$a_2(1320)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$

$a_2(1320)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*
1318.0±0.6 OUR AVERAGE	Includes data from the 4 datablocks that follow this one. Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

3π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.						
1317.9± 1.3 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.				
1317 ± 3		BARBERIS	98B			450 $pp \to p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$
1323 ± 4 ±3		ACCIARRI	97T	L3		$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1320 ± 7		ALBRECHT	97B	ARG		$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1311.3± 1.6±3.0	72400	AMELIN	96	VES		36 $\pi^- p \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 n$
1310 ± 5		ARMSTRONG	90	OMEG	0	300.0$pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1323.8± 2.3	4022	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	±	$J/\psi \to \rho^\pm a_2^\mp$
1320.6± 3.1	3562	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	0	$J/\psi \to \rho^0 a_2^0$
1317 ± 2	25000	[1] DAUM	80C	SPEC	−	63,94 $\pi^- p \to 3\pi p$
1320 ±10	1097	[1] BALTAY	78B	HBC	+0	15 $\pi^+ p \to p4\pi$
1306 ± 8		FERRERSORIA	78	OMEG	−	9 $\pi^- p \to p3\pi$
1318 ± 7	1600	[1] EMMS	75	DBC	0	4 $\pi^+ n \to p(3\pi)^0$
1315 ± 5		[1] ANTIPOV	73C	CNTR	−	25,40 $\pi^- p \to p\eta\pi^-$
1306 ± 9	1580	CHALOUPKA	73	HBC	−	3.9 $\pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1305 ±14		CONDO	93	SHF		$\gamma p \to \eta\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
1310 ± 2		[1] EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG	−	12 $\pi^- p \to 3\pi p$
1343 ±11	490	BALTAY	78B	HBC	0	15 $\pi^+ p \to \Delta 3\pi$
1309 ± 5	5000	BINNIE	71	MMS	−	$\pi^- p$ near a_2 threshold
1299 ± 6	28000	BOWEN	71	MMS	−	5 $\pi^- p$
1300 ± 6	24000	BOWEN	71	MMS	+	5 $\pi^+ p$
1309 ± 4	17000	BOWEN	71	MMS	−	7 $\pi^- p$
1306 ± 4	941	ALSTON-...	70	HBC	+	7.0 $\pi^+ p \to 3\pi p$

[1] From a fit to $J^P = 2^+$ $\rho\pi$ partial wave.



$a_2(1320)$ mass, 3π mode (MeV)

$K^{\pm} K^0_S$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

1318.1± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE

1319 ± 5	4700	[2,3]	CLELAND	82B	SPEC	+	50 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K^0_S K^+ p$
1324 ± 6	5200	[2,3]	CLELAND	82B	SPEC	−	50 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^0_S K^- p$
1320 ± 2	4000		CHABAUD	80	SPEC	−	17 π^- A → $K^0_S K^-$ A
1312 ± 4	11000		CHABAUD	78	SPEC	−	9.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K^0_S p$
1316 ± 2	4730		CHABAUD	78	SPEC	−	18.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K^0_S p$
1318 ± 1		[2,4]	MARTIN	78D	SPEC	−	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^0_S K^- p$
1320 ± 2	2724		MARGULIE	76	SPEC	−	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K^0_S p$
1313 ± 4	730		FOLEY	72	CNTR	−	20.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K^0_S p$
1319 ± 3	1500	[4]	GRAYER	71	ASPK	−	17.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K^0_S p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1330 ±11	1000	[2,3]	CLELAND	82B	SPEC	+	30 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K^0_S K^+ p$
1324 ± 5	350		HYAMS	78	ASPK	+	12.7 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ K^0_S p$

[2] From a fit to $J^P = 2^+$ partial wave.

[3] Number of events evaluated by us.
[4] Systematic error in mass scale subtracted.

