\nu qq)$

VALUE (TeV)	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*
>2.81	95	[61] AFFOLDER	01I	CDF

[61] AFFOLDER 00I bound is for a scalar interaction $\overline{q}_R q_L \overline{\nu} e_L$.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(qqqq)$

Limits are for $\Lambda^{\pm}_{LL}$ with color-singlet isoscalar exchanges among u_L's and d_L's only, unless otherwise noted. See EICHTEN 84 for details.

VALUE (TeV)	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
>2.7	95	[62] ABBOTT	99C	D0	$p\overline{p} \to$ dijet mass. Λ^{+}_{LL}
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
>2.0	95	[63] ABBOTT	00E	D0	H_T distribution; Λ^{+}_{LL}
>2.1	95	[64] ABBOTT	98G	D0	$p\overline{p} \to$ dijet angl. Λ^{+}_{LL}
		[65] BERTRAM	98	RVUE	$p\overline{p} \to$ dijet mass
		[66] ABE	96	CDF	$p\overline{p} \to$ jets inclusive
>1.6	95	[67] ABE	96S	CDF	$p\overline{p} \to$ dijet angl.; Λ^{+}_{LL}
>1.3	95	[68] ABE	93G	CDF	$p\overline{p} \to$ dijet mass
>1.4	95	[69] ABE	92D	CDF	$p\overline{p} \to$ jets inclusive
>1.0	99	[70] ABE	92M	CDF	$p\overline{p} \to$ dijet angl.

>0.825	95	[71] ALITTI	91B	UA2	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>0.700	95	[69] ABE	89	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>0.330	95	[72] ABE	89H	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow$ dijet angl.
>0.400	95	[73] ARNISON	86C	UA1	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>0.415	95	[74] ARNISON	86D	UA1	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow$ dijet angl.
>0.370	95	[75] APPEL	85	UA2	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>0.275	95	[76] BAGNAIA	84C	UA2	Repl. by APPEL 85

[62] The quoted limit is from inclusive dijet mass spectrum in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}$=1.8 TeV. ABBOTT 99C also obtain $\Lambda^-_{LL} > 2.4$ TeV. All quarks are assumed composite.

[63] The quoted limit for ABBOTT 00E is from H_T distribution in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}$=1.8 TeV. CTEQ4M PDF and $\mu=E_T^{\rm max}$ are assumed. For limits with different assumptions, see their Tables 2 and 3. All quarks are assumed composite.

[64] ABBOTT 98G limit is from dijet angular distribution in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}$= 1.8 TeV. All quarks are assumed composite.

[65] BERTRAM 98 obtain limit on the scale of color-octet axial-vector flavor-universal contact interactions: $\Lambda_{A8} > 2.1$ TeV. They also obtain a limit $\Lambda_{V8} > 2.4$ TeV on a color-octet flavor-universal vectorial contact interaction.

[66] ABE 96 finds that the inclusive jet cross section for E_T >200 GeV is significantly higher than the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ perturbative QCD prediction. This could be interpreted as the effect of a contact interaction with $\Lambda_{LL} \sim 1.6$ TeV. However, ABE 96 state that uncertainty in the parton distribution functions, higher-order QCD corrections, and the detector calibration may possibly account for the effect.

[67] ABE 96S limit is from dijet angular distribution in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}$ = 1.8 TeV. The limit for Λ^-_{LL} is > 1.4 TeV. ABE 96S also obtain limits for flavor symmetric contact interactions among all quark flavors: $\Lambda^+_{LL} > 1.8$ TeV and $\Lambda^-_{LL} > 1.6$ TeV.

[68] ABE 93G limit is from dijet mass distribution in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}$ = 1.8 TeV. The limit is the weakest from several choices of structure functions and renormalization scale.

[69] Limit is from inclusive jet cross-section data in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}$ = 1.8 TeV. The limit takes into account uncertainties in choice of structure functions and in choice of process scale.

[70] ABE 92M limit is from dijet angular distribution for $m_{\rm dijet}$ >550 GeV in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}$=1.8 TeV.

[71] ALITTI 91B limit is from inclusive jet cross section in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}$ = 630 GeV. The limit takes into account uncertainties in choice of structure functions and in choice of process scale.

[72] ABE 89H limit is from dijet angular distribution for $m_{\rm dijet}$ > 200 GeV at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider with $E_{\rm cm}$ = 1.8 TeV. The QCD prediction is quite insensitive to choice of structure functions and choice of process scale.

[73] ARNISON 86C limit is from the study of inclusive high-p_T jet distributions at the CERN $\overline{p}p$ collider ($E_{\rm cm}$ = 546 and 630 GeV). The QCD prediction renormalized to the low-p_T region gives a good fit to the data.

[74] ARNISON 86D limit is from the study of dijet angular distribution in the range 240 < m(dijet) < 300 GeV at the CERN $\overline{p}p$ collider ($E_{\rm cm}$ = 630 GeV). QCD prediction using EHLQ structure function (EICHTEN 84) with $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ = 0.2 GeV for the choice of $Q^2 = {p_T}^2$ gives the best fit to the data.

[75] APPEL 85 limit is from the study of inclusive high-p_T jet distributions at the CERN $\overline{p}p$ collider ($E_{\rm cm}$ = 630 GeV). The QCD prediction renormalized to the low-p_T region gives a good description of the data.

[76] BAGNAIA 84C limit is from the study of jet p_T and dijet mass distributions at the CERN $\overline{p}p$ collider ($E_{\rm cm}$ = 540 GeV). The limit suffers from the uncertainties in comparing the data with the QCD prediction.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\nu\nu q q)$

Limits are for $\Lambda^{\pm}_{LL}$ only. For other cases, see each reference.

Λ^{+}_{LL}(TeV)	Λ^{-}_{LL}(TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>5.0	**>5.4**	95	[77] MCFARLAND 98	CCFR	νN scattering

[77] MCFARLAND 98 assumed a flavor universal interaction. Neutrinos were mostly of muon type.

MASS LIMITS for Excited e (e^*)

Most $e^+ e^-$ experiments assume one-photon or Z exchange. The limits from some $e^+ e^-$ experiments which depend on λ have assumed transition couplings which are chirality violating ($\eta_L = \eta_R$). However they can be interpreted as limits for chirality-conserving interactions after multiplying the coupling value λ by $\sqrt{2}$; see Note.

Excited leptons have the same quantum numbers as other ortholeptons. See also the searches for ortholeptons in the "Searches for Heavy Leptons" section.

Limits for Excited e (e^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^{*+} e^{*-}$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of e^*. Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the e^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. Possible t channel contribution from transition magnetic coupling is neglected. All limits assume a dominant $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay except the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45,** 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>103.2	95	[78] ABBIENDI 02G	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>102.8	95	[79] ACHARD 03B	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
>100.0	95	[80] ACCIARRI 01D	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 91.3	95	[81] ABBIENDI 00I	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 94.2	95	[82] ACCIARRI 00E	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 90.7	95	[83] ABREU 99O	DLPH	Homodoublet type
> 85.0	95	[84] ACKERSTAFF 98C	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
		[85] BARATE 98U	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
> 79.6	95	[86,87] ABREU 97B	DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 77.9	95	[86,88] ABREU 97B	DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Sequential type
> 79.7	95	[86] ACCIARRI 97G	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Sequential type
> 79.9	95	[86,89] ACKERSTAFF 97	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 62.5	95	[90] ABREU 96K	DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 64.7	95	[91] ACCIARRI 96D	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Sequential type
> 66.5	95	[91] ALEXANDER 96Q	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 65.2	95	[91] BUSKULIC 96W	ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Sequential type

>45.6	95		ADRIANI	93M	L3	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>45.6	95		ABREU	92C	DLPH	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>29.8	95	[92]	BARDADIN-...	92	RVUE	$\Gamma(Z)$
>26.1	95	[93]	DECAMP	92	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$; $\Gamma(Z)$
>46.1	95		DECAMP	92	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>33	95	[93]	ABREU	91F	DLPH	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$; $\Gamma(Z)$
>45.0	95	[94]	ADEVA	90F	L3	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>44.9	95		AKRAWY	90I	OPAL	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>44.6	95	[95]	DECAMP	90G	ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>30.2	95		ADACHI	89B	TOPZ	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>28.3	95		KIM	89	AMY	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>27.9	95	[96]	ABE	88B	VNS	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$

[78] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 183–209 GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed.

[79] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 189–209 GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed. ACHARD 03B also obtain limit for $f = -f'$: $m_{e^*} > 96.6$ GeV.

[80] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 192–202 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ACCIARRI 01D also obtain limit for f=$-f'$: $m_{e^*} > 93.4$ GeV.

[81] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=161–183 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ABBIENDI 00I also obtain limit for f=$-f'$ ($e^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{e^*} > 86.0$ GeV.

