$f_2'(1525)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

$f_2'(1525)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*
1525±5 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.	

PRODUCED BY PION BEAM

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1547^{+10}_{-2}		[1] LONGACRE	86	MPS	22 $\pi^- p \to K^0_S K^0_S n$
1496^{+9}_{-8}		[2] CHABAUD	81	ASPK	6 $\pi^- p \to K^+ K^- n$
1497^{+8}_{-9}		CHABAUD	81	ASPK	18.4 $\pi^- p \to K^+ K^- n$
1492±29		GORLICH	80	ASPK	17 π^- p polarized $\to$ $K^+ K^- n$
1502±25		[3] CORDEN	79	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p \to$ $\pi^+ \pi^- n$
1480	14	CRENNELL	66	HBC	6.0 $\pi^- p \to K^0_S K^0_S n$

PRODUCED BY $K^\pm$ BEAM

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1524.5± 1.4 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the datablock that follows this one. Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
1526.8± 4.3		ASTON	88D	LASS	11 $K^- p \to K^0_S K^0_S \Lambda$
1504 ±12		BOLONKIN	86	SPEC	40 $K^- p \to K^0_S K^0_S Y$
1529 ± 3		ARMSTRONG	83B	OMEG	18.5 $K^- p \to K^- K^+ \Lambda$
1521 ± 6	650	AGUILAR-...	81B	HBC	4.2 $K^- p \to \Lambda K^+ K^-$
1521 ± 3	572	ALHARRAN	81	HBC	8.25 $K^- p \to \Lambda K \overline{K}$
1522 ± 6	123	BARREIRO	77	HBC	4.15 $K^- p \to \Lambda K^0_S K^0_S$
1528 ± 7	166	EVANGELISTA	77	OMEG	10 $K^- p \to$ $K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
1527 ± 3	120	BRANDENB...	76C	ASPK	13 $K^- p \to$ $K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
1519 ± 7	100	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \to$ $K \overline{K} (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1513 ±10		[4] BARKOV	99	SPEC	40 $K^- p \to K^0_S K^0_S y$

PRODUCED IN $e^+ e^-$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					
1523 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
1523 ± 6	331	[5] ACCIARRI	01H	L3	91, 183–209 $e^+ e^- \to e^+ e^- K^0_S K^0_S$
1535 ± 5 ± 4		ABREU	96C	DLPH	$Z^0 \to K^+ K^- + X$
1516 ± 5 $^{+\ 9}_{-15}$		BAI	96C	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^-$
1531.6 ± 10.0		AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^-$
1515 ± 5		[6] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \phi K^+ K^-$
1525 ± 10 ± 10		BALTRUSAIT...87		MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1529 ± 10		ACCIARRI	95J	L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 01H
1496 ± 2		[7] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \phi K^+ K^-$

PRODUCED IN $\overline{p}p$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1508±9	[8] AMSLER	02	CBAR	0.9 $\overline{p}p \to \pi^0 \eta \eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$

CENTRAL PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1515±15	BARBERIS	99	OMEG	450 $pp \to p_s p_f K^+ K^-$

[1] From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.
[2] CHABAUD 81 is a reanalysis of PAWLICKI 77 data.
[3] From an amplitude analysis where the $f'_2(1525)$ width and elasticity are in complete disagreement with the values obtained from $K\overline{K}$ channel, making the solution dubious.
[4] Systematic errors not estimated.
[5] Supersedes ACCIARRI 95J.
[6] From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_0(1710)$.
[7] From an analysis including interference with $f_0(1710)$.
[8] T-matrix pole.

