


$\omega(782)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

$\omega(782)$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
782.57±0.12 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.8. See the ideogram below.		
782.71±0.07±0.04	11200	AKHMETSHIN 00C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
782.7 ±0.1 ±1.5	19500	WURZINGER 95	SPEC	1.33 $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\omega$
781.96±0.17±0.80	11k	¹ AMSLER 94C	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\eta\pi^0$
782.08±0.36±0.82	3463	² AMSLER 94C	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\eta\pi^0$
781.96±0.13±0.17	15k	AMSLER 93B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0$
782.4 ±0.2	270k	WEIDENAUER 93	ASTE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-\pi^0$
782.2 ±0.4	1488	KURDADZE 83B	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
782.4 ±0.5	7000	³ KEYNE 76	CNTR	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega n$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
781.78±0.10		⁴ BARKOV 87	CMD	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
783.3 ±0.4	433	CORDIER 80	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
782.5 ±0.8	33260	ROOS 80	RVUE	0.0–3.6 $\bar{p}p$
782.6 ±0.8	3000	BENKHEIRI 79	OMEG	9–12 $\pi^\pm p$
781.8 ±0.6	1430	COOPER 78B	HBC	0.7–0.8 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi$
782.7 ±0.9	535	VANAPEL... 78	HBC	7.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}\rho\omega$
783.5 ±0.8	2100	GESSAROLI 77	HBC	11 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega n$
782.5 ±0.8	418	AGUILAR-... 72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 K^-p
783.4 ±1.0	248	BIZZARRI 71	HBC	0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K^+K^-\omega$
781.0 ±0.6	510	BIZZARRI 71	HBC	0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K_1^+K_1^-\omega$
783.7 ±1.0	3583	⁵ COYNE 71	HBC	3.7 $\pi^+p \rightarrow$ $p\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
784.1 ±1.2	750	ABRAMOVI... 70	HBC	3.9 π^-p
783.2 ±1.6		⁶ BIGGS 70B	CNTR	<4.1 $\gamma C \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-C$
782.4 ±0.5	2400	BIZZARRI 69	HBC	0.0 $\bar{p}p$

¹ From the $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay.² From the $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ decay.³ Observed by threshold-crossing technique. Mass resolution = 4.8 MeV FWHM.⁴ Systematic uncertainties underestimated.⁵ From best-resolution sample of COYNE 71.⁶ From ω - ρ interference in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum assuming ω width 12.6 MeV.



$\omega(782)$ mass (MeV)

$\omega(782)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.44±0.09 OUR AVERAGE				
8.68±0.23±0.10	11200	AKHMETSHIN 00C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.2 ±0.3	19500	WURZINGER 95	SPEC	1.33 $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\omega$
8.4 ±0.1		⁷ AULCHENKO 87	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
8.30±0.40		BARKOV 87	CMD	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
9.8 ±0.9	1488	KURDADZE 83B	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
9.0 ±0.8	433	CORDIER 80	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
9.1 ±0.8	451	BENAKSAS 72B	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
12 ±2	1430	COOPER 78B	HBC	0.7–0.8 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi$
9.4 ±2.5	2100	GESSAROLI 77	HBC	11 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega n$
10.22±0.43	20000	⁸ KEYNE 76	CNTR	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega n$
13.3 ±2	418	AGUILAR-...	72B HBC	3.9,4.6 K^-p
10.5 ±1.5		BORENSTEIN 72	HBC	2.18 K^-p
7.70±0.9 ±1.15	940	BROWN 72	MMS	2.5 $\pi^-p \rightarrow nMM$
10.3 ±1.4	510	BIZZARRI 71	HBC	0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K_1^-K_1^-\omega$
12.8 ±3.0	248	BIZZARRI 71	HBC	0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K^+K^-\omega$
9.5 ±1.0	3583	COYNE 71	HBC	3.7 $\pi^+p \rightarrow p\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

⁷ Relativistic Breit-Wigner includes radiative corrections.

⁸ Observed by threshold-crossing technique. Mass resolution = 4.8 MeV FWHM.

