



$$J = \frac{1}{2}$$

μ MASS

The mass is known much more precisely in u (atomic mass units) than in MeV. The conversion from u to MeV, $1 \text{ u} = 931.494013 \pm 0.000037 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ (MOHR 99, the 1998 CODATA value), involves the relatively poorly known electronic charge.

Where m_{μ}/m_e was measured, we have used the 1986 CODATA value for $m_e = 0.51099906 \pm 0.00000015 \text{ MeV}$.

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
105.6583568 ± 0.0000052	¹ MOHR	99	RVUE	1998 CODATA value
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
105.658389 ± 0.000034	² COHEN	87	RVUE	1986 CODATA value
105.658386 ± 0.000044	³ MARIAM	82	CNTR +	
105.65836 ± 0.00026	⁴ CROWE	72	CNTR	
105.65865 ± 0.00044	⁵ CRANE	71	CNTR	

¹ The mass is known much more precisely in u: $0.1134289168(34) \text{ u}$.

² The mass is known more precisely in u: $m = 0.113428913 \pm 0.000000017 \text{ u}$. COHEN 87 makes use of the other entries below.

³ MARIAM 82 gives $m_{\mu}/m_e = 206.768259(62)$.

⁴ CROWE 72 gives $m_{\mu}/m_e = 206.7682(5)$.

⁵ CRANE 71 gives $m_{\mu}/m_e = 206.76878(85)$.

μ MEAN LIFE τ

Measurements with an error $> 0.001 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}$ have been omitted.

<u>VALUE (10^{-6} s)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>
2.19703 ± 0.00004 OUR AVERAGE			
2.197078 ± 0.000073	BARDIN	84	CNTR +
2.197025 ± 0.000155	BARDIN	84	CNTR -
2.19695 ± 0.00006	GIOVANETTI	84	CNTR +
2.19711 ± 0.00008	BALANDIN	74	CNTR +
2.1973 ± 0.0003	DUCLOS	73	CNTR +

τ_{μ⁺}/τ_{μ⁻} MEAN LIFE RATIO

A test of *CPT* invariance.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.000024 ± 0.000078	BARDIN	84	CNTR
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1.0008 ± 0.0010	BAILEY	79	CNTR Storage ring
1.000 ± 0.001	MEYER	63	CNTR Mean life μ^+ / μ^-

$$(\tau_{\mu^+} - \tau_{\mu^-}) / \tau_{\text{average}}$$

A test of *CPT* invariance. Calculated from the mean-life ratio, above.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
$(2 \pm 8) \times 10^{-5}$	OUR EVALUATION

μ MAGNETIC MOMENT ANOMALY

The CODATA value (MOHR 99) comes from the current theoretical expression, based on the Standard Model and implicitly assuming that corrections beyond the Standard Model are negligible at the level of the quoted uncertainty. See reviews HUGHES 99 and FARLEY 90.

$$\mu_{\mu}/(e\hbar/2m_{\mu})-1 = (g_{\mu}-2)/2$$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1165.9160 \pm 0.0006	OUR EVALUATION	From MOHR 99 (theoretical)		
1165.923 \pm 0.008	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
1165.925 \pm 0.015	⁶ CAREY	99	CNTR +	Storage ring
1165.910 \pm 0.011	⁷ BAILEY	79	CNTR +	Storage ring
1165.936 \pm 0.012	⁷ BAILEY	79	CNTR -	Storage ring
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1165.91602 \pm 0.00064	MOHR	99	RVUE	1998 CODATA value
1165.9230 \pm 0.0084	COHEN	87	RVUE	1986 CODATA value
1162.0 \pm 5.0	CHARPAK	62	CNTR +	

⁶ CAREY 99 measure ratio *R* to the free proton Larmor precession frequency, and then convert this to the magnetic moment anomaly using $\mu_{\mu}/\mu_p = 3.18334547(47)$ (COHEN 87).

⁷ BAILEY 79 values recalculated by HUGHES 99 using the COHEN 87 μ/p magnetic moment. The improved MOHR 99 value does not change the result.

$$(g_{\mu^+} - g_{\mu^-}) / g_{\text{average}}$$

A test of *CPT* invariance.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-8})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
-2.6 ± 1.6	BAILEY 79

μ/p MAGNETIC MOMENT RATIO

This ratio is used to obtain a precise value of the muon mass and to reduce experimental muon Larmor frequency measurements to the muon magnetic moment anomaly. Measurements with an error > 0.00001 have been omitted.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.18334539 \pm 0.00000010	⁸ MOHR	99	RVUE	1998 CODATA value

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.18334513 ± 0.00000039	LIU	99	CNTR	+	HFS in muonium
3.18334547 ± 0.00000047	⁸ COHEN	87	RVUE		1986 CODATA value
3.1833441 ± 0.0000017	KLEMPPT	82	CNTR	+	Precession strob
3.1833461 ± 0.0000011	MARIAM	82	CNTR	+	HFS splitting
3.1833448 ± 0.0000029	CAMANI	78	CNTR	+	See KLEMPPT 82
3.1833403 ± 0.0000044	CASPERSON	77	CNTR	+	HFS splitting
3.1833402 ± 0.0000072	COHEN	73	RVUE		1973 CODATA value
3.1833467 ± 0.0000082	CROWE	72	CNTR	+	Precession phase

⁸ CODATA values fitted using their selection of data, plus other data from multiparameter fits.

μ ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT

A nonzero value is forbidden by both T invariance and P invariance.

VALUE (10^{-19} ecm)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
3.7 ± 3.4	⁹ BAILEY	78	CNTR ±	Storage ring

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

8.6 ± 4.5	BAILEY	78	CNTR	+	Storage rings
0.8 ± 4.3	BAILEY	78	CNTR	−	Storage rings

⁹ This is the combination of the two BAILEY 78 results given below.

μ^- DECAY MODES

μ^+ modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$	$\approx 100\%$	
Γ_2 $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$	[a] $(1.4 \pm 0.4)\%$	
Γ_3 $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu e^+ e^-$	[b] $(3.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$	

Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes

Γ_4 $e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu$	LF	[c] < 1.2	%	90%
Γ_5 $e^- \gamma$	LF	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-11}$	90%
Γ_6 $e^- e^+ e^-$	LF	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-12}$	90%
Γ_7 $e^- 2\gamma$	LF	< 7.2	$\times 10^{-11}$	90%

[a] This only includes events with the γ energy > 10 MeV. Since the $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$ and $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$ modes cannot be clearly separated, we regard the latter mode as a subset of the former.

[b] See the Particle Listings below for the energy limits used in this measurement.

[c] A test of additive vs. multiplicative lepton family number conservation.