$f_2'(1525)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*COMMENT*
76±10 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.			
73^{+6}_{-5} OUR FIT			
76±10	PDG	90	For fitting

PRODUCED BY PION BEAM

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
108^{+5}_{-2}	[9] LONGACRE	86	MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^0_S K^0_S n$
69^{+22}_{-16}	[10] CHABAUD	81	ASPK	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
137^{+23}_{-21}	CHABAUD	81	ASPK	18.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
150^{+83}_{-50}	GORLICH	80	ASPK	17 $\pi^- p$ polarized $\rightarrow$ $K^+ K^- n$
165 ± 42	[11] CORDEN	79	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\pi^+ \pi^- n$
92^{+39}_{-22}	[12] POLYCHRO...	79	STRC	7 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n K^0_S K^0_S$

PRODUCED BY $K^\pm$ BEAM

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
79± 5 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the datablock that follows this one.					
90 ± 12		ASTON	88D	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^0_S K^0_S \Lambda$
73 ± 18		BOLONKIN	86	SPEC	40 $K^- p \rightarrow K^0_S K^0_S Y$
83 ± 15		ARMSTRONG	83B	OMEG	18.5 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- K^+ \Lambda$
85 ± 16	650	AGUILAR-...	81B	HBC	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ K^-$
80^{+14}_{-11}	572	ALHARRAN	81	HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K \overline{K}$
72 ± 25	166	EVANGELISTA	77	OMEG	10 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
69 ± 22	100	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $K \overline{K} (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
75 ± 20		[13] BARKOV	99	SPEC	40 $K^- p \rightarrow K^0_S K^0_S y$
62^{+19}_{-14}	123	BARREIRO	77	HBC	4.15 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0_S K^0_S$
61 ± 8	120	BRANDENB...	76C	ASPK	13 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$

PRODUCED IN e^+e^- ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					
74± 8 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
100 ± 15	331	[14] ACCIARRI	01H	L3	91, 183–209 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^- K^0_S K^0_S$
$60 \pm 20 \pm 19$		ABREU	96C	DLPH	$Z^0 \to K^+K^- + X$
$60 \pm 23^{+13}_{-20}$		BAI	96C	BES	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
103 ± 30		AUGUSTIN	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
62 ± 10		[15] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \phi K^+K^-$
85 ± 35		BALTRUSAIT...87		MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
76 ± 40		ACCIARRI	95J	L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 01H
100 ± 3		[16] FALVARD	88	DM2	$J/\psi \to \phi K^+K^-$

PRODUCED IN $\overline{p}p$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
79±8	[17] AMSLER	02	CBAR	0.9 $\overline{p}p \to \pi^0\eta\eta$, $\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$

CENTRAL PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
70±25	BARBERIS	99	OMEG	450 $pp \to p_s p_f K^+K^-$

[9] From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.
[10] CHABAUD 81 is a reanalysis of PAWLICKI 77 data.
[11] From an amplitude analysis where the $f_2'(1525)$ width and elasticity are in complete disagreement with the values obtained from $K\overline{K}$ channel, making the solution dubious.
[12] From a fit to the D with $f_2(1270)$-$f_2'(1525)$ interference. Mass fixed at 1516 MeV.
[13] Systematic errors not estimated.
[14] Supersedes ACCIARRI 95J.
[15] From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_0(1710)$.
[16] From an analysis including interference with $f_0(1710)$.
[17] T-matrix pole.

$f_2'(1525)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$K\overline{K}$	(88.8 ±3.1) %
Γ_2	$\eta\eta$	(10.3 ±3.1) %
Γ_3	$\pi\pi$	(8.2 ±1.5) $\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_4	$K\overline{K}^*(892) +$ c.c.	
Γ_5	$\pi K\overline{K}$	
Γ_6	$\pi\pi\eta$	
Γ_7	$\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	
Γ_8	$\gamma\gamma$	(1.23±0.17) $\times 10^{-6}$

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, 2 partial widths, a combination of partial widths obtained from integrated cross sections, and 3 branching ratios uses 14 measurements and one constraint to determine 5 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 11.6$ for 10 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i, including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\rm total}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	−100			
x_3	−3	−1		
x_8	−8	8	1	
Γ	−32	32	−1	−49
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_8

	Mode	Rate (MeV)
Γ_1	$K\overline{K}$	$65\ ^{+5}_{-4}$
Γ_2	$\eta\eta$	7.6 ± 2.5
Γ_3	$\pi\pi$	0.60 ± 0.12
Γ_8	$\gamma\gamma$	$(9.0 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$

$f_2'(1525)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(K\overline{K})$ Γ_1

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
65^{+5}_{-4} OUR FIT				
63^{+6}_{-5}	[18] LONGACRE	86	MPS	$22\ \pi^- p \rightarrow K^0_S K^0_S n$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ Γ_3

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.60 ± 0.12 OUR FIT				
$1.4\ ^{+1.0}_{-0.5}$	[18] LONGACRE	86	MPS	$22\ \pi^- p \rightarrow K^0_S K^0_S n$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)$ Γ_2

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
7.6 ± 2.5 OUR FIT				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$24\ ^{+3}_{-1}$	[18] LONGACRE	86	MPS	$22\ \pi^- p \rightarrow K^0_S K^0_S n$

[18] From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.

