

Axions (A^0) and Other Very Light Bosons, Searches for

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews
 A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews
 A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

A^0 (Axion) MASS LIMITS from Astrophysics and Cosmology

These bounds depend on model-dependent assumptions (i.e. — on a combination of axion parameters).

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
>0.2	BARROSO	82 ASTR	Standard Axion
>0.25	¹ RAFFELT	82 ASTR	Standard Axion
>0.2	² DICUS	78C ASTR	Standard Axion
	MIKAELIAN	78 ASTR	Stellar emission
>0.3	² SATO	78 ASTR	Standard Axion
>0.2	VYSOTSKII	78 ASTR	Standard Axion

¹ Lower bound from 5.5 MeV γ -ray line from the sun.

² Lower bound from requiring the red giants' stellar evolution not be disrupted by axion emission.

A^0 (Axion) and Other Light Boson (X^0) Searches in Stable Particle Decays

Limits are for branching ratios.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<3.3 $\times 10^{-5}$	90		³ ALTEGOER	98 NOMD	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$, $m_{X^0} < 120$ MeV
<3.0 $\times 10^{-10}$	90		⁴ ADLER	97 B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ A^0$
<5.0 $\times 10^{-8}$	90		⁵ KITCHING	97 B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ A^0$ ($A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$)
<5.2 $\times 10^{-10}$	90		⁶ ADLER	96 B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ A^0$
<2.8 $\times 10^{-4}$	90		⁷ AMSLER	96B CBAR	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$, $m_{X^0} < 65$ MeV
<3 $\times 10^{-4}$	90		⁷ AMSLER	96B CBAR	$\eta \rightarrow \gamma X^0$, $m_{X^0} =$ 50–200 MeV
<4 $\times 10^{-5}$	90		⁷ AMSLER	96B CBAR	$\eta' \rightarrow \gamma X^0$, $m_{X^0} = 50$ –925 MeV
<6 $\times 10^{-5}$	90		⁷ AMSLER	94B CBAR	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$, $m_{X^0} = 65$ –125 MeV
<6 $\times 10^{-5}$	90		⁷ AMSLER	94B CBAR	$\eta \rightarrow \gamma X^0$, $m_{X^0} = 200$ –525 MeV
<0.007	90		⁸ MEIJERDREES	94 CNTR	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$, $m_{X^0} = 25$ MeV

<0.002	90	⁸ MEIJERDREES 94	CNTR	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$, $m_{X^0}=100 \text{ MeV}$
<2 $\times 10^{-7}$	90	⁹ ATIYA	93B B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ A^0$
<3 $\times 10^{-13}$		¹⁰ NG	93 COSM	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$
<1.1 $\times 10^{-8}$	90	¹¹ ALLIEGRO	92 SPEC	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ A^0$ ($A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$)
<5 $\times 10^{-4}$	90	¹² ATIYA	92 B787	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$
<4 $\times 10^{-6}$	90	¹³ MEIJERDREES 92	SPEC	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$, $X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$, $m_{X^0}=100 \text{ MeV}$
<1 $\times 10^{-7}$	90	¹⁴ ATIYA	90B B787	Sup. by KITCH- ING 97
<1.3 $\times 10^{-8}$	90	¹⁵ KORENCHE...	87 SPEC	$\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu A^0$ ($A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$)
<1 $\times 10^{-9}$	90	0 ¹⁶ EICHLER	86 SPEC	Stopped $\pi^+ \rightarrow$ $e^+ \nu A^0$
<2 $\times 10^{-5}$	90	¹⁷ YAMAZAKI	84 SPEC	For $160 < m < 260$ MeV
<(1.5-4) $\times 10^{-6}$	90	¹⁷ YAMAZAKI	84 SPEC	K decay, $m_{A^0} \ll$ 100 MeV
		0 ¹⁸ ASANO	82 CNTR	Stopped $K^+ \rightarrow$ $\pi^+ A^0$
		0 ¹⁹ ASANO	81B CNTR	Stopped $K^+ \rightarrow$ $\pi^+ A^0$
		²⁰ ZHITNITSKII	79	Heavy axion

³ ALTEGOER 98 looked for X^0 from π^0 decay which penetrate the shielding and convert to π^0 in the external Coulomb field of a nucleus.

⁴ ADLER 97 bound is for massless A^0 .

⁵ KITCHING 97 limit is for $B(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ A^0) \cdot B(A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ and applies for $m_{A^0} \simeq 50$ MeV, $\tau_{A^0} < 10^{-10}$ s. Limits are provided for $0 < m_{A^0} < 100$ MeV, $\tau_{A^0} < 10^{-8}$ s.

⁶ ADLER 96 looked for a peak in missing-mass distribution. This work is an update of ATIYA 93. The limit is for massless stable A^0 particles and extends to $m_{A^0}=80$ MeV at the same level. See paper for dependence on finite lifetime.

⁷ AMSLER 94B and AMSLER 96B looked for a peak in missing-mass distribution.

⁸ The MEIJERDREES 94 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of X^0 decay modes. It applies to $\tau(X^0) > 10^{-23}$ sec.

⁹ ATIYA 93B looked for a peak in missing mass distribution. The bound applies for stable A^0 of $m_{A^0}=150-250$ MeV, and the limit becomes stronger (10^{-8}) for $m_{A^0}=180-240$ MeV.

¹⁰ NG 93 studied the production of X^0 via $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$ in the early universe at $T \simeq 1$ MeV. The bound on extra neutrinos from nucleosynthesis $\Delta N_\nu < 0.3$ (WALKER 91) is employed. It applies to $m_{X^0} \ll 1$ MeV in order to be relativistic down to nucleosynthesis temperature. See paper for heavier X^0 .

¹¹ ALLIEGRO 92 limit applies for $m_{A^0}=150-340$ MeV and is the branching ratio times the decay probability. Limit is $< 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ at 99%CL.

¹² ATIYA 92 looked for a peak in missing mass distribution. The limit applies to $m_{X^0}=0-130$ MeV in the narrow resonance limit. See paper for the dependence on lifetime. Covariance requires X^0 to be a vector particle.

¹³ MEIJERDREES 92 limit applies for $\tau_{X^0} = 10^{-23}-10^{-11}$ sec. Limits between 2×10^{-4} and 4×10^{-6} are obtained for $m_{X^0} = 25-120$ MeV. Angular momentum conservation requires that X^0 has spin ≥ 1 .

- ¹⁴ ATIYA 90B limit is for $B(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ A^0) \cdot B(A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ and applies for $m_{A^0} = 50$ MeV, $\tau_{A^0} < 10^{-10}$ s. Limits are also provided for $0 < m_{A^0} < 100$ MeV, $\tau_{A^0} < 10^{-8}$ s.
- ¹⁵ KORENCHENKO 87 limit assumes $m_{A^0} = 1.7$ MeV, $\tau_{A^0} \lesssim 10^{-12}$ s, and $B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 1$.
- ¹⁶ EICHLER 86 looked for $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu A^0$ followed by $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$. Limits on the branching fraction depend on the mass and and lifetime of A^0 . The quoted limits are valid when $\tau(A^0) \gtrsim 3. \times 10^{-10}$ s if the decays are kinematically allowed.
- ¹⁷ YAMAZAKI 84 looked for a discrete line in $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X$. Sensitive to wide mass range (5–300 MeV), independent of whether X decays promptly or not.
- ¹⁸ ASANO 82 at KEK set limits for $B(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ A^0)$ for $m_{A^0} < 100$ MeV as $BR < 4. \times 10^{-8}$ for $\tau(A^0 \rightarrow n\gamma's) > 1. \times 10^{-9}$ s, $BR < 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ for $\tau < 1. \times 10^{-9}$ s.
- ¹⁹ ASANO 81B is KEK experiment. Set $B(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ A^0) < 3.8 \times 10^{-8}$ at CL = 90%.
- ²⁰ ZHITNITSKII 79 argue that a heavy axion predicted by YANG 78 ($3 < m < 40$ MeV) contradicts experimental muon anomalous magnetic moments.

A^0 (Axion) Searches in Quarkonium Decays

Decay or transition of quarkonium. Limits are for branching ratio.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90		21 BALEST 95	CLEO	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$
$< 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90		ANTREASYAN 90C	CBAL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$
			22 ANTREASYAN 90C	RVUE	
$< 5 \times 10^{-5}$	90		23 DRUZHININ 87	ND	$\phi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$ ($A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$)
$< 2 \times 10^{-3}$	90		24 DRUZHININ 87	ND	$\phi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$ ($A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$)
$< 7 \times 10^{-6}$	90		25 DRUZHININ 87	ND	$\phi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$ ($A^0 \rightarrow$ missing)
$< 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	0	26 ALBRECHT 86D	ARG	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$ ($A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$)
$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	0	26 ALBRECHT 86D	ARG	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$ ($A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, $\pi^+ \pi^-$, $K^+ K^-$)
$< 8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	1	27 ALBRECHT 86D	ARG	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	0	28 ALBRECHT 86D	ARG	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$ ($A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-, \gamma\gamma$)
$< 2. \times 10^{-3}$	90		29 BOWCOCK 86	CLEO	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0$
$< 5. \times 10^{-3}$	90		30 MAGERAS 86	CUSB	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$
$< 3. \times 10^{-4}$	90		31 ALAM 83	CLEO	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$
$< 9.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90		32 NICZYPORUK 83	LENA	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$
$< 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90		33 EDWARDS 82	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$
$< 3.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90		34 SIVERTZ 82	CUSB	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90		34 SIVERTZ 82	CUSB	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$

- ²¹ BALEST 95 looked for a monochromatic γ from $\Upsilon(1S)$ decay. The bound is for $m_{A^0} < 5.0$ GeV. See Fig. 7 in the paper for bounds for heavier m_{A^0} . They also quote a bound on branching ratios 10^{-3} – 10^{-5} of three-body decay $\gamma X \bar{X}$ for $0 < m_X < 3.1$ GeV.

- 22 The combined limit of ANTREASYAN 90C and EDWARDS 82 excludes standard axion with $m_{A^0} < 2m_e$ at 90% CL as long as $C_\gamma C_{J/\psi} > 0.09$, where C_V ($V = \gamma, J/\psi$) is the reduction factor for $\Gamma(V \rightarrow A^0 \gamma)$ due to QCD and/or relativistic corrections. The same data excludes $0.02 < x < 260$ (90% CL) if $C_\gamma = C_{J/\psi} = 0.5$, and further combining with ALBRECHT 86D result excludes $5 \times 10^{-5} < x < 260$. x is the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of the two Higgs fields. These limits use conventional assumption $\Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow ee) \propto x^{-2}$. The alternative assumption $\Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow ee) \propto x^2$ gives a somewhat different excluded region $0.00075 < x < 44$.
- 23 The first DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when $\tau_{A^0}/m_{A^0} < 3 \times 10^{-13}$ s/MeV and $m_{A^0} < 20$ MeV.
- 24 The second DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when $\tau_{A^0}/m_{A^0} < 5 \times 10^{-13}$ s/MeV and $m_{A^0} < 20$ MeV.
- 25 The third DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when $\tau_{A^0}/m_{A^0} > 7 \times 10^{-12}$ s/MeV and $m_{A^0} < 200$ MeV.
- 26 $\tau_{A^0} < 1 \times 10^{-13}$ s and $m_{A^0} < 1.5$ GeV. Applies for $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ when $m_{A^0} < 100$ MeV.
- 27 $\tau_{A^0} > 1 \times 10^{-7}$ s.
- 28 Independent of τ_{A^0} .
- 29 BOWCOCK 86 looked for A^0 that decays into e^+e^- in the cascade decay $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ followed by $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0\gamma$. The limit for $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0\gamma)B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)$ depends on m_{A^0} and τ_{A^0} . The quoted limit for $m_{A^0}=1.8$ MeV is at $\tau_{A^0} \sim 2. \times 10^{-12}$ s, where the limit is the worst. The same limit $2. \times 10^{-3}$ applies for all lifetimes for masses $2m_e < m_{A^0} < 2m_\mu$ when the results of this experiment are combined with the results of ALAM 83.
- 30 MAGERAS 86 looked for $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0$ ($A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$). The quoted branching fraction limit is for $m_{A^0} = 1.7$ MeV, at $\tau(A^0) \sim 4. \times 10^{-13}$ s where the limit is the worst.
- 31 ALAM 83 is at CESR. This limit combined with limit for $B(J/\psi \rightarrow A^0\gamma)$ (EDWARDS 82) excludes standard axion.
- 32 NICZYPORUK 83 is DESY-DORIS experiment. This limit together with lower limit 9.2×10^{-4} of $B(\gamma \rightarrow A^0\gamma)$ derived from $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow A^0\gamma)$ limit (EDWARDS 82) excludes standard axion.
- 33 EDWARDS 82 looked for $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma A^0$ decays by looking for events with a single γ [of energy $\sim 1/2$ the $J/\psi(1S)$ mass], plus nothing else in the detector. The limit is inconsistent with the axion interpretation of the FAISSNER 81B result.
- 34 SIVERTZ 82 is CESR experiment. Looked for $\Upsilon \rightarrow \gamma A^0$, A^0 undetected. Limit for 1S (3S) is valid for $m_{A^0} < 7$ GeV (4 GeV).
-

A^0 (Axion) Searches in Positronium Decays

Decay or transition of positronium. Limits are for branching ratio.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$<2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	MAENO	95 CNTR	α -Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$ $m_{A^0} = 850\text{--}1013$ keV
$<3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	³⁵ ASAI	94 CNTR	α -Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$ $m_{A^0} = 30\text{--}500$ keV
$<2.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	³⁶ AKOPYAN	91 CNTR	α -Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$ ($A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$), $m_{A^0} < 30$ keV
$<1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	³⁷ ASAI	91 CNTR	α -Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} < 800$ keV
$<3.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	GNINENKO	90 CNTR	α -Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} < 30$ keV
$<(1\text{--}5) \times 10^{-4}$	95	³⁸ TSUCHIAKI	90 CNTR	α -Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} = 300\text{--}900$ keV
$<6.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	³⁹ ORITO	89 CNTR	α -Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} < 30$ keV
		⁴⁰ AMALDI	85 CNTR	Ortho-positronium
		⁴¹ CARBONI	83 CNTR	Ortho-positronium

³⁵ The ASAI 94 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of A^0 decay modes.

