$N(1710)\ P_{11}$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ Status: ***

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

The various partial-wave analyses do not agree very well.

$N(1710)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1680 to 1740 (≈ 1710) OUR ESTIMATE				
1717±28	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1700±50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1723± 9	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1720±10	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1766±34	[1] BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi,\ N\eta$
1706	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1692	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1730	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
1690	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$
1650 to 1680	BAKER	78	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
1721	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1625±10	[2] BAKER	77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
1650	[2] BAKER	77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
1720	[3] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
1670	KNASEL	75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
1710	[4] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1710)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
50 to 250 (≈ 100) OUR ESTIMATE				
480±230	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
93± 30	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
90± 30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
120± 15	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
105± 10	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
185± 61	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi,\ N\eta$
540	BELL	83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
200	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
550	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
97	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$
90 to 150	BAKER	78	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$

167	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
160 ± 6	[2] BAKER	77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
95	[2] BAKER	77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
120	[3] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
174	KNASEL	75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
75	[4] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$

N(1710) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1670 to 1770 (≈ 1720) OUR ESTIMATE				
1770	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
1690	[5] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1698	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1690 ± 20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1636	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1708 or 1712	[6] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
1720 or 1711	[3] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
80 to 380 (≈ 230) OUR ESTIMATE				
378	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
200	[5] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
88	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
80 ± 20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
544	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
17 or 22	[6] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
123 or 115	[3] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$

N(1710) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
37	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
15	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
9	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
8 ± 2	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
149	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
−167	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
−167	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
175 ± 35	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
149	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

$N(1710)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	10–20 %
Γ_2	$N\eta$	
Γ_3	ΛK	5–25 %
Γ_4	ΣK	
Γ_5	$N\pi\pi$	40–90 %
Γ_6	$\Delta\pi$	15–40 %
Γ_7	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave	
Γ_8	$N\rho$	5–25 %
Γ_9	$N\rho$, S=1/2, P-wave	
Γ_{10}	$N\rho$, S=3/2, P-wave	
Γ_{11}	$N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$	10–40 %
Γ_{12}	$p\gamma$	0.002–0.05%
Γ_{13}	$p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.002–0.05%
Γ_{14}	$n\gamma$	0.0–0.02%
Γ_{15}	$n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.0–0.02%

$N(1710)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.10 to 0.20 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.09±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.20±0.04	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.12±0.04	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.08±0.14	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.16±0.10	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$, $N\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to N\eta$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.22	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to n\eta$
+0.383	FELTESSE	75	DPWA	Soln A; see BAKER 79

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to \Lambda K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.12 to +0.18 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.16	BELL	83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
+0.14	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.12	[7] BAKER	78	DPWA	See SAXON 80
−0.05±0.03	[2] BAKER	77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
−0.10	[2] BAKER	77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
0.10	KNASEL	75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to \Sigma K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.034	LIVANOS	80	DPWA	$\pi p \to \Sigma K$
0.075 to 0.203	[8] DEANS	75	DPWA	$\pi N \to \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)$ S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
±0.16 to ±0.22 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.21±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.17	[3] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
+0.20	[4] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to N\rho$, S=1/2, P-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_9)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
±0.09 to ±0.19 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.05±0.06	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.19	[3] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
−0.20	[4] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to N\rho$, S=3/2, P-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{10})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.31	[3] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to N(1710) \to N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{11})^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
±0.14 to ±0.22 OUR ESTIMATE				
+0.04±0.05	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
−0.26	[3] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
−0.28	[4] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$N(1710)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$N(1710) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.009±0.022 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.007±0.015	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.006±0.018	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.028±0.009	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.009±0.006	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.012±0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.015±0.025	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.037±0.002	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.001±0.039	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.053±0.019	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(1710) \rightarrow n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.002±0.014 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.002±0.015	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.000±0.018	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.001±0.003	FUJII	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.005±0.013	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.011±0.021	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
−0.017±0.020	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.052±0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.028±0.045	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(1710)$ $\gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ AMPLITUDES

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $p\gamma \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ (M_{1-} amplitude)

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
−10.6 ±0.4	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
− 7.21	TANABE	89	DPWA

$p\gamma \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ phase angle θ (M_{1-} amplitude)

VALUE (degrees)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
215 ±3	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
176.3	TANABE	89	DPWA

N(1710) FOOTNOTES

[1] BATINIC 95 finds a second state with a 6 MeV mass difference.
[2] The two BAKER 77 entries are from an IPWA using the Barrelet-zero method and from a conventional energy-dependent analysis.
[3] LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
[4] From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
[5] See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
[6] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.
[7] The overall phase of BAKER 78 couplings has been changed to agree with previous conventions.
[8] The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions.

N(1710) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)	
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(VPI, BRCO)	
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	M. Batinic *et al.*	(BOSK, UCLA)	
Also	98	PR C57 1004 (erratum)	M. Batinic *et al.*		
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)	
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li *et al.*	(VPI)	
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT)	IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	D.M. Manley *et al.*	(VPI)	
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(VPI, TELE)	IJP
CUTKOSKY	90	PR D42 235	R.E. Cutkosky, S. Wang	(CMU)	
WORKMAN	90	PR C42 781	R.L. Workman	(VPI)	
TANABE	89	PR C39 741	H. Tanabe, M. Kohno, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)	
Also	89	NC 102A 193	M. Kohno, H. Tanabe, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)	
BELL	83	NP B222 389	K.W. Bell *et al.*	(RL)	IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)	
PDG	82	PL 111B	M. Roos *et al.*	(HELS, CIT, CERN)	
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)	
Also	82	NP B197 365	K. Fujii *et al.*	(NAGO)	
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	K. Fujii *et al.*	(NAGO, OSAK)	
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93	I. Arai	(INUS)	
Also	82	NP B194 251	I. Arai, H. Fujii	(INUS)	
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)	
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	P. Livanos *et al.*	(SACL)	IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon *et al.*	(RHEL, BRIS)	IJP
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	R.D. Baker *et al.*	(RHEL)	IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler *et al.*	(KARLT)	IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT)	IJP
BAKER	78	NP B141 29	R.D. Baker *et al.*	(RL, CAVE)	IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	I.M. Barbour, R.L. Crawford, N.H. Parsons	(GLAS)	
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	
BAKER	77	NP B126 365	R.D. Baker *et al.*	(RHEL)	IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	R.S. Longacre, J. Dolbeau	(SACL)	IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	J. Dolbeau *et al.*	(SACL)	IJP
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	P. Feller *et al.*	(NAGO, OSAK)	IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	S.R. Deans *et al.*	(SFLA, ALAH)	IJP
FELTESSE	75	NP B93 242	J. Feltesse *et al.*	(SACL)	IJP
KNASEL	75	PR D11 1	T.M. Knasel *et al.*	(CHIC, WUSL, OSU+)	IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC)	IJP