$\omega(782)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1 $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(89.1 \pm 0.7) \%$	S=1.1
Γ_2 $\pi^0 \gamma$	$(9.09^{+0.29}_{-0.25}) \%$	S=1.1
Γ_3 $\pi^+ \pi^-$	$(1.72 \pm 0.26) \%$	S=1.4
Γ_4 neutrals (excluding $\pi^0 \gamma$)	$(10^{+700}_{-7}) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_5 $\eta \gamma$	$(5.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_6 $\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	$(5.9 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_7 $\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	$(9.6 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_8 $e^+ e^-$	$(6.95 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_9 $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	< 2	% CL=90%
Γ_{10} $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	< 3.6	$\times 10^{-3}$ CL=95%
Γ_{11} $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 1	$\times 10^{-3}$ CL=90%
Γ_{12} $\pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$	$(6.6 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{13} $\mu^+ \mu^-$	$(9.0 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{14} 3γ	< 1.9	$\times 10^{-4}$ CL=95%

Charge conjugation (C) violating modes

Γ_{15} $\eta \pi^0$	C	< 1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{16} $3\pi^0$	C	< 3	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 11 branching ratios uses 37 measurements and one constraint to determine 7 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 28.9$ for 31 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	27					
x_3	-35	-9				
x_4	-88	-56	1			
x_5	6	7	-2	-8		
x_8	-43	-50	15	52	-14	
x_{13}	0	0	0	0	0	0
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_8

$\omega(782)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_8

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.60 ± 0.02 OUR EVALUATION				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.595 ± 0.014 ± 0.009	11200	⁹ AKHMETSHIN 00C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.600 ± 0.031	10625	DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$ Γ_2

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
788 ± 12 ± 27	36500	¹⁰ ACHASOV 03	SND	0.60–0.97 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
764 ± 51	10625	DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)$ Γ_5

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
6.1 ± 2.5	¹¹ DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

⁹ Using $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 0.888 \pm 0.007$.

¹⁰ Using $\Gamma_\omega = 8.44 \pm 0.09$ MeV and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma)$ from ACHASOV 03.

¹¹ Using $\Gamma_\omega = 8.4 \pm 0.1$ MeV and $B(\omega \rightarrow \eta\gamma)$ from DOLINSKY 89.

$\omega(782) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$ $\Gamma_8\Gamma_1/\Gamma^2$

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻⁵)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
6.19 ± 0.10 OUR NEW UNCHECKED FIT [(6.19 ± 0.12) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2002 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]				
6.17 ± 0.10 OUR NEW AVERAGE [(6.19 ± 0.12) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2002 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.1]				
6.08 ± 0.10 ± 0.08	11200	AKHMETSHIN 00C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
6.37 ± 0.35		¹³ DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
6.45 ± 0.24		¹³ BARKOV 87	CMD	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
5.79 ± 0.42	1488	¹³ KURDADZE 83B	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
5.89 ± 0.54	433	¹³ CORDIER 80	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
7.54 ± 0.84	451	¹³ BENAKSAS 72B	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$ $\Gamma_8\Gamma_2/\Gamma^2$

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻⁶)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
6.32 ± 0.16 OUR FIT				
6.44 ± 0.18 OUR NEW AVERAGE [(6.33 ± 0.29) × 10 ⁻⁶ OUR 2002 AVERAGE]				
6.50 ± 0.11 ± 0.20	36500	¹² ACHASOV 03	SND	0.60–0.97 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
6.34 ± 0.21 ± 0.21	10625	¹³ DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

¹² Using $\sigma_{\phi \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma}$ from ACHASOV 00 and $m_\omega = 782.57$ MeV in the model with the energy-independent phase of ρ - ω interference equal to $(-10.2 \pm 7.0)^\circ$.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$ $\Gamma_8\Gamma_5/\Gamma^2$

VALUE (units 10^{-8}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

3.51 ± 0.35 OUR FIT

3.3 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE

3.41 ± 0.52 ± 0.21 23k ^{14,15} AKHMETSHIN 01B CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

3.25 ± 0.51 ± 0.10 312 ¹⁶ ACHASOV 00D SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

¹³ Recalculated by us from the cross section in the peak.

¹⁴ From the $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ decay and using $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$.

¹⁵ The combined fit from 600 to 1380 MeV taking into account $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, and $\rho(1450)$ (mass and width fixed at 1450 MeV and 310 MeV respectively).

¹⁶ From the $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ decay and using $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$.