³⁶ The AKOPYAN 91 limit applies for a short-lived A^0 with $\tau_{A^0} < 10^{-13} m_{A^0}$ [keV] s.

³⁷ ASAI 91 limit translates to $g_{A^0 e^+ e^-}^2 / 4\pi < 1.1 \times 10^{-11}$ (90%CL) for $m_{A^0} < 800$ keV.

³⁸ The TSUCHIAKI 90 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of A^0 decay modes.

³⁹ ORITO 89 limit translates to $g_{A^0 e e}^2 / 4\pi < 6.2 \times 10^{-10}$. Somewhat more sensitive limits are obtained for larger m_{A^0} : $B < 7.6 \times 10^{-6}$ at 100 keV.

⁴⁰ AMALDI 85 set limits $B(A^0 \gamma) / B(\gamma\gamma\gamma) < (1\text{--}5) \times 10^{-6}$ for $m_{A^0} = 900\text{--}100$ keV which are about 1/10 of the CARBONI 83 limits.

⁴¹ CARBONI 83 looked for orthopositronium $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$. Set limit for A^0 electron coupling squared, $g(e e A^0)^2 / (4\pi) < 6. \times 10^{-10}\text{--}7. \times 10^{-9}$ for m_{A^0} from 150–900 keV (CL = 99.7%). This is about 1/10 of the bound from $g\text{--}2$ experiments.

A^0 (Axion) Search in Photoproduction

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	----------------

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

⁴² BASSOMPIE... 95 $m_{A^0} = 1.8 \pm 0.2$ MeV

⁴² BASSOMPIERRE 95 is an extension of BASSOMPIERRE 93. They looked for a peak in the invariant mass of $e^+ e^-$ pairs in the region $m_{e^+ e^-} = 1.8 \pm 0.2$ MeV. They obtained bounds on the production rate A^0 for $\tau(A^0) = 10^{-18}\text{--}10^{-9}$ sec. They also found an excess of events in the range $m_{e^+ e^-} = 2.1\text{--}3.5$ MeV.

A^0 (Axion) Production in Hadron Collisions

Limits are for $\sigma(A^0) / \sigma(\pi^0)$.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
			43 AHMAD	97 SPEC	e^+ production
			44 LEINBERGER	97 SPEC	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
			45 GANZ	96 SPEC	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
			46 KAMEL	96 EMUL	^{32}S emulsion, $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
			47 BLUEMLEIN	92 BDMP	$A^0 N_Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- N_Z$
			48 MEIJERDREES	92 SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n A^0, A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
			49 BLUEMLEIN	91 BDMP	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-, 2\gamma$
			50 FAISSNER	89 OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
			51 DEBOER	88 RVUE	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
			52 EL-NADI	88 EMUL	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
			53 FAISSNER	88 OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$
			54 BADIER	86 BDMP	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
$<2. \times 10^{-11}$	90	0	55 BERGSMA	85 CHRM	CERN beam dump
$<1. \times 10^{-13}$	90	0	55 BERGSMA	85 CHRM	CERN beam dump
		24	56 FAISSNER	83 OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$
			57 FAISSNER	83B RVUE	LAMPF beam dump
			58 FRANK	83B RVUE	LAMPF beam dump
			59 HOFFMAN	83 CNTR	$\pi p \rightarrow n A^0$ ($A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$)
			60 FETSCHER	82 RVUE	See FAISSNER 81B
		12	61 FAISSNER	81 OSPK	CERN PS ν wideband
		15	62 FAISSNER	81B OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$
		8	63 KIM	81 OSPK	26 GeV $pN \rightarrow A^0 X$
		0	64 FAISSNER	80 OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
$<1. \times 10^{-8}$	90		65 JACQUES	80 HLBC	28 GeV protons
$<1. \times 10^{-14}$	90		65 JACQUES	80 HLBC	Beam dump
			66 SOUKAS	80 CALO	28 GeV p beam dump
			67 BECHIS	79 CNTR	
$<1. \times 10^{-8}$	90		68 COTEUS	79 OSPK	Beam dump
$<1. \times 10^{-3}$	95		69 DISHAW	79 CALO	400 GeV pp
$<1. \times 10^{-8}$	90		ALIBRAN	78 HYBR	Beam dump
$<6. \times 10^{-9}$	95		ASRATYAN	78B CALO	Beam dump
$<1.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90		70 BELLOTTI	78 HLBC	Beam dump
$<5.4 \times 10^{-14}$	90		70 BELLOTTI	78 HLBC	$m_{A^0}=1.5$ MeV
$<4.1 \times 10^{-9}$	90		70 BELLOTTI	78 HLBC	$m_{A^0}=1$ MeV
$<1. \times 10^{-8}$	90		71 BOSETTI	78B HYBR	Beam dump
			72 DONNELLY	78	
$<0.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90		HANSL	78D WIRE	Beam dump
			73 MICELMAC...	78	
			74 VYSOTSKII	78	

- 43 AHMAD 97 reports a result of APEX Collaboration which studied positron production in $^{238}\text{U}+^{232}\text{Ta}$ and $^{238}\text{U}+^{181}\text{Ta}$ collisions, without requiring a coincident electron. No narrow lines were found for $250 < E_{e^+} < 750$ keV.
- 44 LEINBERGER 97 (ORANGE Collaboration) at GSI looked for a narrow sum-energy e^+e^- -line at ~ 635 keV in $^{238}\text{U}+^{181}\text{Ta}$ collision. Limits on the production probability for a narrow sum-energy e^+e^- line are set. See their Table 2.
- 45 GANZ 96 (EPos II Collaboration) has placed upper bounds on the production cross section of e^+e^- pairs from $^{238}\text{U}+^{181}\text{Ta}$ and $^{238}\text{U}+^{232}\text{Th}$ collisions at GSI. See Table 2 for limits both for back-to-back and isotropic configurations of e^+e^- pairs. These limits rule out the existence of peaks in the e^+e^- sum-energy distribution, reported by an earlier version of this experiment.
- 46 KAMEL 96 looked for e^+e^- pairs from the collision of ^{32}S (200 GeV/nucleon) and emulsion. No evidence of mass peaks is found in the region of sensitivity $m_{ee} > 2$ MeV.
- 47 BLUEMLEIN 92 is a proton beam dump experiment at Serpukhov with a secondary target to induce Bethe-Heitler production of e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$ from the produce A^0 . See Fig. 5 for the excluded region in m_{A^0} - x plane. For the standard axion, $0.3 < x < 25$ is excluded at 95% CL. If combined with BLUEMLEIN 91, $0.008 < x < 32$ is excluded.
- 48 MEIJERDREES 92 give $\Gamma(\pi^- p \rightarrow n A^0) \cdot \text{B}(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-) / \Gamma(\pi^- p \rightarrow \text{all}) < 10^{-5}$ (90% CL) for $m_{A^0} = 100$ MeV, $\tau_{A^0} = 10^{-11}$ – 10^{-23} sec. Limits ranging from 2.5×10^{-3} to 10^{-7} are given for $m_{A^0} = 25$ – 136 MeV.
- 49 BLUEMLEIN 91 is a proton beam dump experiment at Serpukhov. No candidate event for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-, 2\gamma$ are found. Fig. 6 gives the excluded region in m_{A^0} - x plane ($x = \tan\beta = v_2/v_1$). Standard axion is excluded for $0.2 < m_{A^0} < 3.2$ MeV for most $x > 1$, 0.2 – 11 MeV for most $x < 1$.
- 50 FAISSNER 89 searched for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ in a proton beam dump experiment at SIN. No excess of events was observed over the background. A standard axion with mass $2m_e$ – 20 MeV is excluded. Lower limit on f_{A^0} of $\simeq 10^4$ GeV is given for $m_{A^0} = 2m_e$ – 20 MeV.
- 51 DEBOER 88 reanalyze EL-NADI 88 data and claim evidence for three distinct states with mass ~ 1.1 , ~ 2.1 , and ~ 9 MeV, lifetimes 10^{-16} – 10^{-15} s decaying to e^+e^- and note the similarity of the data with those of a cosmic-ray experiment by Bristol group (B.M. Anand, Proc. of the Royal Society of London, Section A **A22** 183 (1953)). For a criticism see PERKINS 89, who suggests that the events are compatible with π^0 Dalitz decay. DEBOER 89B is a reply which contests the criticism.
- 52 EL-NADI 88 claim the existence of a neutral particle decaying into e^+e^- with mass 1.60 ± 0.59 MeV, lifetime $(0.15 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-14}$ s, which is produced in heavy ion interactions with emulsion nuclei at ~ 4 GeV/c/nucleon.
- 53 FAISSNER 88 is a proton beam dump experiment at SIN. They found no candidate event for $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. A standard axion decaying to 2γ is excluded except for a region $x \simeq 1$. Lower limit on f_{A^0} of 10^2 – 10^3 GeV is given for $m_{A^0} = 0.1$ – 1 MeV.
- 54 BADIER 86 did not find long-lived A^0 in 300 GeV π^- Beam Dump Experiment that decays into e^+e^- in the mass range $m_{A^0} = (20$ – $200)$ MeV, which excludes the A^0 decay constant $f(A^0)$ in the interval (60–600) GeV. See their figure 6 for excluded region on $f(A^0)$ - m_{A^0} plane.
- 55 BERGSMA 85 look for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma, e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$. First limit above is for $m_{A^0} = 1$ MeV; second is for 200 MeV. See their figure 4 for excluded region on f_{A^0} - m_{A^0} plane, where f_{A^0} is A^0 decay constant. For Peccei-Quinn PECCEI 77 A^0 , $m_{A^0} < 180$ keV and $\tau > 0.037$ s. (CL = 90%). For the axion of FAISSNER 81B at 250 keV, BERGSMA 85 expect 15 events but observe zero.