[82] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=189 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ACCIARRI 00E also obtain limit for f=$-f'$ ($e^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{e^*} > 92.6$ GeV.

[83] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 183 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ABREU 99O also obtain limit for f=$-f'$ ($e^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{e^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

[84] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=170–172 GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$ decay mode: $m_{e^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

[85] BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.

[86] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 161 GeV.

[87] ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{e^*} > 70.9$ GeV.

[88] ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{e^*} > 44.6$ GeV.

[89] ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\nu_e^*} >$ 77.1 GeV.

[90] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–136 GeV.

[91] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV.

[92] BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is independent of decay modes. Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z)$<36 MeV.

[93] Limit is independent of e^* decay mode.

[94] ADEVA 90F is superseded by ADRIANI 93M.

[95] Superseded by DECAMP 92.

[96] ABE 88B limits assume $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^{*+} e^{*-}$ with one photon exchange only and $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$ giving $e e \gamma\gamma$.

Limits for Excited e (e^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^* e$, $W \rightarrow e^* \nu$, or $e p \rightarrow e^* X$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between e and e^*. All limits assume $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay except as noted. Limits from LEP, UA2, and H1 are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling, $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$. In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the $\lambda - m_{e^*}$ plane. See the original papers.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45,** 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
>255	95	[97] ADLOFF	02B	H1	$e p \rightarrow e^* X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
>206	95	[98] ACHARD	03B	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
>208	95	[99] ABBIENDI	02G	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
>228	95	[100] CHEKANOV	02D	ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow e^* X$
>202		[101] ACCIARRI	01D	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
		[102] ABBIENDI	00I	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
		[103] ACCIARRI	00E	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
>223	95	[104] ADLOFF	00E	H1	$e p \rightarrow e^* X$
		[105] ABREU	99O	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
none 20–170	95	[106] ACCIARRI	98T	L3	$e\gamma \rightarrow e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$
		[107] ACKERSTAFF	98C	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
		[108] BARATE	98U	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
		[109,110] ABREU	97B	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
		[109,111] ACCIARRI	97G	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
		[112] ACKERSTAFF	97	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
		[113] ADLOFF	97	H1	Lepton-flavor violation
none 30–200	95	[114] BREITWEG	97C	ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow e^* X$
		[115] ABREU	96K	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
		[116] ACCIARRI	96D	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
		[117] ALEXANDER	96Q	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
		[118] BUSKULIC	96W	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$
		[119] DERRICK	95B	ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow e^* X$
		[120] ABT	93	H1	$e p \rightarrow e^* X$
> 86	95	ADRIANI	93M	L3	$\lambda_\gamma > 0.04$
> 89	95	ADRIANI	93M	L3	$Z \rightarrow e e^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.5$
		[121] DERRICK	93B	ZEUS	Superseded by DERRICK 95B
> 88	95	ABREU	92C	DLPH	$Z \rightarrow e e^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 86	95	ABREU	92C	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$, $\lambda_\gamma > 0.1$
> 91	95	DECAMP	92	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow e e^*$, $\lambda_Z > 1$
> 88	95	[122] ADEVA	90F	L3	$Z \rightarrow e e^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 86	95	[122] ADEVA	90F	L3	$Z \rightarrow e e^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.04$
> 87	95	AKRAWY	90I	OPAL	$Z \rightarrow e e^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 81	95	[123] DECAMP	90G	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow e e^*$, $\lambda_Z > 1$
> 50	95	ADACHI	89B	TOPZ	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$, $\lambda_\gamma > 0.04$
> 56	95	KIM	89	AMY	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$, $\lambda_\gamma > 0.03$
none 23–54	95	[124] ABE	88B	VNS	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e e^*$ $\lambda_\gamma > 0.04$

$>$ 75	95	[125] ANSARI	87D	UA2	$W \rightarrow e^* \nu$; $\lambda_W > 0.7$
$>$ 63	95	[125] ANSARI	87D	UA2	$W \rightarrow e^* \nu$; $\lambda_W > 0.2$
$>$ 40	95	[125] ANSARI	87D	UA2	$W \rightarrow e^* \nu$; $\lambda_W > 0.09$

[97] ADLOFF 02B search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ, νW. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{e^*}$ is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[98] ACHARD 03B result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 189–209 GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[99] ABBIENDI 02G result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 183–209 GeV. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{e^*}$ is assumed for e^* coupling. See their Fig. 4c for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[100] CHEKANOV 02D search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ, νW. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{e^*}$ is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 5a for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[101] ACCIARRI 01D result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 192–202 GeV. f=f'=Λ/m_{e^*} is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the mass-coupling plane.

[102] ABBIENDI 00I result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=161–183 GeV. See their Fig. 7 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

[103] ACCIARRI 00E result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=189 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

[104] ADLOFF 00E search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ, νW. f=f'=Λ/m_{e^*} is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 9 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[105] ABREU 99O result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 183 GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[106] ACCIARRI 98T search for single e^* production in quasi-real Compton scattering. The limit is for $\left|\lambda\right| > 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$ and non-chiral coupling of e^*. See their Fig. 7 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[107] ACKERSTAFF 98C from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=170–172 GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[108] BARATE 98U is from $e^+ e^-$ collision at $\sqrt{s}$=M_Z. See their Fig. 12 for limits in mass-coupling plane

[109] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 161 GeV.

[110] See Fig. 4a and Fig. 5a of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[111] See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[112] ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 161 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[113] ADLOFF 97 search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ, νW. See their Fig. 4 for the rejection limits on the product of the production cross section and the branching ratio into a specific decay channel.

[114] BREITWEG 97C search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ, νW. f=f'=2Λ/m_{e^*} is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 9 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[115] ABREU 96K result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–136 GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[116] ACCIARRI 96D result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[117] ALEXANDER 96Q result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 3a for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[118] BUSKULIC 96W result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[119] DERRICK 95B search for single e^* production via $e^* e\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \to e\gamma$, eZ, νW. See their Fig. 13 for the exclusion plot in the m_{e^*}–$\lambda\gamma$ plane.

[120] ABT 93 search for single e^* production via $e^* e\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \to e\gamma$, eZ, νW. See their Fig. 4 for exclusion plot in the m_{e^*}–λ_γ plane.

[121] DERRICK 93B search for single e^* production via $e^* e\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \to e\gamma$, eZ, νW. See their Fig. 3 for exclusion plot in the m_{e^*}–λ_γ plane.

[122] Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.

[123] Superseded by DECAMP 92.

[124] ABE 88B limits use $e^+ e^- \to e e^*$ where t-channel photon exchange dominates giving $e\gamma(e)$ (quasi-real compton scattering).

[125] ANSARI 87D is at E_{cm} = 546–630 GeV.

Limits for Excited e (e^*) from $e^+ e^- \to \gamma\gamma$

These limits are derived from indirect effects due to e^* exchange in the t channel and depend on transition magnetic coupling between e and e^*. All limits are for $\lambda_\gamma = 1$. All limits except ABE 89J and ACHARD 02D are for nonchiral coupling with $\eta_L = \eta_R$ = 1. We choose the chiral coupling limit as the best limit and list it in the Summary Table.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45,** 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>310	95	ACHARD	02D	L3	$\sqrt{s}$= 192–209 GeV
>311	95	ABREU	00A	DLPH	$\sqrt{s}$= 189–202 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
>283	95	[126] ACCIARRI	00G	L3	$\sqrt{s}$= 183–189 GeV
>306	95	ABBIENDI	99P	OPAL	$\sqrt{s}$= 189 GeV
>231	95	ABREU	98J	DLPH	$\sqrt{s}$= 130–183 GeV
>194	95	ACKERSTAFF	98	OPAL	$\sqrt{s}$= 130–172 GeV
>227	95	ACKER..,K...	98B	OPAL	$\sqrt{s}$= 183 GeV
>250	95	BARATE	98J	ALEP	$\sqrt{s}$= 183 GeV
>160	95	[127] BARATE	98U	ALEP	
>210	95	[128] ACCIARRI	97W	L3	$\sqrt{s}$= 161, 172 GeV
>129	95	ACCIARRI	96L	L3	$\sqrt{s}$=133 GeV
>147	95	ALEXANDER	96K	OPAL	
>136	95	BUSKULIC	96Z	ALEP	$\sqrt{s}$=130, 136 GeV
>146	95	ACCIARRI	95G	L3	
		[129] BUSKULIC	93Q	ALEP	
>127	95	[130] ADRIANI	92B	L3	
>114	95	[131] BARDADIN-...	92	RVUE	
> 99	95	DECAMP	92	ALEP	
		[132] SHIMOZAWA	92	TOPZ	
>100	95	ABREU	91E	DLPH	
>116	95	AKRAWY	91F	OPAL	
> 83	95	ADEVA	90K	L3	
> 82	95	AKRAWY	90F	OPAL	
> 68	95	[133] ABE	89J	VNS	η_L=1, η_R=0
> 90.2	95	ADACHI	89B	TOPZ	
> 65	95	KIM	89	AMY	

[126] ACCIARRI 00G also obtain a limit on e^* with chiral coupling, $m_{e^*} > 213$ GeV.

