

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ****$$

The parity has not actually been measured, but + is of course expected.

Ξ⁰ MASS

The fit uses the Ξ⁰, Ξ⁻, and Ξ⁺ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
1314.9±0.6 OUR FIT			
1314.8±0.8 OUR AVERAGE			
1315.2±0.92	49	WILQUET	72 HLBC
1313.4±1.8	1	PALMER	68 HBC

m_{Ξ⁻} - m_{Ξ⁰}

The fit uses the Ξ⁰, Ξ⁻, and Ξ⁺ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.4±0.6 OUR FIT				
6.3±0.7 OUR AVERAGE				
6.9±2.2	29	LONDON	66 HBC	
6.1±0.9	88	PJERROU	65B HBC	
6.8±1.6	23	JAUNEAU	63 FBC	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
6.1±1.6	45	CARMONY	64B HBC	See PJERROU 65B

Ξ⁰ MEAN LIFE

VALUE (10 ⁻¹⁰ s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.90±0.09 OUR AVERAGE				
2.83±0.16	6300	¹ ZECH	77 SPEC	Neutral hyperon beam
2.88 ^{+0.21} _{-0.19}	652	BALTAY	74 HBC	1.75 GeV/c K ⁻ p
2.90 ^{+0.32} _{-0.27}	157	² MAYEUR	72 HLBC	2.1 GeV/c K ⁻
3.07 ^{+0.22} _{-0.20}	340	DAUBER	69 HBC	
3.0 ±0.5	80	PJERROU	65B HBC	
2.5 ^{+0.4} _{-0.3}	101	HUBBARD	64 HBC	
3.9 ^{+1.4} _{-0.8}	24	JAUNEAU	63 FBC	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
3.5 ^{+1.0} _{-0.8}	45	CARMONY	64B HBC	See PJERROU 65B

¹ The ZECH 77 result is $\tau_{\Xi^0} = [2.77 - (\tau_{\Lambda} - 2.69)] \times 10^{-10}$ s, in which we use $\tau_{\Lambda} =$

2.63×10^{-10} s.

² The MAYEUR 72 value is modified by the erratum.

Ξ^0 MAGNETIC MOMENT

See the "Note on Baryon Magnetic Moments" in the Λ Listings.

VALUE (μ_N)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-1.250 ± 0.014 OUR AVERAGE			
-1.253 ± 0.014	270k	COX	81 SPEC
-1.20 ± 0.06	42k	BUNCE	79 SPEC

Ξ^0 DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \Lambda\pi^0$	(99.54 ± 0.05) %	
$\Gamma_2 \Lambda\gamma$	(1.06 ± 0.16) × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_3 \Sigma^0\gamma$	(3.5 ± 0.4) × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_4 \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	< 1.1 × 10 ⁻³	90%
$\Gamma_5 \Sigma^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$	< 1.1 × 10 ⁻³	90%

$\Delta S = \Delta Q$ (SQ) violating modes or $\Delta S = 2$ forbidden (S2) modes

$\Gamma_6 \Sigma^- e^+ \nu_e$	SQ	< 9 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
$\Gamma_7 \Sigma^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	SQ	< 9 × 10 ⁻⁴	90%
$\Gamma_8 p\pi^-$	S2	< 4 × 10 ⁻⁵	90%
$\Gamma_9 p e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	S2	< 1.3 × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_{10} p \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$	S2	< 1.3 × 10 ⁻³	

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 2 branching ratios uses 2 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 0.0$ for 0 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	-35	
x_3	-94	0
	x_1	x_2

Ξ^0 BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

1.06±0.16 OUR FIT

1.06±0.12±0.11	116	JAMES	90 SPEC	FNAL hyperons
-----------------------	-----	-------	---------	---------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5 ±5	1	YEH	74 HBC	Effective denom.=200
------	---	-----	--------	----------------------

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

3.6 ±0.4 OUR FIT

3.56±0.42±0.10	85	TEIGE	89 SPEC	FNAL hyperons
-----------------------	----	-------	---------	---------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 8	90	BENSINGER	88 MPS2	K ⁻ W 6 GeV/c
< 65	90	0-1	YEH	74 HBC Effective de-nom.=60

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

<1.1	90	0	YEH	74 HBC	Effective denom.=2100
----------------	----	---	-----	--------	-----------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 1.5	DAUBER	69	HBC
< 7	HUBBARD	66	HBC

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$ Γ_5/Γ_1

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

<1.1	90	0	YEH	74 HBC	Effective denom.=2100
----------------	----	---	-----	--------	-----------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 1.5	DAUBER	69	HBC
< 7	HUBBARD	66	HBC

$\Gamma(\Sigma^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$ Γ_6/Γ_1

Test of $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ rule.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

<0.9	90	0	YEH	74 HBC	Effective denom.=2500
----------------	----	---	-----	--------	-----------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 1.5	DAUBER	69	HBC
< 6	HUBBARD	66	HBC

$\Gamma(\Sigma^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$ Γ_7/Γ_1

Test of $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ rule.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

<0.9	90	0	YEH	74 HBC	Effective denom.=2500
----------------	----	---	-----	--------	-----------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 1.5	DAUBER	69	HBC
< 6	HUBBARD	66	HBC

$\Gamma(p\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$

Γ_8/Γ_1

$\Delta S=2$. Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.6	90		GEWENIGER	75	SPEC
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<180	90	0	YEH	74	HBC Effective denom.=1300
< 90			DAUBER	69	HBC
<500			HUBBARD	66	HBC

$\Gamma(pe^-\bar{\nu}_e)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$

Γ_9/Γ_1

$\Delta S=2$. Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.3			DAUBER	69	HBC
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<3.4	90	0	YEH	74	HBC Effective denom.=670
<6			HUBBARD	66	HBC

$\Gamma(p\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$

Γ_{10}/Γ_1

$\Delta S=2$. Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.


VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.3			DAUBER	69	HBC
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<3.5	90	0	YEH	74	HBC Effective denom.=664
<6			HUBBARD	66	HBC

Ξ^0 DECAY PARAMETERS

See the "Note on Baryon Decay Parameters" in the neutron Listings.

$\alpha(\Xi^0) \alpha_-(\Lambda)$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.264±0.013 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.
-0.260±0.004±0.005	300k	HANDLER	82	SPEC FNAL hyperons
-0.317±0.027	6075	BUNCE	78	SPEC FNAL hyperons
-0.35 ±0.06	505	BALTAY	74	HBC $K^- p$ 1.75 GeV/c
-0.28 ±0.06	739	DAUBER	69	HBC $K^- p$ 1.7-2.6 GeV/c



$$\alpha(\Xi^0)\alpha_-(\Lambda)$$

α FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$

The above average, $\alpha(\Xi^0)\alpha_-(\Lambda) = -0.264 \pm 0.013$, where the error includes a scale factor of 2.1, divided by our current average $\alpha_-(\Lambda) = 0.642 \pm 0.013$, gives the following value for $\alpha(\Xi^0)$.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
-0.411±0.022 OUR EVALUATION	Error includes scale factor of 2.1.

ϕ ANGLE FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$

($\tan\phi = \beta/\gamma$)

<u>VALUE (°)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
21±12 OUR AVERAGE				
16±17	652	BALTAY	74	HBC 1.75 GeV/c $K^- p$
38±19	739	³ DAUBER	69	HBC
- 8±30	146	⁴ BERGE	66	HBC

³ DAUBER 69 uses $\alpha_\Lambda = 0.647 \pm 0.020$.

⁴ The errors have been multiplied by 1.2 due to approximations used for the Ξ polarization; see DAUBER 69 for a discussion.

α FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
+0.43±0.44	87	JAMES	90	SPEC FNAL hyperons

α FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0\gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
+0.20±0.32±0.05	85	TEIGE	89	SPEC FNAL hyperons

≡⁰ REFERENCES

JAMES	90	PRL 64 843	+Heller, Border, Dworkin+	(MINN, MICH, WISC, RUTG)
TEIGE	89	PRL 63 2717	+Beretvas, Caracappa, Devlin+	(RUTG, MICH, MINN)
BENSINGER	88	PL B215 195	+Fortner, Kirsch, Piekarz+	(BRAN, DUKE, NDAM, MASD)
HANDLER	82	PR D25 639	+Gobel, Pondrom+	(WISC, MICH, MINN, RUTG)
COX	81	PRL 46 877	+Dworkin+	(MICH, WISC, RUTG, MINN, BNL)
BUNCE	79	PL 86B 386	+Overseth, Cox+	(BNL, MICH, RUTG, WISC)
BUNCE	78	PR D18 633	+Handler, March, Martin+	(WISC, MICH, RUTG)
ZECH	77	NP B124 413	+Dydak, Navarra+	(SIEG, CERN, DORT, HEIDH)
GEWENIGER	75	PL 57B 193	+Gjesdal, Presser+	(CERN, HEIDH)
BALTAY	74	PR D9 49	+Bridgewater, Cooper, Gershwin+	(COLU, BING) J
YEH	74	PR D10 3545	+Gaigalas, Smith, Zende, Baltay+	(BING, COLU)
MAYEUR	72	NP B47 333	+VanBinst, Wilquet+	(BRUX, CERN, TUFTS, LOUC)
Also	73	NP B53 268 erratum	Mayeur	
WILQUET	72	PL 42B 372	+Fliagine, Guy+	(BRUX, CERN, TUFTS, LOUC)
DAUBER	69	PR 179 1262	+Berge, Hubbard, Merrill, Miller	(LRL)
PALMER	68	PL 26B 323	+Radojicic, Rau, Richardson+	(BNL, SYRA)
BERGE	66	PR 147 945	+Eberhard, Hubbard, Merrill+	(LRL)
HUBBARD	66	Thesis UCRL 11510		(LRL)
LONDON	66	PR 143 1034	+Rau, Goldberg, Lichtman+	(BNL, SYRA)
PJERROU	65B	PRL 14 275	+Schlein, Slater, Smith, Stork, Ticho	(UCLA)
Also	65	Thesis	Pjerrou	(UCLA)
CARMONY	64B	PRL 12 482	+Pjerrou, Schlein, Slater, Stork+	(UCLA)
HUBBARD	64	PR 135B 183	+Berge, Kalbfleisch, Shafer+	(LRL)
JAUNEAU	63	PL 4 49	+	(EPOL, CERN, LOUC, RHEL, BERG)
Also	63C	Siena Conf. 1 1	Jauneau+	(EPOL, CERN, LOUC, RHEL, BERG)