- 56 FAISSNER 83 observed 19 1- γ and 12 2- γ events where a background of 4.8 and 2.3 respectively is expected. A small-angle peak is observed even if iron wall is set in front of the decay region.
- 57 FAISSNER 83B extrapolate SIN γ signal to LAMPF ν experimental condition. Resulting 370 γ 's are not at variance with LAMPF upper limit of 450 γ 's. Derived from LAMPF limit that $[d\sigma(A^0)/d\omega \text{ at } 90^\circ] m_{A^0}/\tau_{A^0} < 14 \times 10^{-35} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ MeV ms}^{-1}$. See comment on FRANK 83B.
- 58 FRANK 83B stress the importance of LAMPF data bins with negative net signal. By statistical analysis say that LAMPF and SIN-A0 are at variance when extrapolation by phase-space model is done. They find LAMPF upper limit is 248 not 450 γ 's. See comment on FAISSNER 83B.
- 59 HOFFMAN 83 set CL = 90% limit $d\sigma/dt B(e^+ e^-) < 3.5 \times 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2/\text{GeV}^2$ for $140 < m_{A^0} < 160 \text{ MeV}$. Limit assumes $\tau(A^0) < 10^{-9} \text{ s}$.
- 60 FETSCHER 82 reanalyzes SIN beam-dump data of FAISSNER 81. Claims no evidence for axion since 2- γ peak rate remarkably decreases if iron wall is set in front of the decay region.
- 61 FAISSNER 81 see excess μe events. Suggest axion interactions.
- 62 FAISSNER 81B is SIN 590 MeV proton beam dump. Observed 14.5 ± 5.0 events of 2 γ decay of long-lived neutral penetrating particle with $m_{2\gamma} \lesssim 1 \text{ MeV}$. Axion interpretation with η - A^0 mixing gives $m_{A^0} = 250 \pm 25 \text{ keV}$, $\tau_{(2\gamma)} = (7.3 \pm 3.7) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}$ from above rate. See critical remarks below in comments of FETSCHER 82, FAISSNER 83, FAISSNER 83B, FRANK 83B, and BERGSMAN 85. Also see in the next subsection ALEK-SEEV 82, CAVIGNAC 83, and ANANEV 85.
- 63 KIM 81 analyzed 8 candidates for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ obtained by Aachen-Padova experiment at CERN with 26 GeV protons on Be. Estimated axion mass is about 300 keV and lifetime is $(0.86 \sim 5.6) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}$ depending on models. Faissner (private communication), says axion production underestimated and mass overestimated. Correct value around 200 keV.
- 64 FAISSNER 80 is SIN beam dump experiment with 590 MeV protons looking for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ decay. Assuming $A^0/\pi^0 = 5.5 \times 10^{-7}$, obtained decay rate limit $20/(A^0 \text{ mass}) \text{ MeV/s}$ (CL = 90%), which is about 10^{-7} below theory and interpreted as upper limit to $m_{A^0} < 2m_{e^-}$.
- 65 JACQUES 80 is a BNL beam dump experiment. First limit above comes from nonobservation of excess neutral-current-type events $[\sigma(\text{production})\sigma(\text{interaction}) < 7. \times 10^{-68} \text{ cm}^4, \text{ CL} = 90\%]$. Second limit is from nonobservation of axion decays into 2 γ 's or $e^+ e^-$, and for axion mass a few MeV.
- 66 SOUKAS 80 at BNL observed no excess of neutral-current-type events in beam dump.
- 67 BECHIS 79 looked for the axion production in low energy electron Bremsstrahlung and the subsequent decay into either 2 γ or $e^+ e^-$. No signal found. CL = 90% limits for model parameter(s) are given.
- 68 COTEUS 79 is a beam dump experiment at BNL.
- 69 DISHAW 79 is a calorimetric experiment and looks for low energy tail of energy distributions due to energy lost to weakly interacting particles.
- 70 BELLOTTI 78 first value comes from search for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$. Second value comes from search for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$, assuming mass $< 2m_{e^-}$. For any mass satisfying this, limit is above value $\times (\text{mass}^{-4})$. Third value uses data of PL 60B 401 and quotes $\sigma(\text{production})\sigma(\text{interaction}) < 10^{-67} \text{ cm}^4$.
- 71 BOSETTI 78B quotes $\sigma(\text{production})\sigma(\text{interaction}) < 2. \times 10^{-67} \text{ cm}^4$.
- 72 DONNELLY 78 examines data from reactor neutrino experiments of REINES 76 and GURR 74 as well as SLAC beam dump experiment. Evidence is negative.
- 73 MICELMACHER 78 finds no evidence of axion existence in reactor experiments of REINES 76 and GURR 74. (See reference under DONNELLY 78 below).

⁷⁴ VYSOTSKII 78 derived lower limit for the axion mass 25 keV from luminosity of the sun and 200 keV from red supergiants.

A⁰ (Axion) Searches in Reactor Experiments

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
	⁷⁵ ALTMANN 95	CNTR	Reactor; $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
	⁷⁶ KETOV 86	SPEC	Reactor, $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
	⁷⁷ KOCH 86	SPEC	Reactor; $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
	⁷⁸ DATAR 82	CNTR	Light water reactor
	⁷⁹ VUILLEUMIER 81	CNTR	Reactor, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$
⁷⁵ ALTMANN 95 looked for A^0 decaying into $e^+ e^-$ from the Bugey5 nuclear reactor. They obtain an upper limit on the A^0 production rate of $\omega(A^0)/\omega(\gamma) \times B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) < 10^{-16}$ for $m_{A^0} = 1.5$ MeV at 90% CL. The limit is weaker for heavier A^0 . In the case of a standard axion, this limit excludes a mass in the range $2m_e < m_{A^0} < 4.8$ MeV at 90% CL. See Fig. 5 of their paper for exclusion limits of axion-like resonances Z^0 in the (m_{X^0}, f_{X^0}) plane.			
⁷⁶ KETOV 86 searched for A^0 at the Rovno nuclear power plant. They found an upper limit on the A^0 production probability of $0.8 [100 \text{ keV}/m_{A^0}]^6 \times 10^{-6}$ per fission. In the standard axion model, this corresponds to $m_{A^0} > 150$ keV. Not valid for $m_{A^0} \gtrsim 1$ MeV.			
⁷⁷ KOCH 86 searched for $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ at nuclear power reactor Biblis A. They found an upper limit on the A^0 production rate of $\omega(A^0)/\omega(\gamma(M1)) < 1.5 \times 10^{-10}$ (CL=95%). Standard axion with $m_{A^0} = 250$ keV gives 10^{-5} for the ratio. Not valid for $m_{A^0} > 1022$ keV.			
⁷⁸ DATAR 82 looked for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ in neutron capture ($np \rightarrow dA^0$) at Tarapur 500 MW reactor. Sensitive to sum of $I = 0$ and $I = 1$ amplitudes. With ZEHNDER 81 [$(I = 0) - (I = 1)$] result, assert nonexistence of standard A^0 .			
⁷⁹ VUILLEUMIER 81 is at Grenoble reactor. Set limit $m_{A^0} < 280$ keV.			

A⁰ (Axion) and Other Light Boson (X⁰) Searches in Nuclear Transitions

Limits are for branching ratio.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
			⁸⁰ DEBOER 97C	RVUE	M1 transitions
$< 5.5 \times 10^{-10}$	95		⁸¹ TSUNODA 95	CNTR	^{252}Cf fission, $A^0 \rightarrow ee$
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$	95		⁸² MINOWA 93	CNTR	$^{139}\text{La}^* \rightarrow ^{139}\text{La}A^0$
$< 2 \times 10^{-4}$	90		⁸³ HICKS 92	CNTR	^{35}S decay, $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-9}$	95		⁸⁴ ASANUMA 90	CNTR	^{241}Am decay
$< (0.4-10) \times 10^{-3}$	95		⁸⁵ DEBOER 90	CNTR	$^8\text{Be}^* \rightarrow ^8\text{Be}A^0$, $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
$< (0.2-1) \times 10^{-3}$	90		⁸⁶ BINI 89	CNTR	$^{16}\text{O}^* \rightarrow ^{16}\text{O}X^0$, $X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
			⁸⁷ AVIGNONE 88	CNTR	$\text{Cu}^* \rightarrow \text{Cu}A^0$ ($A^0 \rightarrow$ $2\gamma, A^0 e \rightarrow \gamma e,$ $A^0 Z \rightarrow \gamma Z$)
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90		⁸⁸ DATAR 88	CNTR	$^{12}\text{C}^* \rightarrow ^{12}\text{C}A^0$, $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$

< 5	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	89	DEBOER	88C	CNTR	$^{16}\text{O}^* \rightarrow ^{16}\text{O}X^0,$ $X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$	
< 3.4	$\times 10^{-5}$	95	90	DOEHNER	88	SPEC	$^2\text{H}^*, A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$	
< 4	$\times 10^{-4}$	95	91	SAVAGE	88	CNTR	Nuclear decay (isovector)	
< 3	$\times 10^{-3}$	95	91	SAVAGE	88	CNTR	Nuclear decay (isoscalar)	
< 0.106		90	92	HALLIN	86	SPEC	^6Li isovector decay	
< 10.8		90	92	HALLIN	86	SPEC	^{10}B isoscalar decays	
< 2.2		90	92	HALLIN	86	SPEC	^{14}N isoscalar decays	
< 4	$\times 10^{-4}$	90	0	93	SAVAGE	86B	CNTR	$^{14}\text{N}^*$
			0	94	ANANEV	85	CNTR	$\text{Li}^*, \text{deut}^* A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$
			0	95	CAVAIGNAC	83	CNTR	$^{97}\text{Nb}^*, \text{deut}^* \text{ transition}$ $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$
			0	96	ALEKSEEV	82B	CNTR	$\text{Li}^*, \text{deut}^* \text{ transition}$ $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$
			0	97	LEHMANN	82	CNTR	$\text{Cu}^* \rightarrow \text{Cu}A^0$ $(A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma)$
			0	98	ZEHNDER	82	CNTR	$\text{Li}^*, \text{Nb}^* \text{ decay, } n\text{-capt.}$
			0	99	ZEHNDER	81	CNTR	$\text{Ba}^* \rightarrow \text{Ba}A^0$ $(A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma)$
				100	CALAPRICE	79		Carbon

80 DEBOER 97C reanalyzed the existent data on Nuclear M1 transitions and find that a 9 MeV boson decaying into e^+e^- would explain the excess of events with large opening angles.

81 TSUNODA 95 looked for axion emission when ^{252}Cf undergoes a spontaneous fission, with the axion decaying into e^+e^- . The bound is for $m_{A^0}=40$ MeV. It improves to 2.5×10^{-5} for $m_{A^0}=200$ MeV.

82 MINOWA 93 studied chain process, $^{139}\text{Ce} \rightarrow ^{139}\text{La}^*$ by electron capture and M1 transition of $^{139}\text{La}^*$ to the ground state. It does not assume decay modes of A^0 . The bound applies for $m_{A^0} < 166$ keV.

83 HICKS 92 bound is applicable for $\tau_{X^0} < 4 \times 10^{-11}$ sec.

84 The ASANUMA 90 limit is for the branching fraction of X^0 emission per ^{241}Am α decay and valid for $\tau_{X^0} < 3 \times 10^{-11}$ s.

85 The DEBOER 90 limit is for the branching ratio $^8\text{Be}^* (18.15 \text{ MeV}, 1^+) \rightarrow ^8\text{Be}A^0,$ $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ for the mass range $m_{A^0} = 4\text{--}15$ MeV.

86 The BINI 89 limit is for the branching fraction of $^{16}\text{O}^* (6.05 \text{ MeV}, 0^+) \rightarrow ^{16}\text{O}X^0,$ $X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ for $m_X = 1.5\text{--}3.1$ MeV. $\tau_{X^0} \lesssim 10^{-11}$ s is assumed. The spin-parity of X is restricted to 0^+ or 1^- .

87 AVIGNONE 88 looked for the 1115 keV transition $\text{C}^* \rightarrow \text{Cu}A^0$, either from $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ in-flight decay or from the secondary A^0 interactions by Compton and by Primakoff processes. Limits for axion parameters are obtained for $m_{A^0} < 1.1$ MeV.

88 DATAR 88 rule out light pseudoscalar particle emission through its decay $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ in the mass range 1.02–2.5 MeV and lifetime range $10^{-13}\text{--}10^{-8}$ s. The above limit is for $\tau = 5 \times 10^{-13}$ s and $m = 1.7$ MeV; see the paper for the τ - m dependence of the limit.

