

$f'_2(1525)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

$f'_2(1525)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID
1525±5 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

PRODUCED BY PION BEAM

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1547 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₂		¹ LONGACRE	86 MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1496 ⁺⁹ ₋₈		² CHABAUD	81 ASPK	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
1497 ⁺⁸ ₋₉		CHABAUD	81 ASPK	18.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
1492±29		GORLICH	80 ASPK	17 $\pi^- p$ polarized \rightarrow $K^+ K^- n$
1502±25		³ CORDEN	79 OMEG	12-15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\pi^+ \pi^- n$
1480	14	CRENNELL	66 HBC	6.0 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$

¹ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.

² CHABAUD 81 is a reanalysis of PAWLICKI 77 data.

³ From an amplitude analysis where the $f'_2(1525)$ width and elasticity are in complete disagreement with the values obtained from $K\bar{K}$ channel, making the solution dubious.

PRODUCED BY K^\pm BEAM

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1524.6± 1.4 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the datablock that follows this one. Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
1526.8± 4.3		ASTON	88D LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \Lambda$
1504 ± 12		BOLONKIN	86 SPEC	40 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 Y$
1529 ± 3		ARMSTRONG	83B OMEG	18.5 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- K^+ \Lambda$
1521 ± 6	650	AGUILAR-...	81B HBC	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ K^-$
1521 ± 3	572	ALHARRAN	81 HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K \bar{K}$
1522 ± 6	123	BARREIRO	77 HBC	4.15 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K_S^0 K_S^0$
1528 ± 7	166	EVANGELISTA	77 OMEG	10 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
1527 ± 3	120	BRANDENB...	76C ASPK	13 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
1519 ± 7	100	AGUILAR-...	72B HBC	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $K \bar{K} (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1513 ± 10		⁴ BARKOV	99 SPEC	40 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 y$

⁴ Systematic errors not estimated.

PRODUCED IN e^+e^- ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

1524 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
1535 ± 5 ± 4	ABREU	96C DLPH	$Z^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
1516 ± 5 $\begin{smallmatrix} +9 \\ -15 \end{smallmatrix}$	BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1529 ± 10	ACCIARRI	95J L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$ $E_{cm}^{ee} =$ 88-94 GeV
1531.6 ± 10.0	AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1515 ± 5	⁵ FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
1525 ± 10 ± 10	BALTRUSAIT..87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1496 ± 2	⁶ FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$

CENTRAL PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

1515 ± 15	BARBERIS	99 OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow$ $p_S p_f K^+ K^-$
------------------	----------	---------	---

⁵ From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_0(1710)$.

⁶ From an analysis including interference with $f_0(1710)$.

$f'_2(1525)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	---------

76 ± 10 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

$73 \begin{smallmatrix} +6 \\ -5 \end{smallmatrix}$ OUR FIT

76 ± 10	PDG	90 For fitting
----------------	-----	----------------

PRODUCED BY PION BEAM

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$108 \begin{smallmatrix} +5 \\ -2 \end{smallmatrix}$	⁷ LONGACRE	86 MPS	$22 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
$69 \begin{smallmatrix} +22 \\ -16 \end{smallmatrix}$	⁸ CHABAUD	81 ASPK	$6 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
$137 \begin{smallmatrix} +23 \\ -21 \end{smallmatrix}$	CHABAUD	81 ASPK	$18.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
$150 \begin{smallmatrix} +83 \\ -50 \end{smallmatrix}$	GORLICH	80 ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \text{ polarized} \rightarrow$ $K^+ K^- n$
165 ± 42	⁹ CORDEN	79 OMEG	$12-15 \pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\pi^+ \pi^- n$
$92 \begin{smallmatrix} +39 \\ -22 \end{smallmatrix}$	¹⁰ POLYCHRO...	79 STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow n K_S^0 K_S^0$

⁷ From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.

⁸ CHABAUD 81 is a reanalysis of PAWLICKI 77 data.

⁹ From an amplitude analysis where the $f'_2(1525)$ width and elasticity are in complete disagreement with the values obtained from $K\bar{K}$ channel, making the solution dubious.

¹⁰ From a fit to the D with $f_2(1270)$ - $f'_2(1525)$ interference. Mass fixed at 1516 MeV.

PRODUCED BY K^\pm BEAM

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
76 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE	Includes data from the datablock that follows this one.			
90 ± 12		ASTON	88D LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \Lambda$
73 ± 18		BOLONKIN	86 SPEC	40 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 Y$
83 ± 15		ARMSTRONG	83B OMEG	18.5 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- K^+ \Lambda$
85 ± 16	650	AGUILAR-...	81B HBC	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ K^-$
80 ⁺¹⁴ ₋₁₁	572	ALHARRAN	81 HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K \bar{K}$
72 ± 25	166	EVANGELISTA	77 OMEG	10 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
69 ± 22	100	AGUILAR-...	72B HBC	3.9, 4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $K \bar{K} (\Lambda, \Sigma)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

75 ± 20		¹¹ BARKOV	99 SPEC	40 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 y$
62 ⁺¹⁹ ₋₁₄	123	BARREIRO	77 HBC	4.15 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K_S^0 K_S^0$
61 ± 8	120	BRANDENB...	76C ASPK	13 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$

¹¹ Systematic errors not estimated.

