Citation: C. Caso *et al.* (Particle Data Group), European Phys Jour **C3**, 1 (1998) and 1999 partial update for edition 2000 (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

$\Sigma(1670)$ Bumps

$I(J^P) = 1(?^?)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Formation experiments are listed separately in the preceding entry.

Probably there are two states at the same mass with the same quantum numbers, one decaying to $\Sigma\pi$ and $\Lambda\pi$, the other to $\Lambda(1405)\pi$. See the note in front of the preceding entry.

$\Sigma(1670)$ MASS (PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS)

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
≈ 1670 OUR ESTIMATE						
1670± 4		[1] CARROLL	76	DPWA		Isospin-1 total σ
1675±10		[2] HEPP	76	DBC	−	$K^- N$ 1.6–1.75 GeV/c
1665± 1		APSELL	74	HBC		$K^- p$ 2.87 GeV/c
1688± 2 or 1683 ± 5	1200	BERTHON	74	HBC	0	Quasi-2-body σ
1670± 6		AGUILAR-...	70B	HBC		$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi\pi$ 4 GeV
1668±10		AGUILAR-...	70B	HBC		$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma 3\pi$ 4 GeV
1660±10		ALVAREZ	63	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 1.51 GeV/c
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1668±10	150	[3] FERRERSORIA	81	OMEG	−	$\pi^- p$ 9,12 GeV/c
1655 to 1677		TIMMERMANS	76	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
1665± 5		BUGG	68	CNTR		$K^- p$, d total σ
1661± 9	70	PRIMER	68	HBC	+	See BARNES 69E
1685		ALEXANDER	62C	HBC	−0	$\pi^- p$ 2–2.2 GeV/c

$\Sigma(1670)$ WIDTH (PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS)

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
67.0± 2.4		APSELL	74	HBC		$K^- p$ 2.87 GeV/c
110 ±12		AGUILAR-...	70B	HBC		$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi\pi$ 4 GeV
$135\ ^{+40}_{-30}$		AGUILAR-...	70B	HBC		$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma 3\pi$ 4 GeV
40 ±10		ALVAREZ	63	HBC	+	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

90 ±20	150	[3] FERRERSORIA	81	OMEG	−	$\pi^- p$ 9,12 GeV/c
52		[1] CARROLL	76	DPWA		Isospin-1 total σ
48 to 63		TIMMERMANS	76	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
30 ±15		BUGG	68	CNTR		
60 ±20	70	PRIMER	68	HBC	+	See BARNES 69E
45		ALEXANDER	62C	HBC	−0	

$\Sigma(1670)$ DECAY MODES (PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS)

	Mode
Γ_1	$N\overline{K}$
Γ_2	$\Lambda\pi$
Γ_3	$\Sigma\pi$
Γ_4	$\Lambda\pi\pi$
Γ_5	$\Sigma\pi\pi$
Γ_6	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$
Γ_7	$\Lambda(1405)\pi$

$\Sigma(1670)$ BRANCHING RATIOS (PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS)

$\Gamma(N\overline{K})/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$ Γ_1/Γ_3

VALUE	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
<0.03		TIMMERMANS	76	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
<0.10		BERTHON	74	HBC	0	Quasi-2-body σ
<0.2		AGUILAR-...	70B	HBC		
<0.26		BARNES	69E	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 3.9–5 GeV/c
0.025		BUGG	68	CNTR	0	Assuming $J = 3/2$
<0.24	0	PRIMER	68	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 4.6–5 GeV/c
<0.6		LONDON	66	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 2.25 GeV/c
<0.19	0	ALVAREZ	63	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 1.15 GeV/c
≥ 0.5 ±0.25		SMITH	63	HBC	−0	

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_3

VALUE	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
0.76±0.09		ESTES	74	HBC	0	$K^- p$ 2.1,2.6 GeV/c
0.45±0.15		BARNES	69E	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 3.9–5 GeV/c
0.15±0.07		HUWE	69	HBC	+	
0.11±0.06	33	BUTTON-...	68	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 1.7 GeV/c

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

≤ 0.45±0.07		TIMMERMANS 76	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
0.55±0.11		BERTHON 74	HBC	0	Quasi-2-body σ
0	0	PRIMER 68	HBC	+	See BARNES 69E
<0.6		LONDON 66	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 2.25 GeV/c
1.2	130	ALVAREZ 63	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 1.15 GeV/c
1.2		SMITH 63	HBC	−0	

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_3

VALUE	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
<0.6		LONDON 66	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 2.25 GeV/c
0.56	90	ALVAREZ 63	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 1.15 GeV/c
0.17		SMITH 63	HBC	−0	

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_3

VALUE	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
largest at small angles		ESTES 74	HBC	0	$K^- p$ 2.1,2.6 GeV/c

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.2		[2] HEPP 76	DBC	−	$K^- N$ 1.6–1.75 GeV/c
0.56	180	ALVAREZ 63	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 1.15 GeV/c

$\Gamma(\Lambda(1405)\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_3

VALUE	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
1.8 ±0.3 to 0.02 ± 0.07		[3,4] TIMMERMANS 76	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
largest at small angles		ESTES 74	HBC	±	$K^- p$ 2.1,2.6 GeV/c
3.0 ±1.6	50	LONDON 66	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 2.25 GeV/c

