$D^*(2007)^0$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$
I, J, P need confirmation.

J consistent with 1, value 0 ruled out (NGUYEN 77).

$D^*(2007)^0$ MASS

The fit includes $D^{\pm}$, D^0, $D_s^{\pm}$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0}, and $D_s^{*\pm}$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*COMMENT*
2006.7±0.5 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2006 ±1.5	[1] GOLDHABER 77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] From simultaneous fit to $D^*(2010)^+$, $D^*(2007)^0$, D^+, and D^0.

$m_{D^*(2007)^0} - m_{D^0}$

The fit includes $D^{\pm}$, D^0, $D_s^{\pm}$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0}, and $D_s^{*\pm}$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*COMMENT*
142.12±0.07 OUR FIT				
142.12±0.07 OUR AVERAGE				
142.2 ±0.3 ±0.2	145	ALBRECHT 95F	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
142.12±0.05±0.05	1176	BORTOLETTO 92B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
142.2 ±2.0		SADROZINSKI 80	CBAL	$D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\pi^0$
142.7 ±1.7		[2] GOLDHABER 77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[2] From simultaneous fit to $D^*(2010)^+$, $D^*(2007)^0$, D^+, and D^0.

$D^*(2007)^0$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<2.1	90	[3] ABACHI 88B	HRS	$D^{*0} \rightarrow D^+\pi^-$

[3] Assuming $m_{D^{*0}} = 2007.2 \pm 2.1$ MeV/c^2.

$D^*(2007)^0$ DECAY MODES

$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0$ modes are charge conjugates of modes below.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$D^0\pi^0$	(61.9±2.9) %
Γ_2	$D^0\gamma$	(38.1±2.9) %

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to a branching ratio uses 3 measurements and one constraint to determine 2 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 =$ 0.5 for 2 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	−100
	x_1

$D^*(2007)^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.619±0.029 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.596±0.035±0.028	858	[4] ALBRECHT	95F	ARG	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.636±0.023±0.033	1097	[4] BUTLER	92	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(D^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.381±0.029 OUR FIT					
0.381±0.029 OUR AVERAGE					
0.404±0.035±0.028	456	[4] ALBRECHT	95F	ARG	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.364±0.023±0.033	621	[4] BUTLER	92	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.37 ±0.08 ±0.08		ADLER	88D	MRK3	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.47 ±0.23		LOW	87	HRS	29 GeV e^+e^-
0.53 ±0.13		BARTEL	85G	JADE	e^+e^-, hadrons
0.47 ±0.12		COLES	82	MRK2	e^+e^-
0.45 ±0.15		GOLDHABER	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[4] The BUTLER 92 and ALBRECHT 95F branching ratios are not independent, they have been constrained by the authors to sum to 100%.

$D^*(2007)^0$ REFERENCES

ALBRECHT	95F	ZPHY C66 63	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	92B	PRL 69 2046	D. Bortoletto *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
BUTLER	92	PRL 69 2041	F. Butler *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ABACHI	88B	PL B212 533	S. Abachi *et al.*	(ANL, IND, MICH, PURD+)
ADLER	88D	PL B208 152	J. Adler *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)
LOW	87	PL B183 232	E.H. Low *et al.*	(HRS Collab.)
BARTEL	85G	PL 161B 197	W. Bartel *et al.*	(JADE Collab.)
COLES	82	PR D26 2190	M.W. Coles *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)
SADROZINSKI	80	Madison Conf. 681	H.F.W. Sadrozinski *et al.*	(PRIN, CIT+)
GOLDHABER	77	PL 69B 503	G. Goldhaber *et al.*	(Mark I Collab.)
NGUYEN	77	PRL 39 262	H.K. Nguyen *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC) J

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

SEMENOV	99	SPU 42 847 Translated from UFN 42 937.	S.V. Semenov	
KAMAL	92	PL B284 421	A.N. Kamal, Q.P. Xu	(ALBE)
TRILLING	81	PRPL 75 57	G.H. Trilling	(LBL, UCB)
GOLDHABER	76	PRL 37 255	G. Goldhaber *et al.*	(Mark I Collab.)