$\omega(782)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ $(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)/\Gamma_1$

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.102 ± 0.008 OUR FIT

0.103^{+0.011}_{-0.010} OUR AVERAGE

0.15 ± 0.04 46 AGUILAR-... 72B HBC 3.9,4.6 K^-p

0.10 ± 0.03 19 BARASH 67B HBC 0.0 $\bar{p}p$

0.134 ± 0.026 850 DIGIUGNO 66B CNTR 1.4 π^-p

0.097 ± 0.016 348 FLATTE 66 HBC 1.4 – 1.7 $K^-p \rightarrow$
AMM

0.06^{+0.05}_{-0.02} JAMES 66 HBC 2.1 π^+p

0.08 ± 0.03 35 KRAEMER 64 DBC 1.2 π^+d

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.11 ± 0.02 20 BUSCHBECK 63 HBC 1.5 K^-p

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

See also $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.0193 ± 0.0030 OUR NEW UNCHECKED FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4.

[0.0191 ± 0.0033 OUR 2002 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]

0.026 ± 0.005 OUR AVERAGE

0.021^{+0.028}_{-0.009} 18 RATCLIFF 72 ASPK 15 $\pi^-p \rightarrow n2\pi$

0.028 ± 0.006 BEHREND 71 ASPK Photoproduction

0.022^{+0.009}_{-0.01} 19 ROOS 70 RVUE

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.1020^{+0.0032}_{-0.0026} OUR NEW UNCHECKED FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

[0.097 ± 0.005 OUR 2002 FIT]

0.097 ± 0.005 OUR AVERAGE

0.0994 ± 0.0036 ± 0.0038 20 AULCHENKO 00A SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow$
 $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0, \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

0.084 ± 0.013 KEYNE 76 CNTR $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega n$

0.109 ± 0.025	BENAKSAS	72C	OSPK	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$
0.081 ± 0.020	BALDIN	71	HLBC	$2.9 \pi^+ p$
0.13 ± 0.04	JACQUET	69B	HLBC	$2.05 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \omega$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.099 ± 0.007	²¹ DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ Γ_{10} / Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<0.066	90	KALBFLEISCH 75	HBC	$2.18 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
<0.05	90	FLATTE 66	HBC	$1.2 - 1.7 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma) / \Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{10} / Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.0036	95	WEIDENAUER 90	ASTE	$p \bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<0.004	95	BITYUKOV 88B	SPEC	$32 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{11} / Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1 × 10⁻³	90	KURDADZE 88	OLYA	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0) / \Gamma_{total}$ Γ_9 / Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻²)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<2	90	KURDADZE 86	OLYA	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ Γ_{13} / Γ_1

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻³)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.2	90	WILSON 69	OSPK	$12 \pi^- C \rightarrow Fe$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<1.7	74	FLATTE 66	HBC	$1.2 - 1.7 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \mu^+ \mu^-$
<1.2		BARBARO-... 65	HBC	$2.7 K^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma) / \Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{12} / Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻⁵)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
6.6 ± 1.5 OUR NEW AVERAGE		[(7.8 ± 3.4) × 10 ⁻⁵ OUR 2002 AVERAGE]		
6.6 ^{+1.4} _{-1.3} ± 0.6	295	ACHASOV 02F	SND	$0.36 - 0.97 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
7.8 ± 2.7 ± 2.0	63	^{22,23} ACHASOV	00G	SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
12.7 ± 2.3 ± 2.5	63	^{22,24} ACHASOV	00G	SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$					Γ_{12}/Γ_2
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.00085±0.00029		40 ± 14	ALDE	94B GAM2	$38\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma n$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.005	90		DOLINSKY	89 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
< 0.18	95		KEYNE	76 CNTR	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$
< 0.15	90		BENAKSAS	72C OSPK	e^+e^-
< 0.14			BALDIN	71 HLBC	$2.9\pi^+ p$
< 0.1	90		BARMIN	64 HLBC	$1.3-2.8\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{15}/Γ
Violates C conservation.					
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<0.001	90	ALDE	94B GAM2	$38\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0 n$	

