

$f_0(1710)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

$f_0(1710)$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1713 ± 6	OUR AVERAGE			
1740 ⁺³⁰ ₋₂₅		1 BAI	00A BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$
1698 ± 18		2 BARBERIS	00E	450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \eta \eta p_s$
1710 ± 12 ± 11		3 BARBERIS	99D OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow K^+ K^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-$
1710 ± 25		4 FRENCH	99	300 $p p \rightarrow p_f(K^+ K^-) p_s$
1707 ± 10		5 AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 K_S^0$
1698 ± 15		5 AUGUSTIN	87 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
1720 ± 10 ± 10		6 BALTRUSAIT..	87 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1742 ± 15		5 WILLIAMS	84 MPSF	200 $\pi^- N \rightarrow 2 K_S^0 X$
1670 ± 50		BLOOM	83 CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\eta$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1770 ± 12		7 ANISOVICH	99B SPEC	0.6–1.2 $p \bar{p} \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
1730 ± 15		1 BARBERIS	99 OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow p_s p_f K^+ K^-$
1750 ± 20		1 BARBERIS	99B OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
1750 ± 30		8 ANISOVICH	98B RVUE	Compilation
1720 ± 39		BAI	98H BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$
1775 ± 1.5	57	9 BARKOV	98	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1690 ± 11		10 ABREU	96C DLPH	$Z^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
1696 ± 5 ⁺⁹ ₋₃₄		6 BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1781 ± 8 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₃₁		1 BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1768 ± 14		BALOSHIN	95 SPEC	40 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
1750 ± 15		11 BUGG	95 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
1620 ± 16		6 BUGG	95 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
1748 ± 10		5 ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~ 1750		BREAKSTONE	93 SFM	$p p \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
1744 ± 15		12 ALDE	92D GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \eta n$
1713 ± 10		13 ARMSTRONG	89D OMEG	300 $p p \rightarrow p p K^+ K^-$

1706 ± 10	13	ARMSTRONG	89D	OMEG	300	$pp \rightarrow pp K_S^0 K_S^0$
1700 ± 15	6	BOLONKIN	88	SPEC	40	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1720 ± 60	1	BOLONKIN	88	SPEC	40	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1638 ± 10	14	FALVARD	88	DM2		$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 K_S^0$
1690 ± 4	15	FALVARD	88	DM2		$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 K_S^0$
1755 ± 8	16	ALDE	86C	GAM2	38	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\eta$
1730 ⁺² ₋₁₀	17	LONGACRE	86	RVUE	22	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$
1650 ± 50		BURKE	82	MRK2		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\rho$
1640 ± 50	18,19	EDWARDS	82D	CBAL		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\eta$
1730 ± 10 ± 20	20	ETKIN	82C	MPS	23	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$

¹ $J^P = 0^+$.

² T-matrix pole.

³ Supersedes BARBERIS 99 and BARBERIS 99B.

⁴ $J^P = 0^+$, supersedes by ARMSTRONG 89D.

⁵ No J^{PC} determination.

⁶ $J^P = 2^+$.

⁷ Preliminary data from CBAR, $J^P = 0^+$.

⁸ T-matrix pole, assuming $J^P = 0^+$

⁹ No J^{PC} determination.

¹⁰ No J^{PC} determination, width not determined.

¹¹ From a fit to the 0^+ partial wave.

¹² ALDE 92D combines all the GAMS-2000 data.

¹³ $J^P = 2^+$, superseded by FRENCH 99.

¹⁴ From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_2'(1525)$.

¹⁵ From an analysis including interference with $f_2'(1525)$.

¹⁶ Superseded by ALDE 92D.

¹⁷ Uses MRK3 data. From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles, but assuming spin 2. Fit with constrained inelasticity.

¹⁸ $J^P = 2^+$ preferred.

¹⁹ From fit neglecting nearby $f_2'(1525)$. Replaced by BLOOM 83.

²⁰ Superseded by LONGACRE 86.

