

$N(2090) S_{11}$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^-) \text{ Status: } *$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Any structure in the S_{11} wave above 1800 MeV is listed here. A few early results that are now obsolete have been omitted.

$N(2090)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
≈ 2090 OUR ESTIMATE			
1928 ± 59	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
2180 ± 80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1880 ± 20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$1897 \pm 50 \begin{smallmatrix} +30 \\ -2 \end{smallmatrix}$	PLOETZKE	98	SPEC $\gamma p \rightarrow p\eta'(958)$

$N(2090)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
414 ± 157	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
350 ± 100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
95 ± 30	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$396 \pm 155 \begin{smallmatrix} +35 \\ -45 \end{smallmatrix}$	PLOETZKE	98	SPEC $\gamma p \rightarrow p\eta'(958)$

$N(2090)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2150 ± 70	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1937 or 1949	¹ LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$-2 \times$ IMAGINARY PART

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
350 ± 100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
139 or 131	¹ LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$N(2090)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
40 ± 20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

PHASE θ

<u>VALUE ($^\circ$)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0 ± 90	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

N(2090) DECAY MODES

Mode
Γ_1 $N\pi$
Γ_2 ΛK
Γ_3 $N\pi\pi$

N(2090) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
0.10±0.10	MANLEY 92 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \text{ \& } N\pi\pi$
0.18±0.08	CUTKOSKY 80 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.09±0.05	HOEHLER 79 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2090) \rightarrow \Lambda K$	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
not seen	SAXON 80 DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

N(2090) FOOTNOTES

¹ LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

N(2090) REFERENCES

PLOETZKE	98	PL B444 555	R. Ploetzke <i>et al.</i>	(Bonn SAPHIR Collab.)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL)
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)