$[\Gamma(\eta\gamma) + \Gamma(\eta\pi^0)]/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$					$(\Gamma_5+\Gamma_{15})/\Gamma_1$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<0.016	90	25 FLATTE	66 HBC	$1.2 - 1.7 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^- \text{MM}$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.045	95	JACQUET	69B HLBC	$2.05\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p\omega$	
--------	----	---------	----------	---	--

$\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma(\text{charged particles})$					$(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_3)$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
0.100±0.008 OUR FIT					
0.124±0.021	FELDMAN	67C OSPK	$1.2\pi^- p$		

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$					Γ_{12}/Γ_1
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<0.00045	90	DOLINSKY	89 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.08	95	JACQUET	69B HLBC	$2.05\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p\omega$	
-------	----	---------	----------	---	--

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)$					Γ_5/Γ_2
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.0098±0.0024	26 ALDE	93 GAM2	$38\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$		
0.0082±0.0033	27 DOLINSKY	89 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$		
0.010 ±0.045	APEL	72B OSPK	$4-8\pi^- p \rightarrow n3\gamma$		

$\Gamma(\pi^0\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_7/Γ
<u>VALUE (units 10⁻⁴)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
0.96±0.23	DZHELYADIN	81B CNTR	$25-33\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$		

$\Gamma(\pi^0e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_6/Γ
<u>VALUE (units 10⁻⁴)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
5.9±1.9	43	DOLINSKY	88 ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0e^+e^-$	

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.695±0.012 OUR NEW UNCHECKED FIT				[(0.695 ± 0.015) × 10 ⁻⁴ OUR 2002 FIT Scale factor = 1.1]

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.685±0.016	11200	^{28,29} AKHMETSHIN 00C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.714±0.036		²⁹ DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.72 ±0.03		²⁹ BARKOV 87	CMD	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.64 ±0.04	1488	²⁹ KURDADZE 83B	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.675±0.069	433	²⁹ CORDIER 80	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.83 ±0.10	451	²⁹ BENAKSAS 72B	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.77 ±0.06		³⁰ AUGUSTIN 69D	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.65 ±0.13	33	³¹ ASTVACAT... 68	OSPK	Assume SU(3)+mixing

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.0±3.1 OUR FIT				
9.0±2.9±1.1	18	HEISTER 02C	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- + X$

$\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.091±0.006 OUR FIT				
0.081±0.011 OUR AVERAGE				

0.075±0.025		BIZZARRI 71	HBC	0.0 $p\bar{p}$
0.079±0.019		DEINET 69B	OSPK	1.5 π^-p
0.084±0.015		BOLLINI 68C	CNTR	2.1 π^-p
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.073±0.018	42	BASILE 72B	CNTR	1.67 π^-p

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

See also $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.72±0.26 OUR NEW UNCHECKED FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.4. [(1.70 ± 0.28) × 10 ⁻² OUR 2002 FIT Scale factor = 1.5]

1.59±0.23 OUR NEW AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1. [(1.55 ± 0.26) × 10⁻² OUR 2002 AVERAGE Scale factor = 1.2]

2.38 ^{+1.77} _{-0.90} ±0.18	5.4k	³² ACHASOV 02E	SND	1.1-1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1.33±0.24±0.05	114k	AKHMETSHIN 02	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
2.3 ±0.5		BARKOV 85	OLYA	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1.6 ^{+0.9} _{-0.7}		QUENZER 78	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
3.6 ±1.9		BENAKSAS 72	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.9 ±0.3	33	GARDNER	99	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
2.3 ±0.4	34	BENAYOUN	98	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-,$ $\mu^+\mu^-$
1.0 ±0.11	35	WICKLUND	78	ASPK	3,4,6 $\pi^\pm N$
1.22±0.30		ALVENSLEB...	71C	CNTR	Photoproduction
1.3 $\begin{smallmatrix} +1.2 \\ -0.9 \end{smallmatrix}$		MOFFEIT	71	HBC	2.8,4.7 γp
0.80 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.28 \\ -0.20 \end{smallmatrix}$	36	BIGGS	70B	CNTR	4.2 $\gamma C \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- C$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutrals})$ **$\Gamma_{12}/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)$**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.22±0.07	17	DAKIN	72	OSPK	1.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nMM$
<0.19	90	DEINET	69B	OSPK	

¹⁷ See $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutrals})$.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutrals})$ **$\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)$**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.78±0.07	37	DAKIN	72	OSPK	1.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nMM$
>0.81	90	DEINET	69B	OSPK	