$f_0(1710)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
125 ± 10	OUR AVERAGE				
120 ⁺⁵⁰ ₋₄₀			21 BAI	00A BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$
120 ± 26			22 BARBERIS	00E	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta \eta p_s$
126 ± 16 ± 18			23 BARBERIS	99D OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow K^+ K^-$, $\pi^+ \pi^-$
105 ± 34			24 FRENCH	99	300 $pp \rightarrow p_f(K^+ K^-) p_s$
166.4 ± 33.2			25 AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 K_S^0$
136 ± 28			25 AUGUSTIN	87 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
130 ± 20			26 BALTRUSAIT..	87 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
57 ± 38			⁵ WILLIAMS	84 MPSF	200 $\pi^- N \rightarrow 2K_S^0 X$
160 ± 80			BLOOM	83 CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\eta$

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

220 ± 42		27 ANISOVICH	99B SPEC	0.6–1.2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
100 ± 25		21 BARBERIS	99 OMEG	450	$pp \rightarrow p_S p_f K^+ K^-$
160 ± 30		21 BARBERIS	99B OMEG	450	$pp \rightarrow p_S p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
250 ± 140		28 ANISOVICH	98B RVUE	Compilation	
30 ± 7	57	29 BARKOV	98		$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
103 ± 18	$\begin{smallmatrix} +30 \\ -11 \end{smallmatrix}$	26 BAI	96C BES		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
85 ± 24	$\begin{smallmatrix} +22 \\ -19 \end{smallmatrix}$	21 BAI	96C BES		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
56 ± 19		BALOSHIN	95 SPEC	40	$\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
160 ± 40		30 BUGG	95 MRK3		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
160 $\begin{smallmatrix} +60 \\ -20 \end{smallmatrix}$		26 BUGG	95 MRK3		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
264 ± 25		25 ARMSTRONG	93C E760		$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
200 to 300		BREAKSTONE	93 SFM		$pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
< 80	90	31 ALDE	92D GAM2	38	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\eta N^*$
181 ± 30		32 ARMSTRONG	89D OMEG	300	$pp \rightarrow ppK^+ K^-$
104 ± 30		32 ARMSTRONG	89D OMEG	300	$pp \rightarrow ppK_S^0 K_S^0$
30 ± 20		26 BOLONKIN	88 SPEC	40	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
350 ± 150		21 BOLONKIN	88 SPEC	40	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
148 ± 17		33 FALVARD	88 DM2		$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_S^0$
184 ± 6		34 FALVARD	88 DM2		$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K_S^0$
122 $\begin{smallmatrix} +74 \\ -15 \end{smallmatrix}$		35 LONGACRE	86 RVUE	22	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$
200 ± 100		BURKE	82 MRK2		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\rho$
220 $\begin{smallmatrix} +100 \\ -70 \end{smallmatrix}$		36,37 EDWARDS	82D CBAL		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\eta$
200.0 $\begin{smallmatrix} +156.0 \\ -9.0 \end{smallmatrix}$		38 ETKIN	82B MPS	23	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$

21 $J^P = 0^+$.

22 T-matrix pole.

23 Supersedes BARBERIS 99 and BARBERIS 99B.

24 $J^P = 0^+$, supersedes by ARMSTRONG 89D.

25 No J^{PC} determination.

26 $J^P = 2^+$.

27 Preliminary data from CBAR, $J^P = 0^+$.

28 T-matrix pole, assuming $J^P = 0^+$

29 No J^{PC} determination.

30 From a fit to the 0^+ partial wave.

31 ALDE 92D combines all the GAMS-2000 data.

32 $J^P = 2^+$, (0^+ excluded).

- 33 From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_2'(1525)$.
 34 From an analysis including interference with $f_2'(1525)$.
 35 Uses MRK3 data. From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles, but assuming spin 2. Fit with constrained inelasticity.
 36 $J^P = 2^+$ preferred.
 37 From fit neglecting nearby $f_2'(1525)$. Replaced by BLOOM 83.
 38 From an amplitude analysis of the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ system, superseded by LONGACRE 86.

$f_0(1710)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_2 $\eta\eta$	seen
Γ_3 $\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_4 $\gamma\gamma$	

$f_0(1710)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_1\Gamma_4/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.11	95	39 BEHREND	89C CELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.48	95	ALBRECHT	90G ARG	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
<0.28	95	39 ALTHOFF	85B TASS	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$

³⁹ Assuming helicity 2.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_3\Gamma_4/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.82	95	40 BARATE	00E ALEP	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$	

⁴⁰ Assuming spin 0.

