

# N(1650) S<sub>11</sub>

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^-) \text{ Status: } ****$$

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

## N(1650) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1640 to 1680 (≈ 1650) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1659 ± 9	MANLEY	92	IPWA πN → πN & Nππ
1650 ± 30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA πN → πN
1670 ± 8	HOEHLER	79	IPWA πN → πN
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1677 ± 8	ARNDT	96	IPWA γN → πN
1667	ARNDT	95	DPWA πN → Nπ
1712	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	95	DPWA πN → Nπ
1669 ± 17	BATINIC	95	DPWA πN → Nπ, Nη
1713 ± 27	<sup>2</sup> BATINIC	95	DPWA πN → Nπ, Nη
1674	LI	93	IPWA γN → πN
1688	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA γN → πN
1672	MUSETTE	80	IPWA π <sup>-</sup> p → ΛK <sup>0</sup>
1680	SAXON	80	DPWA π <sup>-</sup> p → ΛK <sup>0</sup>
1680	BAKER	78	DPWA π <sup>-</sup> p → ΛK <sup>0</sup>
1694	BARBOUR	78	DPWA γN → πN
1700 ± 5	<sup>3</sup> BAKER	77	IPWA π <sup>-</sup> p → ΛK <sup>0</sup>
1680	<sup>3</sup> BAKER	77	DPWA π <sup>-</sup> p → ΛK <sup>0</sup>
1700	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA πN → Nππ
1675	KNASEL	75	DPWA π <sup>-</sup> p → ΛK <sup>0</sup>
1660	<sup>5</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA πN → Nππ

## N(1650) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>145 to 190 (≈ 150) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
167.9 ± 9.4	GREEN	97	DPWA πN → πN, ηN
173 ± 12	MANLEY	92	IPWA πN → πN & Nππ
150 ± 40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA πN → πN
180 ± 20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA πN → πN
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
160 ± 12	ARNDT	96	IPWA γN → πN
90	ARNDT	95	DPWA πN → Nπ
184	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	95	DPWA πN → Nπ
215 ± 32	BATINIC	95	DPWA πN → Nπ, Nη
279 ± 54	<sup>2</sup> BATINIC	95	DPWA πN → Nπ, Nη
225	LI	93	IPWA γN → πN
183	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA γN → πN
179	MUSETTE	80	IPWA π <sup>-</sup> p → ΛK <sup>0</sup>

120	SAXON	80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
90	BAKER	78	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
193	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
130 $\pm 10$	<sup>3</sup> BAKER	77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
90	<sup>3</sup> BAKER	77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
170	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
170	KNASEL	75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
130	<sup>5</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

## N(1650) POLE POSITION

### REAL PART

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1640 to 1680 (<math>\approx 1660</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1660 $\pm 10$	<sup>6</sup> ARNDT	98	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1673	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1689	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1670	<sup>7</sup> HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1640 $\pm 20$	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1657	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1648 or 1651	<sup>8</sup> LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1699 or 1698	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

### – 2×IMAGINARY PART

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>150 to 170 (<math>\approx 160</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
140 $\pm 20$	<sup>6</sup> ARNDT	98	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
82	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
192	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
163	<sup>7</sup> HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
150 $\pm 30$	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
160	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
117 or 119	<sup>8</sup> LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
174 or 173	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

## N(1650) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

### MODULUS $|r|$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
22	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
72	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
39	HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
60 $\pm 10$	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
54	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

## PHASE $\theta$

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
29	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
-85	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
-37	HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
-75 ± 25	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
-38	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

## N(1650) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $N\pi$	55–90 %
$\Gamma_2$ $N\eta$	3–10 %
$\Gamma_3$ $\Lambda K$	3–11 %
$\Gamma_4$ $\Sigma K$	
$\Gamma_5$ $N\pi\pi$	10–20 %
$\Gamma_6$ $\Delta\pi$	1–7 %
$\Gamma_7$ $\Delta(1232)\pi$ , <i>D</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_8$ $N\rho$	4–12 %
$\Gamma_9$ $N\rho$ , <i>S</i> =1/2, <i>S</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_{10}$ $N\rho$ , <i>S</i> =3/2, <i>D</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_{11}$ $N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$	<4 %
$\Gamma_{12}$ $N(1440)\pi$	<5 %
$\Gamma_{13}$ $p\gamma$	0.04–0.18 %
$\Gamma_{14}$ $p\gamma$ , helicity=1/2	0.04–0.18 %
$\Gamma_{15}$ $n\gamma$	0.003–0.17 %
$\Gamma_{16}$ $n\gamma$ , helicity=1/2	0.003–0.17 %

## N(1650) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<b>0.55 to 0.90 OUR ESTIMATE</b>				
0.735 ± 0.011	GREEN	97	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$	
0.89 ± 0.07	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$	
0.65 ± 0.10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
0.61 ± 0.04	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.99	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$	
0.27	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$	
0.94 ± 0.07	BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$	
0.49 ± 0.21	<sup>2</sup> BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$	

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.06 ± 0.05	BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
0.02 ± 0.03	<sup>2</sup> BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow N\eta$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
-0.09	<sup>9</sup> BAKER	79	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow \Lambda K$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>-0.27 to -0.17 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.22	BELL	83	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
-0.22	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
-0.25	<sup>10</sup> BAKER	78	DPWA See SAXON 80
-0.23 ± 0.01	<sup>3</sup> BAKER	77	IPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
-0.25	<sup>3</sup> BAKER	77	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
0.12	KNASEL	75	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow \Sigma K$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
-0.254	LIVANOS	80	DPWA $\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$
0.066 to 0.137	<sup>11</sup> DEANS	75	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$
0.20	KNASEL	75	DPWA

Note: Signs of couplings from  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the  $\Delta(1620) S_{31}$  coupling to  $\Delta(1232)\pi$ .

