$\Delta(1900)\ S_{31}$

$I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ Status: **

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

$\Delta(1900)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1850 to 1950 (≈ 1900) OUR ESTIMATE				
1920 ±24	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1890 ±50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1908 ±30	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1918.5±23.0	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
1803	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$\Delta(1900)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
140 to 240 (≈ 200) OUR ESTIMATE				
263 ±39	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
170 ±50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
140 ±40	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
93.5±54.0	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
137	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$\Delta(1900)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1780	[1] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1870±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
2029 or 2025	[2] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
180±50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
164 or 163	[2] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1900)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
10±3	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$+20 \pm 40$	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1900)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	10–30 %
Γ_2	ΣK	
Γ_3	$N\pi\pi$	
Γ_4	$\Delta\pi$	
Γ_5	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave	
Γ_6	$N\rho$	
Γ_7	$N\rho$, S=1/2, S-wave	
Γ_8	$N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave	
Γ_9	$N(1440)\pi$, S-wave	
Γ_{10}	$N\gamma$, helicity=1/2	

$\Delta(1900)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.1 to 0.3 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.41 ± 0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.10 ± 0.03	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.08 ± 0.04	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.28	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1900) \rightarrow \Sigma K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.03	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.076	[3] DEANS	75	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$
0.11	LANGBEIN	73	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$ (sol. 1)
0.12	LANGBEIN	73	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$ (sol. 2)

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1900) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$+0.25 \pm 0.07$	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1900) \rightarrow N\rho$, S=1/2, S-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.14 ± 0.11	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1900) \to N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_8)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.37 ± 0.07	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1900) \to N(1440)\pi$, S-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_9)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.16 ± 0.11	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1900)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$\Delta(1900) \to N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.004 ± 0.016	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.029 ± 0.008	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.006 to -0.025	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

$\Delta(1900)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[2] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

[3] The value given is from solution 1; the resonance is not present in solutions 2, 3, or 4.

$\Delta(1900)$ REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	D.M. Manley et al.	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt et al.	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CANDLIN	84	NP B238 477	D.J. Candlin et al.	(EDIN, RAL, LOWC)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	K. Fujii et al.	(NAGO)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123	D.M. Chew	(LBL) IJP
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky et al.	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky et al.	(CMU, LBL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler et al.	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre et al.	(LBL, SLAC)
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	S.R. Deans et al.	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
LANGBEIN	73	NP B53 251	W. Langbein, F. Wagner	(MUNI) IJP