$N(1535)$ S_{11}

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ Status: ****

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

$N(1535)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1520 to 1555 (≈ 1535) OUR ESTIMATE				
1534± 7	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1550±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1526± 7	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1532± 5	ARMSTRONG	99B	DPWA	$\gamma^* p \to p\eta$
1549± 2	ABAEV	96	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \eta n$
1525±10	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1535	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1542± 6	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$
1537	BATINIC	95B	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$
1544±13	KRUSCHE	95	DPWA	$\gamma p \to p\eta$
1518	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1513	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1511	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1500	BERENDS	77	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1547± 6	BHANDARI	77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp
1520	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1510	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1535)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
100 to 250 (≈ 150) OUR ESTIMATE				
148.2± 8.1	GREEN	97	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$, ηN
151 ±27	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
240 ±80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
120 ±20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
154 ±20	ARMSTRONG	99B	DPWA	$\gamma^* p \to p\eta$
212 ±20	[3] KRUSCHE	97	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \eta N$
169 ±12	ABAEV	96	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \eta n$
103 ± 5	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
66	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
150 ±15	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$
145	BATINIC	95B	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$
200 ±40	KRUSCHE	95	DPWA	$\gamma p \to p\eta$

84		LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
136		CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
180		BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$
132		BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
57		BERENDS	77	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
139	± 33	BHANDARI	77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp
135		[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
100		[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

N(1535) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1495 to 1515 ($\approx$ 1505) OUR ESTIMATE				
1510 ± 10	[4] ARNDT	98	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N, \eta N$
1501	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1487	[5] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1510 ± 50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1499	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
1496 or 1499	[6] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1519 ± 4	BHANDARI	77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp
1525 or 1527	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$-2\times$IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
90 to 250 ($\approx$ 170) OUR ESTIMATE				
170 ± 30	[4] ARNDT	98	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N, \eta N$
124	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
260 ± 80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
110	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
103 or 105	[6] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
140 ± 32	BHANDARI	77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp
135 or 123	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

N(1535) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
31	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
120 ± 40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
23	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-12	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
$+15 \pm 45$	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-13	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

$N(1535)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	35–55 %
Γ_2	$N\eta$	30–55 %
Γ_3	$N\pi\pi$	1–10 %
Γ_4	$\Delta\pi$	<1 %
Γ_5	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave	
Γ_6	$N\rho$	<4 %
Γ_7	$N\rho$, S=1/2, S-wave	
Γ_8	$N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave	
Γ_9	$N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$	<3 %
Γ_{10}	$N(1440)\pi$	<7 %
Γ_{11}	$p\gamma$	0.15–0.35 %
Γ_{12}	$p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.15–0.35 %
Γ_{13}	$n\gamma$	0.004–0.29 %
Γ_{14}	$n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.004–0.29 %

$N(1535)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.35 to 0.55 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.394 ± 0.009	GREEN	97	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N, \eta N$
0.51 ± 0.05	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.50 ± 0.10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.38 ± 0.04	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.330 ± 0.011	ABAEV	96	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \eta n$
0.31	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
0.34 ± 0.09	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi, N\eta$
0.297 ± 0.026	BHANDARI	77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.30 to 0.55 OUR ESTIMATE					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
> 0.45	95	[7] ARMSTRONG	99B	DPWA	$p(e,e'p)\eta$
0.568±0.011		GREEN	97	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N, \eta N$
0.63 ±0.07		BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi, N\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1535) \to N\eta$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.44 to +0.50 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.47±0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
+0.44	ABAEV	96	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \eta n$
+0.33	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$
+0.48	FELTESSE	75	DPWA	1488–1745 MeV

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)$ S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1535) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.04 to +0.06 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.00±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.00	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
+0.06	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1535) \to N\rho$, S=1/2, S-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.14 to −0.06 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.10±0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.10	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
−0.09	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1535) \to N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_9)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.03 to +0.13 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.07±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.08	[1] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
+0.09	[2] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1535) \to N(1440)\pi$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{10})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.10±0.05	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

N(1535) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

N(1535) → pγ, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.090 ±0.030 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.120 ±0.011 ±0.015	[3] KRUSCHE	97	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \eta N$
0.060 ±0.015	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.097 ±0.006	BENMERROU..	95	DPWA	$\gamma N \to N\eta$
0.095 ±0.011	[8] BENMERROU..	91		$\gamma p \to p\eta$
0.053 ±0.015	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.077 ±0.021	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.083 ±0.007	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.080 ±0.007	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.029 ±0.007	BRATASHEV...	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.065 ±0.016	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.0704±0.0091	ISHII	80	DPWA	Compton scattering
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.110 to 0.140	KRUSCHE	95	DPWA	$\gamma p \to p\eta$
0.125 ±0.025	KRUSCHE	95C	IPWA	$\gamma d \to \eta N(N)$
0.061 ±0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.055	WADA	84	DPWA	Compton scattering
+0.082 ±0.019	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.046	[9] NOELLE	78		$\gamma N \to \pi N$
+0.034	BERENDS	77	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
+0.070 ±0.004	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

N(1535) → nγ, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.046±0.027 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.020±0.035	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.035±0.014	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.062±0.003	FUJII	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.075±0.019	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.075±0.018	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$ (fit 2)
−0.098±0.026	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.011±0.017	TAKEDA	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.100±0.030	KRUSCHE	95C	IPWA	$\gamma d \to \eta N(N)$
−0.046±0.005	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.112±0.034	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
−0.048	[9] NOELLE	78		$\gamma N \to \pi N$

N(1535) → Nγ, ratio $A^n_{1/2}/A^p_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
−0.84±0.15	MUKHOPAD...	95B	IPWA

N(1535) FOOTNOTES

[1] LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[2] From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[3] KRUSCHE 97 fits with the mass fixed at 1544 MeV.

[4] ARNDT 98 also lists pole residues, which display more model dependence than do the associated pole positions.

[5] See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[6] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

[7] The best value ARMSTRONG 99B obtains is $\simeq$ 0.55; this assumes S_{11} dominance in the reaction $p(e, e'p)\ \eta$ at $Q^2 = 4$ (GeV/c)^2.

[8] BENMERROUCHE 91 uses an effective Lagrangian approach to analyze η photoproduction data.

[9] Converted to our conventions using M = 1548 MeV, Γ = 73 MeV from NOELLE 78.

N(1535) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

ARMSTRONG	99B	PR D60 052004	C.S. Armstrong *et al.*	
ARNDT	98	PR C58 3636	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	
GREEN	97	PR C55 R2167	A.M. Green, S. Wycech	(HELS, WINR)
KRUSCHE	97	PL B397 171	B. Krusche *et al.*	(GIES, RPI, SASK)
ABAEV	96	PR C53 385	V.V. Abaev, B.M.K. Nefkens	(UCLA)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(VPI, BRCO)
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	M. Batinic *et al.*	(BOSK, UCLA)
Also	98	PR C57 1004 (erratum)	M. Batinic *et al.*	
BATINIC	95B	PR C52 2188	M. Batinic, I. Slaus, A. Svarc	(BOSK)
BENMERROU...	95	PR D51 3237	M. Benmerrouche, N.C. Mukhopadhyay, J.F. Zhang	
KRUSCHE	95	PRL 74 3736	B. Krusche *et al.*	(GIES, MANZ, GLAS+)
KRUSCHE	95C	PL B358 40	B. Krusche *et al.*	(GIES, MANZ, GLAS+)
MUKHOPAD...	95B	PL B364 1	N.C. Mukhopadhyay, J.F. Zhang, M. Benmerrouche	
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li *et al.*	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	D.M. Manley *et al.*	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(VPI, TELE) IJP
BENMERROU...	91	PRL 67 1070	M. Benmerrouche, N.C. Mukhopadhyay	(RPI)
WADA	84	NP B247 313	Y. Wada *et al.*	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	M. Roos *et al.*	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	K. Fujii *et al.*	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	K. Fujii *et al.*	(NAGO, OSAK)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93	I. Arai	(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	I. Arai, H. Fujii	(INUS)

BRATASHEV...	80	NP B166 525	A.S. Bratashevsky *et al.*	(KFTI)	
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)	
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
ISHII	80	NP B165 189	T. Ishii *et al.*	(KYOT, INUS)	
TAKEDA	80	NP B168 17	H. Takeda *et al.*	(TOKY, INUS)	
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	R.D. Baker *et al.*	(RHEL)	IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler *et al.*	(KARLT)	IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT)	IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	I.M. Barbour, R.L. Crawford, N.H. Parsons	(GLAS)	
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	
NOELLE	78	PTP 60 778	P. Noelle	(NAGO)	
BERENDS	77	NP B136 317	F.A. Berends, A. Donnachie	(LEID, MCHS)	IJP
BHANDARI	77	PR D15 192	R. Bhandari, Y.A. Chao	(CMU)	IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	R.S. Longacre, J. Dolbeau	(SACL)	IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	J. Dolbeau *et al.*	(SACL)	IJP
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	P. Feller *et al.*	(NAGO, OSAK)	IJP
FELTESSE	75	NP B93 242	J. Feltesse *et al.*	(SACL)	IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	IJP