89 The limit is for the branching fraction of $^{16}\text{O}^* (6.05 \text{ MeV}, 0^+) \rightarrow ^{16}\text{O}X^0,$ $X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ against internal pair conversion for $m_{X^0} = 1.7$ MeV and $\tau_{X^0} < 10^{-11}$ s. Similar limits are obtained for $m_{X^0} = 1.3\text{--}3.2$ MeV. The spin parity of X^0 must be

- either 0^+ or 1^- . The limit at 1.7 MeV is translated into a limit for the X^0 -nucleon coupling constant: $g_{X^0 NN}^2/4\pi < 2.3 \times 10^{-9}$.
- 90 The DOEHNER 88 limit is for $m_{A^0} = 1.7$ MeV, $\tau(A^0) < 10^{-10}$ s. Limits less than 10^{-4} are obtained for $m_{A^0} = 1.2$ -2.2 MeV.
- 91 SAVAGE 88 looked for A^0 that decays into e^+e^- in the decay of the 9.17 MeV $J^P = 2^+$ state in ^{14}N , 17.64 MeV state $J^P = 1^+$ in ^8Be , and the 18.15 MeV state $J^P = 1^+$ in ^8Be . This experiment constrains the isovector coupling of A^0 to hadrons, if $m_{A^0} = (1.1 \rightarrow 2.2)$ MeV and the isoscalar coupling of A^0 to hadrons, if $m_{A^0} = (1.1 \rightarrow 2.6)$ MeV. Both limits are valid only if $\tau(A^0) \lesssim 1 \times 10^{-11}$ s.
- 92 Limits are for $\Gamma(A^0(1.8 \text{ MeV}))/\Gamma(\pi M1)$; i.e., for 1.8 MeV axion emission normalized to the rate for internal emission of e^+e^- pairs. Valid for $\tau_{A^0} < 2 \times 10^{-11}$ s. ^6Li isovector decay data strongly disfavor PECCEI 86 model I, whereas the ^{10}B and ^{14}N isoscalar decay data strongly reject PECCEI 86 model II and III.
- 93 SAVAGE 86B looked for A^0 that decays into e^+e^- in the decay of the 9.17 MeV $J^P = 2^+$ state in ^{14}N . Limit on the branching fraction is valid if $\tau_{A^0} \lesssim 1. \times 10^{-11}$ s for $m_{A^0} = (1.1$ -1.7) MeV. This experiment constrains the iso-vector coupling of A^0 to hadrons.
- 94 ANANEV 85 with IBR-2 pulsed reactor exclude standard A^0 at CL = 95% masses below 470 keV (Li^* decay) and below $2m_e$ for deuteron* decay.
- 95 CAVAIGNAC 83 at Bugey reactor exclude axion at any $m_{97\text{Nb}^*\text{decay}}$ and axion with m_{A^0} between 275 and 288 keV (deuteron* decay).
- 96 ALEKSEEV 82 with IBR-2 pulsed reactor exclude standard A^0 at CL = 95% mass-ranges $m_{A^0} < 400$ keV (Li^* decay) and $330 \text{ keV} < m_{A^0} < 2.2$ MeV. (deuteron* decay).
- 97 LEHMANN 82 obtained $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ rate $< 6.2 \times 10^{-5}/\text{s}$ (CL = 95%) excluding m_{A^0} between 100 and 1000 keV.
- 98 ZEHNDER 82 used Goesgen 2.8GW light-water reactor to check A^0 production. No 2γ peak in Li^* , Nb^* decay (both single p transition) nor in n capture (combined with previous Ba^* negative result) rules out standard A^0 . Set limit $m_{A^0} < 60$ keV for any A^0 .
- 99 ZEHNDER 81 looked for $\text{Ba}^* \rightarrow A^0\text{Ba}$ transition with $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$. Obtained 2γ coincidence rate $< 2.2 \times 10^{-5}/\text{s}$ (CL = 95%) excluding $m_{A^0} > 160$ keV (or 200 keV depending on Higgs mixing). However, see BARROSO 81.
- 100 CALAPRICE 79 saw no axion emission from excited states of carbon. Sensitive to axion mass between 1 and 15 MeV.

A^0 (Axion) Limits from Its Electron Coupling

Limits are for $\tau(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)$.

VALUE (s)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • •				We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
none 4×10^{-16} - 4.5×10^{-12}	90	101 BROSS	91 BDMP	$eN \rightarrow eA^0N$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)
		102 GUO	90 BDMP	$eN \rightarrow eA^0N$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)
		103 BJORKEN	88 CALO	$A \rightarrow e^+e^-$ or 2γ
		104 BLINOV	88 MD1	$ee \rightarrow eeA^0$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)

none	1×10^{-14} – 1×10^{-10}	90	105 RIORDAN	87	BDMP	$eN \rightarrow eA^0N$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)
none	1×10^{-14} – 1×10^{-11}	90	106 BROWN	86	BDMP	$eN \rightarrow eA^0N$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)
none	6×10^{-14} – 9×10^{-11}	95	107 DAVIER	86	BDMP	$eN \rightarrow eA^0N$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)
none	3×10^{-13} – 1×10^{-7}	90	108 KONAKA	86	BDMP	$eN \rightarrow eA^0N$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)

- 101 The listed BROSS 91 limit is for $m_{A^0} = 1.14$ MeV. $B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 1$ assumed. Excluded domain in the τ_{A^0} – m_{A^0} plane extends up to $m_{A^0} \approx 7$ MeV (see Fig. 5). Combining with electron $g-2$ constraint, axions coupling only to e^+e^- ruled out for $m_{A^0} < 4.8$ MeV (90%CL).
- 102 GUO 90 use the same apparatus as BROWN 86 and improve the previous limit in the shorter lifetime region. Combined with $g-2$ constraint, axions coupling only to e^+e^- are ruled out for $m_{A^0} < 2.7$ MeV (90% CL).
- 103 BJORKEN 88 reports limits on axion parameters (f_A, m_A, τ_A) for $m_{A^0} < 200$ MeV from electron beam-dump experiment with production via Primakoff photoproduction, bremsstrahlung from electrons, and resonant annihilation of positrons on atomic electrons.
- 104 BLINOV 88 assume zero spin, $m = 1.8$ MeV and lifetime $< 5 \times 10^{-12}$ s and find $\Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-) < 2$ eV (CL=90%).
- 105 Assumes $A^0\gamma\gamma$ coupling is small and hence Primakoff production is small. Their figure 2 shows limits on axions for $m_{A^0} < 15$ MeV.
- 106 Uses electrons in hadronic showers from an incident 800 GeV proton beam. Limits for $m_{A^0} < 15$ MeV are shown in their figure 3.
- 107 $m_{A^0} = 1.8$ MeV assumed. The excluded domain in the τ_{A^0} – m_{A^0} plane extends up to $m_{A^0} \approx 14$ MeV, see their figure 4.
- 108 The limits are obtained from their figure 3. Also given is the limit on the $A^0\gamma\gamma$ – $A^0e^+e^-$ coupling plane by assuming Primakoff production.

Search for A^0 (Axion) Resonance in Bhabha Scattering

The limit is for $\Gamma(A^0)[B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)]^2$.

VALUE (10^{-3} eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
< 1.3	97	109 HALLIN	92	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.75$ – 1.88 MeV
none 0.0016–0.47	90	110 HENDERSON	92C	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.5$ – 1.86 MeV
< 2.0	90	111 WU	92	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.56$ – 1.86 MeV
< 0.013	95	TSERTOS	91	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.832$ MeV
none 0.19–3.3	95	112 WIDMANN	91	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.78$ – 1.92 MeV
< 5	97	BAUER	90	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.832$ MeV
none 0.09–1.5	95	113 JUDGE	90	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.832$ MeV, elastic
< 1.9	97	114 TSERTOS	89	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.82$ MeV
<(10–40)	97	114 TSERTOS	89	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.51$ – 1.65 MeV
<(1–2.5)	97	114 TSERTOS	89	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.80$ – 1.86 MeV

< 31	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.646$ MeV
< 94	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.726$ MeV
< 23	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.782$ MeV
< 19	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.837$ MeV
< 3.8	97	¹¹⁵ TSERTOS	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832$ MeV
		¹¹⁶ VANKLINKEN	88	CNTR	
		¹¹⁷ MAIER	87	CNTR	
<2500	90	MILLS	87	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.8$ MeV
		¹¹⁸ VONWIMMER.	87	CNTR	

¹⁰⁹ HALLIN 92 quote limits on lifetime, $8 \times 10^{-14} - 5 \times 10^{-13}$ sec depending on mass, assuming $B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 100\%$. They say that TSERTOS 91 overstated their sensitivity by a factor of 3.

¹¹⁰ HENDERSON 92C exclude axion with lifetime $\tau_{A^0} = 1.4 \times 10^{-12} - 4.0 \times 10^{-10}$ s, assuming $B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 100\%$. HENDERSON 92C also exclude a vector boson with $\tau = 1.4 \times 10^{-12} - 6.0 \times 10^{-10}$ s.

¹¹¹ WU 92 quote limits on lifetime $> 3.3 \times 10^{-13}$ s assuming $B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 100\%$. They say that TSERTOS 89 overestimate the limit by a factor of $\pi/2$. WU 92 also quote a bound for vector boson, $\tau > 8.2 \times 10^{-13}$ s.

¹¹² WIDMANN 91 bound applies exclusively to the case $B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 1$, since the detection efficiency varies substantially as $\Gamma(A^0)_{\text{total}}$ changes. See their Fig. 6.

¹¹³ JUDGE 90 excludes an elastic pseudoscalar $e^+ e^-$ resonance for 4.5×10^{-13} s $< \tau(A^0) < 7.5 \times 10^{-12}$ s (95% CL) at $m_{A^0} = 1.832$ MeV. Comparable limits can be set for $m_{A^0} = 1.776 - 1.856$ MeV.

¹¹⁴ See also TSERTOS 88B in references.

¹¹⁵ The upper limit listed in TSERTOS 88 is too large by a factor of 4. See TSERTOS 88B, footnote 3.

¹¹⁶ VANKLINKEN 88 looked for relatively long-lived resonance ($\tau = 10^{-10} - 10^{-12}$ s). The sensitivity is not sufficient to exclude such a narrow resonance.

¹¹⁷ MAIER 87 obtained limits $R\Gamma \lesssim 60$ eV (100 eV) at $m_{A^0} \simeq 1.64$ MeV (1.83 MeV) for energy resolution $\Delta E_{\text{cm}} \simeq 3$ keV, where R is the resonance cross section normalized to that of Bhabha scattering, and $\Gamma = \Gamma_{ee}^2 / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. For a discussion implying that $\Delta E_{\text{cm}} \simeq 10$ keV, see TSERTOS 89.

¹¹⁸ VONWIMMERSPERG 87 measured Bhabha scattering for $E_{\text{cm}} = 1.37 - 1.86$ MeV and found a possible peak at 1.73 with $\int \sigma dE_{\text{cm}} = 14.5 \pm 6.8$ keV·b. For a comment and a reply, see VANKLINKEN 88B and VONWIMMERSPERG 88. Also see CONNELL 88.

Search for A^0 (Axion) Resonance in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

The limit is for $\Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-) \cdot \Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (10^{-3} eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
< 0.18	95	VO	94 CNTR	$m_{A^0}=1.1$ MeV
< 1.5	95	VO	94 CNTR	$m_{A^0}=1.4$ MeV
<12	95	VO	94 CNTR	$m_{A^0}=1.7$ MeV
< 6.6	95	¹¹⁹ TRZASKA	91 CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.8$ MeV
< 4.4	95	WIDMANN	91 CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.78-1.92$ MeV
		¹²⁰ FOX	89 CNTR	
< 0.11	95	¹²¹ MINOWA	89 CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.062$ MeV
<33	97	CONNELL	88 CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.580$ MeV
<42	97	CONNELL	88 CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.642$ MeV
<73	97	CONNELL	88 CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.782$ MeV
<79	97	CONNELL	88 CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832$ MeV

¹¹⁹ TRZASKA 91 also give limits in the range $(6.6-30) \times 10^{-3}$ eV (95%CL) for $m_{A^0} = 1.6-2.0$ MeV.

¹²⁰ FOX 89 measured positron annihilation with an electron in the source material into two photons and found no signal at 1.062 MeV ($< 9 \times 10^{-5}$ of two-photon annihilation at rest).

¹²¹ Similar limits are obtained for $m_{A^0} = 1.045-1.085$ MeV.

Search for X^0 (Light Boson) Resonance in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$

The limit is for $\Gamma(X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-) \cdot \Gamma(X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. C invariance forbids spin-0 X^0 coupling to both e^+e^- and $\gamma\gamma\gamma$.

VALUE (10^{-3} eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
< 0.2	95	¹²² VO	94 CNTR	$m_{X^0}=1.1-1.9$ MeV
< 1.0	95	¹²³ VO	94 CNTR	$m_{X^0}=1.1$ MeV
< 2.5	95	¹²³ VO	94 CNTR	$m_{X^0}=1.4$ MeV
<120	95	¹²³ VO	94 CNTR	$m_{X^0}=1.7$ MeV
< 3.8	95	¹²⁴ SKALSEY	92 CNTR	$m_{X^0} = 1.5$ MeV

¹²² VO 94 looked for $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$ decaying at rest. The precise limits depend on m_{X^0} . See Fig. 2(b) in paper.

¹²³ VO 94 looked for $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$ decaying in flight.

¹²⁴ SKALSEY 92 also give limits 4.3 for $m_{X^0} = 1.54$ and 7.5 for 1.64 MeV. The spin of X^0 is assumed to be one.

Light Boson (X^0) Search in Nonresonant e^+e^- Annihilation at Rest

Limits are for the ratio of $n\gamma + X^0$ production relative to $\gamma\gamma$.