$\eta\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.						
1317.7±1.4 OUR AVERAGE						
1308 ±9		BARBERIS	00H			450 $pp \to p_f \eta\pi^0 p_s$
1316 ±9		BARBERIS	00H			450 $pp \to \Delta_f^{++} \eta\pi^- p_s$
1317 ±1 ±2		THOMPSON	97	MPS		18 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^- p$
1315 ±5 ±2		[5] AMSLER	94D	CBAR		0.0 $\overline{p}p \to \pi^0\pi^0\eta$
1325.1±5.1		AOYAGI	93	BKEI		$\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^- p$
1317.7±1.4±2.0		BELADIDZE	93	VES		37$\pi^- N \to \eta\pi^- N$
1323 ±8	1000	[6] KEY	73	OSPK	–	6 $\pi^- p \to p\pi^-\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1324 ±5		ARMSTRONG	93C	E760	0	$\overline{p}p \to \pi^0\eta\eta \to 6\gamma$
1336.2±1.7	2561	DELFOSSE	81	SPEC	+	$\pi^\pm p \to p\pi^\pm\eta$
1330.7±2.4	1653	DELFOSSE	81	SPEC	–	$\pi^\pm p \to p\pi^\pm\eta$
1324 ±8	6200	[6,7] CONFORTO	73	OSPK	–	6 $\pi^- p \to p$MM$^-$

[5] The systematic error of 2 MeV corresponds to the spread of solutions.
[6] Error includes 5 MeV systematic mass-scale error.
[7] Missing mass with enriched MMS = $\eta\pi^-$, $\eta = 2\gamma$.

$\eta'\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				
1327.0±10.7	BELADIDZE	93	VES	37$\pi^- N \to \eta'\pi^- N$

$a_2(1320)$ WIDTH

3π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
104.7± 1.9 OUR AVERAGE						
120 ±10		BARBERIS	98B			450 $pp \to p_f\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 p_s$
105 ±10 ±11		ACCIARRI	97T	L3		$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
120 ±10		ALBRECHT	97B	ARG		$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
103.0± 6.0± 3.3	72400	AMELIN	96	VES		36 $\pi^- p \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 n$
120 ±10		ARMSTRONG	90	OMEG	0	300.0$pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
107.0± 9.7	4022	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	±	$J/\psi \to \rho^\pm a_2^\mp$
118.5±12.5	3562	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	0	$J/\psi \to \rho^0 a_2^0$
97 ± 5		[8] EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG	–	12 $\pi^- p \to 3\pi p$
96 ± 9	25000	[8] DAUM	80C	SPEC	–	63,94 $\pi^- p \to 3\pi p$

110	±15	1097	[8]	BALTAY	78B	HBC	+0	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
112	±18	1600	[8]	EMMS	75	DBC	0	4 $\pi^+ n \rightarrow p(3\pi)^0$
122	±14	1200	[8,9]	WAGNER	75	HBC	0	7 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}(3\pi)^0$
115	±15		[8]	ANTIPOV	73C	CNTR	−	25,40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\eta\pi^-$
99	±15	1580		CHALOUPKA	73	HBC	−	3.9 $\pi^- p$
105	± 5	28000		BOWEN	71	MMS	−	5 $\pi^- p$
99	± 5	24000		BOWEN	71	MMS	+	5 $\pi^+ p$
103	± 5	17000		BOWEN	71	MMS	−	7 $\pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

120	±40			CONDO	93	SHF		$\gamma p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
115	±14	490		BALTAY	78B	HBC	0	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta 3\pi$
72	±16	5000		BINNIE	71	MMS	−	$\pi^- p$ near a_2 threshold
79	±12	941		ALSTON-...	70	HBC	+	7.0 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$

[8] From a fit to $J^P = 2^+$ $\rho\pi$ partial wave.
[9] Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

$K^\pm K^0_S$ AND $\eta\pi$ MODES

VALUE (MeV) *DOCUMENT ID*

107 ±5 OUR ESTIMATE

110.4±1.7 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 2 datablocks that follow this one.

$K^\pm K^0_S$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

109.8± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE

112	±20	4700	[10,11]	CLELAND	82B	SPEC	+	50 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K^0_S K^+ p$
120	±25	5200	[10,11]	CLELAND	82B	SPEC	−	50 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^0_S K^- p$
106	± 4	4000		CHABAUD	80	SPEC	−	17 π^- A $\rightarrow K^0_S K^-$ A
126	±11	11000		CHABAUD	78	SPEC	−	9.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K^0_S p$
101	± 8	4730		CHABAUD	78	SPEC	−	18.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K^0_S p$
113	± 4		[10,12]	MARTIN	78D	SPEC	−	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^0_S K^- p$
105	± 8	2724	[12]	MARGULIE	76	SPEC	−	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K^0_S p$
113	±19	730		FOLEY	72	CNTR	−	20.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K^0_S p$
123	±13	1500	[12]	GRAYER	71	ASPK	−	17.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K^0_S p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

121	±51	1000	[10,11]	CLELAND	82B	SPEC	+	30 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K^0_S K^+ p$
110	±18	350		HYAMS	78	ASPK	+	12.7 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ K^0_S p$

[10] From a fit to $J^P = 2^+$ partial wave.
[11] Number of events evaluated by us.
[12] Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

$\eta\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.						
111.1± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE						
115 ±20		BARBERIS	00H			450 $pp \to p_f \eta\pi^0 p_s$
112 ±14		BARBERIS	00H			450 $pp \to \Delta_f^{++} \eta\pi^- p_s$
112 ± 3 ±2		[13] AMSLER	94D	CBAR		0.0 $\overline{p}p \to \pi^0\pi^0\eta$
103 ± 6 ±3		BELADIDZE	93	VES		$37\pi^- N \to \eta\pi^- N$
112.2± 5.7	2561	DELFOSSE	81	SPEC	+	$\pi^\pm p \to p\pi^\pm\eta$
116.6± 7.7	1653	DELFOSSE	81	SPEC	−	$\pi^\pm p \to p\pi^\pm\eta$
108 ± 9	1000	KEY	73	OSPK	−	$6\,\pi^- p \to p\pi^-\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
127 ± 2 ±2		[14] THOMPSON	97	MPS		$18\,\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^- p$
118 ±10		ARMSTRONG	93C	E760	0	$\overline{p}p \to \pi^0\eta\eta \to 6\gamma$
104 ± 9	6200	[15] CONFORTO	73	OSPK	−	$6\,\pi^- p \to p\,\mathrm{MM}^-$

[13] The systematic error of 2 MeV corresponds to the spread of solutions.
[14] Resolution is not unfolded.
[15] Missing mass with enriched MMS $= \eta\pi^-$, $\eta = 2\gamma$.

$\eta'\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
106±32	BELADIDZE	93	VES	$37\pi^- N \to \eta'\pi^- N$

$a_2(1320)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1	$\rho\pi$	(70.1±2.7) %	S=1.2
Γ_2	$\eta\pi$	(14.5±1.2) %	
Γ_3	$\omega\pi\pi$	(10.6±3.2) %	S=1.3
Γ_4	$K\overline{K}$	(4.9±0.8) %	
Γ_5	$\eta'(958)\pi$	(5.3±0.9) $\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_6	$\pi^\pm\gamma$	(2.8±0.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_7	$\gamma\gamma$	(9.4±0.7) $\times 10^{-6}$	
Γ_8	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	< 8 %	CL=90%
Γ_9	e^+e^-	< 6 $\times 10^{-9}$	CL=90%

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 5 branching ratios uses 18 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 9.3$ for 15 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	10		
x_3	−89	−46	
x_4	−1	−2	−24
	x_1	x_2	x_3

$a_2(1320)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\pi^\pm\gamma)$ Γ_6

VALUE (keV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
295± 60	CIHANGIR	82	SPEC	+	200 π^+ A
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
461±110	MAY	77	SPEC	±	9.7 γ A

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_7

VALUE (keV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
1.00±0.06 OUR AVERAGE						
0.98±0.05±0.09		ACCIARRI	97T	L3		$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.96±0.03±0.13		ALBRECHT	97B	ARG		$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1.26±0.26±0.18	36	BARU	90	MD1		$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1.00±0.07±0.15	415	BEHREND	90C	CELL	0	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1.03±0.13±0.21		BUTLER	90	MRK2		$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1.01±0.14±0.22	85	OEST	90	JADE		$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^0\eta$
0.90±0.27±0.15	56	[16] ALTHOFF	86	TASS	0	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-3\pi$
1.14±0.20±0.26		[17] ANTREASYAN	86	CBAL	0	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^0\eta$
1.06±0.18±0.19		BERGER	84C	PLUT	0	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-3\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
$0.81\pm0.19^{+0.42}_{-0.11}$	35	[16] BEHREND	83B	CELL	0	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-3\pi$
0.77±0.18±0.27	22	[17] EDWARDS	82F	CBAL	0	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^0\eta$

[16] From $\rho\pi$ decay mode.
[17] From $\eta\pi^0$ decay mode.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_9

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 0.56	90	ACHASOV	00K	SND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<25	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\eta$

$a_2(1320)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(total)$

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma_4\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.126±0.007±0.028	[18]	ALBRECHT	90G	ARG	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-K^+K^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.081±0.006±0.027	[19]	ALBRECHT	90G	ARG	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-K^+K^-$

[18] Using an incoherent background.
[19] Using a coherent background.

$a_2(1320)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\overline{K})/\Gamma(\rho\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.070±0.012 OUR FIT						
0.078±0.017		CHABAUD	78	RVUE		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.011±0.003		[20] BERTIN	98B	OBLX		0.0 $\overline{p}p \to K^\pm K_s \pi^\mp$
0.056±0.014	50	[21] CHALOUPKA	73	HBC	−	3.9 $\pi^- p$
0.097±0.018	113	[21] ALSTON-...	71	HBC	+	7.0 $\pi^+ p$
0.06 ±0.03		[21] ABRAMOVI...	70B	HBC	−	3.93 $\pi^- p$
0.054±0.022		[21] CHUNG	68	HBC	−	3.2 $\pi^- p$

[20] Using 4π data from BERTIN 97D.
[21] Included in CHABAUD 78 review.

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)/[\Gamma(\rho\pi)+\Gamma(\eta\pi)+\Gamma(K\overline{K})]$ $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.162±0.012 OUR FIT						
0.140±0.028 OUR AVERAGE						
0.13 ±0.04		ESPIGAT	72	HBC	±	0.0 $\overline{p}p$
0.15 ±0.04	34	BARNHAM	71	HBC	+	3.7 $\pi^+ p$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)/\Gamma(\rho\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.207±0.018 OUR FIT						
0.213±0.020 OUR AVERAGE						
0.18 ±0.05		FORINO	76	HBC		11 $\pi^- p$
0.22 ±0.05	52	ANTIPOV	73	CNTR	−	40 $\pi^- p$
0.211±0.044	149	CHALOUPKA	73	HBC	−	3.9 $\pi^- p$
0.246±0.042	167	ALSTON-...	71	HBC	+	7.0 $\pi^+ p$
0.25 ±0.09	15	BOECKMANN	70	HBC	+	5.0 $\pi^+ p$
0.23 ±0.08	22	ASCOLI	68	HBC	−	5 $\pi^- p$
0.12 ±0.08		CHUNG	68	HBC	−	3.2 $\pi^- p$
0.22 ±0.09		CONTE	67	HBC	−	11.0 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.006	95	ALDE	92B	GAM2		38,100 $\pi^- p \to \eta' \pi^0 n$
<0.02	97	BARNHAM	71	HBC	+	3.7 $\pi^+ p$
0.004±0.004		BOESEBECK	68	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p$

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi)/\Gamma(\rho\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.011	90	EISENSTEIN	73	HBC	−	5 $\pi^- p$
<0.04		ALSTON-...	71	HBC	+	7.0 $\pi^+ p$
$0.04\ ^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$		BOECKMANN	70	HBC	0	5.0 $\pi^+ p$

$\Gamma(K\overline{K})/[\Gamma(\rho\pi)+\Gamma(\eta\pi)+\Gamma(K\overline{K})]$ $\Gamma_4/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.054±0.009 OUR FIT						
0.048±0.012 OUR AVERAGE						
0.05 ±0.02		TOET	73	HBC	+	5 $\pi^+ p$
0.09 ±0.04		TOET	73	HBC	0	5 $\pi^+ p$
0.03 ±0.02	8	DAMERI	72	HBC	−	11 $\pi^- p$
0.06 ±0.03	17	BARNHAM	71	HBC	+	3.7 $\pi^+ p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.020±0.004		[22] ESPIGAT	72	HBC	±	0.0 $\overline{p}p$

[22] Not averaged because of discrepancy between masses from $K\overline{K}$ and $\rho\pi$ modes.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-)/\Gamma(\rho\pi)$ Γ_8/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.12	90	ABRAMOVI...	70B	HBC	−	3.93 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^{\pm}\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.005^{+0.005}_{-0.003}$	[23] EISENBERG	72	HBC	4.3,5.25,7.5 γp

[23] Pion-exchange model used in this estimation.

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\rho\pi)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.15±0.05 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3.						
0.15±0.05 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.						
0.28±0.09	60	DIAZ	74	DBC	0	6 $\pi^+ n$
0.18±0.08		[24] KARSHON	74	HBC		Avg. of above two
0.10±0.05	279	CHALOUPKA	73	HBC	−	3.9 $\pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.29±0.08	140	[24] KARSHON	74	HBC	0	4.9 $\pi^+ p$
0.10±0.04	60	[24] KARSHON	74	HBC	+	4.9 $\pi^+ p$
0.19±0.08		DEFOIX	73	HBC	0	0.7 $\overline{p}p$

[24] KARSHON 74 suggest an additional $I = 0$ state strongly coupled to $\omega\pi\pi$ which could explain discrepancies in branching ratios and masses. We use a central value and a systematic spread.



