

$\Sigma_c(2455)$ $I(J^P) = 1(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ Status: ****

Neither J nor P has been measured; $1/2^+$ is the quark model prediction.

 $\Sigma_c(2455)$ MASSES

The masses are obtained from the mass-difference measurements that follow.

 $\Sigma_c(2455)^{++}$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
2452.8 ± 0.6 OUR FIT	

 $\Sigma_c(2455)^+$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
2453.6 ± 0.9 OUR FIT	

 $\Sigma_c(2455)^0$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
2452.2 ± 0.6 OUR FIT	

 $\Sigma_c(2455) - \Lambda_c^+$ MASS DIFFERENCES $m_{\Sigma_c^{++}} - m_{\Lambda_c^+}$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
167.87 ± 0.19 OUR FIT				
167.87 ± 0.20 OUR AVERAGE				

167.76 ± 0.29 ± 0.15	122	AITALA	96B E791	$\pi^- N$, 500 GeV
167.6 ± 0.6 ± 0.6	56	FRABETTI	96 E687	γBe , $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
168.2 ± 0.3 ± 0.2	126	CRAWFORD	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
167.8 ± 0.4 ± 0.3	54	BOWCOCK	89 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV
168.2 ± 0.5 ± 1.6	92	ALBRECHT	88D ARG	$e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV
167.4 ± 0.5 ± 2.0	46	DIESBURG	87 SPEC	$nA \sim 600$ GeV
167 ± 1	2	JONES	87 HBC	νp in BEBC
168 ± 3	6	BALTAY	79 HLBC	$\nu Ne-H$ in 15-ft

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

166 ± 1	1	BOSETTI	82 HBC	See JONES 87
166 ± 15	1	CAZZOLI	75 HBC	νp in BNL 7-ft

 $m_{\Sigma_c^+} - m_{\Lambda_c^+}$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
168.7 ± 0.6 OUR FIT				

168 ± 3	1	CALICCHIO	80 HBC	νp in BEBC-TST
----------------	---	-----------	--------	---------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

168.5 ± 0.4 ± 0.2	111	¹ CRAWFORD	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
-------------------	-----	-----------------------	---------	--------------------------------

¹This result enters the fit through $m_{\Sigma_c^+} - m_{\Sigma_c^0}$ below.

$m_{\Sigma_c^0} - m_{\Lambda_c^+}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVT3	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
167.30±0.20 OUR FIT				
167.31±0.21 OUR AVERAGE				
167.38±0.29±0.15	143	AITALA	96B E791	$\pi^- N$, 500 GeV
167.8 ±0.6 ±0.2		ALEEV	96 SPEC	n nucleus, 50 GeV/ c
166.6 ±0.5 ±0.6	69	FRABETTI	96 E687	γ Be, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
167.1 ±0.3 ±0.2	124	CRAWFORD	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
168.4 ±1.0 ±0.3	14	ANJOS	89D E691	γ Be 90–260 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
167.9 ±0.5 ±0.3	48	² BOWCOCK	89 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV
167.0 ±0.5 ±1.6	70	² ALBRECHT	88D ARG	$e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV
178.2 ±0.4 ±2.0	85	³ DIESBURG	87 SPEC	$nA \sim 600$ GeV
163 ±2	1	AMMAR	86 EMUL	νA

² This result enters the fit through $m_{\Sigma_c^{++}} - m_{\Sigma_c^0}$ given below.

³ See the note on DIESBURG 87 in the $m_{\Sigma_c^{++}} - m_{\Sigma_c^0}$ section below.

$\Sigma_c(2455)$ MASS DIFFERENCES

$m_{\Sigma_c^{++}} - m_{\Sigma_c^0}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.57±0.23 OUR FIT			
0.66±0.28 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
+ 0.38±0.40±0.15	AITALA	96B E791	$\pi^- N$, 500 GeV
1.1 ±0.4 ±0.1	CRAWFORD	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
− 0.1 ±0.6 ±0.1	BOWCOCK	89 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV
+ 1.2 ±0.7 ±0.3	ALBRECHT	88D ARG	$e^+ e^- \sim 10$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
−10.8 ±2.9	⁴ DIESBURG	87 SPEC	$nA \sim 600$ GeV

⁴ DIESBURG 87 is completely incompatible with the other experiments, which is surprising since it agrees with them about $m_{\Sigma_c(2455)^{++}} - m_{\Lambda_c^+}$. We go with the majority here.

$m_{\Sigma_c^+} - m_{\Sigma_c^0}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.4±0.6 OUR FIT			
1.4±0.5±0.3	CRAWFORD	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Sigma_c(2455)$ DECAY MODES

$\Lambda_c^+ \pi$ is the only strong decay allowed to a Σ_c having this mass.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \Lambda_c^+ \pi$	≈ 100 %

$\Sigma_c(2455)$ REFERENCES

AITALA	96B	PL B379 292	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
ALEEV	96	JINRRC 3 31	A.N. Aleev <i>et al.</i>	(Serpukhov EXCHARM Collab.)
FRABETTI	96	PL B365 461	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
CRAWFORD	93	PRL 71 3259	G. Crawford <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ANJOS	89D	PRL 62 1721	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)
BOWCOCK	89	PRL 62 1240	T.J.V. Bowcock <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	88D	PL B211 489	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
DIESBURG	87	PRL 59 2711	M. Diesburg <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E400 Collab.)
JONES	87	ZPHY C36 593	G.T. Jones <i>et al.</i>	(CERN WA21 Collab.)
AMMAR	86	JETPL 43 515	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
BOSETTI	82	PL 109B 234	P.C. Bosetti <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BONN, CERN+)
CALICCHIO	80	PL 93B 521	M. Calicchio <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, BRUX+)
BALTAY	79	PRL 42 1721	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BNL) I
CAZZOLI	75	PRL 34 1125	E.G. Cazzoli <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