$f_0(1710)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.38 ^{+0.09} _{-0.19}	41,42 LONGACRE	86 MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$		

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
0.18 ^{+0.03} _{-0.13}	41,42 LONGACRE	86 RVUE			

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_3/Γ

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.039^{+0.002}_{-0.024}$ 41,42 LONGACRE 86 RVUE

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.39 ± 0.14 ARMSTRONG 91 OMEG 300 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi\pi$,
 $p\bar{p}K\bar{K}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.2 ± 0.024 ± 0.036 BARBERIS 99D OMEG 450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K^+K^-$,
 $\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.48 ± 0.15 BARBERIS 00E 450 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p_f\eta\eta p_S$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.02 90 43 PROKOSHKIN 91 GA24 300 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^-p\eta\eta$

⁴¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles, but assuming spin 2.

⁴² Fit with constrained inelasticity.

⁴³ Combining results of GAM4 with those of ARMSTRONG 89D.

$f_0(1710)$ REFERENCES

BAI	00A	PL B472 207	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BARATE	00E	PL B472 189	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ANISOVICH	99B	PL B449 154	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS	99	PL B453 305	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega expt.)
BARBERIS	99B	PL B453 316	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega expt.)
BARBERIS	99D	PL B462 462	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega expt.)
FRENCH	99	PL B214 213	B. French <i>et al.</i>	(WA76 Collab.)
ANISOVICH	98B	UFN 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BAI	98H	PRL 81 1179	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BARKOV	98	JEP TL 68 764	B.P. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	
ABREU	96C	PL B379 309	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
BAI	96C	PRL 77 3959	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BALOSHIN	95	PAN 58 46	O.N. Baloshin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
		Translated from YAF 58 50.		
BUGG	95	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH)
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
BREAKSTONE	93	ZPHY C58 251	A.M. Breakstone <i>et al.</i>	(IOWA, CERN, DORT+)
ALDE	92D	PL B284 457	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM2 Collab.)
Also	91	SJNP 54 451	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM2 Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 54 745.		
ARMSTRONG	91	ZPHY C51 351	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
PROKOSHKIN	91	SPD 36 155	Y.D. Prokoshkin	(GAM2, GAM4 Collab.)
		Translated from DANS 316 900.		

ALBRECHT	90G	ZPHY C48 183	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	89D	PL B227 186	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BEHREND	89C	ZPHY C43 91	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	88	PRL 60 2238	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BOLONKIN	88	NP B309 426	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)
AUGUSTIN	87	ZPHY C36 369	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, CLER, FRAS+)
BALTRUSAIT...	87	PR D35 2077	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
ALDE	86C	PL B182 105	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP)
LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)
ALTHOFF	85B	ZPHY C29 189	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
WILLIAMS	84	PR D30 877	E.G.H. Williams <i>et al.</i>	(VAND, NDAM, TUFTS+)
BLOOM	83	ARNS 33 143	E.D. Bloom, C. Peck	(SLAC, CIT)
BURKE	82	PRL 49 632	D.L. Burke <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
EDWARDS	82D	PRL 48 458	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
ETKIN	82C	PR D25 2446	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

ANISOVICH	99H	PL B467 289	A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich	
BRACCINI	99	Hadron Spectroscopy 53	S. Braccini	
		Frascati Physics Series XV (1999) 53, Proceedings Workshop on Hadron Spectroscopy		
GODFREY	99	RMP 71 1411	S. Godfrey, J. Napolitano	
GRYGOREV	99	PAN 62 470	V.K. Grygorev <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 62 513.		
PROKOSHKIN	99	PAN 62 356	Yu.D. Prokoshkin <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 62 396.		
ANISOVICH	97	PL B395 123	A.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)
DUNWOODIE	97	Hadron 97 Conf.	W. Dunwoodie	(SLAC)
LINDENBAUM	92	PL B274 492	S.J. Lindenbaum, R.S. Longacre	(BNL)
BISELLO	89B	PR D39 701	G. Busetto <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
ASTON	88D	NP B301 525	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
AKESSON	86	NP B264 154	T. Akesson <i>et al.</i>	(Axial Field Spec. Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	86B	PL 167B 133	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BALTRUSAIT...	86B	PR D33 1222	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
ALTHOFF	83	PL 121B 216	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
BARNETT	83B	PL 120B 455	B. Barnett <i>et al.</i>	(JHU)
ALTHOFF	82	ZPHY C16 13	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
BARNES	82	PL B116 365	T. Barnes, F.E. Close	(RHEL)
BARNES	82B	NP B198 360	T. Barnes, F.E. Close, S. Monaghan	(RHEL, OXFTEP)
TANIMOTO	82	PL 116B 198	M. Tanimoto	(BIEL)