μ^- BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2 / Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.014 ± 0.004		CRITTENDEN 61	CNTR	γ KE > 10 MeV
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
	862	BOGART 67	CNTR	γ KE > 14.5 MeV
0.0033 ± 0.0013		CRITTENDEN 61	CNTR	γ KE > 20 MeV
	27	ASHKIN 59	CNTR	

$\Gamma(e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3 / Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻⁵)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.4 ± 0.2 ± 0.3	7443	¹⁰ BERTL 85	SPEC	+	SINDRUM
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
2.2 ± 1.5	7	¹¹ CRITTENDEN 61	HLBC	+	$E(e^+ e^-) > 10$ MeV
2	1	¹² GUREVICH 60	EMUL	+	
1.5 ± 1.0	3	¹³ LEE 59	HBC	+	

¹⁰BERTL 85 has transverse momentum cut $p_T > 17$ MeV/c. Systematic error was increased by us.

¹¹CRITTENDEN 61 count only those decays where total energy of either (e^+ , e^-) combination is >10 MeV.

¹²GUREVICH 60 interpret their event as either virtual or real photon conversion. e^+ and e^- energies not measured.

¹³In the three LEE 59 events, the sum of energies $E(e^+) + E(e^-) + E(e^+)$ was 51 MeV, 55 MeV, and 33 MeV.

$\Gamma(e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4 / Γ

Forbidden by the additive conservation law for lepton family number. A multiplicative law predicts this branching ratio to be 1/2. For a review see NEMETHY 81.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 0.012	90	¹⁴ FREEDMAN 93	CNTR	+	ν oscillation search
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
< 0.018	90	KRAKAUER 91B	CALO	+	
< 0.05	90	¹⁵ BERGSMA 83	CALO		$\bar{\nu}_\mu e \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_e$
< 0.09	90	JONKER 80	CALO		See BERGSMA 83
-0.001 ± 0.061		WILLIS 80	CNTR	+	
0.13 ± 0.15		BLIETSCHAU 78	HLBC	±	Avg. of 4 values
< 0.25	90	EICHTEN 73	HLBC	+	

¹⁴FREEDMAN 93 limit on $\bar{\nu}_e$ observation is here interpreted as a limit on lepton family number violation.

¹⁵BERGSMA 83 gives a limit on the inverse muon decay cross-section ratio $\sigma(\bar{\nu}_\mu e^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_e) / \sigma(\nu_\mu e^- \rightarrow \mu^- \nu_e)$, which is essentially equivalent to $\Gamma(e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ for small values like that quoted.

$\Gamma(e^- \gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5 / Γ

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-11})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 1.2	90	BROOKS	99	SPEC	+ LAMPF
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
< 4.9	90	BOLTON	88	CBOX	+ LAMPF
<100	90	AZUELOS	83	CNTR	+ TRIUMF
< 17	90	KINNISON	82	SPEC	+ LAMPF
<100	90	SCHAAF	80	ELEC	+ SIN

$\Gamma(e^- e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6 / Γ

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-12})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 1.0	90	¹⁶ BELLGARDT	88	SPEC	+ SINDRUM
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
< 36	90	BARANOV	91	SPEC	+ ARES
< 35	90	BOLTON	88	CBOX	+ LAMPF
< 2.4	90	¹⁶ BERTL	85	SPEC	+ SINDRUM
<160	90	¹⁶ BERTL	84	SPEC	+ SINDRUM
<130	90	¹⁶ BOLTON	84	CNTR	LAMPF

¹⁶ These experiments assume a constant matrix element.

$\Gamma(e^- 2\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7 / Γ

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-11})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 7.2	90	BOLTON	88	CBOX	+ LAMPF
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
< 840	90	¹⁷ AZUELOS	83	CNTR	+ TRIUMF
<5000	90	¹⁸ BOWMAN	78	CNTR	DEPOMMIER 77 data

¹⁷ AZUELOS 83 uses the phase space distribution of BOWMAN 78.

¹⁸ BOWMAN 78 assumes an interaction Lagrangian local on the scale of the inverse μ mass.

LIMIT ON $\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$ CONVERSION

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

$\sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow e^- {}^{32}\text{S}) / \sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow \nu_\mu {}^{32}\text{P}^*)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 7×10^{-11}	90	BADERT...	80	STRC SIN
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
< 4×10^{-10}	90	BADERT...	77	STRC SIN

$\sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow e^- \text{Cu}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
< 1.6×10^{-8}	90	BRYMAN	72 SPEC

$\sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow e^- \text{Ti}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<4.3 \times 10^{-12}$	90	¹⁹ DOHMEN	93 SPEC	SINDRUM II
$<4.6 \times 10^{-12}$	90	AHMAD	88 TPC	TRIUMF
$<1.6 \times 10^{-11}$	90	BRYMAN	85 TPC	TRIUMF

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹⁹DOHMEN 93 assumes $\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$ conversion leaves the nucleus in its ground state, a process enhanced by coherence and expected to dominate.

$\sigma(\mu^- \text{Pb} \rightarrow e^- \text{Pb}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Pb} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<4.6 \times 10^{-11}$	90	HONECKER	96 SPEC	SINDRUM II
$<4.9 \times 10^{-10}$	90	AHMAD	88 TPC	TRIUMF

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

LIMIT ON $\mu^- \rightarrow e^+$ CONVERSION

Forbidden by total lepton number conservation.

$\sigma(\mu^- \text{}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow e^+ \text{}^{32}\text{Si}^*) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow \nu_\mu \text{}^{32}\text{P}^*)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<9 \times 10^{-10}$	90	BADERT...	80 STRC	SIN
$<1.5 \times 10^{-9}$	90	BADERT...	78 STRC	SIN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$\sigma(\mu^- \text{}^{127}\text{I} \rightarrow e^+ \text{}^{127}\text{Sb}^*) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{}^{127}\text{I} \rightarrow \text{anything})$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<3 \times 10^{-10}$	90	²⁰ ABELA	80 CNTR	Radiochemical tech.

²⁰ABELA 80 is upper limit for $\mu^- e^+$ conversion leading to particle-stable states of ¹²⁷Sb. Limit for total conversion rate is higher by a factor less than 4 (G. Backenstoss, private communication).

