$N(1440)$ P_{11}

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ Status: ****

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

$N(1440)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1430 to 1470 (≈ 1440) OUR ESTIMATE				
1462±10	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1440±30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1410±12	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1479±80	VRANA	00		Multichannel
1463± 7	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1467	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1421±18	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$
1465	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1471	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1411	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1472	[1] BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$
1417	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1460	BERENDS	77	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1380	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1390	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1440)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
250 to 450 (≈ 350) OUR ESTIMATE				
391± 34	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
545±170	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
340± 70	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
135± 10	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
490±120	VRANA	00		Multichannel
360± 20	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
440	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
250± 63	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$
315	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
334	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
113	[1] BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$
331	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
279	BERENDS	77	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
200	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
200	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

N(1440) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1345 to 1385 (≈ 1365) OUR ESTIMATE				
1346	[4] ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1385	[5] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1370	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1375 ± 30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1383	VRANA	00		Multichannel
1360	[6] ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
1381 or 1379	[7] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1360 or 1333	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
160 to 260 (≈ 210) OUR ESTIMATE				
176	[4] ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
164	[5] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
228	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
180 ± 40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
316	VRANA	00		Multichannel
252	[6] ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
209 or 210	[7] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
167 or 234	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

N(1440) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
42	[4] ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
40	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
74	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
52 ± 5	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
109	[6] ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
−101	[4] ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
− 84	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
−100 ± 35	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
− 93	[6] ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

$N(1440)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	60–70 %
Γ_2	$N\eta$	
Γ_3	$N\pi\pi$	30–40 %
Γ_4	$\Delta\pi$	20–30 %
Γ_5	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave	
Γ_6	$N\rho$	<8 %
Γ_7	$N\rho$, S=1/2, P-wave	
Γ_8	$N\rho$, S=3/2, P-wave	
Γ_9	$N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$	5–10 %
Γ_{10}	$p\gamma$	0.035–0.048 %
Γ_{11}	$p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.035–0.048 %
Γ_{12}	$n\gamma$	0.009–0.032 %
Γ_{13}	$n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.009–0.032 %

$N(1440)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.6 to 0.7 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.69±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.68±0.04	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.51±0.05	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.72±0.05	VRANA	00		Multichannel
0.68	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
0.56±0.08	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1440) \to N\eta$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	[1] BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$
+0.328	[8] FELTESSE	75	DPWA	1488–1745 MeV

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase

ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)$ S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1440) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.37 to +0.41 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.39±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.41	[2,9] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.37	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1440) \rightarrow N\rho$, S=1/2, P-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
±0.07 to ±0.25 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.11	[2,9] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.23	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1440) \rightarrow N\rho$, S=3/2, P-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_8)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.18	[2,9] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1440) \rightarrow N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_9)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
±0.17 to ±0.25 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.24±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.18	[2,9] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
−0.23	[3] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$N(1440)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$N(1440) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.065 ±0.004 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.063 ±0.005	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.069 ±0.018	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.063 ±0.008	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.069 ±0.004	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.066 ±0.004	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
−0.079 ±0.009	BRATASHEV...	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.068 ±0.015	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.0584±0.0148	ISHII	80	DPWA	Compton scattering
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.085 ±0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.129	[10] WADA	84	DPWA	Compton scattering
−0.075 ±0.015	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.125	[11] NOELLE	78		$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.076	BERENDS	77	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.087 ±0.006	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(1440) \rightarrow n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.040±0.010 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.045±0.015	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.037±0.010	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.030±0.003	FUJII	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.023±0.009	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.019±0.012	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.056±0.015	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.029±0.035	TAKEDA	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.085±0.006	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.059±0.016	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.062	[11] NOELLE	78		$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

N(1440) FOOTNOTES

[1] BAKER 79 finds a coupling of the $N(1440)$ to the $N\eta$ channel near (but slightly below) threshold.

[2] LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[3] From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[4] ARNDT 95 also finds a second-sheet pole with real part = 1383 MeV, −2×imaginary part = 210 MeV, and residue with modulus 92 MeV and phase = -54°.

[5] See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[6] ARNDT 91 (Soln SM90) also finds a second-sheet pole with real part = 1413 MeV, −2 × imaginary part = 256 MeV, and residue = $(78-153i)$ MeV.

[7] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

[8] An alternative which cannot be distinguished from this is to have a P_{13} resonance with M = 1530 MeV, Γ = 79 MeV, and elasticity = +0.271.

[9] LONGACRE 77 considers this coupling to be well determined.

[10] WADA 84 is inconsistent with other analyses; see the Note on N and Δ Resonances.

[11] Converted to our conventions using M = 1486 MeV, Γ = 613 MeV from NOELLE 78.

N(1440) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee		
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)	
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(VPI, BRCO)	
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	M. Batinic *et al.*	(BOSK, UCLA)	
Also	98	PR C57 1004 (erratum)	M. Batinic *et al.*		
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)	
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li *et al.*	(VPI)	
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT)	IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	D.M. Manley *et al.*	(VPI)	
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(VPI, TELE)	IJP
CUTKOSKY	90	PR D42 235	R.E. Cutkosky, S. Wang	(CMU)	
WADA	84	NP B247 313	Y. Wada *et al.*	(INUS)	
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)	
PDG	82	PL 111B	M. Roos *et al.*	(HELS, CIT, CERN)	
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)	
Also	82	NP B197 365	K. Fujii *et al.*	(NAGO)	
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	K. Fujii *et al.*	(NAGO, OSAK)	
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93	I. Arai	(INUS)	
Also	82	NP B194 251	I. Arai, H. Fujii	(INUS)	
BRATASHEV...	80	NP B166 525	A.S. Bratashevsky *et al.*	(KFTI)	
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)	
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
ISHII	80	NP B165 189	T. Ishii *et al.*	(KYOT, INUS)	
TAKEDA	80	NP B168 17	H. Takeda *et al.*	(TOKY, INUS)	
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	R.D. Baker *et al.*	(RHEL)	IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler *et al.*	(KARLT)	IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT)	IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	I.M. Barbour, R.L. Crawford, N.H. Parsons	(GLAS)	
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	
NOELLE	78	PTP 60 778	P. Noelle	(NAGO)	
BERENDS	77	NP B136 317	F.A. Berends, A. Donnachie	(LEID, MCHS)	IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	R.S. Longacre, J. Dolbeau	(SACL)	IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	J. Dolbeau *et al.*	(SACL)	IJP
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	P. Feller *et al.*	(NAGO, OSAK)	IJP
FELTESSE	75	NP B93 242	J. Feltesse *et al.*	(SACL)	IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	IJP