[127] BARATE 98U is from e^+e^- collision at $\sqrt{s}=M_Z$. See their Fig. 5 for limits in mass-coupling plane

[128] ACCIARRI 97W also obtain a limit on e^* with chiral coupling, $m_{e^*} > 157$ GeV (95%CL).

[129] BUSKULIC 93Q obtain $\Lambda^+ > 121$ GeV (95%CL) from ALEPH experiment and $\Lambda^+ > 135$ GeV from combined TRISTAN and ALEPH data. These limits roughly correspond to limits on m_{e^*}.

[130] ADRIANI 92B superseded by ACCIARRI 95G.

[131] BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit from fit to the combined data of DECAMP 92, ABREU 91E, ADEVA 90K, AKRAWY 91F.

[132] SHIMOZAWA 92 fit the data to the limiting form of the cross section with $m_{e^*} \gg E_{\rm cm}$ and obtain $m_{e^*} > 168$ GeV at 95%CL. Use of the full form would reduce this limit by a few GeV. The statistically unexpected large value is due to fluctuation in the data.

[133] The ABE 89J limit assumes chiral coupling. This corresponds to $\lambda_\gamma = 0.7$ for nonchiral coupling.

Indirect Limits for Excited *e* (*e**)

These limits make use of loop effects involving e^* and are therefore subject to theoretical uncertainty.

VALUE (GeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
	[134] DORENBOS...	89	CHRM	$\overline{\nu}_\mu e \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_\mu e$ and $\nu_\mu e \rightarrow \nu_\mu e$
	[135] GRIFOLS	86	THEO	$\nu_\mu e \rightarrow \nu_\mu e$
	[136] RENARD	82	THEO	$g-2$ of electron

[134] DORENBOSCH 89 obtain the limit $\lambda^2_\gamma \Lambda^2_{\rm cut}/m^2_{e^*} < 2.6$ (95% CL), where $\Lambda_{\rm cut}$ is the cutoff scale, based on the one-loop calculation by GRIFOLS 86. If one assumes that $\Lambda_{\rm cut} = 1$ TeV and $\lambda_\gamma = 1$, one obtains $m_{e^*} > 620$ GeV. However, one generally expects $\lambda_\gamma \approx m_{e^*}/\Lambda_{\rm cut}$ in composite models.

[135] GRIFOLS 86 uses $\nu_\mu e \rightarrow \nu_\mu e$ and $\overline{\nu}_\mu e \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_\mu e$ data from CHARM Collaboration to derive mass limits which depend on the scale of compositeness.

[136] RENARD 82 derived from $g-2$ data limits on mass and couplings of e^* and μ^*. See figures 2 and 3 of the paper.

MASS LIMITS for Excited μ (μ^*)

Limits for Excited μ (μ^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^{*+}\mu^{*-}$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of μ^*. Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the μ^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. All limits assume a dominant $\mu^* \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ decay except the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45,** 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
>103.2	95	[137] ABBIENDI	02G	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Homodoublet type

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>102.8	95	[138]	ACHARD	03B	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Homodoublet type
>100.2	95	[139]	ACCIARRI	01D	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 91.3	95	[140]	ABBIENDI	00I	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 94.2	95	[141]	ACCIARRI	00E	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 90.7	95	[142]	ABREU	99O	DLPH	Homodoublet type
> 85.3	95	[143]	ACKERSTAFF	98C	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Homodoublet type
		[144]	BARATE	98U	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$
> 79.6	95	[145,146]	ABREU	97B	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 78.4	95	[145,147]	ABREU	97B	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Sequential type
> 79.9	95	[145]	ACCIARRI	97G	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Sequential type
> 80.0	95	[145,148]	ACKERSTAFF	97	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 62.6	95	[149]	ABREU	96K	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 64.9	95	[150]	ACCIARRI	96D	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Sequential type
> 66.8	95	[150]	ALEXANDER	96Q	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 65.4	95	[150]	BUSKULIC	96W	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$ Sequential type
> 45.6	95		ADRIANI	93M	L3	$Z \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$
> 45.6	95		ABREU	92C	DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$
> 29.8	95	[151]	BARDADIN-...	92	RVUE	$\Gamma(Z)$
> 26.1	95	[152]	DECAMP	92	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$; $\Gamma(Z)$
> 46.1	95		DECAMP	92	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$
> 33	95	[152]	ABREU	91F	DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$; $\Gamma(Z)$
> 45.3	95	[153]	ADEVA	90F	L3	$Z \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$
> 44.9	95		AKRAWY	90I	OPAL	$Z \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$
> 44.6	95	[154]	DECAMP	90G	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$
> 29.9	95		ADACHI	89B	TOPZ	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$
> 28.3	95		KIM	89	AMY	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*$

[137] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 183–209 GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed.

[138] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 189–209 GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed. ACHARD 03B also obtain limit for $f = -f'$: $m_{\mu^*} > 96.6$ GeV.

[139] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 192–202 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ACCIARRI 01D also obtain limit for f=$-f'$: $m_{\mu^*} > 93.4$ GeV.

[140] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=161–183 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ABBIENDI 00I also obtain limit for f=$-f'$ ($\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\mu^*} > 86.0$ GeV.

[141] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=189 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ACCIARRI 00E also obtain limit for f=$-f'$ ($\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\mu^*} > 92.6$ GeV.

[142] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 183 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ABREU 99O also obtain limit for f=$-f'$ ($\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\mu^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

[143] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=170–172 GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from $\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$ decay mode: $m_{\mu^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

[144] BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.

[145] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 161 GeV.

[146] ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\mu^*} > 70.9$ GeV.

[147] ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\mu^* \to \nu W$, $m_{\mu^*} > 44.6$ GeV.
[148] ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\mu^* \to \nu W$, $m_{\nu^*_\mu} > 77.1$ GeV.
[149] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–136 GeV.
[150] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV.
[151] BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is independent of decay modes. Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z)$<36 MeV.
[152] Limit is independent of μ^* decay mode.
[153] Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
[154] Superseded by DECAMP 92.

Limits for Excited μ (μ^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+ e^- \to \mu^* \mu$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between μ and μ^*. All limits assume $\mu^* \to \mu\gamma$ decay. Limits from LEP are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling, $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$. In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the $\lambda - m_{\mu^*}$ plane. See the original papers.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45,** 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	*CL%*		*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
>190	95	[155]	ABBIENDI	02G	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>180	95	[156]	ACHARD	03B	L3	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
>178	95	[157]	ACCIARRI	01D	L3	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
		[158]	ABBIENDI	00I	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
		[159]	ACCIARRI	00E	L3	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
		[160]	ABREU	99O	DLPH	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
		[161]	ACKERSTAFF	98C	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
		[162]	BARATE	98U	ALEP	$Z \to \mu\mu^*$
		[163,164]	ABREU	97B	DLPH	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
		[163,165]	ACCIARRI	97G	L3	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
		[166]	ACKERSTAFF	97	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
		[167]	ABREU	96K	DLPH	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
		[168]	ACCIARRI	96D	L3	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
		[169]	ALEXANDER	96Q	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
		[170]	BUSKULIC	96W	ALEP	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$
> 89	95		ADRIANI	93M	L3	$Z \to \mu\mu^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 88	95		ABREU	92C	DLPH	$Z \to \mu\mu^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 91	95		DECAMP	92	ALEP	$Z \to \mu\mu^*$, $\lambda_Z >1$
> 85	95	[171]	ADEVA	90F	L3	$Z \to \mu\mu^*$, $\lambda_Z > 1$
> 75	95	[171]	ADEVA	90F	L3	$Z \to \mu\mu^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.1$
> 87	95		AKRAWY	90I	OPAL	$Z \to \mu\mu^*$, $\lambda_Z >1$
> 80	95	[172]	DECAMP	90G	ALEP	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$, λ_Z=1
> 50	95		ADACHI	89B	TOPZ	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$, λ_γ=0.7
> 46	95		KIM	89	AMY	$e^+ e^- \to \mu\mu^*$, λ_γ=0.2

[155] ABBIENDI 02G result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 183–209 GeV. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\mu^*}$ is assumed for μ^* coupling. See their Fig. 4c for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[156] ACHARD 03B result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 189–209 GeV. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\mu^*}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[157] ACCIARRI 01D result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 192–202 GeV. $f=f'=\Lambda/m_{\mu^*}$ is assumed for the μ^* coupling. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the mass-coupling plane.