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.58±0.20	17	PRIMER 68	HBC	+	See BARNES 69E

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)$ Γ_3/Γ_5

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
varies with prod. angle	[5] APSELL 74	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 2.87 GeV/c
1.39±0.16	BERTHON 74	HBC	0	Quasi-2-body σ
2.5 to 0.24	[4] EBERHARD 69	HBC		$K^- p$ 2.6 GeV/c
<0.4	BIRMINGHAM 66	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 3.5 GeV/c
0.30±0.15	LONDON 66	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 2.25 GeV/c

$\Gamma(\Lambda(1405)\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_5

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
0.97±0.08	TIMMERMANS 76	HBC		$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
1.00±0.02	APSELL 74	HBC		$K^- p$ 2.87 GeV/c
$0.90^{+0.10}_{-0.16}$	EBERHARD 65	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 2.45 GeV/c

$\Gamma(\Lambda(1405)\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_6

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
<0.8	EBERHARD 65	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 2.45 GeV/c

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)$ — Γ_4/Γ_5

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
0.35 ± 0.2	BIRMINGHAM 66	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 3.5 GeV/c

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)$ — Γ_2/Γ_5

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
<0.2	BIRMINGHAM 66	HBC	+	$K^- p$ 3.5 GeV/c

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi)/[\Gamma(\Lambda\pi)+\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)]$ — $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3)$

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*
<0.6	AGUILAR-... 70B	HBC

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$ — Γ_6/Γ_3

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*COMMENT*
$\leq 0.21\pm0.05$	TIMMERMANS76	HBC	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

$\Sigma(1670)$ QUANTUM NUMBERS (PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS)

VALUE	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*	*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
$J^P = 3/2^-$	400	BUTTON-... 68	HBC	±	$\Sigma^0\pi$
$J^P = 3/2^-$		EBERHARD 67	HBC	+	$\Lambda(1405)\pi$
$J^P = 3/2^+$		LEVEQUE 65	HBC		$\Lambda(1405)\pi$

$\Sigma(1670)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] Total cross-section bump with $(J+1/2)\ \Gamma_{el}\ /\ \Gamma_{total} = 0.23$.

[2] Enhancements in $\Sigma\pi$ and $\Sigma\pi\pi$ cross sections.

[3] Backward production in the $\Lambda\pi^- K^+$ final state.

[4] Depending on production angle.

[5] APSELL 74, ESTES 74, and TIMMERMANS 76 find strong branching ratio dependence on production angle, as in earlier production experiments.

$\Sigma(1670)$ REFERENCES (PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS)

FERRERSORIA	81	NP B178 373	+Treille, Rivet, Volte+	(CERN, CDEF, EPOL, LALO)	
CARROLL	76	PRL 37 806	+Chiang, Kycia, Li, Mazur, Michael+	(BNL)	I
HEPP	76	NP B115 82	+Braun, Grimm, Stroebele+	(CERN, HEID, MPIM)	I
TIMMERMANS	76	NP B112 77	+Engelen+	(NIJM, CERN, AMST, OXF)	JP
APSELL	74	PR D10 1419	+Ford, Gourevitch+	(BRAN, UMD, SYRA, TUFTS)	I
BERTHON	74	NC 21A 146	+Tristram+	(CDEF, RHEL, SACL, STRB)	
ESTES	74	Thesis LBL-3827		(LBL)	
AGUILAR-...	70B	PRL 25 58	Aguilar-Benitez, Barnes, Bassano+	(BNL, SYRA)	
BARNES	69E	BNL 13823	+Chung, Eisner, Flaminio+	(BNL, SYRA)	
EBERHARD	69	PRL 22 200	+Friedman, Pripstein, Ross	(LRL)	
HUWE	69	PR 180 1824		(LRL)	

BUGG	68	PR 168 1466	+Gilmore, Knight+	(RHEL, BIRM, CAVE)	I
BUTTON-...	68	PRL 21 1123	Button-Shafer	(MASA, LRL)	JP
PRIMER	68	PRL 20 610	+Goldberg, Jaeger, Barnes, Dornan+	(SYRA, BNL)	
EBERHARD	67	PR 163 1446	+Pripstein, Shively, Kruse, Swanson	(LRL, ILL)	IJP
BIRMINGHAM	66	PR 152 1148		(BIRM, GLAS, LOIC, OXF, RHEL)	
LONDON	66	PR 143 1034	+Rau, Goldberg, Lichtman+	(BNL, SYRA)	IJ
EBERHARD	65	PRL 14 466	+Shively, Ross, Siegal, Ficenec+	(LRL, ILL)	I
LEVEQUE	65	PL 18 69	+	(SACL, EPOL, GLAS, LOIC, OXF, RHEL)	JP
ALVAREZ	63	PRL 10 184	+Alston, Ferro-Luzzi, Huwe+	(LRL)	I
SMITH	63	Athens Conf. 67		(LRL)	
ALEXANDER	62C	CERN Conf. 320	+Jacobs, Kalbfleisch, Miller+	(LRL)	I