

$\Lambda(2350) H_{09}$

$$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{9}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ***$$

DAUM 68 favors $J^P = 7/2^-$ or $9/2^+$. BRICMAN 70 favors $9/2^+$. LASINSKI 71 suggests three states in this region using a Pomeron + resonances model. There are now also three formation experiments from the College de France-Saclay group, DEBELLEFON 77, BACCARI 77, and DEBELLEFON 78, which find $9/2^+$ in energy-dependent partial-wave analyses of $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$, $\Lambda\omega$, and $N\bar{K}$.

 $\Lambda(2350)$ MASS

| VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|---------------|------|----------------------------------|
| 2340 to 2370 (≈ 2350) OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| 2370 \pm 50 | DEBELLEFON 78 | DPWA | $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$ |
| 2365 \pm 20 | DEBELLEFON 77 | DPWA | $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$ |
| 2358 \pm 6 | BRICMAN 70 | CNTR | Total, charge exchange |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | |
| 2372 | BACCARI 77 | DPWA | $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\omega$ |
| 2344 \pm 15 | COOL 70 | CNTR | K^-p, K^-d total |
| 2360 \pm 20 | LU 70 | CNTR | $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ Y^*$ |
| 2340 \pm 7 | BUGG 68 | CNTR | K^-p, K^-d total |

 $\Lambda(2350)$ WIDTH

| VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|---------------|------|----------------------------------|
| 100 to 250 (≈ 150) OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| 204 \pm 50 | DEBELLEFON 78 | DPWA | $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$ |
| 110 \pm 20 | DEBELLEFON 77 | DPWA | $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$ |
| 324 \pm 30 | BRICMAN 70 | CNTR | Total, charge exchange |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | |
| 257 | BACCARI 77 | DPWA | $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\omega$ |
| 190 | COOL 70 | CNTR | K^-p, K^-d total |
| 55 | LU 70 | CNTR | $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ Y^*$ |
| 140 \pm 20 | BUGG 68 | CNTR | K^-p, K^-d total |

 $\Lambda(2350)$ DECAY MODES

| Mode | Fraction (Γ_i/Γ) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Γ_1 $N\bar{K}$ | $\sim 12\%$ |
| Γ_2 $\Sigma\pi$ | $\sim 10\%$ |
| Γ_3 $\Lambda\omega$ | |

The above branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

$\Lambda(2350)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

| $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ | | | | Γ_1/Γ |
|--|---------------|------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| VALUE | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT | |
| ~ 0.12 OUR ESTIMATE | | | | |
| 0.12 ± 0.04 | DEBELLEFON 78 | DPWA | $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$ | |
| $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(2350) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$ | | | | $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$ |
| VALUE | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT | |
| -0.11 ± 0.02 | DEBELLEFON 77 | DPWA | $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$ | |
| $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(2350) \rightarrow \Lambda\omega$ | | | | $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$ |
| VALUE | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT | |
| < 0.05 | BACCARI 77 | DPWA | $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\omega$ | |

$\Lambda(2350)$ REFERENCES

| | | | |
|---------------|-------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| DEBELLEFON 78 | NC 42A 403 | A. de Bellefon <i>et al.</i> | (CDEF, SACL) IJP |
| BACCARI 77 | NC 41A 96 | B. Baccari <i>et al.</i> | (SACL, CDEF) IJP |
| DEBELLEFON 77 | NC 37A 175 | A. de Bellefon <i>et al.</i> | (CDEF, SACL) IJP |
| LASINSKI 71 | NP B29 125 | T.A. Lasinski | (EFI) IJP |
| BRICMAN 70 | PL 31B 152 | C. Bricman <i>et al.</i> | (CERN, CAEN, SACL) |
| COOL 70 | PR D1 1887 | R.L. Cool <i>et al.</i> | (BNL) I |
| Also 66 | PRL 16 1228 | R.L. Cool <i>et al.</i> | (BNL) I |
| LU 70 | PR D2 1846 | D.C. Lu <i>et al.</i> | (YALE) |
| BUGG 68 | PR 168 1466 | D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i> | (RHEL, BIRM, CAVE) I |
| DAUM 68 | NP B7 19 | C. Daum <i>et al.</i> | (CERN) JP |