$f_2'(1525)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(total)$

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_1\Gamma_8/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.080 ±0.009 OUR FIT					
0.080 ±0.009 OUR AVERAGE					
0.076 ±0.006 ±0.011	331	[21] ACCIARRI	01H	L3	91, 183–209 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^- K^0_S K^0_S$
0.067 ±0.008 ±0.015		[19] ALBRECHT	90G	ARG	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- K^+K^-$
0.11 $^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$ ±0.02		BEHREND	89C	CELL	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- K^0_S K^0_S$
0.10 $^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$ $^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$		BERGER	88	PLUT	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- K^0_S K^0_S$
0.12 ±0.07 ±0.04		[19] AIHARA	86B	TPC	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- K^+K^-$
0.11 ±0.02 ±0.04		[19] ALTHOFF	83	TASS	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- K\overline{K}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.093 ±0.018 ±0.022		[19] ACCIARRI	95J	L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 01H
0.0314±0.0050±0.0077		[20] ALBRECHT	90G	ARG	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- K^+K^-$

[19] Using an incoherent background.
[20] Using a coherent background.
[21] Supersedes ACCIARRI 95J.

$f_2'(1525)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(K\overline{K})$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.12±0.04 OUR FIT					
0.11±0.04		[22] PROKOSHKIN	91	GAM4	300 $\pi^- p \to \pi^- p\eta\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.14	90	BARBERIS	00E		450 $pp \to p_f \eta\eta p_s$
<0.50		BARNES	67	HBC	4.6,5.0 $K^- p$

[22] Combining results of GAM4 with those of WA76 on $K\overline{K}$ central production and results of CBAL, MRK3 and DM2 on $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0082±0.0016 OUR FIT					
0.0075±0.0016 OUR AVERAGE					
0.007 ±0.002		COSTA...	80	OMEG	10 $\pi^- p \to K^+K^- n$
0.027 $^{+0.071}_{-0.013}$		[23] GORLICH	80	ASPK	17,18 $\pi^- p$
0.0075±0.0025		[23,24] MARTIN	79	RVUE	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.06		95	AGUILAR-...	81B	HBC	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ K^-$
0.19	±0.03		CORDEN	79	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
<0.045		95	BARREIRO	77	HBC	4.15 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0_S K^0_S$
0.012	±0.004	[23]	PAWLICKI	77	SPEC	6 $\pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
<0.063		90	BRANDENB...	76C	ASPK	13 $K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
<0.0086		[23]	BEUSCH	75B	OSPK	8.9 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^0 \overline{K}^0 n$

[23] Assuming that the $f'_2(1525)$ is produced by an one-pion exchange production mechanism.

[24] MARTIN 79 uses the PAWLICKI 77 data with different input value of the $f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K\overline{K}$ branching ratio.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\overline{K})$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0092±0.0018 OUR FIT				
0.075 ±0.035	AUGUSTIN	87	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi\eta)/\Gamma(K\overline{K})$ Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.41	95	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
<0.3	67	AMMAR	67	HBC	

$\left[\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}) + \Gamma(\pi K\overline{K})\right]/\Gamma(K\overline{K})$ $(\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.35	95	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
<0.4	67	AMMAR	67	HBC	

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-)/\Gamma(K\overline{K})$ Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.32	95	AGUILAR-...	72B	HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.10±0.03	[25] PROKOSHKIN	91	GAM4	300 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p \eta\eta$

[25] Combining results of GAM4 with those of WA76 on $K\overline{K}$ central production and results of CBAL, MRK3 and DM2 on $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$.