$\sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow e^+ \text{Co}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow \nu_\mu \text{Ni})$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
$<2.6 \times 10^{-8}$	90	BRYMAN	72 SPEC
$<2.2 \times 10^{-7}$	90	CONFORTO	62 OSPK

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$\sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow e^+ \text{Ca}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{capture})$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<3.6 \times 10^{-11}$	90	1 ^{21,22}	KAULARD	98 SPEC	—	SINDRUM II
$<1.7 \times 10^{-12}$	90	1 ^{22,23}	KAULARD	98 SPEC	—	SINDRUM II
$<4.3 \times 10^{-12}$	90	²³	DOHMEN	93 SPEC		SINDRUM II
$<8.9 \times 10^{-11}$	90	²¹	DOHMEN	93 SPEC		SINDRUM II
$<1.7 \times 10^{-10}$	90	²⁴	AHMAD	88 TPC		TRIUMF

²¹This limit assumes a giant resonance excitation of the daughter Ca nucleus (mean energy and width both 20 MeV).

²²KAULARD 98 obtained these same limits using the unified classical analysis of FELDMAN 98.

²³This limit assumes the daughter Ca nucleus is left in the ground state. However, the probability of this is unknown.

²⁴Assuming a giant-resonance-excitation model.

LIMIT ON MUONIUM \rightarrow ANTIMUONIUM CONVERSION

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

$$R_g = G_C / G_F$$

The effective Lagrangian for the $\mu^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^- e^+$ conversion is assumed to be

$$\mathcal{L} = 2^{-1/2} G_C [\bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_e] [\bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_e] + \text{h.c.}$$

The experimental result is then an upper limit on G_C/G_F , where G_F is the Fermi coupling constant.

VALUE	CL%	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
< 0.0030	90	1	²⁵ WILLMANN 99	SPEC	+	μ^+ at 26 GeV/c
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●						
< 0.14	90	1	²⁶ GORDEEV 97	SPEC	+	JINR phasotron
< 0.018	90	0	²⁷ ABELA 96	SPEC	+	μ^+ at 24 MeV
< 6.9	90		NI 93	CBOX		LAMPF
< 0.16	90		MATTHIAS 91	SPEC		LAMPF
< 0.29	90		HUBER 90B	CNTR		TRIUMF
< 20	95		BEER 86	CNTR		TRIUMF
< 42	95		MARSHALL 82	CNTR		

²⁵WILLMANN 99 quote both probability $P_{M\bar{M}} < 8.3 \times 10^{-11}$ at 90%CL in a 0.1 T field and $R_g = G_C/G_F$.

²⁶GORDEEV 97 quote limits on both $f = G_{MM}/G_F$ and the probability $W_{MM} < 4.7 \times 10^{-7}$ (90%CL).

²⁷ABELA 96 quote both probability $P_{M\bar{M}} < 8 \times 10^{-9}$ at 90% CL and $R_g = G_C/G_F$.

MUON DECAY PARAMETERS

Revised October 1997 by W. Fetscher and H.-J. Gerber (ETH Zürich).

Introduction: All measurements in direct muon decay, $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- + 2$ neutrals, and its inverse, $\nu_\mu + e^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \text{neutral}$, are successfully described by the “*V-A* interaction”, which is a particular case of a local, derivative-free, lepton-number-conserving, four fermion interaction [1]. As shown below, within this framework, the Standard Model assumptions, such as the *V-A* form and the nature of the neutrals (ν_μ and $\bar{\nu}_e$), and hence the doublet assignments $(\nu_e e^-)_L$ and $(\nu_\mu \mu^-)_L$, have been determined from experiments [2,3]. All considerations on muon decay are valid for the leptonic tau decays $\tau \rightarrow \ell + \nu_\tau + \bar{\nu}_e$ with the replacements $m_\mu \rightarrow m_\tau$, $m_e \rightarrow m_\ell$.

Parameters: The differential decay probability to obtain an e^\pm with (reduced) energy between x and $x + dx$, emitted in the direction \hat{z} at an angle between ϑ and $\vartheta + d\vartheta$ with respect to the muon polarization vector \vec{P}_μ , and with its spin pointing in the arbitrary direction $\hat{\zeta}$, neglecting radiative corrections, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\Gamma}{dx d\cos\vartheta} &= \frac{m_\mu}{4\pi^3} W_{e\mu}^4 G_F^2 \sqrt{x^2 - x_0^2} \\ &\times (F_{IS}(x) \pm P_\mu \cos\vartheta F_{AS}(x)) \\ &\times \left[1 + \vec{P}_e(x, \vartheta) \cdot \hat{\zeta} \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here, $W_{e\mu} = \max(E_e) = (m_\mu^2 + m_e^2)/2m_\mu$ is the maximum e^\pm energy, $x = E_e/W_{e\mu}$ is the reduced energy, $x_0 = m_e/W_{e\mu} = 9.67 \times 10^{-3}$, and $P_\mu = |\vec{P}_\mu|$ is the degree of muon polarization. $\hat{\zeta}$ is the direction in which a perfect polarization-sensitive electron detector is most sensitive. The isotropic part of the

spectrum, $F_{IS}(x)$, the anisotropic part $F_{AS}(x)$ and the electron polarization, $\vec{P}_e(x, \vartheta)$, may be parametrized by the Michel parameters [1,4] ρ, η, ξ, δ , *etc.* These are bilinear combinations of the coupling constants $g_{\varepsilon\mu}^\gamma$, which occur in the matrix element (given below).

If the masses of the neutrinos as well as x_0^2 are neglected, the energy and angular distribution of the electron in the rest frame of a muon (μ^\pm) measured by a polarization insensitive detector, is given by

$$\frac{d^2\Gamma}{dx d\cos\vartheta} \sim x^2 \cdot \left\{ 3(1-x) + \frac{2\rho}{3}(4x-3) + 3\eta x_0(1-x)/x \pm P_\mu \cdot \xi \cdot \cos\vartheta \left[1-x + \frac{2\delta}{3}(4x-3) \right] \right\} . \quad (2)$$

Here, ϑ is the angle between the electron momentum and the muon spin, and $x \equiv 2E_e/m_\mu$. For the Standard Model coupling, we obtain $\rho = \xi\delta = 3/4$, $\xi = 1$, $\eta = 0$ and the differential decay rate is

$$\frac{d^2\Gamma}{dx d\cos\vartheta} = \frac{G_F^2 m_\mu^5}{192\pi^3} [3 - 2x \pm P_\mu \cos\vartheta(2x - 1)] x^2 . \quad (3)$$

The coefficient in front of the square bracket is the total decay rate.