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				

< 4.2	90	125	MITSUI	96	CNTR	γX^0
< 4	68	126	SKALSEY	95	CNTR	γX^0
<40	68	127	SKALSEY	95	RVUE	γX^0
< 0.18	90	128	ADACHI	94	CNTR	$\gamma\gamma X^0, X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
< 0.26	90	129	ADACHI	94	CNTR	$\gamma\gamma X^0, X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
< 0.33	90	130	ADACHI	94	CNTR	$\gamma X^0, X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$

125 MITSUI 96 looked for a monochromatic γ . The bound applies for a vector X^0 with $C=-1$ and $m_{X^0} < 200$ keV. They derive an upper bound on $e e X^0$ coupling and hence on the branching ratio $B(\rho\text{-Ps} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma X^0) < 6.2 \times 10^{-6}$. The bounds weaken for heavier X^0 .

126 SKALSEY 95 looked for a monochromatic γ without an accompanying γ in $e^+ e^-$ annihilation. The bound applies for scalar and vector X^0 with $C = -1$ and $m_{X^0} = 100\text{--}1000$ keV.

127 SKALSEY 95 reinterpreted the bound on γA^0 decay of $\rho\text{-Ps}$ by ASAI 91 where 3% of delayed annihilations are not from 3S_1 states. The bound applies for scalar and vector X^0 with $C = -1$ and $m_{X^0} = 0\text{--}800$ keV.

128 ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the $\gamma\gamma$ invariant mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from $e^+ e^-$ annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{X^0} = 70\text{--}800$ keV.

129 ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the missing-mass mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma$ channel, using $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from $e^+ e^-$ annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{X^0} < 800$ keV.

130 ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the missing mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ channel, using $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from $e^+ e^-$ annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{X^0} = 200\text{--}900$ keV.

Searches for Goldstone Bosons (X^0)

(Including Horizontal Bosons and Majorons.) Limits are for branching ratios.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
			131 DIAZ	98 THEO	$H^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0, A^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0 X^0$, Majoron interaction
			132 BOBRAKOV	91	Electron quasi-magnetic interaction
$< 3.3 \times 10^{-2}$	95		133 ALBRECHT	90E ARG	$\tau \rightarrow \mu X^0$. Familon
$< 1.8 \times 10^{-2}$	95		133 ALBRECHT	90E ARG	$\tau \rightarrow e X^0$. Familon
$< 6.4 \times 10^{-9}$	90		134 ATIYA	90 B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$. Familon
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-9}$	90		135 BOLTON	88 CBOX	$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma X^0$. Familon
			136 CHANDA	88 ASTR	Sun, Majoron
			137 CHOI	88 ASTR	Majoron, SN 1987A
$< 5 \times 10^{-6}$	90		138 PICCIOTTO	88 CNTR	$\pi \rightarrow e\nu X^0$, Majoron
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-9}$	90		139 GOLDMAN	87 CNTR	$\mu \rightarrow e\gamma X^0$. Familon
$< 3 \times 10^{-4}$	90		140 BRYMAN	86B RVUE	$\mu \rightarrow e X^0$. Familon
$< 1. \times 10^{-10}$	90	0	141 EICHLER	86 SPEC	$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0$. Familon
$< 2.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90		142 JODIDIO	86 SPEC	$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0$. Familon
			143 BALTRUSAIT..	85 MRK3	$\tau \rightarrow \ell X^0$. Familon
			144 DICUS	83 COSM	$\nu(\text{hvy}) \rightarrow \nu(\text{light}) X^0$

- 131 DIAZ 98 studied models of spontaneously broken lepton number with both singlet and triplet Higgses. They obtain limits on the parameter space from invisible decay $Z \rightarrow H^0 A^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0 X^0 X^0 X^0$ and $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z H^0$ with $H^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0$.
- 132 BOBRAKOV 91 searched for anomalous magnetic interactions between polarized electrons expected from the exchange of a massless pseudoscalar boson (arion). A limit $x_e^2 < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ (95%CL) is found for the effective anomalous magneton parametrized as $x_e(G_F/8\pi\sqrt{2})^{1/2}$.
- 133 ALBRECHT 90E limits are for $B(\tau \rightarrow \ell X^0)/B(\tau \rightarrow \ell \nu \bar{\nu})$. Valid for $m_{X^0} < 100$ MeV. The limits rise to 7.1% (for μ), 5.0% (for e) for $m_{X^0} = 500$ MeV.
- 134 ATIYA 90 limit is for $m_{X^0} = 0$. The limit $B < 1 \times 10^{-8}$ holds for $m_{X^0} < 95$ MeV. For the reduction of the limit due to finite lifetime of X^0 , see their Fig. 3.
- 135 BOLTON 88 limit corresponds to $F > 3.1 \times 10^9$ GeV, which does not depend on the chirality property of the coupling.
- 136 CHANDA 88 find $v_T < 10$ MeV for the weak-triplet Higgs vev. in Gelmini-Roncadelli model, and $v_S > 5.8 \times 10^6$ GeV in the singlet Majoron model.
- 137 CHOI 88 used the observed neutrino flux from the supernova SN 1987A to exclude the neutrino Majoron Yukawa coupling h in the range $2 \times 10^{-5} < h < 3 \times 10^{-4}$ for the interaction $L_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} i h \bar{\psi}_\nu^c \gamma_5 \psi_\nu \phi_X$. For several families of neutrinos, the limit applies for $(\sum h_i^4)^{1/4}$.
- 138 PICCIOTTO 88 limit applies when $m_{X^0} < 55$ MeV and $\tau_{X^0} > 2$ ns, and it decreases to 4×10^{-7} at $m_{X^0} = 125$ MeV, beyond which no limit is obtained.
- 139 GOLDMAN 87 limit corresponds to $F > 2.9 \times 10^9$ GeV for the family symmetry breaking scale from the Lagrangian $L_{\text{int}} = (1/F) \bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma^\mu (a + b \gamma_5) \psi_e \partial_\mu \phi_{X^0}$ with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$. This is not as sensitive as the limit $F > 9.9 \times 10^9$ GeV derived from the search for $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0$ by JODIDIO 86, but does not depend on the chirality property of the coupling.
- 140 Limits are for $\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow e X^0)/\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow e \nu \bar{\nu})$. Valid when $m_{X^0} = 0-93.4, 98.1-103.5$ MeV.
- 141 EICHLER 86 looked for $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0$ followed by $X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$. Limits on the branching fraction depend on the mass and and lifetime of X^0 . The quoted limits are valid when $\tau_{X^0} \lesssim 3. \times 10^{-10}$ s if the decays are kinematically allowed.
- 142 JODIDIO 86 corresponds to $F > 9.9 \times 10^9$ GeV for the family symmetry breaking scale with the parity-conserving effective Lagrangian $L_{\text{int}} = (1/F) \bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma^\mu \psi_e \partial^\mu \phi_{X^0}$.
- 143 BALTRUSAITIS 85 search for light Goldstone boson(X^0) of broken U(1). CL = 95% limits are $B(\tau \rightarrow \mu^+ X^0)/B(\tau \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu \nu) < 0.125$ and $B(\tau \rightarrow e^+ X^0)/B(\tau \rightarrow e^+ \nu \nu) < 0.04$. Inferred limit for the symmetry breaking scale is $m > 3000$ TeV.
- 144 The primordial heavy neutrino must decay into ν and familon, f_A , early so that the red-shifted decay products are below critical density, see their table. In addition, $K \rightarrow \pi f_A$ and $\mu \rightarrow e f_A$ are unseen. Combining these excludes $m_{\text{heavy}\nu}$ between 5×10^{-5} and 5×10^{-4} MeV (μ decay) and $m_{\text{heavy}\nu}$ between 5×10^{-5} and 0.1 MeV (K -decay).

Majoron Searches in Neutrinoless Double β Decay

Limits are for the half-life of neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay with a Majoron emission.

Previous indications for neutrinoless double beta decay with majoron emission have been superseded. No experiment currently claims any such evidence. For a review, see DOI 88.

VALUE (years)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> 7.2	$\times 10^{24}$	90	145 BERNATOW...	92 CNTR ^{128}Te

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 1.2	$\times 10^{21}$	90	146 DANEVICH	98	SCIN	$^{116}\text{Cd}, 0\nu\chi$
> 2.6	$\times 10^{20}$	90	147 DANEVICH	98	SCIN	$^{116}\text{Cd}, 0\nu 2\chi$
> 0.72	$\times 10^{22}$	90	148 LUESCHER	98	TPC	$^{136}\text{Xe}, 0\nu\chi$
> 7.91	$\times 10^{21}$	90	149 GUENTHER	96	SPEC	^{76}Ge
> 1.7	$\times 10^{22}$	90	BECK	93	CNTR	^{76}Ge
> 7.9	$\times 10^{20}$	68	150 TANAKA	93	SPEC	^{100}Mo
> 1.9	$\times 10^{20}$	68	BARABASH	89	CNTR	^{136}Xe
> 1.0	$\times 10^{21}$	90	FISHER	89	CNTR	^{76}Ge
> 3.3	$\times 10^{20}$	90	ALSTON-...	88	CNTR	^{100}Mo
(6 \pm 1)	$\times 10^{20}$		AVIGNONE	87	CNTR	^{76}Ge
> 1.4	$\times 10^{21}$	90	CALDWELL	87	CNTR	^{76}Ge
> 4.4	$\times 10^{20}$	90	ELLIOTT	87	SPEC	^{82}Se
> 1.2	$\times 10^{21}$	90	FISHER	87	CNTR	^{76}Ge
			151 VERGADOS	82	CNTR	

145 BERNATOWICZ 92 studied double- β decays of ^{128}Te and ^{130}Te , and found the ratio $\tau(^{130}\text{Te})/\tau(^{128}\text{Te}) = (3.52 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$ in agreement with relatively stable theoretical predictions. The bound is based on the requirement that Majoron-emitting decay cannot be larger than the observed double-beta rate of ^{128}Te of $(7.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{24}$ year. We calculated 90% CL limit as $(7.7-1.28 \times 0.4=7.2) \times 10^{24}$.

146 DANEVICH 98 use cadmium tungstate crystals, enriched to 83% in ^{116}Cd . The spectrum was analysed in the region of expected majoron emission. Using a variety of nuclear matrix elements, they obtain a limit $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < (1-3) \times 10^{-4}$.

147 DANEVICH 98 obtain a limit on the 0ν decay with emission of 2 majorons.

148 LUESCHER 98 report a limit for the 0ν decay with Majoron emission of ^{136}Xe using Xe TPC. This result is more stringent than BARABASH 89. Using the matrix elements of ENGEL 88, they obtain a limit on $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle$ of 2.0×10^{-4} .

149 See Table 1 in GUENTHER 96 for limits on the Majoron coupling in different models.

150 TANAKA 93 also quote limit 5.3×10^{19} years on two Majoron emission.

151 VERGADOS 82 sets limit $g_H < 4 \times 10^{-3}$ for (dimensionless) lepton-number violating coupling, g_H , of scalar boson (Majoron) to neutrinos, from analysis of data on double β decay of ^{48}Ca .

Invisible A^0 (Axion) MASS LIMITS from Astrophysics and Cosmology

$v_1 = v_2$ is usually assumed ($v_i =$ vacuum expectation values). For a review of these limits, see RAFFELT 90C and TURNER 90. In the comment lines below, D and K refer to DFSZ and KSVZ axion types, discussed in the above minireview.

