

$N(2190) G_{17}$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{7}{2}^-) \text{ Status: } ****$$

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

 $N(2190)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2100 to 2200 (\approx 2190) OUR ESTIMATE			
2127 \pm 9	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
2200 \pm 70	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
2140 \pm 12	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
2140 \pm 40	HENDRY	78	MPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2131	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
2198 \pm 68	BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
2098	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
2180	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
2140	BAKER	79	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$
2117	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

 $N(2190)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
350 to 550 (\approx 450) OUR ESTIMATE			
550 \pm 50	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
500 \pm 150	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
390 \pm 30	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
270 \pm 50	HENDRY	78	MPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
476	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
805 \pm 140	BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
238	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
80	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
319	BAKER	79	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$
220	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

 $N(2190)$ POLE POSITION**REAL PART**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1950 to 2150 (\approx 2050) OUR ESTIMATE			
2030	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
2042	¹ HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
2100 \pm 50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2060	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

– 2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
350 to 550 (≈ 450) OUR ESTIMATE			
460	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
482	¹ HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
400±160	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
464	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

N(2190) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
46	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
45	HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
25±10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
54	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
– 23	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
– 30±50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
– 44	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

N(2190) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $N\pi$	10–20 %
Γ_2 $N\eta$	
Γ_3 ΛK	
Γ_4 ΣK	
Γ_5 $N\pi\pi$	
Γ_6 $N\rho$	
Γ_7 $N\rho, S=3/2, D$ -wave	
Γ_8 $p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	
Γ_9 $p\gamma$, helicity=3/2	
Γ_{10} $n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	
Γ_{11} $n\gamma$, helicity=3/2	

N(2190) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.1 to 0.2 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.22±0.01	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.12±0.06	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.14±0.02	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.16±0.04	HENDRY	78	MPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.23	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
0.19±0.05	BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.001±0.003	BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2190) \rightarrow N\eta$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
+0.052	BAKER	79	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2190) \rightarrow \Lambda K$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.02	BELL	83	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
-0.02	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2190) \rightarrow \Sigma K$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.014 to 0.019	² DEANS	75	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2190) \rightarrow N\rho, S=3/2, D\text{-wave}$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.25±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

N(2190) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$N(2190) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

<u>VALUE (GeV^{-1/2})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.055	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.030	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(2190) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

<u>VALUE (GeV^{-1/2})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-----------------------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.081	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.180	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(2190) \rightarrow n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

<u>VALUE (GeV^{-1/2})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-----------------------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.042	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.085	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(2190) \rightarrow n\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

<u>VALUE (GeV^{-1/2})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-----------------------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.126	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.007	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(2190) \quad \gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ AMPLITUDES

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $p\gamma \rightarrow N(2190) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ (E_{4-} amplitude)

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻³)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
--------------------------------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.5 ± 1.0	WORKMAN	90 DPWA
2.04	TANABE	89 DPWA

$p\gamma \rightarrow N(2190) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ phase angle θ (E_{4-} amplitude)

<u>VALUE (degrees)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
------------------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

- 4 ± 9	WORKMAN	90 DPWA
-27.5	TANABE	89 DPWA

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $p\gamma \rightarrow N(2190) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ (M_{4-} amplitude)

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻³)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
--------------------------------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-7.0 ± 0.7	WORKMAN	90 DPWA
-5.78	TANABE	89 DPWA

$N(2190)$ FOOTNOTES

¹ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

² The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions. Disagrees with $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$ data of WINNIK 77 around 1920 MeV.

N(2190) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, BRCO)
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	(BOSK, UCLA)
Also	98	PR C57 1004 (erratum)	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	
HOEHLER	93	π N Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
WORKMAN	90	PR C42 781	R.L. Workman	(VPI)
TANABE	89	PR C39 741	H. Tanabe, M. Kohno, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)
Also	89	NC 102A 193	M. Kohno, H. Tanabe, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)
BELL	83	NP B222 389	K.W. Bell <i>et al.</i>	(RL) IJP
PDG	82	PL 111B	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	R.D. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	I.M. Barbour, R.L. Crawford, N.H. Parsons	(GLAS)
HENDRY	78	PRL 41 222	A.W. Hendry	(IND, LBL) IJP
Also	81	ANP 136 1	A.W. Hendry	(IND)
WINNIK	77	NP B128 66	M. Winnik <i>et al.</i>	(HAIF) I
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	S.R. Deans <i>et al.</i>	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP