$f_1(1420)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$

See the minireview under $\eta(1440)$.

$f_1(1420)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1426.3± 1.1 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.			
1426 ± 1		BARBERIS	97C	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow ppK^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1425 ± 8		BERTIN	97	OBLX	0.0 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow K^\pm (K^0) \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
1435 ± 9		PROKOSHKIN	97B	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1430 ± 4		[1] ARMSTRONG	92E	OMEG	85,300 $\pi^+ p$, $pp \rightarrow \pi^+ p$, $pp(K\overline{K}\pi)$
1462 ±20		[2] AUGUSTIN	92	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \overline{K} \pi$
1443 $^{+7}_{-6}$ $^{+3}_{-2}$	1100	BAI	90C	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1425 ±10	17	BEHREND	89	CELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1442 ± 5 $^{+10}_{-17}$	111	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+ e^-$, $\omega K \overline{K} \pi$
1423 ± 4		GIDAL	87B	MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K \overline{K} \pi$
1417 ±13	13	AIHARA	86C	TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K \overline{K} \pi$
1422 ± 3		CHAUVAT	84	SPEC	ISR 31.5 pp
1440 ±10		[3] BROMBERG	80	SPEC	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \overline{K} \pi X$
1426 ± 6	221	DIONISI	80	HBC	4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \overline{K} \pi n$
1420 ±20		DAHL	67	HBC	1.6–4.2 $\pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1430.8± 0.9		[4] SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{slow} (K^0_S K^+ \pi^-) p_{fast}$
1433.4± 0.8		[4] SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{slow} (K^0_S K^- \pi^+) p_{fast}$
1429 ± 3	389	ARMSTRONG	89	OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow K \overline{K} \pi pp$
1425 ± 2	1520	ARMSTRONG	84	OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p$, $pp \rightarrow (\pi^+, p)(K\overline{K}\pi)p$
~ 1420		BITYUKOV	84	SPEC	32 $K^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 Y$

[1] This result supersedes ARMSTRONG 84, ARMSTRONG 89.
[2] From fit to the $K^*(892) K$ 1^{++} partial wave.
[3] Mass error increased to account for $a_0(980)$ mass cut uncertainties.
[4] No systematic error given.



$f_1(1420)$ mass (MeV)

$f_1(1420)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
55.5± 2.9 OUR AVERAGE					
58 ± 4		BARBERIS	97C	OMEG	450 $pp \to ppK^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
45 ±10		BERTIN	97	OBLX	0.0 $\overline{p}p \to K^\pm (K^0) \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
90 ±25		PROKOSHKIN	97B	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \to \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
58 ±10		[5] ARMSTRONG	92E	OMEG	85,300 $\pi^+ p$, $pp \to \pi^+ p$, $pp(K\overline{K}\pi)$
129 ±41		[6] AUGUSTIN	92	DM2	$J/\psi \to \gamma K \overline{K} \pi$
68 $^{+29}_{-18}$ $^{+8}_{-9}$	1100	BAI	90C	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
42 ±22	17	BEHREND	89	CELL	$\gamma\gamma \to K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
40 $^{+17}_{-13}$ ±5	111	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+ e^- \to \omega K \overline{K} \pi$
35 $^{+47}_{-20}$	13	AIHARA	86C	TPC	$e^+ e^- \to e^+ e^- K \overline{K} \pi$
47 ±10		CHAUVAT	84	SPEC	ISR 31.5 pp
62 ±14		BROMBERG	80	SPEC	100 $\pi^- p \to K \overline{K} \pi X$
40 ±15	221	DIONISI	80	HBC	4 $\pi^- p \to K \overline{K} \pi n$
60 ±20		DAHL	67	HBC	1.6–4.2 $\pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

68.7± 2.9		[7] SOSA	99	SPEC	$p p \to p_{slow} (K^0_S K^+ \pi^-) p_{fast}$
58.8± 3.3		[7] SOSA	99	SPEC	$p p \to p_{slow} (K^0_S K^- \pi^+) p_{fast}$
58 ± 8	389	ARMSTRONG	89	OMEG	300 $p p \to K \overline{K} \pi p p$
62 ± 5	1520	ARMSTRONG	84	OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p$, $p p \to (\pi^+, p)(K \overline{K} \pi) p$
∼ 50		BITYUKOV	84	SPEC	32 $K^- p \to K^+ K^- \pi^0 Y$

[5] This result supersedes ARMSTRONG 84, ARMSTRONG 89.
[6] From fit to the $K^*(892) K$ 1^{++} partial wave.
[7] No systematic error given.

f_1(1420) DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$K \overline{K} \pi$	dominant
Γ_2	$K \overline{K}^*(892)+$ c.c.	dominant
Γ_3	$\eta \pi \pi$	possibly seen
Γ_4	$a_0(980) \pi$	
Γ_5	$\pi \pi \rho$	
Γ_6	4π	
Γ_7	$\rho^0 \gamma$	
Γ_8	$\phi \gamma$	seen

f_1(1420) Γ(i)Γ(γγ)/Γ(total)

$\Gamma(K \overline{K} \pi) \times / \Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_1 \Gamma_0 / \Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1.7±0.4 OUR AVERAGE					
3.0±0.9±0.7		[8,9] BEHREND	89	CELL	$e^+ e^- \to e^+ e^- K^0_S K \pi$
$2.3^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$±0.8		HILL	89	JADE	$e^+ e^- \to e^+ e^- K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$
1.3±0.5±0.3		AIHARA	88B	TPC	$e^+ e^- \to e^+ e^- K^\pm K^0_S \pi^\mp$
1.6±0.7±0.3		[8,10] GIDAL	87B	MRK2	$e^+ e^- \to e^+ e^- K \overline{K} \pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<8.0	95	JENNI	83	MRK2	$e^+ e^- \to e^+ e^- K \overline{K} \pi$

[8] Assume a ρ-pole form factor.
[9] A ϕ - pole form factor gives considerably smaller widths.
[10] Published value divided by 2.

$f_1(1420)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)$ — Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.76 ± 0.06	BROMBERG	80	SPEC	$100\ \pi^- p \to K\overline{K}\pi X$
0.86 ± 0.12	DIONISI	80	HBC	$4\ \pi^- p \to K\overline{K}\pi n$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi\rho)/\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)$ — Γ_5/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.3	95	CORDEN	78	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p$
<2.0		DAHL	67	HBC	1.6–4.2 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)$ — Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.1	95	ARMSTRONG	91B	OMEG	$300\ pp \to pp\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.35 ± 0.75		KOPKE	89	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \omega\eta\pi\pi(K\overline{K}\pi)$
<0.6	90	GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
<0.5	95	CORDEN	78	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p$
$1.5\ \pm0.8$		DEFOIX	72	HBC	$0.7\ \overline{p}p$

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$ — Γ_4/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>0.1	90	PROKOSHKIN	97B	GAM4	$100\ \pi^- p \to \eta\pi^0\pi^0 n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
not seen in either mode		ANDO	86	SPEC	$8\ \pi^- p$
not seen in either mode		CORDEN	78	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p$
0.4 ± 0.2		DEFOIX	72	HBC	$0.7\ \overline{p}p \to 7\pi$

$\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$ — Γ_6/Γ_2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.90	95	DIONISI	80	HBC	$4\ \pi^- p$

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)/[\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})+\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)]$ — $\Gamma_1/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.65 ± 0.27	[11] DIONISI	80	HBC	$4\ \pi^- p$

[11] Calculated using $\Gamma(K\overline{K})/\Gamma(\eta\pi) = 0.24 \pm 0.07$ for $a_0(980)$ fractions.

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$ — Γ_4/Γ_2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.04 ±0.01 ±0.01		BARBERIS	98C	OMEG	$450\ pp \to p_f f_1(1420) p_s$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.04	68	ARMSTRONG	84	OMEG	$85\ \pi^+ p$

$\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)$ Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.62	95	ARMSTRONG 89G	OMEG	85 $\pi p \to 4\pi X$

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.08	95	[12] ARMSTRONG 92C	SPEC	300 $pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

[12] Using the data on the $\overline{K}K\pi$ mode from ARMSTRONG 89.

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)/\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.02	95	BARBERIS 98C	OMEG	450 $pp \to p_f f_1(1420) p_s$

$\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)$ Γ_8/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.003 ±0.001 ±0.001	BARBERIS 98C	OMEG	450 $pp \to p_f f_1(1420) p_s$

$f_1(1420)$ REFERENCES

SOSA	99	PRL 83 913	M. Sosa et al.	
BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis et al.	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	97C	PL B413 225	D. Barberis et al.	(WA 102 Collab.)
BERTIN	97	PL B400 226	A. Bertin et al.	(OBELIX Collab.)
PROKOSHKIN	97B	SPD 42 298	Yu.D. Prokoshkin, S.A. Sadovsky	
		Translated from DANS 354 751.		
ARMSTRONG	92C	ZPHY C54 371	T.A. Armstrong et al.	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
ARMSTRONG	92E	ZPHY 56 29	T.A. Armstrong et al.	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+) JPC
AUGUSTIN	92	PR D46 1951	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong et al.	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BAI	90C	PRL 65 2507	Z. Bai et al.	(Mark III Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	89	PL B221 216	T.A. Armstrong et al.	(CERN, CDEF, BIRM+) JPC
ARMSTRONG	89G	ZPHY C43 55	T.A. Armstrong et al.	(CERN, BIRM, BARI+)
BEHREND	89	ZPHY C42 367	H.J. Behrend et al.	(CELLO Collab.)
HILL	89	ZPHY C42 355	P. Hill et al.	(JADE Collab.) JP
KOPKE	89	PRPL 174 67	L. Kopke et al.	(CERN)
AIHARA	88B	PL B209 107	H. Aihara et al.	(TPC-2γ Collab.)
BECKER	87	PRL 59 186	J.J. Becker et al.	(Mark III Collab.) JP
GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal et al.	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)
GIDAL	87B	PRL 59 2016	G. Gidal et al.	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)
AIHARA	86C	PRL 57 2500	H. Aihara et al.	(TPC-2γ Collab.) JP
ANDO	86	PRL 57 1296	A. Ando et al.	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+)
ARMSTRONG	84	PL 146B 273	T.A. Armstrong et al.	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+) JP
BITYUKOV	84	SJNP 39 735	S. Bityukov et al.	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 39 1165.		
CHAUVAT	84	PL 148B 382	P. Chauvat et al.	(CERN, CLER, UCLA+)
JENNI	83	PR D27 1031	P. Jenni et al.	(SLAC, LBL)
BROMBERG	80	PR D22 1513	C.M. Bromberg et al.	(CIT, FNAL, ILLC+)
DIONISI	80	NP B169 1	C. Dionisi et al.	(CERN, MADR, CDEF+) IJP
CORDEN	78	NP B144 253	M.J. Corden et al.	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+)
DEFOIX	72	NP B44 125	C. Defoix et al.	(CDEF, CERN)
DAHL	67	PR 163 1377	O.I. Dahl et al.	(LRL) IJP
Also	65	PRL 14 1074	D.H. Miller et al.	(LRL, UCB)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

GODFREY	99	RMP 71 1411	S. Godfrey, J. Napolitano	
PROKOSHKIN	99	PAN 62 356	Yu.D. Prokoshkin *et al.*	
		Translated from YAF 62 396.		
IIZUKA	91	PTP 86 885	J. Iizuka, H. Koibuchi	(NAGO)
ISHIDA	89	PTP 82 119	S. Ishida *et al.*	(NIHO)
AIHARA	88C	PR D38 1	H. Aihara *et al.*	(TPC-2γ Collab.) JPC
BITYUKOV	88	PL B203 327	S.I. Bityukov *et al.*	(SERP)
PROTOPOP...	87B	Hadron 87 Conf.	S.D. Protopopescu, S.U. Chung	(BNL)