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3 to 20	152 MOROI	98	COSM	K, hot dark matter
< 0.007	153 BORISOV	97	ASTR	D, neutron star
< 4	154 KACHELRIESS	97	ASTR	D, neutron star cooling
< (0.5-6) $\times 10^{-3}$	155 KEIL	97	ASTR	SN 1987A
< 0.018	156 RAFFELT	95	ASTR	D, red giant
< 0.010	157 ALTHERR	94	ASTR	D, red giants, white dwarfs

	158	CHANG	93	ASTR	K, SN 1987A
< 0.01		WANG	92	ASTR	D, white dwarf
< 0.03		WANG	92C	ASTR	D, C-O burning
none 3-8	159	BERSHADY	91	ASTR	D, K, intergalactic light
<10	160	KIM	91C	COSM	D, K, mass density of the universe, super- symmetry
	161	RAFFELT	91B	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 1 $\times 10^{-3}$	162	RESSELL	91	ASTR	K, intergalactic light
none 10^{-3-3}		BURROWS	90	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
	163	ENGEL	90	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 0.02	164	RAFFELT	90D	ASTR	D, red giant
< 1 $\times 10^{-3}$	165	BURROWS	89	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
<(1.4-10) $\times 10^{-3}$	166	ERICSON	89	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 3.6 $\times 10^{-4}$	167	MAYLE	89	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
<12		CHANDA	88	ASTR	D, Sun
< 1 $\times 10^{-3}$		RAFFELT	88	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
	168	RAFFELT	88B	ASTR	red giant
< 0.07		FRIEMAN	87	ASTR	D, red giant
< 0.7	169	RAFFELT	87	ASTR	K, red giant
< 2-5		TURNER	87	COSM	K, thermal production
< 0.01	170	DEARBORN	86	ASTR	D, red giant
< 0.06		RAFFELT	86	ASTR	D, red giant
< 0.7	171	RAFFELT	86	ASTR	K, red giant
< 0.03		RAFFELT	86B	ASTR	D, white dwarf
< 1	172	KAPLAN	85	ASTR	K, red giant
< 0.003-0.02		IWAMOTO	84	ASTR	D, K, neutron star
> 1 $\times 10^{-5}$		ABBOTT	83	COSM	D,K, mass density of the universe
> 1 $\times 10^{-5}$		DINE	83	COSM	D,K, mass density of the universe
< 0.04		ELLIS	83B	ASTR	D, red giant
> 1 $\times 10^{-5}$		PRESKILL	83	COSM	D,K, mass density of the universe
< 0.1		BARROSO	82	ASTR	D, red giant
< 1	173	FUKUGITA	82	ASTR	D, stellar cooling
< 0.07		FUKUGITA	82B	ASTR	D, red giant
152	MOROI 98 points out that a KSVZ axion of this mass range (see CHANG 93) can be a viable hot dark matter of Universe, as long as the model-dependent $g_{A\gamma}$ is accidentally small enough as originally emphasized by KAPLAN 85; see Fig. 1.				
153	BORISOV 97 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1 \times 10^{-13}$ from the photo-production of axions off of electric fields in the outer layers of neutron stars.				
154	KACHELRIESS 97 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1 \times 10^{-10}$ from the production of axions in strongly magnetized neutron stars. The authors also quote a stronger limit, $g_{ae} < 9 \times 10^{-13}$ which is strongly dependent on the strength of the magnetic field in white dwarfs.				
155	KEIL 97 uses new measurements of the axial-vector coupling strength of nucleons, as well as a reanalysis of many-body effects and pion-emission processes in the core of the neutron star, to update limits on the invisible-axion mass.				
156	RAFFELT 95 reexamined the constraints on axion emission from red giants due to the axion-electron coupling. They improve on DEARBORN 86 by taking into proper account degeneracy effects in the bremsstrahlung rate. The limit comes from requiring the red				

- giant core mass at helium ignition not to exceed its standard value by more than 5% (0.025 solar masses).
- 157 ALTHERR 94 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1.5 \times 10^{-13}$, from energy loss via axion emission.
- 158 CHANG 93 updates ENGEL 90 bound with the Kaplan-Mahohar ambiguity in $z=m_u/m_d$ (see the Note on the Quark Masses in the Quark Particle Listings). It leaves the window $f_A=3 \times 10^5-3 \times 10^6$ GeV open. The constraint from Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis is satisfied in this window as well.
- 159 BERSHADY 91 searched for a line at wave length from 3100–8300 Å expected from 2γ decays of relic thermal axions in intergalactic light of three rich clusters of galaxies.
- 160 KIM 91C argues that the bound from the mass density of the universe will change drastically for the supersymmetric models due to the entropy production of saxion (scalar component in the axionic chiral multiplet) decay. Note that it is an *upperbound* rather than a lowerbound.
- 161 RAFFELT 91B argue that previous SN 1987A bounds must be relaxed due to corrections to nucleon bremsstrahlung processes.
- 162 RESSELL 91 uses absence of any intracluster line emission to set limit.
- 163 ENGEL 90 rule out $10^{-10} \lesssim g_{AN} \lesssim 10^{-3}$, which for a hadronic axion with EMC motivated axion-nucleon couplings corresponds to $2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV} \lesssim m_{A^0} \lesssim 2.5 \times 10^4 \text{ eV}$. The constraint is loose in the middle of the range, i.e. for $g_{AN} \sim 10^{-6}$.
- 164 RAFFELT 90D is a re-analysis of DEARBORN 86.
- 165 The region $m_{A^0} \gtrsim 2 \text{ eV}$ is also allowed.
- 166 ERICSON 89 considered various nuclear corrections to axion emission in a supernova core, and found a reduction of the previous limit (MAYLE 88) by a large factor.
- 167 MAYLE 89 limit based on naive quark model couplings of axion to nucleons. Limit based on couplings motivated by EMC measurements is 2–4 times weaker. The limit from axion-electron coupling is weak: see HATSUDA 88B.
- 168 RAFFELT 88B derives a limit for the energy generation rate by exotic processes in helium-burning stars $\epsilon < 100 \text{ erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which gives a firmer basis for the axion limits based on red giant cooling.
- 169 RAFFELT 87 also gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$.
- 170 DEARBORN 86 also gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 1.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$.
- 171 RAFFELT 86 gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 1.1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ from red giants and $< 2.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ from the sun.
- 172 KAPLAN 85 says $m_{A^0} < 23 \text{ eV}$ is allowed for a special choice of model parameters.
- 173 FUKUGITA 82 gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 2.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$.

Search for Relic Invisible Axions

Limits are for $[G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A^0}]^2 \rho_A$ where $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ denotes the axion two-photon coupling,

$L_{\text{int}} = \frac{G_{A\gamma\gamma}}{4} \phi_A F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = G_{A\gamma\gamma} \phi_A \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$, and ρ_A is the axion energy density near the earth.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$< 5.5 \times 10^{-43}$	95	174 HAGMANN	98 CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 2.9-3.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
		175 KIM	98 THEO	
$< 2 \times 10^{-41}$		176 HAGMANN	90 CNTR	$m_{A^0} = (5.4-5.9)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-42}$	95	177 WUENSCH	89 CNTR	$m_{A^0} = (4.5-10.2)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 2 \times 10^{-41}$	95	177 WUENSCH	89 CNTR	$m_{A^0} = (11.3-16.3)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$

- 174 Based on the conversion of halo axions to microwave photons. Limit assumes $\rho_A=0.45$ GeV cm⁻³. At 90%CL this result excludes a version of KSVZ axions as dark matter in the halo of our Galaxy, for the quoted axion mass range.
- 175 KIM 98 calculated the axion-to-photon couplings for various axion models and compared them to the HAGMANN 90 bounds. This analysis demonstrates a strong model dependence of $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and hence the bound from relic axion search.
- 176 HAGMANN 90 experiment is based on the proposal of SIKIVIE 83.
- 177 WUENSCH 89 looks for condensed axions near the earth that could be converted to photons in the presence of an intense electromagnetic field via the Primakoff effect, following the proposal of SIKIVIE 83. The theoretical prediction with $[G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A^0}]^2 = 2 \times 10^{-14}$ MeV⁻⁴ (the three generation DFSZ model) and $\rho_A = 300$ MeV/cm³ that makes up galactic halos gives $(G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A^0})^2 \rho_A = 4 \times 10^{-44}$. Note that our definition of $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ is $(1/4\pi)$ smaller than that of WUENSCH 89.

Invisible A^0 (Axion) Limits from Photon Coupling

Limits are for the axion-two-photon coupling $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ defined by $L = G_{A\gamma\gamma}\phi_A\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{B}$.

Related limits from astrophysics can be found in the "Invisible A^0 (Axion) Mass Limits from Astrophysics and Cosmology" section.

VALUE (GeV ⁻¹)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$<2.7 \times 10^{-9}$	95	178 AVIGNONE	98 $m_{A^0} < 1$ keV
$<6.0 \times 10^{-10}$	95	179 MORIYAMA	98 $m_{A^0} < 0.03$ eV
$<3.6 \times 10^{-7}$	95	180 CAMERON	93 $m_{A^0} < 10^{-3}$ eV, optical rotation
$<6.7 \times 10^{-7}$	95	181 CAMERON	93 $m_{A^0} < 10^{-3}$ eV, photon regeneration
$<3.6 \times 10^{-9}$	99.7	182 LAZARUS	92 $m_{A^0} < 0.03$ eV
$<7.7 \times 10^{-9}$	99.7	182 LAZARUS	92 $m_{A^0} = 0.03-0.11$ eV
$<7.7 \times 10^{-7}$	99	183 RUOSO	92 $m_{A^0} < 10^{-3}$ eV
$<2.5 \times 10^{-6}$		184 SEMERTZIDIS	90 $m_{A^0} < 7 \times 10^{-4}$ eV

- 178 AVIGNONE 98 result is based on the coherent conversion of solar axions to photons via the Primakoff effect in a single crystal germanium detector.
- 179 Based on the conversion of solar axions to X-rays in a strong laboratory magnetic field.
- 180 Experiment based on proposal by MAIANI 86.
- 181 Experiment based on proposal by VANBIBBER 87.
- 182 LAZARUS 92 experiment is based on proposal found in VANBIBBER 89.
- 183 RUOSO 92 experiment is based on the proposal by VANBIBBER 87.
- 184 SEMERTZIDIS 90 experiment is based on the proposal of MAIANI 86. The limit is obtained by taking the noise amplitude as the upper limit. Limits extend to $m_{A^0} = 4 \times 10^{-3}$ where $G_{A\gamma\gamma} < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ GeV⁻¹.

Limit on Invisible A^0 (Axion) Electron Coupling

The limit is for $G_{Aee} \partial_\mu \phi_A \bar{e} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 e$ in GeV^{-1} , or equivalently, the dipole-dipole potential $\frac{G_{Aee}^2}{4\pi} ((\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) - 3(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}))/r^3$ where $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{r}/r$.

The limits below apply to invisible axion of $m_A \leq 10^{-6}$ eV.

VALUE (GeV^{-1})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$<5.3 \times 10^{-5}$	66	185 NI	94	Induced magnetism
$<6.7 \times 10^{-5}$	66	185 CHUI	93	Induced magnetism
$<3.6 \times 10^{-4}$	66	186 PAN	92	Torsion pendulum
$<2.7 \times 10^{-5}$	95	185 BOBRAKOV	91	Induced magnetism
$<1.9 \times 10^{-3}$	66	187 WINELAND	91	NMR
$<8.9 \times 10^{-4}$	66	186 RITTER	90	Torsion pendulum
$<6.6 \times 10^{-5}$	95	185 VOROBYOV	88	Induced magnetism

185 These experiments measured induced magnetization of a bulk material by the spin-dependent potential generated from other bulk material with aligned electron spins, where the magnetic field is shielded with superconductor.

186 These experiments used a torsion pendulum to measure the potential between two bulk matter objects where the spins are polarized but without a net magnetic field in either of them.

187 WINELAND 91 looked for an effect of bulk matter with aligned electron spins on atomic hyperfine splitting using nuclear magnetic resonance.

Invisible A^0 (Axion) Limits from Nucleon Coupling

Limits are for the axion mass in eV.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<745	90	188 KRCMAR	98	CNTR Solar axion

188 KRCMAR 98 looked for solar axions emitted by the M1 transition of thermally excited ^{57}Fe nuclei in the Sun, using their possible resonant capture on ^{57}Fe in the laboratory, following MORIYAMA 95B. The mass bound assumes $m_u/m_d=0.56$ and the flavor-singlet axial-vector matrix element $S=3F-D \simeq 0.5$.

Axion Limits from T -violating Medium-Range Forces

The limit is for the coupling g in a T -violating potential between nucleons or nucleon

and electron of the form $V = \frac{g\hbar^2}{8\pi m_p} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{m_A c}{\hbar r} \right) e^{-m_A c r / \hbar}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
	189 POSPELOV	98	THEO neutron EDM
	190 YOUNDIN	96	

189 POSPELOV 98 studied the possible contribution of T -violating Medium-Range Force to the neutron electric dipole moment, which is possible when axion interactions violate CP . The size of the force among nucleons must be smaller than gravity by a factor of 2×10^{-10} ($1 \text{ cm}/\lambda_A$), where $\lambda_A = \hbar/m_A c$.

190 YOUNDIN 96 compared the precession frequencies of atomic ^{199}Hg and Cs when a large mass is positioned near the cells, relative to an applied magnetic field. See Fig. 3 for their limits.