[158] ABBIENDI 00I result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=161–183 GeV. See their Fig. 7 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

[159] ACCIARRI 00E result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=189 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

[160] ABREU 99O result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 183 GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[161] ACKERSTAFF 98C from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=170–172 GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[162] BARATE 98U obtain limits on the $Z\mu\mu^*$ coupling. See their Fig. 12 for limits in mass-coupling plane

[163] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 161 GeV.

[164] See Fig. 4a and Fig. 5a of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[165] See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[166] ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 161 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[167] ABREU 96K result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–136 GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[168] ACCIARRI 96D result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[169] ALEXANDER 96Q result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 3a for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[170] BUSKULIC 96W result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[171] Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.

[172] Superseded by DECAMP 92.

Indirect Limits for Excited μ (μ^*)

These limits make use of loop effects involving μ^* and are therefore subject to theoretical uncertainty.

VALUE (GeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
	[173] RENARD	82	THEO	$g-2$ of muon

[173] RENARD 82 derived from $g-2$ data limits on mass and couplings of e^* and μ^*. See figures 2 and 3 of the paper.

MASS LIMITS for Excited τ (τ^*)

Limits for Excited τ (τ^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^{*+}\tau^{*-}$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of τ^*. Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the τ^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. All limits assume a dominant $\tau^* \rightarrow \tau\gamma$ decay except the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45,** 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	*CL%*		*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
>103.2	95	174	ABBIENDI	02G	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>102.8	95	175	ACHARD	03B	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 99.8	95	176	ACCIARRI	01D	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 91.2	95	177	ABBIENDI	00I	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 94.2	95	178	ACCIARRI	00E	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 89.7	95	179	ABREU	99O	DLPH	Homodoublet type
> 84.6	95	180	ACKERSTAFF	98C	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
		181	BARATE	98U	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
> 79.4	95	182,183	ABREU	97B	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 77.4	95	182,184	ABREU	97B	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Sequential type
> 79.3	95	182	ACCIARRI	97G	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Sequential type
> 79.1	95	182,185	ACKERSTAFF	97	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 62.2	95	186	ABREU	96K	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 64.2	95	187	ACCIARRI	96D	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Sequential type
> 65.3	95	187	ALEXANDER	96Q	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 64.8	95	187	BUSKULIC	96W	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Sequential type
> 45.6	95		ADRIANI	93M	L3	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
> 45.3	95		ABREU	92C	DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
> 29.8	95	188	BARDADIN-...	92	RVUE	$\Gamma(Z)$
> 26.1	95	189	DECAMP	92	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$; $\Gamma(Z)$
> 46.0	95		DECAMP	92	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
> 33	95	189	ABREU	91F	DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$; $\Gamma(Z)$
> 45.5	95	190	ADEVA	90L	L3	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
> 44.9	95		AKRAWY	90I	OPAL	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
> 41.2	95	191	DECAMP	90G	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
> 29.0	95		ADACHI	89B	TOPZ	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$

[174] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 183–209 GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed.

[175] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 189–209 GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed. ACHARD 03B also obtain limit for $f = -f'$: $m_{\tau^*} > 96.6$ GeV.

[176] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 192–202 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ACCIARRI 01D also obtain limit for f=$-f'$: $m_{\tau^*} > 93.4$ GeV.

[177] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=161–183 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ABBIENDI 00I also obtain limit for f=$-f'$ ($\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\tau^*} > 86.0$ GeV.

[178] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=189 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ACCIARRI 00E also obtain limit for f=$-f'$ ($\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\tau^*} > 92.6$ GeV.

[179] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 183 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ABREU 99O also obtain limit for f=$-f'$ ($\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\tau^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

[180] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=170–172 GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from $\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$ decay mode: $m_{\tau^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

[181] BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.

[182] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 161 GeV.

[183] ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\tau^*} > 70.9$ GeV.
[184] ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\tau^*} > 44.6$ GeV.
[185] ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 77.1$ GeV.
[186] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–136 GeV.
[187] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV.
[188] BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is independent of decay modes. Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z)<36$ MeV.
[189] Limit is independent of τ^* decay mode.
[190] Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
[191] Superseded by DECAMP 92.

Limits for Excited τ (τ^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between τ and τ^*. All limits assume $\tau^* \rightarrow \tau\gamma$ decay. Limits from LEP are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling, $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$. In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the $\lambda - m_{\tau^*}$ plane. See the original papers.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>185	95	[192]	ABBIENDI	02G	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
>180	95	[193]	ACHARD	03B	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
>173	95	[194]	ACCIARRI	01D	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		[195]	ABBIENDI	00I	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		[196]	ACCIARRI	00E	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		[197]	ABREU	99O	DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		[198]	ACKERSTAFF	98C	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		[199]	BARATE	98U	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		[200,201]	ABREU	97B	DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		[200,202]	ACCIARRI	97G	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		[203]	ACKERSTAFF	97	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		[204]	ABREU	96K	DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		[205]	ACCIARRI	96D	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		[206]	ALEXANDER	96Q	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		[207]	BUSKULIC	96W	ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
> 88	95		ADRIANI	93M	L3	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 87	95		ABREU	92C	DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 90	95		DECAMP	92	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.18$
> 88	95	[208]	ADEVA	90L	L3	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_Z > 1$
> 86.5	95		AKRAWY	90I	OPAL	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_Z > 1$
> 59	95	[209]	DECAMP	90G	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_Z = 1$
> 40	95	[210]	BARTEL	86	JADE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_\gamma = 1$
> 41.4	95	[211]	BEHREND	86	CELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_\gamma = 1$
> 40.8	95	[211]	BEHREND	86	CELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_\gamma = 0.7$

[192] ABBIENDI 02G result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 183–209 GeV. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\tau^*}$ is assumed for τ^* coupling. See their Fig. 4c for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[193] ACHARD 03B result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 189–209 GeV. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\tau^*}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[194] ACCIARRI 01D result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 192–202 GeV. f=f'=Λ/m_{τ^*} is assumed for the τ^* coupling. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the mass-coupling plane.

[195] ABBIENDI 00I result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=161–183 GeV. See their Fig. 7 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

[196] ACCIARRI 00E result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=189 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

[197] ABREU 99O result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 183 GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[198] ACKERSTAFF 98C from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=170–172 GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[199] BARATE 98U obtain limits on the $Z\tau\tau^*$ coupling. See their Fig. 12 for limits in mass-coupling plane

[200] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 161 GeV.

[201] See Fig. 4a and Fig. 5a of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[202] See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[203] ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 161 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[204] ABREU 96K result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–136 GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[205] ACCIARRI 96D result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[206] ALEXANDER 96Q result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 3a for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[207] BUSKULIC 96W result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[208] Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.

[209] Superseded by DECAMP 92.

[210] BARTEL 86 is at $E_{\rm cm}$ = 30–46.78 GeV.

[211] BEHREND 86 limit is at $E_{\rm cm}$ = 33–46.8 GeV.

MASS LIMITS for Excited Neutrino (ν^*)

Limits for Excited ν (ν^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of ν^*. Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. The ν^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type unless otherwise noted. All limits assume a dominant $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$ decay except the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

VALUE (GeV)	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
>102.6	95	[212] ACHARD	03B	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Homodoublet type

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$>$ 99.4	95	[213] ACCIARRI	01D	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
$>$ 91.2	95	[214] ABBIENDI	00I	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
		[215] ABBIENDI,G	00D	OPAL	
$>$ 94.1	95	[216] ACCIARRI	00E	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
		[217] ABBIENDI	99F	OPAL	
$>$ 90.0	95	[218] ABREU	99O	DLPH	Homodoublet type
$>$ 84.9	95	[219] ACKERSTAFF	98C	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
		[220] BARATE	98U	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$
$>$ 77.6	95	[221,222] ABREU	97B	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
$>$ 64.4	95	[221,223] ABREU	97B	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Sequential type
$>$ 71.2	95	[221,224] ACCIARRI	97G	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Sequential type
$>$ 77.8	95	[221,225] ACKERSTAFF	97	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
$>$ 61.4	95	[226,227] ACCIARRI	96D	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Sequential type
$>$ 65.0	95	[228,229] ALEXANDER	96Q	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
$>$ 63.6	95	[226] BUSKULIC	96W	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Sequential type
$>$ 43.7	95	[230] BARDADIN-...	92	RVUE	$\Gamma(Z)$
$>$ 47	95	[231] DECAMP	92	ALEP	
$>$ 42.6	95	[232] DECAMP	92	ALEP	$\Gamma(Z)$
$>$ 35.4	95	[233,234] DECAMP	90O	ALEP	$\Gamma(Z)$
$>$ 46	95	[234,235] DECAMP	90O	ALEP	

[212] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 189–209 GeV. $f = -f'$ is assumed. ACHARD 03B also obtain limit for $f = f'$: $m_{\nu_e^*} > 101.7$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 101.8$ GeV, and $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 92.9$ GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[213] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 192–202 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ACCIARRI 01D also obtain limit for f=$-f'$: $m_{\nu_e^*} > 99.1$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 99.3$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 90.5$ GeV.