$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\rho\pi)$

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.037±0.006 OUR AVERAGE				
0.032±0.009	ABELE	97C	CBAR	0.0 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta'$
0.047±0.010±0.004	[25] BELADIDZE	93	VES	$37\pi^- N \rightarrow a_2^- N$
0.034±0.008±0.005	BELADIDZE	92	VES	$36\pi^-$ C $\rightarrow a_2^-$ C

[25] Using B($\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$) = 0.441, B($\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$) = 0.389 and B($\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$) = 0.236.

$\Gamma(K\overline{K})/\Gamma(\eta\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.08±0.02	[26] BERTIN	98B	OBLX	0.0 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow K^\pm K_s \pi^\mp$

[26] Using $\eta\pi\pi$ data from AMSLER 94D.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-9})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<6	90	ACHASOV	00K	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$

$a_2(1320)$ REFERENCES

ACHASOV	00K	PL B492 8	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
BARBERIS	00H	PL B488 225	D. Barberis *et al.*	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	98B	PL B422 399	D. Barberis *et al.*	(WA 102 Collab.)
BERTIN	98B	PL B434 180	A. Bertin *et al.*	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	97C	PL B404 179	A. Abele *et al.*	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	97B	ZPHY C74 469	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
THOMPSON	97	PRL 79 1630	D.R. Thompson *et al.*	(E852 Collab.)
AMELIN	96	ZPHY C70 71	D.V. Amelin *et al.*	(SERP, TBIL)
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler *et al.*	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AOYAGI	93	PL B314 246	H. Aoyagi *et al.*	(BKEI Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
BELADIDZE	93	PL 313 276	G.M. Beladidze *et al.*	(VES Collab.)
CONDO	93	PR D48 3045	G.T. Condo *et al.*	(SLAC Hybrid Collab.)
ALDE	92B	ZPHY C54 549	D.M. Alde *et al.*	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
BELADIDZE	92	ZPHY C54 235	G.M. Beladidze *et al.*	(VES Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90G	ZPHY C48 183	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	90	ZPHY C48 213	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun, W. Beusch	
BARU	90	ZPHY C48 581	S.E. Baru *et al.*	(MD-1 Collab.)
BEHREND	90C	ZPHY C46 583	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
BUTLER	90	PR D42 1368	F. Butler *et al.*	(Mark II Collab.)
OEST	90	ZPHY C47 343	T. Oest *et al.*	(JADE Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	89	NP B320 1	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev *et al.*	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 48 436.		
ALTHOFF	86	ZPHY C31 537	M. Althoff *et al.*	(TASSO Collab.)
ANTREASYAN	86	PR D33 1847	D. Antreasyan *et al.*	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BERGER	84C	PL 149B 427	C. Berger *et al.*	(PLUTO Collab.)
BEHREND	83B	PL 125B 518	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
CIHANGIR	82	PL 117B 123	S. Cihangir *et al.*	(FNAL, MINN, ROCH)
CLELAND	82B	NP B208 228	W.E. Cleland *et al.*	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
EDWARDS	82F	PL 110B 82	C. Edwards *et al.*	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)
DELFOSSE	81	NP B183 349	A. Delfosse *et al.*	(GEVA, LAUS)
EVANGELISTA	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista *et al.*	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
CHABAUD	80	NP B175 189	V. Chabaud *et al.*	(CERN, MPIM, AMST)
DAUM	80C	PL 89B 276	C. Daum *et al.*	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+) JP
BALTAY	78B	PR D17 62	C. Baltay *et al.*	(COLU, BING)