REFERENCES FOR Searches for Axions (A^0) and Other Very Light Bosons

ALTEGOER	98	PL B428 197	J. Altegoer+
AVIGNONE	98	PRL 81 5068	F.T. Avignone+
DANEVICH	98	NP A643 317	F.A. Danevich+
DIAZ	98	NP B527 44	M.A. Diaz+
HAGMANN	98	PRL 80 2043	C. Hagmann+
KIM	98	PR D58 055006	J.E. Kim
KRCMAR	98	PL B442 38	M. Krcmar+
LUESCHER	98	PL B434 407	R. Luescher+
MORIYAMA	98	PL B434 147	S. Moriyama+
MOROI	98	PL B440 69	T. Moroi, H. Murayama
POSPELOV	98	PR D58 097703	M. Pospelov
ADLER	97	PRL 79 2204	S. Adler+ (BNL 787 Collab.)
AHMAD	97	PRL 78 618	I. Ahmad+ (APEX Collab.)
BORISOV	97	JETP 83 868	+Grishinia (MOSU)
DEBOER	97C	JP G23 L85	F.W.N. de Boer+
KACHELRIESS	97	PR D56 1313	+Wilke, Wunner (BOCH)
KEIL	97	PR D56 2419	W. Keil+
KITCHING	97	PRL 79 4079	P. Kitching+ (BNL 787 Collab.)
LEINBERGER	97	PL B394 16	U. Leinberger+ (ORANGE Collab.)
ADLER	96	PRL 76 1421	+Atiya, Chiang, Frank, Haggerty, Kycia+ (BNL 787 Collab.)
AMSLER	96B	ZPHY C70 219	+Armstrong, Baker, Barnett+ (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
GANZ	96	PL B389 4	+Baer, Balanda+ (GSI, HEID, FRAN, JAGL, MPIH)
GUENTHER	96	PR D54 3641	+Hellmig, Heusser, Hirsch+ (MPIH, SASSO)
KAMEL	96	PL B368 291	(SHAMS)
MIITSUI	96	EPL 33 111	+Maki, Asai, Ishisaki+ (TOKY)
YAUDIN	96	PRL 77 2170	+Krause, Jagannathan, Hunter+ (AMHT, WASH)
ALTMANN	95	ZPHY C68 221	+Declais, v. Feilitzsch+ (MUNT, LAPP, CPM)
BALEST	95	PR D51 2053	+Cho, Ford, Johnson+ (CLEO Collab.)
BASSOMPIE...	95	PL B355 584	Bassompierre, Bologna+ (LAPP, LCGT, LYON)
MAENO	95	PL B351 574	+Fujikawa, Kataoka, Nishihara+ (TOKY)
MORIYAMA	95B	PRL 75 3222	S. Moriyama
RAFFELT	95	PR D51 1495	+Weiss (MPIM, MPIA)
SKALSEY	95	PR D51 6292	+Conti (MICH)
TSUNODA	95	EPL 30 273	+Nakamura, Orito, Minowa (TOKY)
ADACHI	94	PR A49 3201	+Chiba, Hirose, Nagayama+ (TMU)
ALTHERR	94	ASP 2 175	+Petitgirard, del Rio Gaztelurrutia (CERN, LAPP, DFAB)
AMSLER	94B	PL B333 271	+Armstrong, Ould-Saada+ (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ASAI	94	PL B323 90	+Shigekuni, Sanuki, Orito (TOKY)
MEIJERDREES	94	PR D49 4937	Meijer Drees, Waltham+ (BRCO, OREG, TRIU)
NI	94	Physica B194 153	+Chui, Pan, Cheng (NTHU)
VO	94	PR C49 1551	+Kelly, Wohn, Hill+ (ISU, LBL, LLNL, UCD)
ATIYA	93	PRL 70 2521	+Chiang, Frank, Haggerty, Ito+ (BNL 787 Collab.)
Also	93C	PRL 71 305 (erratum)	Atiya, Chiang, Frank, Haggerty, Ito+ (BNL 787 Collab.)
ATIYA	93B	PR D48 R1	+Chiang, Frank, Haggerty, Ito+ (BNL 787 Collab.)
BASSOMPIE...	93	EPL 22 239	Bassompierre, Bologna+ (LAPP, TORI, LYON)
BECK	93	PRL 70 2853	+Bensch, Bockholt, Heusser, Hirsch+ (MPIH, KIAE, SASSO)
CAMERON	93	PR D47 3707	+Cantatore, Melissinos+ (ROCH, BNL, FNAL, TRST)
CHANG	93	PL B316 51	S. Chang, K. Choi
CHUI	93	PRL 71 3247	+Ni (NTHU)
MINOWA	93	PRL 71 4120	+Inoue, Asanuma, Imamura (TOKY)
NG	93	PR D48 2941	(AST)
TANAKA	93	PR D48 5412	+Ejiri (OSAK)
ALLIEGRO	92	PRL 68 278	+Campagnari+ (BNL, FNAL, PSI, WASH, YALE)
ATIYA	92	PRL 69 733	+Chiang, Frank, Haggerty, Ito+ (BNL, LANL, PRIN, TRIU)
BERNATOW...	92	PRL 69 2341	Bernatowicz, Brannon, Brazzle, Cowsik+ (WUSL, TATA)
BLUEMLEIN	92	IJMP A7 3835	+Brunner, Grabosch+ (BERL, BUDA, JINR, SERP)
HALLIN	92	PR D45 3955	+Calaprice, McPherson, Saettler (PRIN)
HENDERSON	92C	PRL 69 1733	+Asoka-Kumar, Greenberg, Lynn+ (YALE, BNL)
HICKS	92	PL B276 423	+Alburger (OHIO, BNL)
LAZARUS	92	PRL 69 2333	+Smith, Cameron, Melissinos+ (BNL, ROCH, FNAL)
MEIJERDREES	92	PRL 68 3845	Meijer Drees, Waltham+ (SINDRUM I Collab.)
PAN	92	MPL 7 1287	+Ni, Chen (NTHU)
RUOSO	92	ZPHY C56 505	+Cameron, Cantatore+ (ROCH, BNL, FNAL, TRST)
SKALSEY	92	PRL 68 456	+Kolata (MICH, NDAM)
WANG	92	MPL A7 1497	(ILL)
WANG	92C	PL B291 97	(ILL)
WU	92	PRL 69 1729	+Asoka-Kumar, Greenberg, Henderson+ (BNL, YALE, CUNY)
AKOPYAN	91	PL B272 443	+Atoyian, Gninenko, Sukhov (INRM)

ASAI	91	PRL 66 2440	+Orito, Yoshimura, Haga	(ICEPP)
BERSHADY	91	PRL 66 1398	+Ressell, Turner	(CHIC, FNAL, EFI)
BLUEMLEIN	91	ZPHY C51 341	+Brunner, Grabosch+	(BERL, BUDA, JINR, SERP)
BOBRAKOV	91	JETPL 53 294	+Borisov, Lasakov, Serebrov, Tal'daev, Trofimova	(PNPI)
		Translated from ZETFP	53 283.	
BROSS	91	PRL 67 2942	+Crisler, Pordes, Volk, Errede, Wrbanek	(FNAL, ILL)
KIM	91C	PRL 67 3465		(SEOUL)
RAFFELT	91B	PRL 67 2605	+Seckel	(MPIM, BART)
RESSELL	91	PR D44 3001		(CHIC, FNAL)
TRZASKA	91	PL B269 54	+Dejbakhsh, Dutta, Li, Cormier	(TAMU)
TSERTOS	91	PL B266 259	+Kienle, Judge, Schreckenbach	(ILLG, GSI)
WALKER	91	APJ 376 51	+Steigman, Schramm, Olive+	(HSCA, OSU, CHIC, MINN)
WIDMANN	91	ZPHY A340 209	+Bauer, Connell, Maier, Major+	(STUT, GSI, STUTM)
WINELAND	91	PRL 67 1735	+Bollinger, Heinzen, Itano, Raizen	(NBSB)
ALBRECHT	90E	PL B246 278	+Ehrlichmann, Harder, Krueger+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ANTREASYAN	90C	PL B251 204	+Bartels, Besset, Bieler, Bienlein+	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ASANUMA	90	PL B237 588	+Minowa, Tsukamoto, Orito, Tsunoda	(TOKY)
ATIYA	90	PRL 64 21	+Chiang, Frank, Haggerty, Ito, Kycia+	(BNL 787 Collab.)
ATIYA	90B	PRL 65 1188	+Chiang, Frank, Haggerty, Ito, Kycia+	(BNL 787 Collab.)
BAUER	90	NIM B50 300	+Briggmann, Carstanjen, Connell+	(STUT, VILL, GSI)
BURROWS	90	PR D42 3297	+Ressell, Turner	(ARIZ, CHIC, FNAL)
DEBOER	90	JPG 16 L1	de Boer, Lehmann, Steyaert	(LOUV)
ENGEL	90	PRL 65 960	+Seckel, Hayes	(BART, LANL)
GNINENKO	90	PL B237 287	+Klubakov, Poblaguev, Postoev	(INRM)
GUO	90	PR D41 2924	+Kaplan, Alde+	(NIU, LANL, FNAL, CASE, TEXA)
HAGMANN	90	PR D42 1297	+Sikivie, Sullivan, Tanner	(FLOR)
JUDGE	90	PRL 65 972	+Krusche, Schreckenbach, Tsertos, Kienle	(ILLG, GSI)
RAFFELT	90C	PRPL 198 1		(MPIM)
RAFFELT	90D	PR D41 1324		(MPIM)
RITTER	90	PR D42 977	+Goldblum, Ni, Gillies, Speake	(VIRG)
SEMERTZIDIS	90	PRL 64 2988	+Cameron, Cantatore+	(ROCH, BNL, FNAL, TRST)
TSUCHIAKI	90	PL B236 81	+Orito, Yoshida, Minowa	(ICEPP)
TURNER	90	PRPL 197 67		(FNAL)
BARABASH	89	PL B223 273	+Kuzminov, Lobashev, Novikov+	(ITEP, INRM)
BINI	89	PL B221 99	+Fazzini, Giannatiempo, Poggi, Sona+	(FIRZ, CERN, AARH)
BURROWS	89	PR D39 1020	+Turner, Brinkmann	(ARIZ, CHIC, FNAL, BOCH)
Also	88	PRL 60 1797	Turner	(FNAL, EFI)
DEBOER	89B	PRL 62 2639	de Boer, van Dantzig	(ANIK)
ERICSON	89	PL B219 507	+Mathiot	(CERN, IPN)
FAISSNER	89	ZPHY C44 557	+Heinrigs, Preussger, Reitz, Samm+	(AACH3, BERL, PSI)
FISHER	89	PL B218 257	+Boehm, Bovet, Egger+	(CIT, NEUC, PSI)
FOX	89	PR C39 288	+Kemper, Cottle, Zingarelli	(FSU)
MAYLE	89	PL B219 515	+Wilson, Ellis+	(LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL, CHIC, OSU)
Also	88	PR B203 188	Mayle, Wilson+	(LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL, CHIC, OSU)
MINOWA	89	PRL 62 1091	+Orito, Tsuchiaki, Tsukamoto	(ICEPP)
ORITO	89	PRL 63 597	+Yoshimura, Haga, Minowa, Tsuchiaki	(ICEPP)
PERKINS	89	PRL 62 2638		(OXF)
TSERTOS	89	PR D40 1397	+Kozhuharov, Armbruster, Kienle+	(GSI, ILLG)
VANBIBBER	89	PR D39 2089	Van Bibber, McIntyre, Morris, Raffelt	(LLL, TAMU, LBL)
WUENSCH	89	PR D40 3153	+De Panfilis-Wuensch, Semertzidis+	(ROCH, BNL, FNAL)
Also	87	PRL 59 839	De Panfilis, Melissinos, Moskowitz+	(ROCH, BNL, FNAL)
ALSTON-...	88	PRL 60 1928	Alston-Garnjost, Dougherty+	(LBL, MTHO, UNM)
AVIGNONE	88	PR D37 618	+Baktash, Barker, Calaprice+(PRIN, SCUC, ORNL, WASH)	
BJORKEN	88	PR D38 3375	+Ecklund, Nelson, Abashian+	(FNAL, SLAC, VPI)
BLINOV	88	SJNP 47 563	+Bondar, Bukin, Vorobyev, Groshev+	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF	47 889.	
BOLTON	88	PR D38 2077	+Cooper, Frank, Hallin+	(LANL, STAN, CHIC, TEMP)
Also	86	PRL 56 2461	Bolton, Bowman, Cooper+	(LANL, STAN, CHIC, TEMP)
Also	86	PRL 57 3241	Grosnick, Wright, Bolton+	(CHIC, LANL, STAN, TEMP)
CHANDA	88	PR D37 2714	+Nieves, Pal	(UMD, UPR, MASA)
CHOI	88	PR D37 3225	+Kim, Kim, Lam	(JHU)
CONNELL	88	PRL 60 2242	+Fearick, Hoernle, Sideras-Haddad, Sellschop	(WITW)
DATAR	88	PR C37 250	+Fortier, Gales, Hourani+	(IPN)
DEBOER	88	PRL 61 1274	de Boer, van Dantzig	(ANIK)
Also	89	PRL 62 2644	de Boer, van Dantzig	(ANIK)
Also	89	PRL 62 2638	Perkins	(OXF)
Also	89B	PRL 62 2639	de Boer, van Dantzig	(ANIK)
DEBOER	88C	JPG 14 L131	de Boer, Deutsch, Lehmann, Prieels, Steyaert	(LOUV)
DOEHNER	88	PR D38 2722	+Last, Arnold, Freedman, Dubbers	(HEIDP, ANL, ILLG)
DOI	88	PR D37 2575	+Kotani, Takasugi	(OSAK)