If only the neutrino masses are neglected, and if the e^\pm polarization is detected, then the functions in Eq. (1) become

$$\begin{aligned} F_{IS}(x) &= x(1-x) + \frac{2}{9} \rho(4x^2 - 3x - x_0^2) + \eta \cdot x_0(1-x) \\ F_{AS}(x) &= \frac{1}{3}\xi \sqrt{x^2 - x_0^2} \\ &\quad \times \left[1-x + \frac{2}{3}\delta \left(4x-3 + \left(\sqrt{1-x_0^2} - 1 \right) \right) \right] \\ \vec{P}_e(x, \vartheta) &= P_{T_1} \hat{x} + P_{T_2} \hat{y} + P_L \hat{z} . \end{aligned}$$

Here \hat{x} , \hat{y} , and \hat{z} are orthogonal unit vectors defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{z} & \text{ is along the } e \text{ momentum} \\ \hat{y} = [\hat{z} \times \vec{P}_\mu] / |[\hat{z} \times \vec{P}_\mu]| & \text{ is transverse to the } e \text{ momentum and} \\ & \text{perpendicular to the "decay plane"} \\ \hat{x} = \hat{y} \times \hat{z} & \text{ is transverse to the } e \text{ momentum and} \\ & \text{in the "decay plane."} \end{aligned}$$

The components of \vec{P}_e then are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{T_1}(x, \vartheta) &= P_\mu \sin \vartheta F_{T_1}(x) / (F_{IS}(x) \pm P_\mu \cos \vartheta F_{AS}(x)) \\ P_{T_2}(x, \vartheta) &= P_\mu \sin \vartheta F_{T_2}(x) / (F_{IS}(x) \pm P_\mu \cos \vartheta F_{AS}(x)) \\ P_L(x, \vartheta) &= \pm F_{IP}(x) + P_\mu \cos \vartheta \\ & \quad \times F_{AP}(x) / (F_{IS}(x) \pm P_\mu \cos \vartheta F_{AS}(x)) , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{T_1}(x) &= \frac{1}{12} \left\{ -2 \left[\xi'' + 12 \left(\rho - \frac{3}{4} \right) \right] (1-x)x_0 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 3\eta(x^2 - x_0^2) + \eta''(-3x^2 + 4x - x_0^2) \right\} \\ F_{T_2}(x) &= \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{x^2 - x_0^2} \left\{ 3 \frac{\alpha'}{A} (1-x) + 2 \frac{\beta'}{A} \sqrt{1-x_0^2} \right\} \\ F_{IP}(x) &= \frac{1}{54} \sqrt{x^2 - x_0^2} \left\{ 9\xi' \left(-2x + 2 + \sqrt{1-x_0^2} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 4\xi \left(\delta - \frac{3}{4} \right) (4x - 4 + \sqrt{1-x_0^2}) \right\} \\ F_{AP}(x) &= \frac{1}{6} \left\{ \xi''(2x^2 - x - x_0^2) + 4 \left(\rho - \frac{3}{4} \right) (4x^2 - 3x - x_0^2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2\eta''(1-x)x_0 \right\} . \end{aligned}$$

For the experimental values of the parameters ρ , ξ , ξ' , ξ'' , δ , η , η' , α/A , β/A , α'/A , β'/A , which are not all independent, see the Data Listings below. Experiments in the past have also

been analyzed using the parameters $a, b, c, a', b', c', \alpha/A, \beta/A, \alpha'/A, \beta'/A$ (and $\eta = (\alpha - 2\beta)/2A$), as defined by Kinoshita and Sirlin [5]. They serve as a model-independent summary of all possible measurements on the decay electron (see Listings below). The relations between the two sets of parameters are

$$\begin{aligned} \rho - \frac{3}{4} &= \frac{3}{4}(-a + 2c)/A, \\ \eta &= (\alpha - 2\beta)/A, \\ \eta'' &= (3\alpha + 2\beta)/A, \\ \delta - \frac{3}{4} &= \frac{9}{4} \cdot \frac{(a' - 2c')/A}{1 - [a + 3a' + 4(b + b') + 6c - 14c']/A}, \\ 1 - \xi \frac{\delta}{\rho} &= 4 \frac{[(b + b') + 2(c - c')]/A}{1 - (a - 2c)/A}, \\ 1 - \xi' &= [(a + a') + 4(b + b') + 6(c + c')]/A, \\ 1 - \xi'' &= (-2a + 20c)/A, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = a + 4b + 6c.$$

The differential decay probability to obtain a *left-handed* ν_e with (reduced) energy between y and $y + dy$, neglecting radiative corrections as well as the masses of the electron and of the neutrinos, is given by [6]

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dy} = \frac{m_\mu^5 G_F^2}{16\pi^3} \cdot Q_L^{\nu_e} \cdot y^2 \left\{ (1 - y) - \omega_L \cdot \left(y - \frac{3}{4}\right) \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Here, $y = 2 E_{\nu_e}/m_\mu$. $Q_L^{\nu_e}$ and ω_L are parameters. ω_L is the neutrino analog of the spectral shape parameter ρ of Michel. Since in the Standard Model, $Q_L^{\nu_e} = 1$, $\omega_L = 0$, the measurement of $d\Gamma/dy$ has allowed a null-test of the Standard Model (see Listings below).

Matrix element: All results in direct muon decay (energy spectra of the electron and of the neutrinos, polarizations, and angular distributions) and in inverse muon decay (the reaction cross section) at energies well below $m_W c^2$ may be parametrized in terms of amplitudes $g_{\varepsilon\mu}^\gamma$ and the Fermi coupling constant G_F , using the matrix element

$$\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma=S,V,T \\ \varepsilon,\mu=R,L}} g_{\varepsilon\mu}^\gamma \langle \bar{e}_\varepsilon | \Gamma^\gamma | (\nu_e)_n \rangle \langle \bar{\nu}_\mu \rangle_m | \Gamma_\gamma | \mu_\mu \rangle. \quad (5)$$

We use the notation of Fetscher *et al.* [2], who in turn use the sign conventions and definitions of Scheck [7]. Here, $\gamma = S, V, T$ indicates a scalar, vector, or tensor interaction; and $\varepsilon, \mu = R, L$ indicate a right- or left-handed chirality of the electron or muon. The chiralities n and m of the ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ are then determined by the values of γ, ε and μ . The particles are represented by fields of definite chirality [8].

As shown by Langacker and London [9], explicit lepton-number nonconservation still leads to a matrix element equivalent to Eq. (5). They conclude that it is not possible, even in principle, to test lepton-number conservation in (leptonic) muon decay if the final neutrinos are massless and are not observed.

The ten complex amplitudes $g_{\varepsilon\mu}^\gamma$ (g_{RR}^T and g_{LL}^T are identically zero) and G_F constitute 19 independent (real) parameters to be determined by experiment. The Standard Model interaction corresponds to one single amplitude g_{LL}^V being unity and all the others being zero.