PRODUCED IN $e^+ e^-$ ANNIHILATION

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

66 ± 8 OUR AVERAGE

60 ± 20 ± 19		ABREU	96C DLPH	$Z^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
60 ± 23 ⁺¹³ ₋₂₀		BAI	96C BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
103 ± 30		AUGUSTIN	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
62 ± 10	¹²	FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$
85 ± 35		BALTRUSAIT..	87 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

76 ± 40		ACCIARRI	95J L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S K_S E_{cm}^{ee} =$ 88-94 GeV
100 ± 3	¹³	FALVARD	88 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-$

CENTRAL PRODUCTION

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
70 ± 25		BARBERIS	99 OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow$ $p_S p_f K^+ K^-$

¹² From an analysis ignoring interference with $f_0(1710)$.

¹³ From an analysis including interference with $f_0(1710)$.

$f'_2(1525)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K\bar{K}$	$(88.8 \pm 3.1) \%$
Γ_2 $\eta\eta$	$(10.3 \pm 3.1) \%$
Γ_3 $\pi\pi$	$(8.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_4 $\gamma\gamma$	$(1.32 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-6}$
Γ_5 $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	
Γ_6 $\pi\pi\eta$	
Γ_7 $\pi K\bar{K}$	
Γ_8 $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, 2 partial widths, a combination of partial widths obtained from integrated cross sections, and 3 branching ratios uses 14 measurements and one constraint to determine 5 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 11.4$ for 10 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	-100			
x_3	-3	-1		
x_4	-7	7	1	
Γ	-32	32	-1	-42
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4

Mode	Rate (MeV)
Γ_1 $K\bar{K}$	$65 \begin{smallmatrix} +5 \\ -4 \end{smallmatrix}$
Γ_2 $\eta\eta$	7.6 ± 2.5
Γ_3 $\pi\pi$	0.60 ± 0.12
Γ_4 $\gamma\gamma$	$(9.7 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}$

$f'_2(1525)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})$	Γ_1		
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$65 \begin{smallmatrix} +5 \\ -4 \end{smallmatrix}$ OUR FIT			
$63 \begin{smallmatrix} +6 \\ -5 \end{smallmatrix}$	14	LONGACRE	86 MPS 22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)$				Γ_3
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.60 ± 0.12 OUR FIT				
1.4 ^{+1.0} / _{-0.5}	14 LONGACRE	86 MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$	

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)$				Γ_2
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
7.6 ± 2.5 OUR FIT				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
24 ⁺³ / ₋₁	14 LONGACRE	86 MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$	
14 From a partial-wave analysis of data using a K-matrix formalism with 5 poles.				

$f'_2(1525) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_1\Gamma_4/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.086 ± 0.012 OUR FIT				
0.086 ± 0.012 OUR AVERAGE				
0.093 ± 0.018 ± 0.022	15 ACCIARRI	95J L3	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 88-94 \text{ GeV}$	
0.067 ± 0.008 ± 0.015	15 ALBRECHT	90G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$	
0.11 ^{+0.03} / _{-0.02} ± 0.02	BEHREND	89C CELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K_S^0$	
0.10 ^{+0.04} / _{-0.03} ^{+0.03} / _{-0.02}	BERGER	88 PLUT	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K_S^0$	
0.12 ± 0.07 ± 0.04	15 AIHARA	86B TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$	
0.11 ± 0.02 ± 0.04	15 ALTHOFF	83 TASS	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K\bar{K}$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.0314 ± 0.0050 ± 0.0077	16 ALBRECHT	90G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$	
15 Using an incoherent background.				
16 Using a coherent background.				

$f'_2(1525) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$				Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.12 ± 0.04 OUR FIT				
0.11 ± 0.04		17 PROKOSHKIN	91 GAM4	300 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p \eta\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.14	90	BARBERIS	00E	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta p_s$
<0.50		BARNES	67 HBC	4.6, 5.0 $K^- p$
17 Combining results of GAM4 with those of WA76 on $K\bar{K}$ central production and results of CBAL, MRK3 and DM2 on $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$.				

