$\Delta(1620)$ S_{31}

$I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ Status: ****

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

$\Delta(1620)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)		*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1615 to 1675 (≈ 1620) OUR ESTIMATE					
1672 ± 7		MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1620 ±20		CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1610 ± 7		HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1617 ±15		VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
1672 ± 5		ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1617		ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1669		LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1620		BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1712.8± 6.0	[1]	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
1786.7± 2.0	[1]	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
1657		CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1662		BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1580	[2]	LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1600	[3]	LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1620)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)		*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
120 to 180 (≈ 150) OUR ESTIMATE					
154 ±37		MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
140 ±20		CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
139 ±18		HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
143 ±42		VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
147 ± 8		ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
108		ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
184		LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
120		BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
228.3±18.0	[1]	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$ (lower mass)
30.0± 6.4	[1]	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$ (higher mass)
161		CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
180		BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
120	[2]	LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
150	[3]	LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

Δ(1620) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1580 to 1620 (≈ 1600) OUR ESTIMATE				
1585	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1608	[4] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1600±15	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1607	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
1587	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
1583 or 1583	[5] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1575 or 1572	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
100 to 130 (≈ 115) OUR ESTIMATE				
104	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
116	[4] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
120±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
148	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
120	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
143 or 149	[5] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
119 or 128	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

Δ(1620) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
14	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
19	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
15±2	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
15	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
−121	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
− 95	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
−110±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−125	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

$\Delta(1620)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	20–30 %
Γ_2	$N\pi\pi$	70–80 %
Γ_3	$\Delta\pi$	30–60 %
Γ_4	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave	
Γ_5	$N\rho$	7–25 %
Γ_6	$N\rho$, S=1/2, S-wave	
Γ_7	$N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave	
Γ_8	$N(1440)\pi$	
Γ_9	$N\gamma$	0.004–0.044 %
Γ_{10}	$N\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.004–0.044 %

$\Delta(1620)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.2 to 0.3 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.09±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.25±0.03	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.35±0.06	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.45±0.05	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
0.29	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
0.60	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$ (lower mass)
0.36	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$ (higher mass)

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)$ S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1620) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.36 to −0.28 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.24±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.33±0.06	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
−0.39	[2,6] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
−0.40	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.39±0.02	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1620) \to N\rho$, S=1/2, S-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_6)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.12 to +0.22 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.15±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.40±0.10	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
+0.08	[2,6] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
+0.28	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(N\rho, S$=1/2, S-wave$)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.14±0.03	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1620) \to N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.15 to −0.03 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.06±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.13	[2,6] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(N\rho, S$=3/2, D-wave$)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.02±0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1620) \to N(1440)\pi$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_8)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.11±0.05	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(N(1440)\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.00±0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel

$\Delta(1620)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$\Delta(1620) \to N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.027±0.011 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.035±0.020	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.035±0.010	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.010±0.015	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.022±0.007	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.026±0.008	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.021±0.020	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.126±0.021	TAKEDA	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.042±0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.066	WADA	84	DPWA	Compton scattering
+0.034±0.028	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.005±0.016	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

Δ(1620) FOOTNOTES

[1] CHEW 80 reports two S_{31} resonances at somewhat higher masses than other analyses. Problems with this analysis are discussed in section 2.1.11 of HOEHLER 83.

[2] LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[3] From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[4] See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[5] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

[6] LONGACRE 77 considers this coupling to be well determined.

Δ(1620) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(VPI, BRCO)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li *et al.*	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	D.M. Manley *et al.*	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(VPI, TELE) IJP
WADA	84	NP B247 313	Y. Wada *et al.*	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
HOEHLER	83	Landolt-Boernstein 1/9B2	G. Hohler	(KARLT)
PDG	82	PL 111B	M. Roos *et al.*	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	K. Fujii *et al.*	(NAGO)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93	I. Arai	(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	I. Arai, H. Fujii	(INUS)
BARNHAM	80	NP B168 243	K.W.J. Barnham *et al.*	(LOIC)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123	D.M. Chew	(LBL) IJP
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL) IJP
TAKEDA	80	NP B168 17	H. Takeda *et al.*	(TOKY, INUS)
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler *et al.*	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	I.M. Barbour, R.L. Crawford, N.H. Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	R.S. Longacre, J. Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	J. Dolbeau *et al.*	(SACL) IJP
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	P. Feller *et al.*	(NAGO, OSAK) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC) IJP