$f_2'(1525)$ REFERENCES

AMSLER	02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler *et al.*	
ACCIARRI	01H	PL B501 173	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis *et al.*	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	99	PL B453 305	D. Barberis *et al.*	(Omega expt.)
BARKOV	99	JETPL 70 248	B.P. Barkov *et al.*	
		Translated from ZETFP 70 242.		
ABREU	96C	PL B379 309	P. Abreu *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)
BAI	96C	PRL 77 3959	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95J	PL B363 118	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
PROKOSHKIN	91	SPD 36 155	Y.D. Prokoshkin	(GAM2, GAM4 Collab.)
		Translated from DANS 316 900.		
ALBRECHT	90G	ZPHY C48 183	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
PDG	90	PL B239	J.J. Hernandez *et al.*	(IFIC, BOST, CIT+)
BEHREND	89C	ZPHY C43 91	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
ASTON	88D	NP B301 525	D. Aston *et al.*	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
AUGUSTIN	88	PRL 60 2238	J.E. Augustin *et al.*	(DM2 Collab.)
BERGER	88	ZPHY C37 329	C. Berger *et al.*	(PLUTO Collab.)
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard *et al.*	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)
AUGUSTIN	87	ZPHY C36 369	J.E. Augustin *et al.*	(LALO, CLER, FRAS+)
BALTRUSAIT...	87	PR D35 2077	R.M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)
AIHARA	86B	PRL 57 404	H. Aihara *et al.*	(TPC-2γ Collab.)
BOLONKIN	86	SJNP 43 776	B.V. Bolonkin *et al.*	(ITEP) JP
		Translated from YAF 43 1211.		
LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre *et al.*	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)
ALTHOFF	83	PL 121B 216	M. Althoff *et al.*	(TASSO Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	83B	NP B224 193	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)
AGUILAR-...	81B	ZPHY C8 313	M. Aguilar-Benitez *et al.*	(CERN, CDEF+)
ALHARRAN	81	NP B191 26	S. Al-Harran *et al.*	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
CHABAUD	81	APP B12 575	V. Chabaud *et al.*	(CERN, CRAC, MPIM)
COSTA...	80	NP B175 402	G. Costa de Beauregard *et al.*	(BARI, BONN+)
GORLICH	80	NP B174 16	L. Gorlich *et al.*	(CRAC, MPIM, CERN+)
CORDEN	79	NP B157 250	M.J. Corden *et al.*	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+) JP
MARTIN	79	NP B158 520	A.D. Martin, E.N. Ozmutlu	(DURH)
POLYCHRO...	79	PR D19 1317	V.A. Polychronakos *et al.*	(NDAM, ANL)
BARREIRO	77	NP B121 237	F. Barreiro *et al.*	(CERN, AMST, NIJM+)
EVANGELISTA	77	NP B127 384	C. Evangelista *et al.*	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
PAWLICKI	77	PR D15 3196	A.J. Pawlicki *et al.*	(ANL) IJP
BRANDENB...	76C	NP B104 413	G.W. Brandenburg *et al.*	(SLAC)
BEUSCH	75B	PL 60B 101	W. Beusch *et al.*	(CERN, ETH)
AGUILAR-...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez *et al.*	(BNL)
AMMAR	67	PRL 19 1071	R. Ammar *et al.*	(NWES, ANL) JP
BARNES	67	PRL 19 964	V.E. Barnes *et al.*	(BNL, SYRA) IJPC
CRENNELL	66	PRL 16 1025	D.J. Crennell *et al.*	(BNL) I

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

ANISOVICH	01H	EPJ A12 103	A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich, V.A. Nikonov	
LI	01	JPG 27 807	D.-M. Li, H. Yu, Q.-X. Shen	
ALBERICO	98	PL B438 430	A. Alberico *et al.*	(Obelix Collab.)
JENNI	83	PR D27 1031	P. Jenni *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL)
ARMSTRONG	82	PL 110B 77	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin *et al.*	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
ABRAMS	67B	PRL 18 620	G.S. Abrams *et al.*	(UMD)
BARNES	65	PRL 15 322	V.E. Barnes *et al.*	(BNL, SYRA)