EL-NADI	88	PRL 61 1271	+Badawy	(CAIR)
ENGEL	88	PR C37 731	+Vogel, Zimbene	
FAISSNER	88	ZPHY C37 231	+Heinrigs, Preussger, Reitz, Samm+	(AACH3, BERL, SIN)
HATSUDA	88B	PL B203 469	+Yoshimura	(KEK)
LORENZ	88	PL B214 10	+Mageras, Stiegler, Huszar	(MPIM, PSI)
MAYLE	88	PL B203 188	+Wilson+	(LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL, CHIC, OSU)
PICCIOTTO	88	PR D37 1131	+Ahmad, Britton, Bryman, Clifford+	(TRIU, CNRC)
RAFFELT	88	PRL 60 1793	+Seckel	(UCB, LLL, UCSC)
RAFFELT	88B	PR D37 549	+Dearborn	(UCB, LLL)
SAVAGE	88	PR D37 1134	+Filippone, Mitchell	(CIT)
TSERTOS	88	PL B207 273	+Kozuharov, Armbruster, Kienle+	(GSI, ILLG)
TSERTOS	88B	ZPHY A331 103	+Kozuharov, Armbruster, Kienle+	(GSI, ILLG)
VANKLINKEN	88	PL B205 223	van Klinken, Meiring, de Boer, Schaafsma+	(GRON, GSI)
VANKLINKEN	88B	PRL 60 2442	van Klinken	(GRON)
VONWIMMER...	88	PRL 60 2443	von Wimmersperg	(BNL)
VOROBYOV	88	PL B208 146	+Gitarts	(NOVO)
AVIGNONE	87	AIP Conf. 1987	+Brodzinski, Miley, Reeves	(SCUC, PNL)
		AIP Conf. Proc. Salt Lake City, UT		
CALDWELL	87	PRL 59 419	+Eisberg, Grumm, Witherell+	(UCSB, LBL)
DRUZHININ	87	ZPHY C37 1	+Dubrovin, Eidelman, Golubev+	(NOVO)
ELLIOTT	87	PRL 59 1649	+Hahn, Moe	(UCI)
FISHER	87	PL B192 460	+Boehm, Bovet, Egger+	(CIT, NEUC, SIN)
FRIEMAN	87	PR D36 2201	+Dimopoulos, Turner	(SLAC, STAN, FNAL, EFI)
GOLDMAN	87	PR D36 1543	+Hallin, Hoffman+	(LANL, CHIC, STAN, TEMP)
KORENCHE...	87	SJNP 46 192	Korenchenko, Kostin, Mzhaviya+	(JINR)
		Translated from YAF 46 313.		
MAIER	87	ZPHY A326 527	+Bauer, Briggmann, Carstansen+	(STUT, GSI)
MILLS	87	PR D36 707	+Levy	(BELL)
RAFFELT	87	PR D36 2211	+Dearborn	(LLL, UCB)
RIORDAN	87	PRL 59 755	+Krasny, Lang, Barbaro, Bodek+	(ROCH, CIT+)
TURNER	87	PRL 59 2489		(FNAL, EFI)
VANBIBBER	87	PRL 59 759	Van Bibber, Dagdeviren, Koonin+	(LLL, CIT, MIT, STAN)
VONWIMMER...	87	PRL 59 266	von Wimmersperg, Connell, Hoernle, Sideras-Haddad	(WITW)
ALBRECHT	86D	PL B179 403	+Binder, Boeckmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)
BADIER	86	ZPHY C31 21	+Bemporad, Boucrot, Callot+	(NA3 Collab.)
BOWCOCK	86	PRL 56 2676	+Giles, Hassard, Kinoshita+	(CLEO Collab.)
BROWN	86	PRL 57 2101	+ (FNAL, WASH, KYOT, KEK, COLU, STON, SACL)	
BRYMAN	86B	PRL 57 2787	+Clifford	(TRIU)
DAVIER	86	PL B180 295	+Jeanjean, Nguyen Ngoc	(LALO)
DEARBORN	86	PRL 56 26	+Schramm, Steigman	(LLL, CHIC, FNAL, BART)
EICHLER	86	PL B175 101	+Felawka, Kraus, Niebuhr+	(SINDRUM Collab.)
HALLIN	86	PRL 57 2105	+Calparice, Dunford, McDonald	(PRIN)
JODIDIO	86	PR D34 1967	+Balke, Carr, Gidal, Shinsky+	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
		Also PR D37 237 erratum	Jodidio, Balke, Carr+	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
KETOV	86	JETPL 44 146	+Klimov, Nikolaev, Mikaelyan+	(KIAE)
		Translated from ZETFP 44 114.		
KOCH	86	NC 96A 182	+Schult	(JULI)
KONAKA	86	PRL 57 659	+Imai, Kobayashi, Masaie, Miyake+	(KYOT, KEK)
MAGERAS	86	PRL 56 2672	+Franzini, Tuts, Youssef+	(MPIM, COLU, STON)
MAIANI	86	PL B175 359	+Petronzio, Zavattini	(CERN)
PECCEI	86	PL B172 435	+Wu, Yanagida	(DESY)
RAFFELT	86	PR D33 897		(MPIM)
RAFFELT	86B	PL 166B 402		(MPIM)
SAVAGE	86B	PRL 57 178	+McKeown, Filippone, Mitchell	(CIT)
AMALDI	85	PL 153B 444	+Carboni, Jonson, Thun	(CERN)
ANANEV	85	SJNP 41 585	+Kalinina, Lushchikov, Olshevskii+	(JINR)
		Translated from YAF 41 912.		
BALTRUSAIT...	85	PRL 55 1842	Baltrusaitis, Becker, Blaylock, Brown+	(Mark III Collab.)
BERGSMA	85	PL 157B 458	+Dorenbosch, Allaby, Amaldi+	(CHARM Collab.)
KAPLAN	85	NP B260 215		(HARV)
IWAMOTO	84	PRL 53 1198		(UCSB, WUSL)
YAMAZAKI	84	PRL 52 1089	+Ishikawa, Taniguchi, Yamanaka+	(INUS, KEK)
ABBOTT	83	PL 120B 133	+Sikivie	(BRAN, FLOR)
ALAM	83	PR D27 1665	+ (VAND, CORN, ITHA, HARV, OHIO, ROCH+)	
CARBONI	83	PL 123B 349	+Dahme	(CERN, MUNI)
CAVAIGNAC	83	PL 121B 193	+Hoummada, Koang, Ost+	(ISNG, LAPP)
DICUS	83	PR D28 1778	+Teplitz	(TEXA, UMD)
DINE	83	PL 120B 137	+Fischler	(IAS, PENN)
ELLIS	83B	NP B223 252	+Olive	(CERN)
FAISSNER	83	PR D28 1198	+Heinrigs, Preussger, Samm	(AACH)

FAISSNER	83B	PR D28 1787	+Frenzel, Heinrigs, Preussger+	(AACH3)
FRANK	83B	PR D28 1790	+ (LANL, YALE, LBL, MIT, SACL, SIN, CNRC, BERN)	
HOFFMAN	83	PR D28 660	+Frank, Mischke, Moir, Schardt	(LANL, ARZS)
NICZYPORUK	83	ZPHY C17 197	+Jakubowski, Zeludziejewicz+	(LENA Collab.)
PRESKILL	83	PL 120B 127	+Wise, Wilczek	(HARV, UCSBT)
SIKIVIE	83	PRL 51 1415		(FLOR)
Also	84	PRL 52 695 erratum	Sikivie	(FLOR)
ALEKSEEV	82	JETP 55 591	+Kartamyshev, Makarin+	(KIAE)
		Translated from ZETF	82 1007.	
ALEKSEEV	82B	JETPL 36 116	+Kalinina, Kruglov, Kulikov+	(MOSU, JINR)
		Translated from ZETFP	36 94.	
ASANO	82	PL 113B 195	+Kikutani, Kurokawa, Miyachi+	(KEK, TOKY, INUS, OSAK)
BARROSO	82	PL 116B 247	+Branco	(LISB)
DATAR	82	PL 114B 63	+Baba, Betigeri, Singh	(BHAB)
EDWARDS	82	PRL 48 903	+Partridge, Peck, Porter+	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
FETSCHER	82	JPG 8 L147		(ETH)
FUKUGITA	82	PRL 48 1522	+Watamura, Yoshimura	(KEK)
FUKUGITA	82B	PR D26 1840	+Watamura, Yoshimura	(KEK)
LEHMANN	82	PL 115B 270	+Lesquoy, Muller, Zylberajch	(SACL)
RAFFELT	82	PL 119B 323	+Stodolsky	(MPIM)
SIVERTZ	82	PR D26 717	+Lee-Franzini, Horstkotte+	(CUSB Collab.)
VERGADOS	82	PL 109B 96		(CERN)
ZEHNDER	82	PL 110B 419	+Gabathuler, Vuilleumier	(ETH, SIN, CIT)
ASANO	81B	PL 107B 159	+Kikutani, Kurokawa, Miyachi+	(KEK, TOKY, INUS, OSAK)
BARROSO	81	PL 106B 91	+Mukhopadhyay	(SIN)
FAISSNER	81	ZPHY C10 95	+Frenzel, Grimm, Hansl, Hoffman+	(AACH3)
FAISSNER	81B	PL 103B 234	+Frenzel, Heinrigs, Preussger+	(AACH3)
KIM	81	PL 105B 55	+Stamm	(AACH3)
VUILLEUMIER	81	PL 101B 341	+Boehm, Hahn, Kwon+	(CIT, MUNI)
ZEHNDER	81	PL 104B 494		(ETH)
FAISSNER	80	PL 96B 201	+Frenzel, Heinrigs, Preussger, Samm+	(AACH3)
JACQUES	80	PR D21 1206	+Kalelkar, Miller, Plano+	(RUTG, STEV, COLU)
SOUKAS	80	PRL 44 564	+Wanderer, Weng+	(BNL, HARV, ORNL, PENN)
BECHIS	79	PRL 42 1511	+Dombeck+	(UMD, COLU, AFRR)
CALAPRICE	79	PR D20 2708	+Dunford, Kouzes, Miller+	(PRIN)
COTEUS	79	PRL 42 1438	+Diesburg, Fine, Lee, Sokolsky+	(COLU, ILL, BNL)
DISHAW	79	PL 85B 142	+Diamant-Berger, Faessler, Liu+	(SLAC, CIT)
ZHITNITSKII	79	SJNP 29 517	+Skovpen	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF	29 1001.	
ALIBRAN	78	PL 74B 134	+Armenise, Arnold, Bartley	(Gargamelle Collab.)
ASRATYAN	78B	PL 79B 497	+Epstein, Fakhrtudinov+	(ITEP, SERP)
BELLOTTI	78	PL 76B 223	+Fiorini, Zanotti	(MILA)
BOSETTI	78B	PL 74B 143	+Deden, Deutschmann, Fritze+	(BEBC Collab.)
DICUS	78C	PR D18 1829	+Kolb, Teplitz, Wagoner	(TEXA, VPI, STAN)
DONNELLY	78	PR D18 1607	+Freedman, Lytel, Peccei, Schwartz	(STAN)
Also	76	PRL 37 315	Reines, Gurr, Sobel	(UCI)
Also	74	PRL 33 179	Gurr, Reines, Sobel	(UCI)
HANSL	78D	PL 74B 139	+Holder, Knobloch, May, Paar+	(CDHS Collab.)
MICELMAC...	78	LNC 21 441	Micelmacher, Pontecorvo	(JINR)
MIKAELIAN	78	PR D18 3605		(FNAL, NWES)
SATO	78	PTP 60 1942		(KYOT)
VYSOTSKII	78	JETPL 27 502	+Zeldovich, Khlopov, Chechetkin	(ASCI)
		Translated from ZETFP	27 533.	
YANG	78	PRL 41 523		(MASA)
PECCEI	77	PR D16 1791	+Quinn	(STAN, SLAC)
Also	77B	PRL 38 1440	Peccei, Quinn	(STAN, SLAC)
REINES	76	PRL 37 315	+Gurr, Sobel	(UCI)
GURR	74	PRL 33 179	+Reines, Sobel	(UCI)
ANAND	53	PRSL A22 183		

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

SREDNICKI	85	NP B260 689		(UCSB)
BARDEEN	78	PL 74B 229	+Tye	(FNAL)