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_3/Γ**

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.0082±0.0016 OUR FIT
0.0075±0.0016 OUR AVERAGE

0.007 ±0.002 COSTA... 80 OMEG 10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
 0.027 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.071 \\ -0.013 \end{smallmatrix}$ 18 GORLICH 80 ASPK 17,18 $\pi^- p$
 0.0075±0.0025 18,19 MARTIN 79 RVUE

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.06 95 AGUILAR-... 81B HBC 4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ K^-$
 0.19 ±0.03 CORDEN 79 OMEG 12-15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$
 $\pi^+ \pi^- n$
 <0.045 95 BARREIRO 77 HBC 4.15 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K_S^0 K_S^0$
 0.012 ±0.004 18 PAWLICKI 77 SPEC 6 $\pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
 <0.063 90 BRANDENB... 76C ASPK 13 $K^- p \rightarrow$
 $K^+ K^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
 <0.0086 18 BEUSCH 75B OSPK 8.9 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0 n$

¹⁸ Assuming that the $f'_2(1525)$ is produced by an one-pion exchange production mechanism.

¹⁹ MARTIN 79 uses the PAWLICKI 77 data with different input value of the $f'_2(1525) \rightarrow K\bar{K}$ branching ratio.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$ **Γ_3/Γ_1**

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.0092±0.0018 OUR FIT
0.075 ±0.035

AUGUSTIN 87 DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi\eta)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$ **Γ_6/Γ_1**

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.41 95 AGUILAR-... 72B HBC 3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
 <0.3 67 AMMAR 67 HBC

$[\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) + \Gamma(\pi K\bar{K})]/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$ **$(\Gamma_5 + \Gamma_7)/\Gamma_1$**

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.35 95 AGUILAR-... 72B HBC 3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
 <0.4 67 AMMAR 67 HBC

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-)/\Gamma(K\bar{K})$ **Γ_8/Γ_1**

VALUE CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.32 95 AGUILAR-... 72B HBC 3.9,4.6 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_2/Γ**

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.10±0.03 ²⁰ PROKOSHKIN 91 GAM4 300 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p \eta\eta$

²⁰ Combining results of GAM4 with those of WA76 on $K\bar{K}$ central production and results of CBAL, MRK3 and DM2 on $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta$.

$f_2'(1525)$ REFERENCES

BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	99	PL B453 305	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega expt.)
BARKOV	99	JETPL 70 248	B.P. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from ZETFP 70 242.		
ABREU	96C	PL B379 309	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
BAI	96C	PRL 77 3959	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95J	PL B363 118	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
PROKOSHKIN	91	SPD 36 155	Y.D. Prokoshkin	(GAM2, GAM4 Collab.)
		Translated from DANS 316 900.		
ALBRECHT	90G	ZPHY C48 183	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
PDG	90	PL B239	J.J. Hernandez <i>et al.</i>	(IFIC, BOST, CIT+)
BEHREND	89C	ZPHY C43 91	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
ASTON	88D	NP B301 525	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
AUGUSTIN	88	PRL 60 2238	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BERGER	88	ZPHY C37 329	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)
AUGUSTIN	87	ZPHY C36 369	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, CLER, FRAS+)
BALTRUSAIT...	87	PR D35 2077	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
AIHARA	86B	PRL 57 404	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)
BOLONKIN	86	SJNP 43 776	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP) JP
		Translated from YAF 43 1211.		
LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)
ALTHOFF	83	PL 121B 216	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	83B	NP B224 193	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)
AGUILAR-...	81B	ZPHY C8 313	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF+)
ALHARRAN	81	NP B191 26	S. Al-Harran <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
CHABAUD	81	APP B12 575	V. Chabaud <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CRAC, MPIM)
COSTA...	80	NP B175 402	G. Costa de Beauregard <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN+)
GORLICH	80	NP B174 16	L. Gorlich <i>et al.</i>	(CRAC, MPIM, CERN+)
CORDEN	79	NP B157 250	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+ JP)
MARTIN	79	NP B158 520	A.D. Martin, E.N. Ozmutlu	(DURH)
POLYCHRO...	79	PR D19 1317	V.A. Polychronakos <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
BARREIRO	77	NP B121 237	F. Barreiro <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, AMST, NIJM+)
EVANGELISTA	77	NP B127 384	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
PAWLICKI	77	PR D15 3196	A.J. Pawlicki <i>et al.</i>	(ANL) IJP
BRANDENB...	76C	NP B104 413	G.W. Brandenburg <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
BEUSCH	75B	PL 60B 101	W. Beusch <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ETH)
AGUILAR-...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
AMMAR	67	PRL 19 1071	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, ANL) JP
BARNES	67	PRL 19 964	V.E. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA) IJPC
CRENNELL	66	PRL 16 1025	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL) I

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

ALBERICO	98	PL B438 430	A. Alberico <i>et al.</i>	(Obelix Collab.)
JENNI	83	PR D27 1031	P. Jenni <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)
ARMSTRONG	82	PL 110B 77	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
ABRAMS	67B	PRL 18 620	G.S. Abrams <i>et al.</i>	(UMD)
BARNES	65	PRL 15 322	V.E. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA)