


$\eta(1295)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$$

See also the mini-review under non- $q\bar{q}$ candidates. (See the index for the page number.)

$\eta(1295)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1294±4 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.		
1302±9±8	20k	ADAMS	01B E852	18 GeV $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
1282±5	9082	MANAK	00A MPS	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1299±4	2100	ALDE	97B GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1295±4		FUKUI	91C SPEC	8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
~ 1275		STANTON	79 CNTR	8.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta 2\pi$



$\eta(1295)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
55 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE				
57 ± 23 ± 21	20k	ADAMS	01B E852	18 GeV $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
66 ± 13	9082	MANAK	00A MPS	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
53 ± 6		FUKUI	91C SPEC	8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<40	2100	ALDE	97B GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
~ 70		STANTON	79 CNTR	8.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta 2\pi$

$\eta(1295)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
Γ_2 $a_0(980)\pi$	seen
Γ_3 $\gamma\gamma$	
Γ_4 $\eta \pi^0 \pi^0$	seen
Γ_5 $\eta(\pi\pi)$ S-wave	seen
Γ_6 $\sigma\eta$	

$\eta(1295)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_1\Gamma_3/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.066	95	ACCIARRI	01G L3	183–202 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.6	90	AIHARA	88C TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
<0.3		ANTREASYAN 87	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi\pi$

$\eta(1295)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	BERTIN	97 OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\pm (K^0) \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$	
seen	BIRMAN	88 MPS	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- n$	
large	ANDO	86 SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$	
large	STANTON	79 CNTR	8.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta 2\pi$	
$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi^0\pi^0)$				Γ_2/Γ_4
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.65 ± 0.10	¹ ALDE	97B GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$	

¹ Assuming that $a_0(980)$ decays only to $\eta\pi$.

$\Gamma(\eta(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(\eta\pi^0\pi^0)$			Γ_5/Γ_4		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.35±0.10	ALDE	97B GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0 n$		

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(\sigma\eta)$			Γ_2/Γ_6		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.48±0.22	9082	MANAK	00A MPS	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$	

$\eta(1295)$ REFERENCES

ACCIARRI	01G	PL B501 1	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADAMS	01B	PL B516 264	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
MANAK	00A	PR D62 012003	J.J. Manak <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ALDE	97B	PAN 60 386	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 60 458.		
BERTIN	97	PL B400 226	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
FUKUI	91C	PL B267 293	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
AIHARA	88C	PR D38 1	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)
BIRMAN	88	PRL 61 1557	A. Birman <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FSU, IND, MASD) JP
ANTREASYAN	87	PR D36 2633	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ANDO	86	PRL 57 1296	A. Ando <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+) IJP
STANTON	79	PRL 42 346	N.R. Stanton <i>et al.</i>	(OSU, CARL, MCGI+) JP

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

ANISOVICH	00F	EPJ A6 247	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>
-----------	-----	------------	------------------------------