The (direct) muon decay experiments are compatible with an arbitrary mix of the scalar and vector amplitudes g_{LL}^S and g_{LL}^V – in the extreme even with purely scalar $g_{LL}^S = 2$, $g_{LL}^V = 0$. The decision in favour of the Standard Model comes from the

quantitative observation of inverse muon decay, which would be forbidden for pure g_{LL}^S [2].

Experimental determination of $V-A$: In order to determine the amplitudes $g_{\varepsilon\mu}^\gamma$ uniquely from experiment, the following set of equations, where the left-hand sides represent experimental results, has to be solved.

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= 16(|g_{RL}^V|^2 + |g_{LR}^V|^2) + |g_{RL}^S + 6g_{RL}^T|^2 + |g_{LR}^S + 6g_{LR}^T|^2 \\
 a' &= 16(|g_{RL}^V|^2 - |g_{LR}^V|^2) + |g_{RL}^S + 6g_{RL}^T|^2 - |g_{LR}^S + 6g_{LR}^T|^2 \\
 \alpha &= 8\text{Re} \left\{ g_{RL}^V(g_{LR}^{S*} + 6g_{LR}^{T*}) + g_{LR}^V(g_{RL}^{S*} + 6g_{RL}^{T*}) \right\} \\
 \alpha' &= 8\text{Im} \left\{ g_{LR}^V(g_{RL}^{S*} + 6g_{RL}^{T*}) - g_{RL}^V(g_{LR}^{S*} + 6g_{LR}^{T*}) \right\} \\
 b &= 4(|g_{RR}^V|^2 + |g_{LL}^V|^2) + |g_{RR}^S|^2 + |g_{LL}^S|^2 \\
 b' &= 4(|g_{RR}^V|^2 - |g_{LL}^V|^2) + |g_{RR}^S|^2 - |g_{LL}^S|^2 \\
 \beta &= -4\text{Re} \left\{ g_{RR}^V g_{LL}^{S*} + g_{LL}^V g_{RR}^{S*} \right\} \\
 \beta' &= 4\text{Im} \left\{ g_{RR}^V g_{LL}^{S*} - g_{LL}^V g_{RR}^{S*} \right\} \\
 c &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |g_{RL}^S - 2g_{RL}^T|^2 + |g_{LR}^S - 2g_{LR}^T|^2 \right\} \\
 c' &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |g_{RL}^S - 2g_{RL}^T|^2 - |g_{LR}^S - 2g_{LR}^T|^2 \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_L^{\nu_e} &= 1 - \left\{ \frac{1}{4}|g_{LR}^S|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|g_{LL}^S|^2 + |g_{RR}^V|^2 + |g_{RL}^V|^2 + 3|g_{LR}^T|^2 \right\} \\
 \omega_L &= \frac{3}{4} \frac{\{ |g_{RR}^S|^2 + 4|g_{LR}^V|^2 + |g_{RL}^S + 2g_{RL}^T|^2 \}}{|g_{RL}^S|^2 + |g_{RR}^S|^2 + 4|g_{LL}^V|^2 + 4|g_{LR}^V|^2 + 12|g_{RL}^T|^2} .
 \end{aligned}$$

It has been noted earlier by C. Jarlskog [10], that certain experiments observing the decay electron are especially informative if they yield the $V-A$ values. The complete solution is now found as follows. Fetscher *et al.* [2] introduced four probabilities

$Q_{\varepsilon\mu}(\varepsilon, \mu = R, L)$ for the decay of a μ -handed muon into an ε -handed electron and showed that there exist upper bounds on Q_{RR} , Q_{LR} , and Q_{RL} , and a lower bound on Q_{LL} . These probabilities are given in terms of the $g_{\varepsilon\mu}^\gamma$'s by

$$Q_{\varepsilon\mu} = \frac{1}{4}|g_{\varepsilon\mu}^S|^2 + |g_{\varepsilon\mu}^V|^2 + 3(1 - \delta_{\varepsilon\mu})|g_{\varepsilon\mu}^T|^2, \quad (6)$$

where $\delta_{\varepsilon\mu} = 1$ for $\varepsilon = \mu$, and $\delta_{\varepsilon\mu} = 0$ for $\varepsilon \neq \mu$. They are related to the parameters a , b , c , a' , b' , and c' by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{RR} &= 2(b + b')/A, \\ Q_{LR} &= [(a - a') + 6(c - c')]/2A, \\ Q_{RL} &= [(a + a') + 6(c + c')]/2A, \\ Q_{LL} &= 2(b - b')/A, \end{aligned}$$

with $A = 16$. In the Standard Model, $Q_{LL} = 1$ and the others are zero.

Since the upper bounds on Q_{RR} , Q_{LR} , and Q_{RL} are found to be small, and since the helicity of the ν_μ in pion decay is known from experiment [11,12] to very high precision to be -1 [13], the cross section S of *inverse* muon decay, normalized to the V - A value, yields [2]

$$|g_{LL}^S|^2 \leq 4(1 - S) \quad (7)$$

and

$$|g_{LL}^V|^2 = S. \quad (8)$$

Thus the Standard Model assumption of a pure V - A leptonic charged weak interaction of e and μ is derived (within errors) from experiments at energies far below mass of the W^\pm : Eq. (8) gives a lower limit for V - A , and Eqs. (6) and (7) give upper

limits for the other four-fermion interactions. The existence of such upper limits may also be seen from $Q_{RR}+Q_{RL} = (1-\xi')/2$ and $Q_{RR}+Q_{LR} = \frac{1}{2}(1+\xi/3-16 \xi\delta/9)$. Table 1 gives the current experimental limits on the magnitudes of the $g_{\epsilon\mu}^\gamma$'s.

Limits on the “charge retention” coordinates, as used in the older literature (*e.g.*, Ref. 16), are given by Burkard *et al.* [17].

Table 1. Coupling constants $g_{\epsilon\mu}^\gamma$. Ninety-percent confidence level experimental limits. The limits on $|g_{LL}^S|$ and $|g_{LL}^V|$ are from Ref. 14, and the others are from Ref. 15. The experimental uncertainty on the muon polarization in pion decay is included.

$ g_{RR}^S < 0.066$	$ g_{RR}^V < 0.033$	$ g_{RR}^T \equiv 0$
$ g_{LR}^S < 0.125$	$ g_{LR}^V < 0.060$	$ g_{LR}^T < 0.036$
$ g_{RL}^S < 0.424$	$ g_{RL}^V < 0.110$	$ g_{RL}^T < 0.122$
$ g_{LL}^S < 0.550$	$ g_{LL}^V > 0.960$	$ g_{LL}^T \equiv 0$

References

1. L. Michel, Proc. Phys. Soc. **A63**, 514 (1950).
2. W. Fetscher, H.-J. Gerber, and K.F. Johnson, Phys. Lett. **B173**, 102 (1986).
3. P. Langacker, Comm. Nucl. Part. Phys. **19**, 1 (1989).
4. C. Bouchiat and L. Michel, Phys. Rev. **106**, 170 (1957).
5. T. Kinoshita and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. **108**, 844 (1957).
6. W. Fetscher, Phys. Rev. **D49**, 5945 (1994).
7. F. Scheck, in *Electroweak and Strong Interactions* (Springer Verlag, 1996).
8. K. Mursula and F. Scheck, Nucl. Phys. **B253**, 189 (1985).
9. P. Langacker and D. London, Phys. Rev. **D39**, 266 (1989).
10. C. Jarlskog, Nucl. Phys. **75**, 659 (1966).
11. A. Jodidio *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D34**, 1967 (1986);
A. Jodidio *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D37**, 237 (1988).

12. L.Ph. Roesch *et al.*, Helv. Phys. Acta **55**, 74 (1982).
13. W. Fetscher, Phys. Lett. **140B**, 117 (1984).
14. S.R. Mishra *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B252**, 170 (1990);
S.R. Mishra, private communication;
See also P. Vilain *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B364**, 121 (1995).
15. B. Balke *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D37**, 587 (1988).
16. S.E. Derenzo, Phys. Rev. **181**, 1854 (1969).
17. H. Burkard *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **160B**, 343 (1985).

μ DECAY PARAMETERS

ρ PARAMETER

($V-A$) theory predicts $\rho = 0.75$.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.7518±0.0026		DERENZO	69	RVUE	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.762 ±0.008	170k	²⁸ FRYBERGER	68	ASPK +	25–53 MeV e^+
0.760 ±0.009	280k	²⁸ SHERWOOD	67	ASPK +	25–53 MeV e^+
0.7503±0.0026	800k	²⁸ PEOPLES	66	ASPK +	20–53 MeV e^+

²⁸ η constrained = 0. These values incorporated into a two parameter fit to ρ and η by DERENZO 69.

η PARAMETER

($V-A$) theory predicts $\eta = 0$.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
−0.007±0.013 OUR AVERAGE					

−0.007±0.013	5.3M	²⁹ BURKARD	85B	FIT +	9–53 MeV e^+
−0.12 ±0.21	6346	DERENZO	69	HBC +	1.6–6.8 MeV e^+

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

−0.012±0.015±0.003	5.3M	³⁰ BURKARD	85B	CNTR +	9–53 MeV e^+
0.011±0.081±0.026	5.3M	BURKARD	85B	CNTR +	9–53 MeV e^+
−0.7 ±0.5	170k	³¹ FRYBERGER	68	ASPK +	25–53 MeV e^+
−0.7 ±0.6	280k	³¹ SHERWOOD	67	ASPK +	25–53 MeV e^+
0.05 ±0.5	800k	³¹ PEOPLES	66	ASPK +	20–53 MeV e^+
−2.0 ±0.9	9213	³² PLANO	60	HBC +	Whole spectrum

²⁹Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

³⁰ $\alpha = \alpha' = 0$ assumed.

³¹ ρ constrained = 0.75.

³²Two parameter fit to ρ and η ; PLANO 60 discounts value for η .

δ PARAMETER

($V-A$) theory predicts $\delta = 0.75$.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.7486 ± 0.0026 ± 0.0028		³³ BALKE	88	SPEC +	Surface μ^+ 's
		³⁴ VOSSLER	69		
0.752 ± 0.009	490k	FRYBERGER	68	ASPK +	25–53 MeV e^+
0.782 ± 0.031		KRUGER	61		
0.78 ± 0.05	8354	PLANO	60	HBC +	Whole spec- trum

³³BALKE 88 uses $\rho = 0.752 \pm 0.003$.

³⁴VOSSLER 69 has measured the asymmetry below 10 MeV. See comments about radiative corrections in VOSSLER 69.

$|(\xi \text{ PARAMETER}) \times (\mu \text{ LONGITUDINAL POLARIZATION})|$

($V-A$) theory predicts $\xi = 1$, longitudinal polarization = 1.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.0027 ± 0.0079 ± 0.0030		BELTRAMI	87	CNTR	SIN, π decay in flight

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.0013 ± 0.0030 ± 0.0053		³⁵ IMAZATO	92	SPEC +	$K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
0.975 ± 0.015		AKHMANOV	68	EMUL	140 kG
0.975 ± 0.030	66k	GUREVICH	64	EMUL	See AKHMA- NOV 68
0.903 ± 0.027		³⁶ ALI-ZADE	61	EMUL +	27 kG
0.93 ± 0.06	8354	PLANO	60	HBC +	8.8 kG
0.97 ± 0.05	9k	BARDON	59	CNTR	Bromoform target

³⁵The corresponding 90% confidence limit from IMAZATO 92 is $|\xi P_\mu| > 0.990$. This measurement is of K^+ decay, not π^+ decay, so we do not include it in an average, nor do we yet set up a separate data block for K results.

³⁶Depolarization by medium not known sufficiently well.

$\xi \times (\mu \text{ LONGITUDINAL POLARIZATION}) \times \delta / \rho$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
>0.99682	90	³⁷ JODIDIO	86	SPEC +	TRIUMF

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.9966	90	³⁸ STOKER	85	SPEC +	μ -spin rotation
>0.9959	90	CARR	83	SPEC +	11 kG

³⁷JODIDIO 86 includes data from CARR 83 and STOKER 85. The value here is from the erratum.

³⁸STOKER 85 find $(\xi P_\mu \delta / \rho) > 0.9955$ and > 0.9966 , where the first limit is from new μ spin-rotation data and the second is from combination with CARR 83 data. In $V-A$ theory, $(\delta / \rho) = 1.0$.

ξ' = LONGITUDINAL POLARIZATION OF e^+

($V-A$) theory predicts the longitudinal polarization = ± 1 for e^\pm , respectively. We have flipped the sign for e^- so our programs can average.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.00 \pm 0.04	OUR AVERAGE				
0.998 \pm 0.045	1M	BURKARD	85	CNTR +	Bhabha + annihil
0.89 \pm 0.28	29k	SCHWARTZ	67	OSPK -	Moller scattering
0.94 \pm 0.38		BLOOM	64	CNTR +	Brems. transmiss.
1.04 \pm 0.18		DUCLOS	64	CNTR +	Bhabha scattering
1.05 \pm 0.30		BUHLER	63	CNTR +	Annihilation

ξ'' PARAMETER

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.65 \pm 0.36	326k	³⁹ BURKARD	85	CNTR +	Bhabha + annihil

³⁹BURKARD 85 measure $(\xi'' - \xi\xi')/\xi$ and ξ' and set $\xi = 1$.

TRANSVERSE e^+ POLARIZATION IN PLANE OF μ SPIN, e^+ MOMENTUM

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.016 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.01	5.3M	BURKARD	85B	CNTR +	Annihil 9-53 MeV

TRANSVERSE e^+ POLARIZATION NORMAL TO PLANE OF μ SPIN, e^+ MOMENTUM

Zero if T invariance holds.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.007 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.007	5.3M	BURKARD	85B	CNTR +	Annihil 9-53 MeV

α/A

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.4 \pm 4.3		⁴⁰ BURKARD	85B	FIT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

15 \pm 50 \pm 14	5.3M	BURKARD	85B	CNTR +	9-53 MeV e^+
----------------------	------	---------	-----	--------	----------------

⁴⁰Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

α'/A

Zero if T invariance holds.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
- 0.2 \pm 4.3		⁴¹ BURKARD	85B	FIT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

- 47 \pm 50 \pm 14	5.3M	⁴² BURKARD	85B	CNTR +	9-53 MeV e^+
------------------------	------	-----------------------	-----	--------	----------------

⁴¹Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

⁴²BURKARD 85B measure e^+ polarizations P_{T_1} and P_{T_2} versus e^+ energy.

β/A

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

3.9± 6.2		⁴³ BURKARD	85B	FIT	
-----------------	--	-----------------------	-----	-----	--

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2 ±17 ±6	5.3M	BURKARD	85B	CNTR +	9–53 MeV e^+
----------	------	---------	-----	--------	----------------

⁴³ Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

β'/A

Zero if T invariance holds.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

1.5± 6.3		⁴⁴ BURKARD	85B	FIT	
-----------------	--	-----------------------	-----	-----	--

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

17 ±17 ±6	5.3M	⁴⁵ BURKARD	85B	CNTR +	9–53 MeV e^+
-----------	------	-----------------------	-----	--------	----------------

⁴⁴ Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

⁴⁵ BURKARD 85B measure e^+ polarizations P_{T_1} and P_{T_2} versus e^+ energy.

a/A

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the “Note on Muon Decay Parameters” above).

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---	------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<15.9	90	⁴⁶ BURKARD	85B
-------	----	-----------------------	-----

⁴⁶ Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

a'/A

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the “Note on Muon Decay Parameters” above).

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.3±4.1	⁴⁷ BURKARD	85B
---------	-----------------------	-----

⁴⁷ Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

$(b'+b)/A$

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the “Note on Muon Decay Parameters” above).

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---	------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.04	90	⁴⁸ BURKARD	85B
-------	----	-----------------------	-----

⁴⁸ Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

c/A

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the "Note on Muon Decay Parameters" above).

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---	------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6.4	90	⁴⁹ BURKARD	85B FIT
------	----	-----------------------	---------

⁴⁹Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

c'/A

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the "Note on Muon Decay Parameters" above).

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.5 ± 2.0	⁵⁰ BURKARD	85B FIT
---------------	-----------------------	---------

⁵⁰Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

$\overline{\eta}$ PARAMETER

($V-A$) theory predicts $\overline{\eta} = 0$. $\overline{\eta}$ affects spectrum of radiative muon decay.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

0.02 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE

-0.014 ± 0.090	EICHENBER...	84	ELEC	+	ρ free
--------------------	--------------	----	------	---	-------------

$+0.09 \pm 0.14$	BOGART	67	CNTR	+	
------------------	--------	----	------	---	--

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.035 ± 0.098	EICHENBER...	84	ELEC	+	$\rho=0.75$ assumed
--------------------	--------------	----	------	---	---------------------

μ REFERENCES

BROOKS	99	PRL 83 1521	M.L. Brooks <i>et al.</i>	(MEGA/LAMPF Collab.)
CAREY	99	PRL 82 1632	R.M. Carey <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E821 Collab.)
HUGHES	99	RMP 71 S133	V.W. Hughes, T. Kinoshita	
LIU	99	PRL 82 711	W. Liu <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF Collab.)
MOHR	99	JPCRD 28 1713	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)
Also	00	RMP 72 351	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)
WILLMANN	99	PRL 82 49	L. Willmann <i>et al.</i>	
FELDMAN	98	PR D57 3873	G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins	
KAULARD	98	PL B422 334	J. Kaulard <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM-II Collab.)
GORDEEV	97	PAN 60 1164	V.A. Gordeev <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)
ABELA	96	PRL 77 1950	R. Abela <i>et al.</i>	(PSI, ZURI, HEIDH, TBIL+)
HONECKER	96	PRL 76 200	W. Honecker <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM II Collab.)
DOHMEN	93	PL B317 631	C. Dohmen <i>et al.</i>	(PSI SINDRUM-II Collab.)
FREEDMAN	93	PR D47 811	S.J. Freedman <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF E645 Collab.)
NI	93	PR D48 1976	B. Ni <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF Crystal-Box Collab.)
IMAZATO	92	PRL 69 877	J. Imazato <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, INUS, TOKY+)
BARANOV	91	SJNP 53 802	V.A. Baranov <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
		Translated from YAF 53 1302.		

