



$$I(J^P) = 0(0^-)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

I, J, P need confirmation. Quantum numbers shown are quark-model predictions.

B_c^+ DECAY MODES

B_c^- modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

Mode
Γ_1 $J/\psi(1S)\ell^+\nu_\ell$ anything
Γ_2 $J/\psi(1S)\pi^+$
Γ_3 $J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$

B_c^+ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\ell^+\nu_\ell \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_c)$ $\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times B$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

$<1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ BARATE	97H ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
-----------------------	----	---------------------	----------	------------------------

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

$<1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	² ABREU	97E DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
-----------------------	----	--------------------	----------	------------------------

¹ BARATE 97H reports $B(Z \rightarrow B_c X)/B(Z \rightarrow qq) \cdot B(B_c \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\ell\nu_\ell) < 5.2 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90%CL. We rescale to our PDG 96 values of $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b})$. A $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\mu^+\nu_\mu$ candidate event is found, compared to all the known background sources 2×10^{-3} , which gives $m_{B_c} = 5.96^{+0.25}_{-0.19}$ GeV and $\tau_{B_c} = 1.77 \pm 0.17$ ps.

² ABREU 97E value listed is for an assumed $\tau_{B_c} = 0.4$ ps and improves to 1.6×10^{-4} for $\tau_{B_c} = 1.4$ ps.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_c)$ $\Gamma_2/\Gamma \times B$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

$<8.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	³ BARATE	97H ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
-----------------------	----	---------------------	----------	------------------------

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

$<3.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	⁴ ABREU	97E DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
-----------------------	----	--------------------	----------	------------------------

$<2.0 \times 10^{-5}$	95	⁵ ABE	96R CDF	$p\bar{p}$ 1.8 TeV
-----------------------	----	------------------	---------	--------------------

³ BARATE 97H reports $B(Z \rightarrow B_c X)/B(Z \rightarrow qq) \cdot B(B_c \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi) < 3.6 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90%CL. We rescale to our PDG 96 values of $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b})$.

⁴ ABREU 97E value listed is for an assumed $\tau_{B_c} = 0.4$ ps and improves to 2.7×10^{-4} for $\tau_{B_c} = 1.4$ ps.

⁵ ABE 96R reports $B(b \rightarrow B_c X)/B(b \rightarrow B^+ X) \cdot B(B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+)/B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+) < 0.053$ at 95%CL for $\tau_{B_c} = 0.8$ ps. It changes from 0.15 to 0.04 for $0.17 \text{ ps} < \tau_{B_c} < 1.6$ ps. We rescale to our PDG 96 values of $B(b \rightarrow B^+) = 0.378 \pm 0.022$ and $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+) = 0.00101 \pm 0.00014$.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \mathbf{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_c)$		$\Gamma_3/\Gamma \times \mathbf{B}$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<5.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	⁶ ABREU	97E DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
⁶ ABREU 97E value listed is independent of $0.4 \text{ ps} < \tau_{B_c} < 1.4 \text{ ps}$.				

B_c^\pm REFERENCES

ABREU	97E	PL B398 207	P. Abreu+	(DELPHI Collab.)
BARATE	97H	PL B402 213	R. Barate+	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE	96R	PRL 77 5176	+Akimoto, Akopian, Albrow+	(CDF Collab.)
PDG	96	PR D54 1		