CHABAUD	78	NP B145 349	V. Chabaud *et al.*	(CERN, MPIM)
FERRERSORIA	78	PL 74B 287	A. Ferrer Soria *et al.*	(ORSAY, CERN, CDEF+)
HYAMS	78	NP B146 303	B.D. Hyams *et al.*	(CERN, MPIM, ATEN)
MARTIN	78D	PL 74B 417	A.D. Martin *et al.*	(DURH, GEVA) JP
MAY	77	PR D16 1983	E.N. May *et al.*	(ROCH, CORN)
FORINO	76	NC 35A 465	A. Forino *et al.*	(BGNA, FIRZ, GENO, MILA+)
MARGULIE	76	PR D14 667	M. Margulies *et al.*	(BNL, CUNY)
EMMS	75	PL 58B 117	M.J. Emms *et al.*	(BIRM, DURH, RHEL) JP
WAGNER	75	PL 58B 201	F. Wagner, M. Tabak, D.M. Chew	(LBL) JP
DIAZ	74	PRL 32 260	J. Diaz *et al.*	(CASE, CMU)
KARSHON	74	PRL 32 852	U. Karshon *et al.*	(REHO)
ANTIPOV	73	NP B63 175	Y.M. Antipov *et al.*	(CERN, SERP) JP
ANTIPOV	73C	NP B63 153	Y.M. Antipov *et al.*	(CERN, SERP) JP
CHALOUPKA	73	PL 44B 211	V. Chaloupka *et al.*	(CERN)
CONFORTO	73	PL 45B 154	G. Conforto *et al.*	(EFI, FNAL, TNTO+)
DEFOIX	73	PL 43B 141	C. Defoix *et al.*	(CDEF)
EISENSTEIN	73	PR D7 278	L. Eisenstein *et al.*	(ILL)
KEY	73	PRL 30 503	A.W. Key *et al.*	(TNTO, EFI, FNAL, WISC)
TOET	73	NP B63 248	D.Z. Toet *et al.*	(NIJM, BONN, DURH, TORI)
DAMERI	72	NC 9A 1	M. Dameri *et al.*	(GENO, MILA, SACL)
EISENBERG	72	PR D5 15	Y. Eisenberg *et al.*	(REHO, SLAC, TELA)
ESPIGAT	72	NP B36 93	P. Espigat *et al.*	(CERN, CDEF)
FOLEY	72	PR D6 747	K.J. Foley *et al.*	(BNL, CUNY)
ALSTON-...	71	PL 34B 156	M. Alston-Garnjost *et al.*	(LRL)
BARNHAM	71	PRL 26 1494	K.W.J. Barnham *et al.*	(LBL)
BINNIE	71	PL 36B 257	D.M. Binnie *et al.*	(LOIC, SHMP)
BOWEN	71	PRL 26 1663	D.R. Bowen *et al.*	(NEAS, STON)
GRAYER	71	PL 34B 333	G. Grayer *et al.*	(CERN, MPIM)
ABRAMOVI...	70B	NP B23 466	M. Abramovich *et al.*	(CERN) JP
ALSTON-...	70	PL 33B 607	M. Alston-Garnjost *et al.*	(LRL)
BOECKMANN	70	NP B16 221	K. Boeckmann *et al.*	(BONN, DURH, NIJM+)
ASCOLI	68	PRL 20 1321	G. Ascoli *et al.*	(ILL) JP
BOESEBECK	68	NP B4 501	K. Boesebeck *et al.*	(AACH, BERL, CERN)
CHUNG	68	PR 165 1491	S.U. Chung *et al.*	(LRL)
CONTE	67	NC 51A 175	F. Conte *et al.*	(GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

ALDE	99B	PAN 62 421 Translated from YAF 62 462.	D. Alde *et al.*	(GAMS Collab.)
JENNI	83	PR D27 1031	P. Jenni *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL)
BEHREND	82C	PL 114B 378	H.J. Behrend *et al.*	(CELLO Collab.)
ADERHOLZ	65	PR 138B 897	M. Aderholz	(AACH3, BERL, BIRM, BONN, HAMB+)
ALITTI	65	PL 15 69	J. Alitti *et al.*	(SACL, BGNA) JP
CHUNG	65	PRL 15 325	S.U. Chung *et al.*	(LRL)
FORINO	65B	PL 19 68	A. Forino *et al.*	(BGNA, BARI, FIRZ, ORSAY+)
LEFEBVRES	65	PL 19 434	F. Lefebvres *et al.*	
SEIDLITZ	65	PRL 15 217	L. Seidlitz, O.I. Dahl, D.H. Miller	(LRL)
ADERHOLZ	64	PL 10 226	M. Aderholz *et al.*	(AACH3, BERL, BIRM+)
CHUNG	64	PRL 12 621	S.U. Chung *et al.*	(LRL)
GOLDHABER	64	PRL 12 336	G. Goldhaber *et al.*	(LRL, UCB)
LANDER	64	PRL 13 346A	R.L. Lander *et al.*	(UCSD)