[214] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=161–183 GeV. f=$-f'$ (photonic decay) is assumed. ABBIENDI 00I also obtain limit for f=f' ($\nu^* \rightarrow \ell W$): $m_{\nu_e^*} > 91.1$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 91.1$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 83.1$ GeV.

[215] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 189 GeV. ABBIENDI,G 00D obtain limit on $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*)\mathrm{B}(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)^2$. See their Fig. 14. The limit ranges from 50 to 80 fb for $\sqrt{s}/2$= 95 GeV$>m_{\nu^*}>$45 GeV.

[216] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=189 GeV. f=$-f'$ (photonic decay) is assumed. ACCIARRI 00E also obtain limit for f=f' ($\nu^* \rightarrow \ell W$): $m_{\nu_e^*} > 93.9$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 94.0$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 91.5$ GeV.

[217] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–183 GeV, ABBIENDI 99F obtain limit on $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*)$ $\mathrm{B}(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)^2$. See their Fig. 13. The limit ranges from 0.094 to 0.14 pb for $\sqrt{s}/2>m_{\nu^*} > 45$ GeV.

[218] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 183 GeV. f=$-f'$ is assumed. ABREU 99O also obtain limit for f=f': $m_{\nu_{e^*}} > 87.3$ GeV, $m_{\nu_{\mu^*}} > 88.0$ GeV, $m_{\nu_{\tau^*}} > 81.0$ GeV.

[219] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=170–172 GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from charged decay modes: $m_{\nu_e^*} > 84.1$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 83.9$ GeV, and $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 79.4$ GeV.

[220] BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.

[221] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 161 GeV.
[222] ABREU 97B also obtain limits from charged current decay modes, $m_{\nu^*} > 56.4$ GeV.
[223] ABREU 97B also obtain limits from charged current decay modes, $m_{\nu^*} > 44.9$ GeV.
[224] ACCIARRI 97G also obtain limits from charged current decay mode $\nu^*_e \rightarrow eW$, $m_{\nu^*} >$ 64.5 GeV.
[225] ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limits from charged current decay modes $m_{\nu^*_e} > 78.3$ GeV, $m_{\nu^*_\mu} > 78.9$ GeV, $m_{\nu^*_\tau} > 76.2$ GeV.
[226] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV.
[227] ACCIARRI 96D also obtain limit from $\nu^* \rightarrow eW$ decay mode: $m_{\nu^*} > 57.3$ GeV.
[228] From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–136 GeV.
[229] ALEXANDER 96Q also obtain limits from charged current decay modes: $m_{\nu^*_e} > 66.2$ GeV, $m_{\nu^*_\mu} > 66.5$ GeV, $m_{\nu^*_\tau} > 64.7$ GeV.
[230] BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is for Dirac ν^*. Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z)$<36 MeV. The limit is 36.4 GeV for Majorana ν^*, 45.4 GeV for homodoublet ν^*.
[231] Limit is based on B($Z \rightarrow \nu^*\overline{\nu}^*$)×B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)^2 < 5 \times 10^{-5}$ (95%CL) assuming Dirac ν^*, B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$) = 1.
[232] Limit is for Dirac ν^*. The limit is 34.6 GeV for Majorana ν^*, 45.4 GeV for homodoublet ν^*.
[233] DECAMP 90O limit is from excess $\Delta\Gamma(Z) < 89$ MeV. The above value is for Dirac ν^*; 26.6 GeV for Majorana ν^*; 44.8 GeV for homodoublet ν^*.
[234] Superseded by DECAMP 92.
[235] DECAMP 90O limit based on B($Z \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$)·B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)^2 < 7 \times 10^{-5}$ (95%CL), assuming Dirac ν^*, B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$) = 1.

Limits for Excited ν (ν^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$, $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$, or $ep \rightarrow \nu^* X$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between ν/e and ν^*. Assumptions about ν^* decay mode are given in footnotes.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>190	95	[236] ACHARD	03B	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
none 50–150	95	[237] ADLOFF	02	H1	$ep \rightarrow \nu^*$X
>158	95	[238] CHEKANOV	02D	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow \nu^*$X
>171	95	[239] ACCIARRI	01D	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		[240] ABBIENDI	00I	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		[241] ABBIENDI,G	00D	OPAL	
		[242] ACCIARRI	00E	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
>114	95	[243] ADLOFF	00E	H1	$ep \rightarrow \nu^*$X
		[244] ABBIENDI	99F	OPAL	
		[245] ABREU	99O	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		[246] ACKERSTAFF	98C	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
		[247] BARATE	98U	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		[248,249] ABREU	97B	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		[250] ABREU	97I	DLPH	$\nu^* \rightarrow \ell W, \nu Z$
		[251] ABREU	97J	DLPH	$\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$
		[248,252] ACCIARRI	97G	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		[253] ACKERSTAFF	97	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$

		[254]	ADLOFF	97	H1	Lepton-flavor violation
none 40–96	95	[255]	BREITWEG	97C	ZEUS	$e p \to \nu^* X$
		[256]	ACCIARRI	96D	L3	$e^+ e^- \to \nu \nu^*$
		[257]	ALEXANDER	96Q	OPAL	$e^+ e^- \to \nu \nu^*$
		[258]	BUSKULIC	96W	ALEP	$e^+ e^- \to \nu \nu^*$
		[259]	DERRICK	95B	ZEUS	$e p \to \nu^* X$
		[260]	ABT	93	H1	$e p \to \nu^* X$
$>$ 91	95		ADRIANI	93M	L3	$\lambda_Z > 1$, $\nu^* \to \nu\gamma$
$>$ 89	95		ADRIANI	93M	L3	$\lambda_Z > 1$, $\nu^*_e \to e W$
$>$ 87	95		ADRIANI	93M	L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1$, $\nu^* \to \nu\gamma$
$>$ 74	95		ADRIANI	93M	L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1$, $\nu^*_e \to e W$
		[261]	BARDADIN-...	92	RVUE	
$>$ 91	95	[262]	DECAMP	92	ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 1$
$>$ 74	95	[262]	DECAMP	92	ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 0.034$
$>$ 91	95	[263,264]	ADEVA	90O	L3	$\lambda_Z > 1$
$>$ 83	95	[264]	ADEVA	90O	L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1$, $\nu^* \to \nu\gamma$
$>$ 74	95	[264]	ADEVA	90O	L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1$, $\nu^*_e \to e W$
$>$ 90	95	[265,266]	DECAMP	90O	ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 1$
$>$ 74.7	95	[265,266]	DECAMP	90O	ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 0.06$

[236] ACHARD 03B result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 189–209 GeV. The quoted limit is for ν^*_e. $f = -f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[237] ADLOFF 02 search for single ν^* production in $e p$ collisions with the decays $\nu^* \to \nu\gamma$, νZ, $e W$. The quoted limit assumes $f = -f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$. See their Fig. 1 for the exclusion plots in the mass-coupling plane.

[238] CHEKANOV 02D search for single ν^* production in $e p$ collisions with the decays $\nu^* \to \nu\gamma$, νZ, $e W$. $f = -f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ is assumed for the e^* coupling. CHEKANOV 02D also obtain limit for $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$: $m_{\nu^*} >$135 GeV. See their Fig. 5c and Fig. 5d for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[239] ACCIARRI 01D search for $\nu\nu^*$ production in $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 192–202 GeV with decays $\nu^* \to \nu\gamma$, $\nu^* \to e W$. $f = -f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ is assumed for the ν^* coupling. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the mass-coupling plane.

[240] ABBIENDI 00I result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=161–183 GeV. See their Fig. 7 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

[241] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 189 GeV. ABBIENDI,G 00D obtain limit on $\sigma(e^+ e^- \to \nu^* \nu^*)B(\nu^* \to \nu\gamma)^2$. See their Fig. 11.

[242] ACCIARRI 00E result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=189 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

[243] ADLOFF 00E search for single ν^* production in $e p$ collisions with the decays $\nu^* \to \nu\gamma$, νZ, $e W$. The quoted limit assumes $f = -f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$. See their Fig. 10 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[244] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–183 GeV, ABBIENDI 99F obtain limit on $\sigma(e^+ e^- \to \nu\nu^*)$ $B(\nu^* \to \nu\gamma)$. See their Fig. 8.

[245] ABREU 99O result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 183 GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[246] ACKERSTAFF 98C from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=170–172 GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[247] BARATE 98U obtain limits on the $Z \nu \nu^*$ coupling. See their Fig. 13 for limits in mass-coupling plane

[248] From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 161 GeV.
[249] See Fig. 4b and Fig. 5b of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
[250] ABREU 97I limit is from $Z \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$. See their Fig. 12 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
[251] ABREU 97J limit is from $Z \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$. See their Fig. 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
[252] See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
[253] ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 161 GeV, for homodoublet ν^*. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
[254] ADLOFF 97 search for single e^* production in $e p$ collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, $e Z$, νW. See their Fig. 4 for the rejection limits on the product of the production cross section and the branching ratio.
[255] BREITWEG 97C search for single ν^* production in $e p$ collisions with the decay $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$. f=$-f'$=$2\Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ is assumed for the ν^* coupling. See their Fig. 10 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.
[256] ACCIARRI 96D result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
[257] ALEXANDER 96Q result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV for homodoublet ν^*. See their Fig. 3b and Fig. 3c for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
[258] BUSKULIC 96W result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
[259] DERRICK 95B search for single ν^* production via $\nu^* e W$ coupling in $e p$ collisions with the decays $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$, νZ, $e W$. See their Fig. 14 for the exclusion plot in the m_{ν^*}–$\lambda\gamma$ plane.
[260] ABT 93 search for single ν^* production via $\nu^* e W$ coupling in $e p$ collisions with the decays $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$, νZ, $e W$. See their Fig. 4 for exclusion plot in the m_{ν^*}–λ_W plane.
[261] See Fig. 5 of BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 for combined limit of ADEVA 90O, DECAMP 90O, and DECAMP 92.
[262] DECAMP 92 limit is based on B($Z \rightarrow \nu^* \overline{\nu}$)×B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$) $< 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ (95%CL) assuming Dirac ν^*, B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$) = 1.
[263] Limit is either for $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$ or $\nu^* \rightarrow e W$.
[264] Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
[265] DECAMP 90O limit based on B($Z \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$)·B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$) $< 6 \times 10^{-5}$ (95%CL), assuming B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$) = 1.
[266] Superseded by DECAMP 92.

MASS LIMITS for Excited q (q^*)

Limits for Excited q (q^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow q^* \overline{q}^*$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of the q^*. Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. Assumptions about the q^* decay are given in the comments and footnotes.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>45.6	95	[267] ADRIANI 93M	L3	u or d type, $Z \rightarrow q^* q^*$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		[268] BARATE	98U	ALEP	$Z \to q^* q^*$
		[269] ADRIANI	92F	L3	$Z \to q^* q^*$
>41.7	95	[270] BARDADIN-...	92	RVUE	u-type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>44.7	95	[270] BARDADIN-...	92	RVUE	d-type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>40.6	95	[271] DECAMP	92	ALEP	u-type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>44.2	95	[271] DECAMP	92	ALEP	d-type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>45	95	[272] DECAMP	92	ALEP	u or d type, $Z \to q^* q^*$
>45	95	[271] ABREU	91F	DLPH	u-type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>45	95	[271] ABREU	91F	DLPH	d-type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>21.1	95	[273] BEHREND	86C	CELL	$e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \to q g$
>22.3	95	[273] BEHREND	86C	CELL	$e(q^*) = 2/3$, $q^* \to q g$
>22.5	95	[273] BEHREND	86C	CELL	$e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \to q\gamma$
>23.2	95	[273] BEHREND	86C	CELL	$e(q^*) = 2/3$, $q^* \to q\gamma$

[267] ADRIANI 93M limit is valid for $B(q^* \to qg) > 0.25$ (0.17) for up (down) type.

[268] BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 16 for limits in mass-form factor plane.

[269] ADRIANI 92F search for $Z \to q^* \overline{q}^*$ followed with $q^* \to q\gamma$ decays and give the limit $\sigma_Z \cdot B(Z \to q^* \overline{q}^*) \cdot B^2(q^* \to q\gamma) <2$ pb at 95%CL. Assuming five flavors of degenerate q^* of homodoublet type, $B(q^* \to q\gamma) <4\%$ is obtained for $m_{q^*} <45$ GeV.

[270] BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z)<36$ MeV.

[271] These limits are independent of decay modes.

[272] Limit is for $B(q^* \to qg)+B(q^* \to q\gamma)=1$.

[273] BEHREND 86C search for $e^+ e^- \to q^* \overline{q}^*$ for $m_{q^*} >5$ GeV. But $m < 5$ GeV excluded by total hadronic cross section. The limits are for point-like photon couplings of excited quarks.

Limits for Excited q (q^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+ e^- \to q^* \overline{q}$ or $p\overline{p} \to q^* X$ and depend on transition magnetic couplings between q and q^*. Assumptions about q^* decay mode are given in the footnotes and comments.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 570, none 580–760 (CL = 95%) OUR EVALUATION					
none 200–520 and **580–760**	95	[274] ABE	97G	CDF	$p\overline{p} \to q^* X$, $q^* \to 2$ jets
none 80–570	95	[275] ABE	95N	CDF	$p\overline{p} \to q^* X$, $q^* \to qg$ $q\gamma$, qW
>288	90	[276] ALITTI	93	UA2	$p\overline{p} \to q^* X$, $q^* \to qg$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
>205	95	[277] CHEKANOV	02D	ZEUS	$ep \to q^* X$
>188	95	[278] ADLOFF	00E	H1	$ep \to q^* X$
		[279] ABREU	99O	DLPH	$e^+ e^- \to q q^*$
		[280] BARATE	98U	ALEP	$Z \to q q^*$
		[281] ADLOFF	97	H1	Lepton-flavor violation
none 40–169	95	[282] BREITWEG	97C	ZEUS	$ep \to q^* X$
		[283] DERRICK	95B	ZEUS	$ep \to q^* X$
none 80–540	95	[284] ABE	94	CDF	$p\overline{p} \to q^* X$, $q^* \to q\gamma$, qW

$>$ 79	95	[285] ADRIANI	93M	L3	λ_Z(L3)$>$ 0.06
		[286] ABREU	92D	DLPH	$Z \to qq^*$
		[287] ADRIANI	92F	L3	$Z \to qq^*$
$>$ 75	95	[285] DECAMP	92	ALEP	$Z \to qq^*$, λ_Z $>$1
$>$ 88	95	[288] DECAMP	92	ALEP	$Z \to qq^*$, λ_Z $>$1
$>$ 86	95	[288] AKRAWY	90J	OPAL	$Z \to qq^*$, λ_Z $>$ 1.2
		[289] ALBAJAR	89	UA1	$p\overline{p} \to q^* X$, $q^* \to qW$
$>$ 39	95	[290] BEHREND	86C	CELL	$e^+e^- \to q^*\overline{q}$ ($q^* \to qg, q\gamma$), λ_γ=1

[274] ABE 97G search for new particle decaying to dijets.

[275] ABE 95N assume a degenerate u^* and d^* with f_s=f=f'=Λ/m_{q^*}. See their Fig. 4 for the excluded region in $m_{q^*} - f$ plane.

[276] ALITTI 93 search for resonances in the two-jet invariant mass. The limit is for f_s = f = f' = Λ/m_{q^*}. u^* and d^* are assumed to be degenerate. If not, the limit for u^* (d^*) is 277 (247) GeV if $m_{d^*} \gg m_{u^*}$ ($m_{u^*} \gg m_{d^*}$).

[277] CHEKANOV 02D search for single q^* production in ep collisions with the decays $q^* \to q\gamma$, qZ, qW. f_s = 0 and f = f' = Λ/m_{q^*} is assumed for the q^* coupling. See their Fig. 5b for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[278] ADLOFF 00E search for single q^* production in ep collisions with the decays $q^* \to q\gamma$, qZ, qW. f_s=0 and f=f'=Λ/m_{q^*} is assumed for the q^* coupling. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[279] ABREU 99O result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}$= 183 GeV. See their Fig. 6 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

[280] BARATE 98U obtain limits on the Zqq^* coupling. See their Fig. 16 for limits in mass-coupling plane

[281] ADLOFF 97 search for single q^* production in ep collisions with the decay $q^* \to q\gamma$. See their Fig. 6 for the rejection limits on the product of the production cross section and the branching ratio.

[282] BREITWEG 97C search for single q^* production in ep collisions with the decays $q^* \to q\gamma$, qW. f_s=0, and f=$-f'$=2Λ/m_{q^*} is assumed for the q^* coupling. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

[283] DERRICK 95B search for single q^* production via $q^*q\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $q^* \to qW$, qZ, qg, $q\gamma$. See their Fig. 15 for the exclusion plot in the $m_{q^*}$$-\lambda\gamma$ plane.

[284] ABE 94 search for resonances in jet-γ and jet-W invariant mass in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at E_{cm} = 1.8 TeV. The limit is for f_s = f = f' = Λ/m_{q^*} and u^* and d^* are assumed to be degenerate. See their Fig. 4 for the excluded region in m_{q^*}-f plane.

[285] Assumes B($q^* \to qg$) = 1.

[286] ABREU 92D give σ($e^+e^- \to Z \to q^*\overline{q}$ or $q\overline{q}^*$)$\times$B($q^* \to q\gamma$) $<$15 pb (95% CL) for m_{q^*} $<$80 GeV.