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_5/Γ**

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

5.1 ±0.5 OUR NEW UNCHECKED FIT $[(6.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$ OUR 2002 FIT]

6.3 ±1.3 OUR NEW AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2. $[(6.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$ OUR 2002 AVERAGE]

6.6 ±1.7	38	ABELE	97E	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\gamma$
8.3 ±2.1		ALDE	93	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega n$
3.0 $\begin{smallmatrix} +2.5 \\ -1.8 \end{smallmatrix}$	39	ANDREWS	77	CNTR	6.7–10 γCu

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.10±0.72±0.34	23k	40	AKHMETSHIN	01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
4.60±0.72±0.19	312	41,42	ACHASOV	00D	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
0.7 to 5.5		43	CASE	00	CBAR	0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\eta\gamma$
6.56 $\begin{smallmatrix} +2.41 \\ -2.55 \end{smallmatrix}$	3525	39,44	BENAYOUN	96	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
7.3 ±2.9		39,42	DOLINSKY	89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ **Γ_7/Γ_{13}**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.2±0.6	30	45	DZHELYADIN	79	CNTR	25–33 $\pi^- p$
---------	----	----	------------	----	------	-----------------

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.880 ± 0.020 ± 0.032	11200	^{29,46} AKHMETSHIN 00C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.8942 ± 0.0062		²⁹ DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

Violates C conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0003	90	PROKOSHKIN 95	GAM2	38 $\pi^-p \rightarrow 3\pi^0n$

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{16}/Γ_1

Violates C conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
<0.009	90	BARBERIS 01	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$

$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.9	95	⁴⁷ ABELE 97E	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\gamma$
<2	90	⁴⁷ PROKOSHKIN 95	GAM2	38 $\pi^-p \rightarrow 3\gamma n$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.34 ± 0.15 ± 0.31	36500	²¹ ACHASOV 03	SND	0.60–0.97 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
8.39 ± 0.24	9975	⁴⁸ BENAYOUN 96	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
8.88 ± 0.62	10625	²¹ DOLINSKY 89	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

¹⁸ Significant interference effect observed. NB of $\omega \rightarrow 3\pi$ comes from an extrapolation.

¹⁹ ROOS 70 combines ABRAMOVICH 70 and BIZZARRI 70.

²⁰ From $\sigma_0^{\omega\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma}(m_\phi)/\sigma_0^{\omega\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0}(m_\phi)$ with a phase-space correction factor of 1/1.023.

²¹ Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.

²² Superseded by ACHASOV 02F.

²³ In the model assuming the $\rho \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ decay via the $\omega\pi$ and $S\gamma$ mechanisms where S is a broad scalar state.

²⁴ In the model assuming the $\rho \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ decay via the $\omega\pi$ mechanism only.

²⁵ Restated by us using $B(\eta \rightarrow \text{charged modes}) = 29.2\%$.

²⁶ Model independent determination.

²⁷ Solution corresponding to constructive ω - ρ interference.

²⁸ Using $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 0.888 \pm 0.007$.

²⁹ Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.

³⁰ Rescaled by us to correspond to ω width 8.4 MeV. Systematic errors underestimated.

³¹ Not resolved from ρ decay. Error statistical only.

³² From the $m_{\pi^+\pi^-}$ spectrum taking into account the interference of the $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi$ amplitudes.

³³ Using the data of BARKOV 85.

- 34 Using the data of BARKOV 85 in the hidden local symmetry model.
 35 From a model-dependent analysis assuming complete coherence.
 36 Re-evaluated under $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ by BEHREND 71 using more accurate $\omega \rightarrow \rho$ photoproduction cross-section ratio.
 37 Error statistical only. Authors obtain good fit also assuming $\pi^0\gamma$ as the only neutral decay.
 38 No flat $\eta\eta\gamma$ background assumed.
 39 Solution corresponding to constructive ω - ρ interference.
 40 Using $B(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (7.07 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$ and using $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$. Solution corresponding to constructive ω - ρ interference. The combined fit from 600 to 1380 MeV taking into account $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, and $\rho(1450)$ (mass and width fixed at 1450 MeV and 310 MeV respectively). Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.
 41 Using $B(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (7.07 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$ and $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$.
 42 Not independent of the corresponding $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$.
 43 Depending on the degree of coherence with the flat $\eta\eta\gamma$ background and using $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma) = (8.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$.
 44 Reanalysis of DRUZHININ 84, DOLINSKY 89, DOLINSKY 91 taking into account the triangle anomaly contributions.
 45 Superseded by DZHELYADIN 81B result above.
 46 Using $\Gamma(e^+e^-) = 0.60 \pm 0.02$ keV.
 47 From direct 3γ decay search.
 48 Reanalysis of DRUZHININ 84, DOLINSKY 89, DOLINSKY 91 taking into account the triangle anomaly contributions.

$\omega(782)$ REFERENCES

ACHASOV	03	PL B559 171	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	02E	PR D66 032001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	02F	PL B537 201	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	02	PL B527 161	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
HEISTER	02C	PL B528 19	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
BARBERIS	01	PL B507 14	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	00	EPJ C12 25	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	00D	JETPL 72 282	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 72 411.		
ACHASOV	00G	JETPL 71 355	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 71 519.		
AKHMETSHIN	00C	PL B476 33	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AULCHENKO	00A	JETP 90 927	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 117 1067.		
CASE	00	PR D61 032002	T. Case <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
GARDNER	99	PR D59 076002	S. Gardner, H.B. O'Connell	
BENAYOUN	98	EPJ C2 269	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>	(IPNP, NOVO, ADLD+)
ABELE	97E	PL B411 361	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BENAYOUN	96	ZPHY C72 221	M. Benayoun <i>et al.</i>	(IPNP, NOVO)
PROKOSHKIN	95	SPD 40 273	Y.D. Prokoshkin, V.D. Samoilenko	(SERP)
		Translated from DANS 342 610.		
WURZINGER	95	PR C51 443	R. Wurzinger <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, ORSAY, SACL+)
ALDE	94B	PL B340 122	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
AMSLER	94C	PL B327 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ALDE	93	PAN 56 1229	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, LAPP, LANL, BELG+)
		Translated from YAF 56 137.		
Also	94	ZPHY C61 35	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, LAPP, LANL, BELG+)
AMSLER	93B	PL B311 362	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
WEIDENAUER	93	ZPHY C59 387	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
WEIDENAUER	90	ZPHY C47 353	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
DOLINSKY	89	ZPHY C42 511	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BITYUKOV	88B	SJNP 47 800	S.I. Bitjukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 47 1258.		

DOLINSKY	88	SJNP 48 277	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 48	442.	
KURDADZE	88	JETPL 47 512	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 47	432.	
AULCHENKO	87	PL B186 432	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 46	132.	
KURDADZE	86	JETPL 43 643	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 43	497.	
BARKOV	85	NP B256 365	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
DRUZHININ	84	PL 144B 136	V.P. Druzhinin <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
KURDADZE	83B	JETPL 36 274	A.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 36	221.	
DZHELADIN	81B	PL 102B 296	R.I. Dzhelezhadina <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
CORDIER	80	NP B172 13	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
ROOS	80	LNC 27 321	M. Roos, A. Pellinen	(HELS)
BENKHEIRI	79	NP B150 268	P. Benkheiri <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, CERN, CDEF+)
DZHELADIN	79	PL 84B 143	R.I. Dzhelezhadina <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
COOPER	78B	NP B146 1	A.M. Cooper <i>et al.</i>	(TATA, CERN, CDEF+)
QUENZER	78	PL 76B 512	A. Quenzer <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
VANAPEL...	78	NP B133 245	G.W. van Apeldoorn <i>et al.</i>	(ZEEM)
WICKLUND	78	PR D17 1197	A.B. Wicklund <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)
ANDREWS	77	PRL 38 198	D.E. Andrews <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH)
GESSAROLI	77	NP B126 382	R. Gessaroli <i>et al.</i>	(BGNA, FIRZ, GENO+)
KEYNE	76	PR D14 28	J. Keyne <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
		Also 73B PR D8 2789	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
KALBFLEISCH	75	PR D11 987	G.R. Kalbfleisch, R.C. Strand, J.W. Chapman	(BNL+)
AGUILAR...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
APEL	72B	PL 41B 234	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA)
BASILE	72B	Phil. Conf. 153	M. Basile <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
BENAKSAS	72	PL 39B 289	D. Benaksas <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
BENAKSAS	72B	PL 42B 507	D. Benaksas <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
BENAKSAS	72C	PL 42B 511	D. Benaksas <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
BORENSTEIN	72	PR D5 1559	S.R. Borenstein <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, MICH)
BROWN	72	PL 42B 117	R.M. Brown <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, ILLC)
DAKIN	72	PR D6 2321	J.T. Dakin <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN)
RATCLIFF	72	PL 38B 345	B.N. Ratcliff <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
ALVENSLEB...	71C	PRL 27 888	H. Alvensleben <i>et al.</i>	(DESY)
BALDIN	71	SJNP 13 758	A.B. Baldin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
		Translated from YAF 13	1318.	
BEHREND	71	PRL 27 61	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, CORN, FNAL)
BIZZARRI	71	NP B27 140	R. Bizzarri <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)
COYNE	71	NP B32 333	D.G. Coyne <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
MOFFEIT	71	NP B29 349	K.C. Moffeit <i>et al.</i>	(LRL, UCB, SLAC+)
ABRAMOVI...	70	NP B20 209	M. Abramovich <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
BIGGS	70B	PRL 24 1201	P.J. Biggs <i>et al.</i>	(DARE)
BIZZARRI	70	PRL 25 1385	R. Bizzarri <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, SYRA)
ROOS	70	DNPL/R7 173	M. Roos	(CERN)
		Proc. Daresbury Study Weekend No. 1.		
AUGUSTIN	69D	PL 28B 513	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
BIZZARRI	69	NP B14 169	R. Bizzarri <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)
DEINET	69B	PL 30B 426	W. Deinet <i>et al.</i>	(KARL, CERN)
JACQUET	69B	NC 63A 743	F. Jacquet <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, BERG)
WILSON	69	Private Comm.	R. Wilson	(HARV)
		Also 69 PR 178 2095	A.A. Wehmann <i>et al.</i>	(HARV, CASE, SLAC+)
ASTVACAT...	68	PL 27B 45	R.G. Astvatsaturov <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, MOSU)
BOLLINI	68C	NC 56A 531	D. Bollini <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BGNA, STRB)
BARASH	67B	PR 156 1399	N. Barash <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
FELDMAN	67C	PR 159 1219	M. Feldman <i>et al.</i>	(PENN)
DIGIUGNO	66B	NC 44A 1272	G. Di Giugno <i>et al.</i>	(NAPL, FRAS, TRST)
FLATTE	66	PR 145 1050	S.M. Flatte <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
JAMES	66	PR 142 896	F.E. James, H.L. Kraybill	(YALE, BNL)
BARBARO...	65	PRL 14 279	A. Barbaro-Galtieri, R.D. Tripp	(LRL)
BARMIN	64	JETP 18 1289	V.V. Barmin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
		Translated from ZETF 45	1879.	
KRAEMER	64	PR 136B 496	R.W. Kraemer <i>et al.</i>	(JHU, NWES, WOOD)
BUSCHBECK	63	Siena Conf. 1 166	B. Buschbeck <i>et al.</i>	(VIEN, CERN, ANIK)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

BENAYOUN	01	EPJ C22 503	M. Benayoun, H.B. O'Connell	
GOKALP	01B	EPJ C22 327	A. Gokalp, Y. Sarac, O. Yilmaz	
DELBOURGO	99B	PR D59 113006	R. Delbourgo <i>et al.</i>	
GARDNER	98	PR D57 2716	S. Gardner, H.B. O'Connell	
Also	00A	PR D62 019903 (errata)	S. Gardner, H.B. O'Connell	
ABELE	97F	PL B411 354	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
DOLINSKY	86	PL B174 453	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
KURDADZE	83	JETPL 37 733	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 37 613.		
ALFF-...	62B	PRL 9 325	C. Alff-Steinberger <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, RUTG)
STEVENSON	62	PR 125 687	M.L. Stevenson <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
MAGLICH	61	PRL 7 178	B.C. Maglich <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
PEVSNER	61	PRL 7 421	A. Pevsner <i>et al.</i>	(JHU)
XUONG	61	PRL 7 327	H. Nguyen Ngoc, G.R. Lynch	(LRL)