KRAKAUER	91B	PL B263 534	D.A. Krakauer <i>et al.</i>	(UMD, UCI, LANL)
MATTHIAS	91	PRL 66 2716	B.E. Matthias <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, HEIDP, WILL+)
Also	91B	PRL 67 932 erratum	B.E. Matthias <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, HEIDP, WILL+)
FARLEY	90	Quantum Electrodynamics	F.J.M. Farley, E. Picasso	
Ed. by T. Kinoshita		(World Scientific, Singapore, 1999) p. 479		
HUBER	90B	PR D41 2709	T.M. Huber <i>et al.</i>	(WYOM, VICT, ARIZ+)
AHMAD	88	PR D38 2102	S. Ahmad <i>et al.</i>	(TRIU, VICT, VPI, BRCO+)
Also	87	PRL 59 970	S. Ahmad <i>et al.</i>	(TRIU, VPI, VICT, BRCO+)
BALKE	88	PR D37 587	B. Balke <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, UCB, COLO, NWES+)
BELLGARDT	88	NP B299 1	U. Bellgardt <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM Collab.)
BOLTON	88	PR D38 2077	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, STAN, CHIC+)
Also	86	PRL 56 2461	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, STAN, CHIC+)
Also	86	PRL 57 3241	D. Grosnick <i>et al.</i>	(CHIC, LANL, STAN+)
BELTRAMI	87	PL B194 326	I. Beltrami <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
BEER	86	PRL 57 671	G.A. Beer <i>et al.</i>	(VICT, TRIU, WYOM)
JODIDIO	86	PR D34 1967	A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
Also	88	PR D37 237 erratum	A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
BERTL	85	NP B260 1	W. Bertl <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM Collab.)
BRYMAN	85	PRL 55 465	D.A. Bryman <i>et al.</i>	(TRIU, CNRC, BRCO+)
BURKARD	85	PL 150B 242	H. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
BURKARD	85B	PL 160B 343	H. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
Also	81B	PR D24 2004	F. Corriveau <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
Also	83B	PL 129B 260	F. Corriveau <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
STOKER	85	PRL 54 1887	D.P. Stoker <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
BARDIN	84	PL 137B 135	G. Bardin <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, CERN, BGNA, FIRZ)
BERTL	84	PL 140B 299	W. Bertl <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM Collab.)
BOLTON	84	PRL 53 1415	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, CHIC, STAN+)
EICHENBER...	84	NP A412 523	W. Eichenberger, R. Engfer, A. van der Schaff	
GIOVANETTI	84	PR D29 343	K.L. Giovanetti <i>et al.</i>	(WILL)
AZUELOS	83	PRL 51 164	G. Azuelos <i>et al.</i>	(MONT, TRIU, BRCO)
Also	77	PRL 39 1113	P. Depommier <i>et al.</i>	(MONT, BRCO, TRIU+)
BERGSMA	83	PL 122B 465	F. Bergsma <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM Collab.)
CARR	83	PRL 51 627	J. Carr <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
KINNISON	82	PR D25 2846	W.W. Kinnison <i>et al.</i>	(EFI, STAN, LANL)
Also	79	PRL 42 556	J.D. Bowman <i>et al.</i>	(LASL, EFI, STAN)
KLEMPPT	82	PR D25 652	E. Klemppt <i>et al.</i>	(MANZ, ETH)
MARIAM	82	PRL 49 993	F.G. Mariam <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, HEIDH, BERN)
MARSHALL	82	PR D25 1174	G.M. Marshall <i>et al.</i>	(BRCO)
NEMETHY	81	CNPP 10 147	P. Nemethy, V.W. Hughes	(LBL, YALE)
ABELA	80	PL 95B 318	R. Abela <i>et al.</i>	(BASL, KARLK, KARLE)
BADERT...	80	LNC 28 401	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	(BERN)
Also	82	NP A377 406	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	(BERN)
JONKER	80	PL 93B 203	M. Jonker <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM Collab.)
SCHAAF	80	NP A340 249	A. van der Schaaf <i>et al.</i>	(ZURI, ETH+)
Also	77	PL 72B 183	H.P. Povel <i>et al.</i>	(ZURI, ETH, SIN)
WILLIS	80	PRL 44 522	S.E. Willis <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, LBL, LASL+)
Also	80B	PRL 45 1370	S.E. Willis <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, LBL, LASL+)
BAILEY	79	NP B150 1	J.M. Bailey	(CERN, DARE, MANZ)
BADERT...	78	PL 79B 371	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	(BERN)
BAILEY	78	JPG 4 345	J.M. Bailey	(DARE, BERN, SHEF, MANZ, RMCS+)
Also	79	NP B150 1	J.M. Bailey	(CERN, DARE, MANZ)
BLIETSCHAU	78	NP B133 205	J. Blietschau <i>et al.</i>	(Gargamelle Collab.)
BOWMAN	78	PRL 41 442	J.D. Bowman <i>et al.</i>	(LASL, IAS, CMU+)
CAMANI	78	PL 77B 326	M. Camani <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, MANZ)
BADERT...	77	PRL 39 1385	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	(BERN)
CASPERSON	77	PRL 38 956	D.E. Casperson <i>et al.</i>	(BERN, HEIDH, LASL+)
DEPOMMIER	77	PRL 39 1113	P. Depommier <i>et al.</i>	(MONT, BRCO, TRIU+)
BALANDIN	74	JETP 40 811	M.P. Balandin <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
Translated from ZETF		67 1631.		
COHEN	73	JPCRD 2 663	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
DUCLOS	73	PL 47B 491	J. Duclos, A. Magnon, J. Picard	(SACL)
EICHTEN	73	PL 46B 281	T. Eichten <i>et al.</i>	(Gargamelle Collab.)
BRYMAN	72	PRL 28 1469	D.A. Bryman <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
CROWE	72	PR D5 2145	K.M. Crowe <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, WASH)
CRANE	71	PRL 27 474	T. Crane <i>et al.</i>	(YALE)
DERENZO	69	PR 181 1854	S.E. Derenzo	(EFI)
VOSSLER	69	NC 63A 423	C. Vossler	(EFI)
AKHMANOV	68	SJNP 6 230	V.V. Akhmanov <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)
Translated from YAF		6 316.		

FRYBERGER	68	PR 166 1379	D. Fryberger	(EFI)
BOGART	67	PR 156 1405	E. Bogart <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
SCHWARTZ	67	PR 162 1306	D.M. Schwartz	(EFI)
SHERWOOD	67	PR 156 1475	B.A. Sherwood	(EFI)
PEOPLES	66	Nevis 147 unpub.	J. Peoples	(COLU)
BLOOM	64	PL 8 87	S. Bloom <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
DUCLOS	64	PL 9 62	J. Duclos <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
GUREVICH	64	PL 11 185	I.I. Gurevich <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)
BUHLER	63	PL 7 368	A. Buhler-Broglin <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
MEYER	63	PR 132 2693	S.L. Meyer <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
CHARPAK	62	PL 1 16	G. Charpak <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
CONFORTO	62	NC 26 261	G. Conforto <i>et al.</i>	(INFN, ROMA, CERN)
ALI-ZADE	61	JETP 13 313	S.A. Ali-Zade, I.I. Gurevich, B.A. Nikolsky	
		Translated from ZETF 40 452.		
CRITTENDEN	61	PR 121 1823	R.R. Crittenden, W.D. Walker, J. Ballam	(WISC+)
KRUGER	61	UCRL 9322 unpub.	H. Kruger	(LRL)
GUREVICH	60	JETP 10 225	I.I. Gurevich, B.A. Nikolsky, L.V. Surkova	(ITEP)
		Translated from ZETF 37 318.		
PLANO	60	PR 119 1400	R.J. Plano	(COLU)
ASHKIN	59	NC 14 1266	J. Ashkin <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
BARDON	59	PRL 2 56	M. Bardon, D. Berley, L.M. Lederman	(COLU)
LEE	59	PRL 3 55	J. Lee, N.P. Samios	(COLU)