[287] ADRIANI 92F search for $Z \to qq^*$ with $q^* \to q\gamma$ and give the limit σ_Z $\cdot$ B($Z \to qq^*$) $\cdot$ B($q^* \to q\gamma$) $<$(2–10) pb (95%CL) for m_{q^*} = (46–82) GeV.

[288] Assumes B($q^* \to q\gamma$) = 0.1.

[289] ALBAJAR 89 give σ($q^* \to W+$ jet)/σ(W) $<$ 0.019 (90% CL) for m_{q^*} $>$ 220 GeV.

[290] BEHREND 86C has E_{cm} = 42.5–46.8 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for excluded region in the $m_{q^*}-(\lambda_\gamma/m_{q^*})^2$ plane. The limit is for $\lambda_\gamma = 1$ with $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$.

MASS LIMITS for Color Sextet Quarks (q_6)

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>84	95	[291] ABE	89D	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow q_6 \overline{q}_6$

[291] ABE 89D look for pair production of unit-charged particles which leave the detector before decaying. In the above limit the color sextet quark is assumed to fragment into a unit-charged or neutral hadron with equal probability and to have long enough lifetime not to decay within the detector. A limit of 121 GeV is obtained for a color decuplet.

MASS LIMITS for Color Octet Charged Leptons (ℓ_8)

$\lambda \equiv m_{\ell_8}/\Lambda$

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>86	95	[292] ABE	89D	CDF	Stable ℓ_8: $p\overline{p} \rightarrow \ell_8 \overline{\ell}_8$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
		[293] ABT	93	H1	e_8: $ep \rightarrow e_8 X$
none 3.0–30.3	95	[294] KIM	90	AMY	e_8: $e^+e^- \rightarrow ee +$ jets
none 3.5–30.3	95	[294] KIM	90	AMY	μ_8: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu +$ jets
		[295] KIM	90	AMY	e_8: $e^+e^- \rightarrow gg$; R
>19.8	95	[296] BARTEL	87B	JADE	e_8, μ_8, τ_8: e^+e^-; R
none 5–23.2	95	[296] BARTEL	87B	JADE	μ_8: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu +$ jets
		[297] BARTEL	85K	JADE	e_8: $e^+e^- \rightarrow gg$; R

[292] ABE 89D look for pair production of unit-charged particles which leave the detector before decaying. In the above limit the color octet lepton is assumed to fragment into a unit-charged or neutral hadron with equal probability and to have long enough lifetime not to decay within the detector. The limit improves to 99 GeV if it always fragments into a unit-charged hadron.

[293] ABT 93 search for e_8 production via e-gluon fusion in ep collisions with $e_8 \rightarrow eg$. See their Fig. 3 for exclusion plot in the m_{e_8}–Λ plane for m_{e_8} = 35–220 GeV.

[294] KIM 90 is at E_{cm} = 50–60.8 GeV. The same assumptions as in BARTEL 87B are used.

[295] KIM 90 result $(m_{e_8}\Lambda_M)^{1/2} >$ 178.4 GeV (95%CL, $\alpha_s = 0.16$ used) is subject to the same restriction as for BARTEL 85K.

[296] BARTEL 87B is at E_{cm} = 46.3–46.78 GeV. The limits assume ℓ_8 pair production cross sections to be eight times larger than those of the corresponding heavy lepton pair production.

[297] In BARTEL 85K, R can be affected by $e^+e^- \rightarrow gg$ via e_q exchange. Their limit m_{e_8} >173 GeV (CL=95%) at $\lambda = m_{e_8}/\Lambda_M = 1$ ($\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$) is not listed above because the cross section is sensitive to the product $\eta_L\eta_R$, which should be absent in ordinary theory with electronic chiral invariance.

MASS LIMITS for Color Octet Neutrinos (ν_8)

$\lambda \equiv m_{\ell_8}/\Lambda$

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>110	90	[298] BARGER	89	RVUE	ν_8: $p\overline{p} \rightarrow \nu_8 \overline{\nu}_8$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
none 3.8–29.8	95	[299] KIM	90	AMY	ν_8: $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ acoplanar jets
none 9–21.9	95	[300] BARTEL	87B	JADE	ν_8: $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ acoplanar jets

[298] BARGER 89 used ABE 89B limit for events with large missing transverse momentum. Two-body decay $\nu_8 \rightarrow \nu g$ is assumed.

[299] KIM 90 is at $E_{\rm cm}$ = 50–60.8 GeV. The same assumptions as in BARTEL 87B are used.

[300] BARTEL 87B is at $E_{\rm cm}$ = 46.3–46.78 GeV. The limit assumes the ν_8 pair production cross section to be eight times larger than that of the corresponding heavy neutrino pair production. This assumption is not valid in general for the weak couplings, and the limit can be sensitive to its $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ quantum numbers.

MASS LIMITS for W_8 (Color Octet W Boson)

VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
	[301] ALBAJAR	89	UA1	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow W_8 X$, $W_8 \rightarrow W g$

[301] ALBAJAR 89 give $\sigma(W_8 \rightarrow W + \text{jet})/\sigma(W) < 0.019$ (90% CL) for $m_{W_8} > 220$ GeV.

REFERENCES FOR Searches for Quark and Lepton Compositeness

ACHARD	03B	PL B568 23	P. Achard et al.	(L3 Collab.)
ADLOFF	03	PL B568 35	C. Adloff et al.	(H1 Collab.)
BABICH	03	EPJ C29 103	A.A. Babich et al.	
ABBIENDI	02G	PL B544 57	G. Abbiendi et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ACHARD	02D	PL B531 28	P. Achard et al.	(L3 Collab.)
ACHARD	02J	PL B549 290	P. Achard et al.	(L3 Collab.)
ADLOFF	02	PL B525 9	C. Adloff et al.	(H1 Collab.)
ADLOFF	02B	PL B548 35	C. Adloff et al.	(H1 Collab.)
CHEKANOV	02D	PL B549 32	S. Chekanov et al.	(ZEUS Collab.)
ACCIARRI	01D	PL B502 37	M. Acciarri et al.	(L3 Collab.)
AFFOLDER	01I	PRL 87 231803	T. Affolder et al.	(CDF Collab.)
BOURILKOV	01	PR D64 071701	D. Bourilkov	
CHEUNG	01B	PL B517 167	K. Cheung	
ABBIENDI	00I	EPJ C14 73	G. Abbiendi et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	00R	EPJ C13 553	G. Abbiendi et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI,G	00D	EPJ C18 253	G. Abbiendi et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBOTT	00E	PR D62 031101	B. Abbott et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABREU	00A	PL B491 67	P. Abreu et al.	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	00S	PL B485 45	P. Abreu et al.	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	00E	PL B473 177	M. Acciarri et al.	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	00G	PL B475 198	M. Acciarri et al.	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	00P	PL B489 81	M. Acciarri et al.	(L3 Collab.)
ADLOFF	00	PL B479 358	C. Adloff et al.	(H1 Collab.)
ADLOFF	00E	EPJ C17 567	C. Adloff et al.	(H1 Collab.)
AFFOLDER	00I	PR D62 012004	T. Affolder et al.	(CDF Collab.)
BARATE	00I	EPJ C12 183	R. Barate et al.	(ALEPH Collab.)
BOURILKOV	00	PR D62 076005	D. Bourilkov	
BREITWEG	00B	EPJ C14 239	J. Breitweg et al.	(ZEUS Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99	EPJ C6 1	G. Abbiendi et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99F	EPJ C8 23	G. Abbiendi et al.	(OPAL Collab.)