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave}$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>+0.15 to 0.23 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
+0.12 ± 0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
+0.29	<sup>4,12</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.15	<sup>5</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow N\rho, S=1/2, S\text{-wave}$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_9)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>±0.03 to ±0.19 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.01 ± 0.09	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
+0.17	<sup>4,12</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
-0.16	<sup>5</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow N\rho, S=3/2, D\text{-wave}$   $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{10})^{1/2} / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>+0.17 to +0.29 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
+0.16 ± 0.06	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.29	4,12 LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow N(\pi\pi)_{S=0}^{I=0}$   $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{11})^{1/2} / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>+0.04 to +0.18 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
+0.12 ± 0.08	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.00	4,12 LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.25	5 LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow N(1440)\pi$   $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{12})^{1/2} / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.11 ± 0.06	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

### **$N(1650)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES**

**$N(1650) \rightarrow p\gamma$ , helicity-1/2 amplitude  $A_{1/2}$**

VALUE ( $\text{GeV}^{-1/2}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>+0.053 ± 0.016 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
0.069 ± 0.005	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.033 ± 0.015	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.050 ± 0.010	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.065 ± 0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.061 ± 0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.031 ± 0.017	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.068 ± 0.003	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.091	WADA	84	DPWA Compton scattering
+0.048 ± 0.017	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.068 ± 0.009	FELLER	76	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

**$N(1650) \rightarrow n\gamma$ , helicity-1/2 amplitude  $A_{1/2}$**

VALUE ( $\text{GeV}^{-1/2}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>-0.015 ± 0.021 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.015 ± 0.005	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.008 ± 0.004	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.004 ± 0.004	FUJII	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.010 ± 0.020	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.008 ± 0.019	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
-0.068 ± 0.040	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.011 ± 0.011	TAKEDA	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
-0.002 ± 0.002	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.045 ± 0.024	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

## $N(1650) \quad \gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ AMPLITUDES

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $p\gamma \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$  ( $E_{0+}$  amplitude)

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

7.8 $\pm$ 0.3	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
8.13	TANABE	89	DPWA

$p\gamma \rightarrow N(1650) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$  phase angle  $\theta$  ( $E_{0+}$  amplitude)

<u>VALUE (degrees)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
------------------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-107 $\pm$ 3	WORKMAN	90	DPWA
-107.8	TANABE	89	DPWA

### $N(1650)$ FOOTNOTES

- <sup>1</sup> ARNDT 95 finds two distinct states.
- <sup>2</sup> BATINIC 95 finds two distinct states. This second resonance was associated with the  $N(2090) S_{11}$ .
- <sup>3</sup> The two BAKER 77 entries are from an IPWA using the Barrelet-zero method and from a conventional energy-dependent analysis.
- <sup>4</sup> LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- <sup>5</sup> From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- <sup>6</sup> ARNDT 98 also lists pole residues, which display more model dependence than do the associated pole positions.
- <sup>7</sup> See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of  $N$  and  $\Delta$  resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of  $\pi N$  elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
- <sup>8</sup> LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.
- <sup>9</sup> BAKER 79 fixed this coupling during fitting, but the negative sign relative to the  $N(1535)$  is well determined.
- <sup>10</sup> The overall phase of BAKER 78 couplings has been changed to agree with previous conventions. Superseded by SAXON 80.
- <sup>11</sup> The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions.
- <sup>12</sup> LONGACRE 77 considers this coupling to be well determined.

## N(1650) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

ARNDT	98	PR C58 3636	R.A. Arndt+	
GREEN	97	PR C55 R2167	+Wycech	(HELS, WINR)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	+Strakovsky, Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	+Strakovsky, Workman, Pavan	(VPI, BRCO)
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	+Slaus, Svarc, Nefkens	(BOSK, UCLA)
Also	98	PR C57 1004 (erratum)	M. Batinic+	
HOEHLER	93	$\pi$ N Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	+Arndt, Roper, Workman	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
WORKMAN	90	PR C42 781		(VPI)
TANABE	89	PR C39 741	+Kohno, Bennhold	(MANZ)
Also	89	NC 102A 193	Kohno, Tanabe, Bennhold	(MANZ)
WADA	84	NP B247 313	+Egawa, Imanishi, Ishii, Kato, Ukai+	(INUS)
BELL	83	NP B222 389	+Blissett, Broome, Daley, Hart, Lintern+	(RL) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	+Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93		(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	Arai, Fujii	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	+Baton, Coutures, Kochowski, Neveu	(SACL) IJP
MUSETTE	80	NC 57A 37		(BRUX) IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	+Baker, Bell, Blissett, Bloodworth+	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
TAKEDA	80	NP B168 17	+Arai, Fujii, Ikeda, Iwasaki+	(TOKY, INUS)
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	+Brown, Clark, Davies, Depagter, Evans+	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BAKER	78	NP B141 29	+Blissett, Bloodworth, Broome+	(RL, CAVE) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	+Crawford, Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)
BAKER	77	NP B126 365	+Blissett, Bloodworth, Broome, Hart+	(RHEL) IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	+Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	Dolbeau, Triantis, Neveu, Cadiet	(SACL) IJP
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	+Fukushima, Horikawa, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK) IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	+Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
KNASEL	75	PR D11 1	+Lindquist, Nelson+	(CHIC, WUSL, OSU, ANL) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	+Rosenfeld, Lasinski, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC) IJP