ABBIENDI	99P	PL B465 303	G. Abbiendi *et al.*	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBOTT	99C	PRL 82 2457	B. Abbott *et al.*	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	99D	PRL 82 4769	B. Abbott *et al.*	(D0 Collab.)
ABREU	99A	EPJ C11 383	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	99O	EPJ C8 41	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)
ZARNECKI	99	EPJ C11 539	A.F. Zarnecki	
ABBOTT	98G	PRL 80 666	B. Abbott *et al.*	(D0 Collab.)
ABREU	98J	PL B433 429	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98J	PL B433 163	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98T	PL B439 183	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98	EPJ C1 21	K. Ackerstaff *et al.*	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98C	EPJ C1 45	K. Ackerstaff *et al.*	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98V	EPJ C2 441	K. Ackerstaff *et al.*	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKER..,K...	98B	PL B438 379	K. Ackerstaff *et al.*	(OPAL Collab.)
BARATE	98J	PL B429 201	R. Barate *et al.*	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	98U	EPJ C4 571	R. Barate *et al.*	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARGER	98E	PR D57 391	V. Barger *et al.*	
BERTRAM	98	PL B443 347	I. Bertram, E.H. Simmons	
MCFARLAND	98	EPJ C1 509	K.S. McFarland *et al.*	(CCFR/NuTeV Collab.)
MIURA	98	PR D57 5345	M. Miura *et al.*	(VENUS Collab.)
ABE	97G	PR D55 R5263	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	97T	PRL 79 2198	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	97B	PL B393 245	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	97I	ZPHY C74 57	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)
Also	97L	ZPHY C75 580 erratum	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	97J	ZPHY C74 577	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97G	PL B401 139	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97W	PL B413 159	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97	PL B391 197	K. Ackerstaff *et al.*	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97C	PL B391 221	K. Ackerstaff *et al.*	(OPAL Collab.)
ADLOFF	97	NP B483 44	C. Adloff *et al.*	(H1 Collab.)
ARIMA	97	PR D55 19	T. Arima *et al.*	(VENUS Collab.)
BREITWEG	97C	ZPHY C76 631	J. Breitweg *et al.*	(ZEUS Collab.)
DEANDREA	97	PL B409 277	A. Deandrea	(MARS)
ABE	96	PRL 77 438	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96S	PRL 77 5336	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	96K	PL B380 480	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	96D	PL B370 211	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	96L	PL B384 323	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96K	PL B377 222	G. Alexander *et al.*	(OPAL Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96Q	PL B386 463	G. Alexander *et al.*	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96W	PL B385 445	D. Buskulic *et al.*	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96Z	PL B384 333	D. Buskulic *et al.*	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE	95N	PRL 74 3538	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95G	PL B353 136	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
AID	95	PL B353 578	S. Aid *et al.*	(H1 Collab.)
DERRICK	95B	ZPHY C65 627	M. Derrick *et al.*	(ZEUS Collab.)
ABE	94	PRL 72 3004	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
DIAZCRUZ	94	PR D49 R2149	J.L. Diaz Cruz, O.A. Sampayo	(CINV)
VELISSARIS	94	PL B331 227	C. Velissaris *et al.*	(AMY Collab.)
ABE	93G	PRL 71 2542	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ABT	93	NP B396 3	I. Abt *et al.*	(H1 Collab.)
ADRIANI	93M	PRPL 236 1	O. Adriani *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
ALITTI	93	NP B400 3	J. Alitti *et al.*	(UA2 Collab.)
BUSKULIC	93Q	ZPHY C59 215	D. Buskulic *et al.*	(ALEPH Collab.)
DERRICK	93B	PL B316 207	M. Derrick *et al.*	(ZEUS Collab.)
ABE	92B	PRL 68 1463	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	92D	PRL 68 1104	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	92M	PRL 69 2896	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	92C	ZPHY C53 41	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	92D	ZPHY C53 555	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADRIANI	92B	PL B288 404	O. Adriani *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	92F	PL B292 472	O. Adriani *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
BARDADIN-...	92	ZPHY C55 163	M. Bardadin-Otwinowska	(CLER)
DECAMP	92	PRPL 216 253	D. Decamp *et al.*	(ALEPH Collab.)
HOWELL	92	PL B291 206	B. Howell *et al.*	(TOPAZ Collab.)
KROHA	92	PR D46 58	H. Kroha	(ROCH)
PDG	92	PR D45, 1 June, Part II	K. Hikasa *et al.*	(KEK, LBL, BOST+)
SHIMOZAWA	92	PL B284 144	K. Shimozawa *et al.*	(TOPAZ Collab.)
ABE	91D	PRL 67 2418	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	91E	PL B268 296	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)

ABREU	91F	NP B367 511	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADACHI	91	PL B255 613	I. Adachi *et al.*	(TOPAZ Collab.)
AKRAWY	91F	PL B257 531	M.Z. Akrawy *et al.*	(OPAL Collab.)
ALITTI	91B	PL B257 232	J. Alitti *et al.*	(UA2 Collab.)
BEHREND	91B	ZPHY C51 143	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
BEHREND	91C	ZPHY C51 149	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
Also	91B	ZPHY C51 143	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
ABE	90I	ZPHY C48 13	K. Abe *et al.*	(VENUS Collab.)
ADEVA	90F	PL B247 177	B. Adeva *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
ADEVA	90K	PL B250 199	B. Adeva *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
ADEVA	90L	PL B250 205	B. Adeva *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
ADEVA	90O	PL B252 525	B. Adeva *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
AKRAWY	90F	PL B241 133	M.Z. Akrawy *et al.*	(OPAL Collab.)
AKRAWY	90I	PL B244 135	M.Z. Akrawy *et al.*	(OPAL Collab.)
AKRAWY	90J	PL B246 285	M.Z. Akrawy *et al.*	(OPAL Collab.)
DECAMP	90G	PL B236 501	D. Decamp *et al.*	(ALEPH Collab.)
DECAMP	90O	PL B250 172	D. Decamp *et al.*	(ALEPH Collab.)
KIM	90	PL B240 243	G.N. Kim *et al.*	(AMY Collab.)
ABE	89	PRL 62 613	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	89B	PRL 62 1825	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	89D	PRL 63 1447	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	89H	PRL 62 3020	F. Abe *et al.*	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	89J	ZPHY C45 175	K. Abe *et al.*	(VENUS Collab.)
ABE	89L	PL B232 425	K. Abe *et al.*	(VENUS Collab.)
ADACHI	89B	PL B228 553	I. Adachi *et al.*	(TOPAZ Collab.)
ALBAJAR	89	ZPHY C44 15	C. Albajar *et al.*	(UA1 Collab.)
BARGER	89	PL B220 464	V. Barger *et al.*	(WISC, KEK)
BEHREND	89B	PL B222 163	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
BRAUNSCH...	89C	ZPHY C43 549	W. Braunschweig *et al.*	(TASSO Collab.)
DORENBOS...	89	ZPHY C41 567	J. Dorenbosch *et al.*	(CHARM Collab.)
HAGIWARA	89	PL B219 369	K. Hagiwara, M. Sakuda, N. Terunuma	(KEK, DURH+)
KIM	89	PL B223 476	S.K. Kim *et al.*	(AMY Collab.)
ABE	88B	PL B213 400	K. Abe *et al.*	(VENUS Collab.)
BARINGER	88	PL B206 551	P. Baringer *et al.*	(HRS Collab.)
BRAUNSCH...	88	ZPHY C37 171	W. Braunschweig *et al.*	(TASSO Collab.)
BRAUNSCH...	88D	ZPHY C40 163	W. Braunschweig *et al.*	(TASSO Collab.)
ANSARI	87D	PL B195 613	R. Ansari *et al.*	(UA2 Collab.)
BARTEL	87B	ZPHY C36 15	W. Bartel *et al.*	(JADE Collab.)
BEHREND	87C	PL B191 209	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
FERNANDEZ	87B	PR D35 10	E. Fernandez *et al.*	(MAC Collab.)
ARNISON	86C	PL B172 461	G.T.J. Arnison *et al.*	(UA1 Collab.)
ARNISON	86D	PL B177 244	G.T.J. Arnison *et al.*	(UA1 Collab.)
BARTEL	86	ZPHY C31 359	W. Bartel *et al.*	(JADE Collab.)
BARTEL	86C	ZPHY C30 371	W. Bartel *et al.*	(JADE Collab.)
BEHREND	86	PL 168B 420	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
BEHREND	86C	PL B181 178	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
DERRICK	86	PL 166B 463	M. Derrick *et al.*	(HRS Collab.)
Also	86B	PR D34 3286	M. Derrick *et al.*	(HRS Collab.)
DERRICK	86B	PR D34 3286	M. Derrick *et al.*	(HRS Collab.)
GRIFOLS	86	PL 168B 264	J.A. Grifols, S. Peris	(BARC)
JODIDIO	86	PR D34 1967	A. Jodidio *et al.*	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
Also	88	PR D37 237 erratum	A. Jodidio *et al.*	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
APPEL	85	PL 160B 349	J.A. Appel *et al.*	(UA2 Collab.)
BARTEL	85K	PL 160B 337	W. Bartel *et al.*	(JADE Collab.)
BERGER	85	ZPHY C28 1	C. Berger *et al.*	(PLUTO Collab.)
BERGER	85B	ZPHY C27 341	C. Berger *et al.*	(PLUTO Collab.)
BAGNAIA	84C	PL 138B 430	P. Bagnaia *et al.*	(UA2 Collab.)
BARTEL	84D	PL 146B 437	W. Bartel *et al.*	(JADE Collab.)
BARTEL	84E	PL 146B 121	W. Bartel *et al.*	(JADE Collab.)
EICHTEN	84	RMP 56 579	E. Eichten *et al.*	(FNAL, LBL, OSU)
ALTHOFF	83C	PL 126B 493	M. Althoff *et al.*	(TASSO Collab.)
RENARD	82	PL 116B 264	F.M. Renard	(CERN)